Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: natural history, diagnosis, and emerging treatment options
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Abstract: Sleep apnea is an entity characterized by repetitive upper airway obstruction resulting in nocturnal hypoxia and sleep fragmentation. It is estimated that 2%–4% of the middle-aged population has sleep apnea with a predilection in men relative to women. Risk factors of sleep apnea include obesity, gender, age, menopause, familial factors, craniofacial abnormalities, and alcohol. Sleep apnea has been increasingly recognized as a major health burden associated with hypertension and increased risk of cardiovascular disease and death. Increased airway collapsibility and derangement in ventilatory control responses are the major pathological features of this disorder. Polysomnography (PSG) is the gold-standard method for diagnosis of sleep apnea and assessment of sleep apnea severity; however, portable sleep monitoring has a diagnostic role in the setting of high pretest probability sleep apnea in the absence of significant comorbidity. Positive pressure therapy is the mainstay therapy of sleep apnea. Other treatment modalities, such as upper airway surgery or oral appliances, may be used for the treatment of sleep apnea in select cases. In this review, we focus on describing the sleep apnea definition, risk factor profile, underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms, associated adverse consequences, diagnostic modalities, and treatment strategies.
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Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a condition characterized by repetitive episodes of complete or partial collapse of the upper airway during sleep resulting in complete cessation (apnea) or reduction (hypopnea) of airflow leading to arousal and hypoxia.¹ Apnea is defined as complete cessation of oronasal airflow for at least 10 seconds. Alternatively, the definition of hypopnea requires (1) a drop of ≥ 30% of oronasal airflow from baseline associated with ≥ 4% decrease in oxyhemoglobin saturation, (2) a drop of ≥ 50% of oronasal airflow from baseline and ≥ 3% decrease of oxyhemoglobin saturation, (3) a reduction in airflow as above along with an associated electroencephalographic arousal. In sleep study monitoring, the frequency of apneas and hypopneas per hour of sleep (apnea–hypopnea index [AHI]) is the key measure to define and stratify the severity of OSA, although inherent limitations to this metric include not taking into consideration degree of accompanying hypoxia, length of respiratory events, etc. AHI levels of 5, 15, and 30 have been used as cutpoints to define mild, moderate and severe OSA, respectively.¹ Apnea can be distinguished as obstructive vs central based upon presence or absence of thoracoabdominal effort.²

Symptoms of OSA may include daytime sleepiness, impaired concentration and mood, morning headaches, snoring, and witnessed breathing pauses during sleep...
observed by the bed partner. There are varying sensitivities and specificities for these specific clinical symptoms, and clinical prediction rules incorporating these symptoms have been shown to be poor predictors in identifying OSA and assessing OSA severity.1

Many studies have revealed an association between OSA severity and other common causes of increased mortality, such as hypertension,4 stroke,5 coronary artery disease,6 and occupational,7 as well as automobile accidents.8 For this reason, OSA has been increasingly recognized as a major public health issue imposing great economic burden, thereby mandating early recognition and treatment.

### Prevalence of OSA

Although OSA was described in the middle of the last century, data describing the prevalence of this disease were not available until 1993 when the results from the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study were reported. This study involved 602 participants who were 30–60 years of age and evaluated using overnight polysomnography. The prevalence of OSA (defined as AHI ≥ 5) in this study was 24% in men and 9% in women, and the prevalence of OSA syndrome (OSAS), ie, OSA with associated symptoms (defined as AHI ≥ 5 and daytime sleepiness) was 4% in men and 2% in women.9 The prevalence of OSA was estimated in Southern Pennsylvania households, 1,741 participants between the ages 20 and 100 years were evaluated using overnight PSG. The prevalence of OSA in this cross-sectional study was similar to the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study: prevalence of OSA (AHI ≥ 10) was 17% in men and 5% in women and the prevalence of OSAS with concomitant symptoms was 3.3% in men and 1.2% in women.10,11

OSA prevalence studies have been performed in various countries involving individuals of various ethnicities. For example, in an Australian study, the prevalence of OSA was investigated in 485 male participants between the ages 40 and 65 years using a portable, sleep monitoring system. The prevalence of OSA and symptomatic OSAS were 25.9% and 3.1%, respectively.12 In Europe, 560 patients were evaluated between the ages 30 and 70 years using overnight PSG. Twenty-six percent of men and 28% of women had OSA (AHI ≥ 5), and 3.4% of men and 3% of women had OSAS (AHI ≥ 5 and daytime sleepiness).13 One of the first Asian epidemiological studies estimated the prevalence of OSA in 259 patients in Hong Kong. The prevalence of OSA (AHI = 5) was 8.8% in men and 3.7% in women, and the prevalence of OSAS (AHI = 5 and daytime sleepiness) was 4.1% in men and 2.1% in women.14,15

It is interesting to note the comparable OSA prevalence estimates in this study involving Asians with a lower body mass index (BMI) compared with the participants of the Wisconsin Study, who have a notably higher BMI suggesting a risk factor profile contributing to OSA in Asians involving factors other than overweight/obesity such as genetic or craniofacial anatomical factors. Epidemiological studies from other Asian countries including Korea and India, have shown similar findings.16–18

