Cryotherapy for Actinic Keratosis: Basic Principles and Literature Review
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Abstract: Actinic keratoses (AKs) are pre-malignant epithelial lesions induced by chronic cumulative UV exposure. Several guidelines concerning AKs treatment have been published in the past years. Among destructive procedures, cryotherapy is today considered a standard first-line approach in case of single lesions. The aim of the present review article is to analyse the treatment technique, its efficacy and safety.
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Introduction

Actinic keratosis (AK) is a cutaneous lesion characterized by proliferation of atypical epidermal keratinocytes, induced by chronic cumulative UV exposure with an approximated prevalence ranging between 1% and 44% in the adult population. Although it has been shown that AKs can undergo spontaneous regression, the potential to progress into invasive SCC (iSCC) has been extensively demonstrated. As there are no reliable clinical or histological predictors to distinguish which AK will transform into SCC, treatment of all AKs is always recommended.

Several guidelines concerning AKs treatment have been published in the past years. Among available treatments, lesion- and field-directed therapies should be distinguished. Lesion-directed treatments eliminate atypical keratinocytes in single AKs, while field-directed therapy actions are extended to atypical keratinocytes within a field of chronic sun-damaged skin surrounding visible AKs.

Lesion-directed modalities encompass (I) cryosurgery; (II) laser therapy; (III) surgery and (IV) curettage and electrodesiccation. In contrast, field-directed treatments include (I) 5-FU/5FU + salicylic acid; (II) diclofenac 3% gel; (III) Imiquimod (IMIQ 5% and 3.75%); (IV) tirbanibulin 1% ointment; (V) photodynamic therapy (PDT). Recent works described the use of innovative techniques such as cold atmospheric plasma (CAP) and microwave therapy.

Among destructive procedures, cryosurgery (also called cryotherapy or cryoablation) is today considered a standard first-line approach in case of single AKs. It is cheap, rapid and easy to perform. Furthermore, procedure safety and effectiveness do not rely on patient compliance for efficacy.

Cryosurgery Technique

Cryosurgery is the use of extreme cold produced by a cryogen to destroy normal or abnormal tissues. The first cryogens were liquid air and compressed carbon dioxide snow. In the late 1940s liquid nitrogen (LN) became available and currently it represents the most widely used cryogen by dermatologists. Multiple factors make LN the ideal cryogen in dermatology: low boiling point (−196 °C), transport not requiring pressurization, low production cost, lack of fume toxicity, inflammability and storage in minimally or non-pressurized containers.
Cryotherapy freezes and destroys cells based on their variable sensitivity to low temperatures. Pigmented cells are particularly sensitive to damage at −4 to −7 °C, while keratinocytes are more resistant to cell death at −20 to −30 °C. The most resistant cells are fibroblasts, which undergo cell death at −30 to −35 °C.\textsuperscript{16}

The destructive effect of freezing is achieved in two ways: immediate cell destruction (direct effect) and delayed cell destruction (indirect effect). In terms of direct effects, severe freezing will cause the physical effects of cell rupture due to osmotic shock and the formation of intracellular ice, but it will also activate multiple molecular mechanisms of cell death (ie, apoptosis, autophagy, and necrosis).\textsuperscript{15} Indirect effects include vascular and immune ones: as a result of avascular necrosis, due to the formation of vascular thrombosis and microthrombosis, a large number of pathological antigen cells are released, making it vulnerable to host immune surveillance. While physical processes of destruction are effective immediately, physiological-based harmful effects, including cytokine release or apoptosis and secondary necrosis, require several days to complete after treatment.

