Rapid and Efficient Response to Gilteritinib and Venetoclax-Based Therapy in Two AML Patients with FLT3-ITD Mutation Unresponsive to Venetoclax Plus Azacitidine
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Abstract: The presence of FLT3-ITD mutation is associated with relapse and poor survival in AML patients. Venetoclax combined with hypomethylating agents (VEN+HMA) was approved for the frontline treatment of elderly or unfit AML patients, which leads to noteworthy impacts on AML management. The combination therapy is associated with encouraging efficacy in FLT3-mutated AML among both newly diagnosed unfit and relapsed/refractory patients. However, we found that two AML patients with FLT3-ITD mutation did not respond to venetoclax plus azacitidine (VEN+AZA). Given that the combined efficacy of venetoclax and the FLT3 inhibitor has been proved in pre-clinical models of FLT3+ AML, it is a scientific rationale to investigate venetoclax combined with the FLT3 inhibitor in AML patients with FLT3-ITD mutation. This is the first report of assessing the safety and response of gilteritinib (the first and only targeted second-generation FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitor approved by the US FDA) and venetoclax-based therapy in two AML patients with FLT3-ITD mutation unresponsive to VEN+AZA, which may bring new hope to FLT3 mutated patients who are unresponsive to VEN+HMA.
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Introduction

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a life-threatening disease harbouring variable genetic mutations that can influence therapy outcome and prognosis. The presence of the FLT3-ITD mutation is associated with relapse and poor survival in AML patients. Venetoclax combined with hypomethylating agents (VEN+HMA) has been approved as a frontline treatment for elderly or unfit AML patients, which leads to noteworthy impacts on AML management. Combination therapy is associated with encouraging efficacy in FLT3-mutated AML among both newly diagnosed unfit and relapsed/refractory patients, with CR/CRi rates of 94% and 42%, respectively.1

Herein, we describe two patients with FLT3-ITD AML who were unresponsive to venetoclax plus azacitidine but showed a rapid response with good safety following gilteritinib and venetoclax-based therapy. The patients’ characteristics and treatment details are summarized in Table 1.
**Case 1**

Patient 1 was a 24-year-old man diagnosed with MDS-EB2 in August 2020, and 15.8% blasts with FLT3-ITD (AR = 0.064) were detected in his bone marrow. After 2 cycles of azacitidine, he was referred for a haploidentical haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) from his father in October 2020. Unfortunately, five months later, he was diagnosed with AML progressing from MDS after HSCT, and the bone marrow biopsy revealed 48% blasts with FLT3-ITD (AR = 0.673). Disappointingly, 92.5% blasts with FLT3-ITD (AR = 7.141) were present in his bone marrow after two cycles of venetoclax + azacitidine. When the patient had an FLT3 mutation, a reinduction therapy comprising gilteritinib, venetoclax and azacitidine ([Figure 1A](#)) was administered in April 2021. The patient achieved a morphologic leukaemia-free state at the end of the induction therapy (+1 d) with MRD 8.5*10^{-4} and FLT3-ITD (AR = 0.058). The patient continued gilteritinib and venetoclax combined therapy ([Figure 1A](#)) as consolidation treatment on day 21 when the neutrophil count exceeded 0.5×10^9/L. Afterwards, he achieved CR with FLT3-ITD negative for the first time since consolidation treatment in June 2021. No serious adverse events occurred during the treatment, although haematological toxicity was observed ([Figure 1B, Table 1](#)). The patient is in good condition till now, almost eight months after reinduction therapy, and waiting for a second HSCT.

**Case 2**

Patient 2 was a 77-year-old woman diagnosed with AML in August 2020, with 77.5% myoblasts and FLT3-ITD (AR = 1.75). ASXL1 and CSMD1 and ETV6 mutations were detected in her bone marrow. She achieved morphologic CR with FLT3-ITD (AR = 0.025) after two cycles of venetoclax + azacitidine. Disappointingly, she experienced relapse before the commencement of the fourth cycle. The bone marrow aspirate indicated 70% myoblasts with the FLT3-ITD mutation (AR = 1.49) in March 2021. After the fourth cycle of venetoclax + azacitidine, the patient still did not achieve CR, with 55% blasts with the FLT3-ITD mutation (AR = 3.46). As she had a FLT3 mutation, a reinduction therapy combining gilteritinib and venetoclax ([Figure 1C](#)) was administered in April 2021. She achieved a morphologic leukaemia-free state at the end of the induction therapy (+1 d) with MRD 1.1*10^{-3} and FLT3-ITD (AR = 0.74). Her peripheral blood recovered to normal levels on day 2. Because of financial concerns, she continued with gilteritinib as maintenance therapy ([Figure 1C](#)). Following-up at 2 months after gilteritinib alone, she remained morphologic CR. No serious adverse events occurred during the treatment, although haematological toxicity was observed ([Figure 1D, Table 1](#)). The latest follow-up is November, 2021, seven months after gilteritinib and venetoclax combined therapy, and gilteritinib was still adopted as maintenance therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient Characteristics, Treatment Details and Response to Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patient 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>MDS-transformed AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-mutations</td>
<td>GATA, ZRSR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment before AML</td>
<td>AZA+HSCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior therapy</td>
<td>VEN+AZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles of prior therapy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction therapy</td>
<td>VEN+AZA+Gilteritinib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time lag from induction therapy to response</td>
<td>8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicities of induction therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agranulocytosis duration</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia duration</td>
<td>25 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-haematological toxicity</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation/maintenance therapy</td>
<td>VEN+Gilteritinib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** MDS, myelodysplastic syndromes; AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; VEN, venetoclax; AZA, azacitidine; HSCT, haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.
Figure 1 (A) Induction therapy and consolidation/maintenance therapy in Patient 1. (B) Treatment process of patient 1. (C) Induction therapy and consolidation/maintenance therapy in Patient 2. (D) Treatment process of Patient 2. *The dose of venetoclax was adjusted because of posaconazole treatment.
Discussion
FLT3-ITD triggers leukaemogenesis alone or in combination with other mutations, suggesting that FLT3-ITD is a candidate therapeutic target for pursuing long-lasting remission. The rate of FLT3-ITD mutation in the MDS population is less than 10%, and those with the mutation have a higher AML transformation rate, quicker disease progression, and shorter survival time. Patient 1 underwent AML progression with the FLT3-ITD mutation five months after HSCT. As venetoclax + azacitidine is a viable salvage option for relapsed/refractory patients with AML or MDS, we chose the combination as reinduction therapy. Patient 2 was an elderly woman with high-risk factors who could not undergo traditional chemotherapy. According to NCCN guidelines, venetoclax-based therapy is a good option for her. However, patient 1 did not respond to the treatment, and the response diminished in Patient 2 during the treatment.