In summary, the prevalence of OSA (defined as AHI ≥ 5) were 17%–27% in men and 3%–28% in women. This disparity in the prevalence among these studies, particularly the Spanish study in which 26% of men and 28% of women have OSA,13 may be attributed to methodological differences including varying population age, health status of participants, ethnicity, methods of participant enrollment, use of different definitions of hypopnea, use of different techniques in measuring airflow, and using portable home monitoring such as in the Australian study.12

### Clinical presentation of OSA

#### Snoring

Snoring is caused by the vibration of the structures in the oral cavity, and oropharynx is considered one of the most common symptoms for which patients or partners seek medical attention. Habitual snoring is common in the general population; in one report, 40% of women and 60% of men are habitual snorers.9 When considering snoring as a symptom of OSA, approximately 70%–80% of patients who snore have OSA19–21 and 95% of patients who have OSA snore.22

#### Excessive daytime sleepiness

Daytime sleepiness is the most common daytime symptom in patients with OSA. Because there are many causes of sleepiness, such as insufficient sleep, mood disorders, medication side effects, etc; sleepiness is poorly correlated with the severity of OSA,1 and daytime somnolence is not a specific marker for OSA. Nevertheless, daytime sleepiness is a very useful screening tool to evaluate response to therapy in patients with OSA.23 Various scales used to subjectively assess the degree of sleepiness include Profile of Mood States,24 Stanford Sleepiness Scale,25 and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale.26 Of those scales, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale is widely used because it rates the chance of dozing in different everyday situations within the last month rather than reflecting a momentary mood state. Objective ways of measuring sleepiness include multiple sleep latency testing and the maintenance of wakefulness test.
Other symptoms

Other symptoms of OSA include, but are not restricted to, witnessed apneas, nocturnal choking, unrefreshed sleep, morning headaches, sleep maintenance insomnia, and fatigue. Although clinical symptoms have a poor correlation with the severity of OSA, several prediction models have been developed to provide an OSA screening tool. Most of those models depend on clinical symptoms, anthropometric measurements, and an upper airway anatomy evaluation. Despite the high sensitivity, these prediction rules have minimal clinical utility given the low specificity, and also are of limited use in the pediatric population.27–34

Risk factors of OSA

Some of the major risk factors of OSA and their respective pathophysiologic mechanisms are summarized in Figure 1.

Aging

The Sleep Heart Health Study shows a simple, positive linear correlation between age and OSA until the age of approximately 65 years at which point there is a plateau in the prevalence.35 Studies published regarding the prevalence of OSA in the elderly report ranges of 5.6%–70% in referral-based samples and in some population-based studies.36–40 In a review of OSA and the elderly, it has been found that the prevalence of OSA in men is 28%–62% and in women is 19.5%–60%.40 In the elderly, it is thought that OSA may be an entirely different entity altogether and associated with a different constellation of symptoms compared with middle-aged adults. Specifically, the consequences of OSA in the elderly may be more related to behavioral morbidity than cardiovascular outcomes.41 For instance, evidence has shown stronger relationships with cognitive impairments, such as dementia,42 and mood changes, such as depression, and weaker relationships with reporting of snoring in older adults,43 as well as with BMI.44 Also, our analysis of the MrOS Sleep Study, a cohort of elderly men, has identified similar OSA correlates as described in middle-aged adults; however, the strength of the association of risk factors, such as obesity, and symptoms, such as snoring, were comparatively mitigated.45

In an effort to explain the relationship between OSA and age, different hypotheses have been proposed and tested. Function and structure of the upper airway have been a focus of interest in various reports investigating increasing age and upper airway pathophysiology. For example, aging is associated with increased upper airway resistance, increased parapharyngeal fat, decreased pharyngeal size, and impairment of pharyngeal muscle reflexes that are important to maintain upper airway patency, the latter of which is independent of BMI and gender.46–51

Figure 1 Schematic representation of different risk factors and proposed mechanisms by which they result in obstructive sleep apnea.
Gender
OSA is more common in men, with a male-to-female ratio of 2–4:1 in community-based studies\textsuperscript{9,10,13,15,16} and approximately 10:1 in sleep clinic referral samples.\textsuperscript{52} The disparity between the gender-based community and the clinic prevalence of OSA may be explained by fact that women often do not have the classic symptomatology of OSA. Women may be more likely to report morning headaches, difficulty initiating sleep, and fatigue related to OSA compared with reports of restless sleep and witnessed apneas.\textsuperscript{53} Furthermore, women with OSA are more likely to be treated for depression, to have insomnia, and to have hypothyroidism than men with the same degree of OSA.\textsuperscript{54}

Another factor that may explain this phenomenon is that women are less likely to be referred to sleep centers because of the notion that OSA is a disease of men. Indeed, OSA was first described in men, and earlier studies exclusively enrolled men. Although it is likely that women with OSA are underdiagnosed, there are other gender-based factors at hand. The sex-based disparity of OSA occurrence is likely attributable to a variety of factors, including hormonal influences, and sex-based phenotypes, including physical features such as craniofacial morphology and fat deposition. The structure and the function of the upper airway show gender-based differences, including a shorter and smaller upper airway observed in women compared with men.\textsuperscript{55,56} Although this upper airway anatomical difference may appear to predispose women rather than men to upper airway collapse, men have been found to have longer airways compared with women, thereby resulting in increased vulnerability to collapse. Data also indicate that women have a more stable upper airway,\textsuperscript{57} as supported by pharyngeal critical closing pressures that are higher compared with BMI-matched sleep apneic men.\textsuperscript{58}