In cryosurgery, the rapid cooling rate is more destructive. Since the percentage of cell destruction near the application site of low temperature is higher and gradually decreases at the periphery, cell survival may appear in those cells in the distal region of the tissue target or near the active vasculature after treatment. Ideally, a temperature of −40 °C should be generated at the edge of the tumour for maximum effect. For this reason, it is strongly recommended to perform a second freezing after the first thawing, as it is additional destructive.\textsuperscript{15}

Cryogens can be delivered by using cotton swabs or cryosprays/cryoprobes. The dipstick applicator method is undeniably cheaper; nevertheless, repeated applications may be necessary to achieve effective low temperatures. Therefore, this method is preferable for benign lesions.

In the LN spray technique, a spray probe must be positioned 1–1.5 cm away from the skin (Figure 1). The jet should be directed to the centre of the lesion until an ice ball develops. There are several main ways to apply spray: in a circular pattern, paintbrush pattern or by a cone according to the diameter of the lesion to be treated.\textsuperscript{15}

Before treatment vital areas (eg eyes, nares and ears) should be protected with cotton or cotton gauze.\textsuperscript{17}

The freezing time should be adjusted according to the lesion to be treated.\textsuperscript{18} Olsen’s I–II AKs are generally treated with a freeze time between 5 and 20s and one freeze–thaw cycle. In case of larger and more hypertrophic lesions longer freeze time should be required. In a study of 92 patients with 5–50 AKs on the face and forearms, the use of two LN spray cycles of 5–10 s and a freeze margin of 1–2 mm induced a significant reduction in count and lesion size.\textsuperscript{19} Longer

\textbf{Figure 1} Cryotherapy LN spray technique for AKs of the face.
freeze cycles yield better responses: in a prospective study by Thai et al. clearance rate at 3 months with a single 5 s freeze–thaw cycle was 39% and 83% with freezing times over 20s.

Mota et al. investigated the efficacy of two different cryotherapy protocols for AKs using reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM): freezing and thawing time of 10 seconds for 1 cycle (group A) or 2 cycles (group B). The latter highlighted superior response in parakeratosis and number of inflammatory cells in epidermis without generating further side effects.

In our experience and according to literature, two freeze–thaw continuous cycles of 10 seconds each are suitable for treating AKs. Treatment of long-time, relapsing or hyperkeratotic lesions need a more aggressive attitude. In the presence of hyperkeratotic scales, it is advisable to perform a gentle curettage of the lesion or to suggest the application of a topical keratolytic agent (based on urea or salicylic acid) at least two weeks before the treatment. On the contrary, the approach to lesions located at areas of reduced skin thickness (eg eyelids or back of the hands), especially in the atrophic skin of the elderly, requires greater caution in choosing the timing of application.

As in daily practice isolated lesions without any signs of surrounding actinic damage are rarely observed, the use of cryotherapy in many patients may be limited or even discouraged. Thus, the addition of a field-directed treatment can help to overcome the limitations of cryosurgery in patients presenting multiple AKs. Associating cryotherapy before other field therapies can be useful in case of hyperkeratotic lesions where the penetration of topical drugs alone and consequently their effectiveness can be limited. On the other hand, the likelihood of subclinical lesions progressing to visible AK may be reduced following localized treatment of the lesion site. Conversely, pretreatment of AK with topical drugs may reveal subclinical lesions that can be treated with cryotherapy. Cryosurgery was combined in variable succession with several field treatments such as PDT, 5-FU, or IMIQ to reduce recurrence rates. A systematic review and meta-analysis investigated whether combination intervention was superior to cryosurgery alone: The former showed significantly higher patient complete clearance rates than cryosurgery alone [risk ratio (RR) 1.74, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.25-2.43].

Although it must be considered a therapy aimed at the single lesion, cryotherapy can be used alone in the presence of multiple lesions in those patients who did not tolerate or refused other more appropriate field therapies. In this type of patient, several treatment sessions close to each other are recommended and, if immunosuppressed, a close follow-up is strongly recommended to monitor the response to treatment or to evaluate the appearance of suspected tumor lesions.