The FLT3-ITD mutation is associated with a relatively lower response rate to venetoclax treatment and might be acquired after venetoclax treatment, indicating that FLT3-mutated clones may lead to resistance to venetoclax-based therapy. As venetoclax is a specific Bcl2 inhibitor that has little effect on other antiapoptotic proteins, such as BCL-XL and MCL-1, apoptotic evasion during venetoclax treatment occurs via apoptotic proteins modulated by oncogenic signalling. FLT3-ITD regulates multiple survival pathways and is linked to increased BCL-XL and MCL-1 expression, which might promote venetoclax resistance. In vivo and in vitro analyses indicated that FLT3 inhibitors could downregulate BCL-XL and MCL-1 expression, priming cells towards BCL-2 dependence for survival. Thus, it is a scientific rationale to investigate venetoclax combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition. In vitro analyses indicated that venetoclax and FLT3 inhibitors (midostaurin, gilteritinib and quizartinib) could synergistically induce apoptosis in FLT3-mutated AML cell lines and primary patient samples. Although the combination induced similar tumour regressions as the FLT3 inhibitor alone in vivo, the combination can truly increase durability and prevent leukaemia re-emergence.

Gilteritinib is the first and only targeted second-generation FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitor that was approved by the US FDA in November 2018 for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory FLT3-mutated AML. In clinical trials, gilteritinib was well tolerated and prolonged survival by inducing deep molecular responses with FLT3-ITD clearance in FLT3-ITD+ relapsed/refractory AML patients. Consequently, the 2018 NCCN guidelines recommend gilteritinib as a category 1 treatment option for patients with relapsed/refractory FLT3-mutated AML. It is demonstrated that Gilteritinib could enhance the antileukaemic effects of venetoclax in vitro and in vivo by abolishing the induction of p-ERK by venetoclax and downregulating Mcl-1 protein expression levels.

It is reported that the median overall survival (mOS) after VEN+HMA failure for elderly AML patients was 2.4 months, and those who received salvage therapy had better survival (mOS: 2.9 months). In our reports, after receiving gilteritinib and venetoclax-based re-induction therapy, patient 2, a 77-year-old woman, survived 7 months after VEN+AZA failure; while patient 1, a young patient, was in good conditions for 8 months and is waiting for HSCT. This is the first report assessing the safety and response of gilteritinib and venetoclax-based therapy in AML patients with FLT3-ITD unresponsive to VEN+HMA. The fact that the two patients achieved a rapid response without side effects indicated that gilteritinib and venetoclax-based therapy is a promising salvage therapy for those who are unresponsive to venetoclax plus azacitidine. A phase 1b multicentre study (NCT03625505) evaluated the safety and efficacy of venetoclax in combination with gilteritinib. As is reported in ASH 2021, the combined therapy is well tolerated and achieved high mCRc (74.5%) in patients with R/RFLT3+ AML (patients who failed at least 1 line of prior therapy). Patient 1 achieved CR and was FLT3-ITD-negative after gilteritinib and venetoclax combined consolidation therapy, while Patient 2 achieved sustained morphologic CR with gilteritinib consolidation therapy. We speculate that gilteritinib combined with venetoclax might be better than gilteritinib alone for consolidation/maintenance therapy. The results of a clinical trial (NCT02752035) also indicated that gilteritinib plus azacitidine induces antileukaemic responses in newly diagnosed FLT3-mutated AML patients with no unexpected AEs. Our two patients had similar agranulocytosis and neutropenia durations after induction therapy, although azacitidine was only added to the treatment regimen of patient 1. It is thought that azacitidine is appropriate for induction therapy, but more clinical data are needed to assess its toxicity and efficiency. In addition, a Phase I/II Study (NCT05010122) of ASTX727 (oral cedazuridine and decitabine), Venetoclax, and Gilteritinib for the Treatment of Newly Diagnosed, Relapsed or Refractory FLT3-Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia or High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome is recruiting, which means the combination of HMA, venetoclax and gilteritinib...
is widely concerned and investigated. Thus, we propose a protocol (Figure S1) that could be delivered to FLT3-mutated AML patients unresponsive to venetoclax plus azacitidine.
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