Another possible explanation of gender-based differences in OSA risk is the variation in the distribution of adipose tissue between men and women. Men tend to have more fat in the upper body including the neck (android), thereby predisposing to upper airway collapse compared with women who tend to have lower body fat (gynoid).\textsuperscript{59} Consistent with this observation is that the measurement of neck circumference and waist circumference is better correlated with the severity of OSA than BMI.\textsuperscript{53} An imaging study, magnetic resonance imaging, confirmed that men also have more predominant pharyngeal fat and soft tissue compared with women.\textsuperscript{60}

In summary, it appears that there are important differential structural and functional upper airway differences between men and women, which place men at higher risk for OSA. However, women with OSA often have an atypical symptomatic presentation of OSA symptoms that warrant vigilant screening and recognition.

Obesity
OSA is highly prevalent in the obese and overweight population. Several cross-sectional studies revealed a monotonic relationship between OSA and weight, BMI, neck circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and other measures of body habitus.\textsuperscript{9–11,14,15,35} Furthermore, fluctuations in weight have been demonstrated to influence severity of OSA. In the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort, a 10% weight gain in subjects with no OSA (AHI < 5) was associated with a 6-fold increase in the odds of developing moderate to severe OSA (AHI ≥ 15) after consideration of relevant confounding factors, including age and gender.\textsuperscript{61} Also, a 10% weight gain was associated with an approximate 30% worsening in the degree of OSA, and alternatively, a 10% weight loss was associated with an approximate 30% improvement in the degree of OSA. Similar results were shown in the Sleep Heart Health Study, in which an increase in weight by 10 kg was observed to increase the odds of having an AHI (>15 events per hour) by 5.2-fold in men and 2.5-fold in women over a 5-year period.\textsuperscript{62}

These results indicate that women were less vulnerable to the effect of weight gain-related influence on OSA risk, and this could be explained by gender-dependent differences in fat distribution patterns given men tend to have more truncal obesity, and potentially also due to hormonal factors. The impact of weight on OSA appears to be influenced by age as well. In the Cleveland Family Study, the effect of adiposity measured as BMI on OSA was noted to diminish after the age of 60 years.\textsuperscript{61} Similar findings have been reported in the Sleep Heart Health Study in which OSA in individuals older than 70 years was weakly related to BMI and other measures of body habitus.\textsuperscript{35} The effect of weight loss on OSA has been studied in morbidly obese patients with moderate to severe OSA, who underwent bariatric surgery. Dramatic weight loss after surgery was associated with a similar reduction in the degree of OSA and nocturnal hypoxia improvement; however, the majority of these patients still had residual OSA requiring treatment, potentially attributable to persisting excess weight as the average postsurgical BMI was 37.7 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{64}

Genetics
Earlier reports, describing a high prevalence of OSA between family members, suggested that OSA has a familial component apart from the influence of obesity.\textsuperscript{65,66}
Several small family-based studies have focused on defining the genetic basis of OSA. In a case series, non-overweight relatives of patients with OSA were found to have more daytime sleepiness, snoring, apneas, and arousals compared with matched controls.67

In the Cleveland Family Study, which is the largest, assembled familial aggregation study investigating OSA, sleep apnea was identified to be more prevalent in relatives of index probands of OSA (21%) compared with neighborhood control subjects (12%).66 In another study, relatives of patients with OSA reported more sleepiness, tiredness, and fatigue.68 Data from twin studies showed that the concordance of snoring, a symptom of OSA, is higher among monozygotic twins than dizygotic.69

The heritability of OSA is partially explained by intermediate, genetically-determined risk factors. Obesity, which is a known risk factor of OSA, is partially under genetic control. A twin study showed that 77% of the variability of the BMI is explained by intrafamilial factors.70 Genome-wide association studies have revealed at least 15 genetic loci linked to obesity-related phenotypes.71 However, there is strong evidence that both OSA and obesity have both shared and unshared genetic determinants as demonstrated in the Cleveland Family study. Different loci linked to apnea have been identified with an intermediate logarithmic odds (LOD) score, a measure of the likelihood of 2 genetic loci being within a measurable distance of each other. After adjustment for BMI, the AHI linkage to one of the loci was markedly attenuated. On the other hand, a locus identified on chromosome 19p demonstrated an LOD of 1.45 after BMI adjustment suggesting that this locus could be of potential interest in complex, multifactorial disease.72

Other risk factors which predispose to OSA that are genetically determined include craniofacial morphologic characteristics and ventilatory control mechanisms. Craniofacial familial features have been identified to be a strong indicator of risk for the development of OSA.68 In addition, craniofacial abnormalities are parts of different genetic disorders in which OSA is highly prevalent, such as Down syndrome and Marfan’s syndrome.73 In animal studies, deficiencies in endothelin 1, retinoic acid receptor aZ, and transforming growth factor X2 have been associated with craniofacial abnormalities. These characteristics were also associated with increased respiratory failure suggesting the possible role of the upper airway and sleep apnea given that phenotypic craniofacial factors are a known risk.