Complications
Clinical effects and expected adverse events of cryosurgery should be explained to the patients before the procedure. Patients should be informed about the appearance of erythema and then a wheal a few minutes after starting the procedure, due to histamine release. Oedema develops about 12–36 h post cryosurgery followed by serous or haemorrhagic vesicles. Exudate and crusting may be noted in a few days. Healing time is strongly impacted by the depth of freezing: lesions that have had a deeper freeze will take longer to heal.

Also the body site can influence the healing time after cryotherapy: the face and the back of the hands may require longer recovering times, especially in case of photodamaged and thinned skin of older people.

Pain is an expected outcome of this procedure: it appears during the procedure and it ends within an hour. It is generally well tolerated by patients so that local anaesthesia is usually not necessary. A recent study of Quinn et al. measured pain after cryotherapy in 95 AKs patients using a visual analogue scale (VAS) at five time points: prior to treatment, immediately and 10 minutes after treatment, 3 and 24 hours after cryotherapy. Moderate-to-severe pain was reported by 46.3% of patients immediately after treatment, but only 10% reported persisting strong pain at following time points. Tolerability of cryotherapy was shown to be independent from clinical characteristics of AKs or patients’ personal profile.

As a consequence of melanocyte susceptibility to freezing, local changes in skin pigmentation are the most common and unpleasant complication of cryosurgery. Hypopigmentation is the most common outcome, but also hyperpigmentation can develop in darker skinned individuals. In the scalp or other hairy areas, the destruction of hair follicle cells caused by cold can lead to permanent hair loss.

Scarring is a rare but possible complication of cryotherapy and must therefore be kept in mind by the operator.
Contraindications

In case of AKs, progression to iSCC should be excluded before cryosurgery. When treating pigmented lesions of the face, diagnosis of lentigo maligna (LM) or lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM) should be carefully ruled out. Dermoscopic evaluation is essential to highlight any atypical vessels suggestive of iSCC or atypia of the perifollicular pigmentation suspected for LM/LMM.

Other absolute and relative contraindications of cryotherapy in AKs patients are listed in Table 1.

Efficacy

When used in AKs treatment, Lubritz et al reported a cure rate of cryotherapy alone of 98.8% in a study published in 1982, however, a recent study demonstrated a cure rate ranging from 39% to 83% depending on freezing protocols. In a study by Goldberg et al where the target surface temperature was standardized at –5 °C with an infrared sensor, the cure rate was found to be almost 100% at 6-week follow-up.

Unfortunately, cryosurgery is still not well standardized and its efficacy strongly depends on the operator and procedure protocol. The freezing time, freezing depth, application time, thawing time and the number of freeze–thaw cycles may vary from different practitioners. The pressure in the liquid nitrogen tank and the size of the spray gun will affect the number and speed of freezing and might act as confounding factors.

Non-invasive diagnostic techniques such as dermoscopy and RCM can be used to assess cryotherapy efficacy. These have also been investigated histologically: De Oliveira et al reported a reduction in keratinocytes’ atypia in 76.9% of patient treated with cryosurgery together with a thinner epithelium in 69.2% patients.

Comparison of Cryotherapy with Other AKs Therapies

Over the years, different studies compared new pharmaceutical or destructive treatments for AKs with LN-cryotherapy (Table 2). Krawtchenko et al compared cryotherapy, 5-FU and IMIQ 5% efficacy for AKs treatment in immunocompetent patients. Cure rates were 68% for cryosurgery vs 96% for 5-FU and 85% for IMIQ. Cryosurgery had the higher recurrence rate at 1 year follow-up (28% vs 54% for 5FU and 73% for IMIQ).

Different studies have analysed the effectiveness of methyl aminolevulinate-photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT) compared to cryotherapy. The prospective randomized study of 193 patients by Szeimies et al demonstrated higher response rates from 2-freezing-cycles cryotherapy compared to MAL-PDT at 3 months (75% vs 69%). Albeit, the cosmetic outcome was judged superior in the PDT group: the 95% CIs for the difference in excellent and good cosmetic outcome between the treatments were 4.8% to 26% (investigator assessment) and 0.7% to 14.6% (patient assessment).
Table 2 References of Head-to-Head Studies on Cryotherapy vs Other AKs Treatment Modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Enrolled Patients</th>
<th>Number of Lesions</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Treatment Regimen</th>
<th>Efficacy (CR) (3 Months)</th>
<th>Cosmetic Outcome</th>
<th>Patient Preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lubritz et al[22]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>Face or scalp</td>
<td>1) 2 MAL-PDT sessions, 2) 5–15sec single freeze thaw cryotherapy 3) placebo PDT</td>
<td>91% MAL-PDT, 68% cryotherapy, 30% placebo PDT group</td>
<td>Excellent cosmetic outcome: MAL-PDT 83% compared with cryotherapy 51%</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szeimies et al[24]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>Face, scalp and other sites</td>
<td>1) MAL-PDT 1 session on scalp and face, 2 sessions in other sites 2) 2 cycle cryotherapy (total time 24sec)</td>
<td>Complete response in 69% MAL PDT and 75% for Cryotherapy</td>
<td>Excellent or good in 96.3% after MAL PDT and 80.9% after cryotherapy</td>
<td>MAL-PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman et al[25]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>Face or scalp</td>
<td>1) Single freeze–thaw cycle (5–15sec) 2) two cycles of MAL-PDT (day 0 and day 7) 3) placebo PDT</td>
<td>91% MAL-PDT 68% cryotherapy 30% placebo PDT</td>
<td>Excellent in 83% of patients treated with MAL-PDT vs 51% after cryotherapy</td>
<td>MAL-PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morton et al[26]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>Face and scalp</td>
<td>1 session MAL-PDT, double freeze–thaw cryotherapy (total time 16+ 7 s)</td>
<td>84.4% for MAL-PDT And 74.5% for cryotherapy</td>
<td>Excellent 70.8% in the MAL-PDT group and 57.4% in the cryotherapy group</td>
<td>MAL-PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krawtchenko et al[27]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Head, neck or décolleté</td>
<td>1–2 courses of cryotherapy, 5FU twice daily for 4 weeks 1–2 courses of IMIQ 5% times/week for 4 weeks each</td>
<td>68% after cryotherapy, 96% after 5FU, 85% after IMIQ</td>
<td>(After 12 months) Excellent in 4% after 5FU and cryotherapy and 81% after IMIQ</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann et al[28]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>Extremities, neck and trunk</td>
<td>Each patient received 1 MAL-PDT session and cryotherapy (20 seconds) on alternate sides of the body (lesions with a non complete response were retreated after 12 weeks)</td>
<td>(After 6 months) reduction in lesion count: 88% after cryotherapy and 78 after MAL-PDT</td>
<td>(After 24 weeks) excellent cosmetic outcome: 79% with MAL-PDT and 56% with cryotherapy</td>
<td>MAL-PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauschild et al[29]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Face and scalp</td>
<td>1) 1 session 5-ALA patch-PDT 2) 1 session placebo 5-ALA patch-PDT 3) One cycle of cryotherapy (5 to 10sec of freezing time)</td>
<td>89% with 5-ALA patch PDT 29% with placebo PDT 77% with cryotherapy</td>
<td>Excellent in 68% after 5-ALA patch-PDT, 42% after cryotherapy and 60% after placebo-PDT (patient’s assessment)</td>
<td>Very satisfied: 57% after 5-ALA patch-PDT, 31% after cryotherapy and 14% after placebo-PDT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Enrolled Patients</th>
<th>Number of Lesions</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Treatment Regimen</th>
<th>Efficacy (CR) (3 Months)</th>
<th>Cosmetic Outcome</th>
<th>Patient Preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Szeimies et al | RCT          | 360               | 1619              | Not specified | 1) 1 session 5-ALA patch-PDT  
2) 1 session placebo patch-PDT  
3) one cycle cryo max 10s     | 83% 5-ALA patch-PDT  
26% placebo-PDT  
68% cryotherapy | Excellent in 78% after 5-ALA patch-PDT and placebo-PDT and 51% after cryotherapy | Not specified |
| Zane et al   | RCT          | 200               | 543               | Face and scalp | One cycle Cryotherapy (10–20 seconds) vs 2 to 3 CO2 laser passes (2–3 W with 50Hz repetition). | 71.6% after cryotherapy and 65.3% CO2 laser | Respectively excellent good and fair in 50.7%, 35.6% and 13.7% in cryotherapy vs 48.4%, 43.8% and 7.8% after CO2 laser | CRYOTHERAPY (Excellent in 59.8% after cryotherapy and 24.5% after CO2 laser) |