Menopause
Different cross-sectional studies have identified menopause as a risk factor for OSA. A prevalence of OSA in postmenopausal women has been described as 2.7% compared with 0.6% in premenopausal women.10 Consistent with these results, another study estimated the odds of having AHI ≥ 15 in menopausal women by 3.49 compared with 1.07 in premenopausal women after controlling for potential confounders, including age and BMI.77 The effects of hormone replacement therapy in postmenopausal women with OSA in the Sleep Heart Health Study revealed an inverse relationship between OSA severity and hormone replacement therapy was such that in multivariable adjusted models, the odds of having OSA in those taking hormone replacement therapy was ~55% lower than those not taking therapy.78

Different mechanisms have been postulated to explain the associative relationship between OSA and the hypoestrogenic state in menopausal women. Menopause-related change in body fat distribution, such as predominant central obesity and increased adiposity around the upper airway, increases the risk for upper airway collapse.59,79 Also, the tone of the muscles such as the geniglossus, a primary pharyngeal dilator, is lower during wakefulness in postmenopausal women compared with premenopausal.80 In addition, estrogen and progesterin have a role in regulating the ventilatory drive, which may cause imbalance of forces in favor of increased collapsibility of the upper airway. Finally, low estrogen and progesterin levels in menopause may lead to dyssynchrony of the inspiratory muscles and pharyngeal muscles that may result in upper airway obstruction.81

Ethnicity
The current cross-sectional data evaluating the prevalence of OSA in different ethnic groups have shown compatible estimates. Earlier population-based studies that included predominantly Caucasians, however, showed no difference in OSA prevalence between Caucasians and African Americans.35 However, African Americans have been observed to have more severe OSA relative to Caucasians in groups younger than 25 years and older than 65 years.36,82 One study demonstrated that approximately 50% of this OSA risk was attributable to neighborhood disadvantage,83 after adjusting for common OSA risk factors including obesity. The authors postulate that this association between OSA and neighborhood-level conditions may be explained by the environmental and social stressors that are often encountered in low socioeconomic neighborhoods.84 Race-based differences in chemoreceptor
and baroreceptor responses also may predispose African Americans to development of OSA.85

Despite similar prevalence rate between different ethnic groups, there were some noteworthy findings; Asian population have lower BMI compared with western populations, yet they have the same prevalence rate of OSA. Furthermore, Asians tend to have more severe OSA than Caucasians after adjustment for other risk factors.86,87 In an elderly US cohort of men, the highest prevalence of OSA was among the Asian-American sample, who, after considering the effects of obesity, had a 2-fold higher OSA prevalence compared with Caucasians.45 As this increased risk in Asians was noted in a US-based Asian sample, these findings support an intrinsic ethnic/genetic susceptibility of OSA risk rather than environmental influence. Data describing OSA in Hispanics are scant. An earlier report from the Sleep Heart Health Study showed that frequent snoring is more common in Hispanics compared with their counterparts after adjusting for BMI.63 Recently, a cross-sectional study conducted in South America in 4 Latin communities, 1.9%–6.4% of the population reported daytime sleepiness, snoring, and witnessed apnea. In a subset of the study population, unattended, simplified respiratory polygraphy was performed demonstrating an OSA prevalence of 10%.88 Variation in OSA ethnicity-based risk may be a result of genetic, environmental, and cultural factors. Also, compared with Caucasians, many minority groups have lower socioeconomic status89 and subsequently higher prevalence of obesity, less health care access, and lower level of health awareness; all of which represent risk factors for OSA. Finally, most epidemiological studies identify ethnicity based on self-report, which is subject to some degree of error, as racial admixture is often not completely taken into account.

Nasal obstruction

Nasal passages represent the gateway of ambient air to the body. Nasal obstruction causes limitation in airflow, an effect that is more pronounced during sleep and that can exacerbate apneas and nocturnal desaturation associated with OSA. Different mechanical factors can cause nasal obstruction including anatomical anomalies, like septal deviation, and inflammatory disease causing mucosal edema, namely rhinitis.

Several reports have examined the relationship between the nose and OSA. Studies with small sample sizes have evaluated the response of intermittent experimental nasal occlusion in healthy subjects. All subjects demonstrated frequent apneas, frequent arousals, and changes in sleep architecture.90–92 Clinical studies investigating the relationship of OSA and nasal obstruction, expressed as nasal resistance measured by rhinometry, have shown inconsistent results. Some studies showed that increased nasal resistance is not a determinant of OSA severity93–95 and treatment of nasal obstruction did not result in substantive changes in severity measures of OSA.96 On the other hand, in a study measuring nasal resistance in more than 500 individuals with symptoms of OSA before performing PSG, daytime nasal obstruction was identified as an independent risk factor for OSA and contributed to 2.3% of the variance.97 In a larger population-based community study, self-reported nasal congestion was identified as an independent risk factor for habitual snoring, including snoring without frank apneas.98

In addition, addressing nasal congestion symptoms is certainly an important component to the approach to enhancing likelihood of positive airway pressure (PAP) adherence. Approaches often involve the use of heated humidification, nasal saline, nasal corticosteroids, and antihistamines. Isolated treatment of increasing nasal passage patency as a treatment for OSA are unlikely to be effective as therapies, such as a nasal strips aimed to increase the caliber of the nasal passages, have failed to demonstrate substantive improvement in OSA degree.99

Craniofacial anatomy

Different craniofacial characteristics have been associated with the development of OSA by causing narrowing of the upper airway and increased upper airway collapsibility including inferiorly positioned hyoid bone, posterior placement of maxilla and mandible, enlarged tongue and soft palate, and smaller velopharyngeal cross-sectional area.100–105 Race-based differences in craniofacial features likely confer varied reasons for increased OSA risk. For example, in the Cleveland Family Study, brachycephaly, decreased middle cranial fossa, and intermaxillary length were associated with increased OSA risk in Caucasians while increased tongue area and soft palate length were more of an OSA risk in African Americans.82 Also, Hispanic patients with OSA have lower maxillary and mandibular positioning.106 Clinical craniofacial morphologic features that are OSA risk factors include micrognathia, retrognathia, or crossbite.

Smoking

Smoking causes difficulty initiating sleep, sleep fragmentation, and daytime sleepiness.107,108 Data are inconsistent as far as identifying smoking as a risk factor for OSA. In the Wisconsin
Sleep Cohort Study, a higher risk of snoring and OSA was noted in smokers compared with never-smokers or former smokers with an odds ratio of 2.29 and 4.44, respectively. This finding was confirmed by another study reporting that current smokers were 2.5 times more likely to have OSA than former nonsmokers combined and 2.8 times more likely to have OSA than former smokers alone. This effect is noticeable on passive smokers who have a high risk for habitual snoring. Several hypotheses have been proposed about the mechanism(s) by which smoking can increase OSA risk. The inflammatory effect of smoking on airway and the changes in lung volumes may predispose to increase upper airway collapsibility, and the effect of nicotine on sleep stability and ventilatory drive may also play a role. Whether smoking is a true risk factor for OSA or a public health problem that is prevalent in a population that is at high risk for OSA remains unclear.

**Alcohol**

Alcohol causes decreased sleep latency and, in larger quantity, increase the occurrence of slow wave sleep. Short-term effects of alcohol on OSA were studied in healthy subjects and in subjects with OSA after introducing a certain amount of alcohol or placebo, and the findings of those studies showed that alcohol resulted in increased frequency of apnea, longer apneas, and more frequent hypoxic episodes. However, 2 other studies failed to replicate those results. The long-term effects of alcohol on sleep were studied in the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study that showed an increase in usual alcohol consumption in men which was associated with increased risk of mild or worse OSA. The results of this study were consistent with other cross-sectional studies in different populations.

Alcohol likely exacerbates OSA via different mechanisms including selective reduction of genioglossal muscle activity, decreased ventilatory responses to hypercapnia and hypoxia, increased upper airway resistance, and increased tendency of an unstable upper airway to collapse.

**Pathophysiology of OSA**

Breathing is a function of centrally located breathing centers that control respiratory muscles to allow airflow through the airways to ensure gas exchange in the lungs. Any dysfunction at the level of the respiratory centers (unstable ventilation), upper airway (obstruction), or combination of both can lead to abnormal breathing patterns more prominent during sleep, causing derangements in gas exchange and frequent arousals.

**Upper airway patency**

The upper airway in humans is a collapsible tube with a predominance of soft tissue and little bony or rigid support. In the normal human, the upper airway is patent during wakefulness and sleep as the net forces tend to keep the upper airway open and requires at least −5 cm H₂O to collapse under passive conditions. However, this is not the case in obese subjects in which case during sleep, the airway pressure required to collapse the airway, ie, critical closing pressure, is close to atmospheric pressure and even positive. The airway is most at risk for complete collapse at the end of expiration where tissue pressure is higher than intraluminal pressure. Anatomical factors also increase OSA risk as occurs in obese individuals who have increased parapharyngeal fat. Certain craniofacial features such as retrognathia may be associated with increased risk of OSA due to a smaller caliber and more crowded upper airway.

Individual posture may influence upper airway size as the supine position is associated with prolapse of the tongue and palatal structure posteriorly, and that explains the reason why OSA is typically worse in the supine position. Other forces counteract factors that tend to collapse upper airway including activation of the pharyngeal muscles. More than 20 pharyngeal muscles act in a complex and coordinated manner to maintain upper airway patency. The most extensively studied is the genioglossus muscle that has 3 main neuronal controls: (1) a reflex-mediated activation of the genioglossus through laryngeal mechanoreceptors in response to negative luminal pressure, (2) respiratory neurons in the medulla, which activate the genioglossus muscle 50–100 ms earlier than the diaphragm to maintain a patent airway just before inspiration, and (3) the hypoglossal motor neuron that has a constant excitatory input during a wake state from upper airway serotonergic and adrenergic neurons.