Abbreviations: CR, complete remission; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ALA-PDT, 5-aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy; MAL-PDT, methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil; IMIQ, Imiquimod.
Two sessions of MAL-PDT were found to be more effective than a single-cycle cryotherapy in a study by Freeman et al focused on the treatment of AKs in 204 patients (CR 91 vs 68%). The overall cosmetic outcome was ranked as excellent in a significantly higher portion of PDT patients compared with the cryotherapy patients (85% vs 51% as assessed by investigators and 76% vs 56% as assessed by the patient). Hypopigmentation was the most common unappealing local side effect reported after cryosurgery, which occurred in 29% of patients vs 5% of PDT ones.35

In another split-face randomized controlled study, both treatments were found to have a high cure rate (89% vs 86%) 24 weeks after treatment.36 One-hundred-nineteen subjects received both a single cycle of MAL-PDT and a double freeze–thaw cryotherapy. Investigators’ preference as well as cosmetic outcome favoured MAL-PDT.36

More recently, Kaufmann et al38 enrolled 121 patients in a multicentre, randomized, controlled split-face protocol to compare efficacy, cosmetic outcome and patient preference of a single-session of MAL-PDT vs double freeze–thaw cryotherapy. Interestingly, the latter showed a higher efficacy at 24 weeks (88% vs 78%) but MAL-PDT confirmed to be the preferred treatment by patients and the one associated with the best aesthetic outcome.38

Also, ALA-PDT with self-adhesive patches proved to be more effective than a single cycle of cryotherapy for the treatment of Olsen’s I and II AKs of face and scalp.39,40

Several reasons can explain the prevalence of MAL-PDT in the cosmetic outcome. First over all, the effect of photorejuvenation induced by MAL-PDT is well known42 and could influence the evaluation of the cosmetic result and patients’ preference.

In single centre prospective RCT, cryotherapy has been shown to be superior to ablative CO2 laser for the treatment of isolated AK on the scalp and face.41 Two hundred patients bearing 543 AKs were enrolled. Cure rate at 3 months was 78.2% with cryotherapy and vs 72.4% with CO2 laser ablation. The former was more effective for thicker lesions and provided higher remission rates at 12 months follow-up (73% vs 22%). No differences in cosmetic outcome rates were reported after 3 months. Overall patients’ preference was significantly higher in case of cryosurgery.

Conclusions
Cryotherapy is an effective and well-tolerated treatment for the treatment of AKs. Since it is not able to treat the field of cancerization, cryotherapy is to be considered a therapy limited to single lesions or at most in association with field therapies in sequential form in case of multiple lesions and wide field of cancerization. The advantages of the method are related to its fast execution, the lack of need for local anaesthesia and its low cost.

The method is not free from contraindications and possible local side effects. In particular, pain during treatment and secondary permanent hypo-/hyperpigmentation may limit patient’s acceptance.

However, to date, no standard protocols regarding the time of application of cryogen at different body locations and the duration of freezing–thawing cycles are available. In this context, RCTs are necessary in order to optimize the procedure, reduce its costs and risks of over- or under treatment.
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