Finally, lung volume affects upper airway patency, ie, as the lungs are connected to the upper airway, it applies caudal traction that stiffens pharyngeal walls and makes it less likely for the upper airway to collapse. Any decrease in lung volumes such as in obesity and change in posture from upright to supine result in less tension on the pharyngeal walls and a more collapsible airway.

**Ventilatory control**

Central respiratory centers in the brain stem tightly regulate oxygen and carbon dioxide levels in the blood via many feedback loops that involve different chemoreceptors and mechanoreceptors, resulting in changes in the pattern and depth of ventilation to maintain blood gases within narrow
limits. Such a complex system can become unstable. Instability of the system is best explained by the loop gain principal, which is an engineering concept. Loop gain is the ratio of a corrective response (ventilation) to the disturbance itself. A high-gain system responds quickly and vigorously to a disturbance, whereas a low-gain system responds slowly and weakly. The 2 primary variables influencing loop gain are known as controller gain and plant gain, and both are important in ventilatory stability. The controller gain represents chemoresponsiveness or the hypoxic and hypercapnic ventilatory responses. A high controller gain is, therefore, generally due to rapid hypercapnic responsiveness. Plant gain reflects the effectiveness of a given level of ventilation to eliminate CO₂. High loop gain destabilizes ventilation in wake and sleep states, although it is less obvious during awake because breathing patterns during wakefulness are highly influenced by behaviors, such as talking and eating. It is believed that high loop gain plays a role in the pathophysiology of OSA in which breathing centers respond quickly and vigorously (high controller) to minor changes in CO₂, which results in a drop in CO₂ below the apneic threshold, resulting in pauses in breathing leading to CO₂ retention and so forth.

**Pathogenesis of OSA**

Patients with OSA have smaller and more collapsible airways than normal. In the awake state, the airway is patent because of pharyngeal dilator muscle activation. However, in the sleep state, the activity of those muscles is markedly diminished and lung volume decreases in the supine position causing substantial restriction in airflow resulting in hypopnea or apnea. This mechanical load and CO₂ retention activate the pharyngeal muscles in order to restore upper airway patency. Recruitment of pharyngeal dilator muscles can be achieved and upper airway patency is restored without a cortical arousal in some individuals, whereas in others, the arousal is required for effective muscle recruitment; this phenomenon has been described as compensatory effectiveness.

Ventilatory instability plays a role in OSA as supported by work describing that loop gain is higher in patient with severe OSA during non-REM sleep than those with mild OSA. Indeed, after each apnea, patients with OSA hyperventilate, CO₂ drops to the apneic threshold, expiratory time becomes prolonged, and the upper airway may collapse again. Moreover, several reports have described decreased responsiveness of the pharyngeal muscles to negative upper airway pressure due to damage to the sensory nerves in the upper airway or to the muscle itself. This damage may be due to inflammation caused by vibration, snoring, or trauma. Data also support the notion of ventilatory variability at sleep–wake transitions as a predictor of OSA severity. In addition, humoral and inflammatory mediators released from the visceral adipose tissue may play a role in ventilatory regulation. The most studied is leptin, which binds to its receptors in the hypothalamus, causing reduced satiety and increased ventilation. Leptin stimulates respiratory drive, as shown in leptin-deficient or resistant mice that exhibited features of central hypoventilation and obesity.

Overall, there are a variety of ventilatory control mechanisms that may predispose to an unstable upper airway, including alterations in loop gain, impairments in upper airway motor and neural control, and responsiveness, as well as humoral mechanisms.

**OSA and adverse clinical outcomes**

OSA, likely via mechanisms of intermittent hypoxia, sympathetic nervous system activation, and alterations in intrathoracic pressures, has been associated with metabolic dysregulation and cardioavascular sequelae, such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, congestive heart failure, and stroke.

**Inflammation, endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis**

Repetitive episodes of oxygen desaturation and reoxygenation, which is a hallmark feature of OSA, may represent a risk for increased oxidative stress. Increase in oxygen free radical production results in lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, DNA damage, and alteration in cellular hemostasis, leading to upregulation of certain proinflammatory genes controlled by nuclear factor xB. Indeed, compared to healthy control, patients with OSA have elevated tumor necrosis factor α, interleukin 6, interleukin 8, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, and cellular adhesion molecules including intercellular adhesion molecule 1, L-selectin, and vascular adhesion molecule 1. This combination of an enhanced systemic inflammatory state along with increased oxidative stress and elevated sympathetic nervous system activation may result in endothelial dysfunction and increased arterial stiffness factors that play a central role in the initiation and acceleration of atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis has been identified by recent research as a consequence of an augmented inflammatory state causing endothelial injury that progresses to atheroma formation. Furthermore, treatment of OSA with PAP is
associated with a reduction in inflammatory markers levels\textsuperscript{171,180} and improvement in endothelial function measured by pulse wave velocity (ascertained by arterial applanation tonometry) and endothelial-dependent vasodilation\textsuperscript{177,181}.

**Hypertension**

The primary mechanism considered to link OSA to the development of hypertension is sympathetic nervous system overactivity. It has been postulated that intermittent hypoxia and negative intrathoracic pressure lead to chemoreceptor activation and increased sympathetic outflow, and subsequently, endothelial dysfunction that predisposes to increased arterial stiffness and this in turn leads to development of hypertension. This theory was examined in animals when intermittent hypoxia caused by repetitive airway obstruction caused transient elevation blood pressure, and this effect lasted after exposure.\textsuperscript{182,183} Those findings were substantiated by studies conducted on humans. Using plasma and urinary catecholamines as a method of measuring sympathetic activity, it was shown that urinary catecholamine in morbidly obese hypertensive patients with OSA was higher compared with normotensive, obese individuals without OSA.\textsuperscript{184}

Cross-sectional data from the Pennsylvania cohort and the Sleep Heart Health Study showed a high prevalence of hypertension in participants with OSA.\textsuperscript{190,191} A causal association of OSA and hypertension was supported by longitudinal data from the Wisconsin Cohort Study demonstrating a dose–response relationship between the severity of OSA measured by AHI and incident hypertension with a 2.89 increased odds of developing hypertension in subjects with AHI $\geq$ 15 during a 4-year follow-up period.\textsuperscript{192} Recent findings from the Sleep Heart Health Study also demonstrated a significant longitudinal relationship between OSA and the development of hypertension among individuals who were normotensive at baseline examination; however, these relationships were attenuated to borderline significance after taking into account obesity.\textsuperscript{193}

There are several factors that may account for the difference between the results of the Wisconsin Cohort Study and the Sleep Heart Health Study, including the different definitions used in the assessment of the AHI as a marker of OSA, differences in the ascertainment of blood pressure measurement (ie, measuring blood pressure at home vs clinic), and using different cutpoints in defining hypertension (140/90 vs 135/85). The estimated effect in the Sleep Heart Health Study, however, was similar to the cross-sectional findings, and the reduction in power due to restricting the sample to those who were normotensive at baseline may have accounted for these marginal findings. The strength of the OSA-hypertension association has also been noted to decreases with age, which may be secondary to competing risk factors or survivorship bias.\textsuperscript{190,194}

Several interventional trials have investigated the relationship between hypertension and elimination of apneas by PAP. Several of these studies have demonstrated that PAP has a blood pressure lowering effect\textsuperscript{195–200} whereas others did not.\textsuperscript{201,202} Inconsistency in the results of those trials can be explained by different methodology, enrolling individuals who are normotensive at baseline, treatment for short duration, or the differential interindividual responsiveness to treatment. Of note, OSA has been clearly recognized as a secondary cause of hypertension in the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure.\textsuperscript{203}

**Coronary artery disease**

It has been shown that OSA is associated with activation of thrombotic pathways and leads to a state of enhanced inflammation that serves as intermediate mechanisms leading to cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{204} Furthermore, repetitive episodes of hypoxia, intrathoracic pressure swings, and increased afterload create a state of demand-supply mismatch causing cardiac ischemia as demonstrated electrocardiographically by ST depression, accompanying episodes of hypoxia and apnea.\textsuperscript{205–207} There is a linear relationship between AHI and coronary artery calcification score after taking into account potential confounding cardiovascular factors.\textsuperscript{208} A positive association between AHI and coronary atherosclerotic plaque volume measured by intravascular ultrasound has also been noted.\textsuperscript{209} These findings are further supported by several small case-control studies, which showed increased OSA in individuals with documented coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{5,210–213} The prevalence of OSA in the setting of acute coronary syndrome has been reported to be quite high. Specifically, of 104 patients admitted with acute coronary syndrome, 66.4% had mild to moderate OSA (AHI $\geq$ 10) and 26.0% had moderate to severe OSA (AHI $\geq$ 30), with the predominant apnea pattern being obstructive: 72.1%.\textsuperscript{214}

Furthermore, elimination of apnea and hypoxia with PAP is associated with reduction in all-cause mortality and cardiovascular-specific mortality.\textsuperscript{211,215–218} It has also
been reported that patients with untreated severe OSA had a higher incidence of fatal cardiovascular events (1.06 per 100 person-years) and nonfatal cardiovascular events (2.13 per 100 person-years) than that of patients treated with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) (0.35, \( P = 0.0008 \) and 0.64, \( P = 0.0001 \)).^{215}

Stroke
Several case–control studies have demonstrated an increased prevalence of OSA in individuals with cerebrovascular disease.\(^{219,223}\) OSA as a preexisting condition or a risk factor for stroke has been investigated in several longitudinal studies. In a population-based study, 1,475 subjects with OSA followed for an average of 4 years, an increased odds of developing stroke in subjects with AHI (odds \( = 20 \)) compared with controls (OR \( = 4.48; P = 0.02 \)) was reported.\(^{224}\) In a cohort derived from a sleep referral center, a significant increased risk of stroke, transient ischemic attack, or death from any cause in OSA compared with controls (Hazard ratio \( = 1.97; P = 0.01 \)) after adjusting for confounding factors including atrial fibrillation was noted. There is also a trend of increased risk of cerebrovascular disease and severity of OSA.\(^{225}\)

These results are consistent with findings of OSA and stroke in other observational studies.\(^{5,226,227}\) Studies assessing the effect of OSA treatment on the incidence of stroke are limited. Less vascular problems were observed, including stroke, in subjects with OSA treated by weight loss or tracheostomy compared with no treatment.\(^{228,229}\) If left untreated, OSA was associated with poor outcomes in stroke patients.\(^{230,231}\) Worse functional impairments and a longer period of hospitalization and rehabilitation have been observed in stroke patients with OSA.\(^{230}\) Moreover, poststroke patients with AHI of 15 or greater have been shown to have a higher risk of death.\(^{231}\) Recent findings from The Sleep Heart Health Study cohort have shown an increased risk of stroke in men with mild to moderate OSA, i.e. 6\% increased stroke risk for each unit increase in AHI (95\% CI: 0.02–0.10).\(^{232}\)

Congestive heart failure
There is likely a bidirectional relationship between congestive heart failure and OSA. Heart failure may contribute to OSA development by accumulation of fluid or edema of the upper airway, thereby enhancing collapsibility. Sleep-related reductions in pulmonary function residual capacity may contribute to periodic breathing by reducing oxygen stores.\(^{146,233,234}\) Obstructive apneas and hypopneas can occur during naps of neuromuscular output when the relative innervations of the upper airway muscles are insufficient to maintain airway patency.

Conversely, OSA may adversely affect cardiac function through mechanisms, including adverse effects of chronic hypertension, nondipping blood pressure, atherosclerosis and ischemic injury, hypoxemia-related and catecholamine-related myocyte injury, and cardiac remodeling. Of 450 patients with a mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 27\%, 38\% were observed to have OSA and 72\% central sleep apnea,\(^{235}\) which is much higher than the reported 5\%–10\% prevalence of OSA in the healthy middle-aged population.\(^{9}\) Another study of 81 patients with systolic dysfunction found a high prevalence of central sleep apnea, occurring in 40\% of the sample with another 11\% with OSA.\(^{236}\) Cross-sectional results from the Sleep Heart Health Study demonstrated that modest levels of OSA (ie, AHI \( \geq 11 \)) were associated with a 2.38 higher odds of self-reported heart failure, independent of other risk factors.\(^{5}\) Prospective data from the Sleep Heart Health Study have reported that middle-aged men with an AHI \( \geq 30 \) had an approximately 60\% increased 8-year incidence of heart failure compared with men with an AHI < 5 (Gottlieb, unpublished data).

Cardiac arrhythmias
There is biologic plausibility that OSA-associated hypoxia, fluctuating intrathoracic pressure, and imbalance in autonomic nervous system function may predispose to cardiac arrhythmogenesis.\(^{237,239}\) In the Sleep Heart Health Study cohort, an increased prevalence of complex ventricular ectopy and atrial fibrillation in patients with an AHI \( \geq 30 \) was observed.\(^{240}\) A causal association between sleep apnea and arrhythmias is supported by the findings from a case–cross over study performed in the Sleep Heart Health Study, which examined the temporal distributions of arrhythmias in association with the occurrence of apneas and hypopneas on PSG, demonstrating that the occurrence of discrete paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or nonsustained ventricular tachycardia was 17-fold higher in 90 seconds immediately following an apnea or hypopnea compared with a time period following nonobstructed breathing.\(^{241}\) In a multicenter cohort of older community-dwelling males, there was a dose-response relationship between the severity of sleep apnea and the prevalence of arrhythmias in elderly men. In this study, complex ventricular ectopy was associated most strongly with OSA and hypoxia, whereas atrial fibrillation was most strongly associated with central sleep apnea.\(^{242}\)

Untreated sleep apnea has been identified as a risk factor of recurrent atrial fibrillation after treatment by
cardiofibrillation. Furthermore, the future development of atrial fibrillation in individuals with sleep apnea was retrospectively investigated; nocturnal hypoxia was identified as a future predictor of arrhythmia development.

**Metabolic consequences**

Several plausible biological mechanisms have been investigated linking OSA and insulin resistance/diabetes mellitus. Intermittent hypoxia associated with increased sympathetic activity directly can alter glucose metabolism or indirectly increase other counter-regulatory hormones like cortisol and, possibly, growth hormone that increases insulin resistance. Moreover, increased oxidative stress associated with intermittent hypoxia generates oxygen free radicals and produces a state of inflammatory response that has been shown to increase insulin resistance. Both OSA and insulin resistance are in large part a product of the worldwide epidemic of obesity. However, it is unclear whether increased insulin resistance in patients with OSA occurs through pathways of oxidative stress or, alternatively, through a state of increased systemic inflammatory response. A number of reports have investigated the complex relationship between OSA and insulin resistance. Using various methods to measure insulin resistance, several population-based, cross-sectional studies reported an independent association between insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and diabetes with markers of OSA severity, namely AHI and hypoxia. These findings were supported by several smaller clinic-based studies.

However, longitudinal data confirming this relationship is sparse. Using snoring as surrogate for OSA, habitual snoring was identified as an independent risk factor for the incidence of self-reported diabetes. Similarly, a 2-fold increased risk of laboratory-confirmed diabetes was noted in relation to regular snoring.

When assessing OSA via PSG, disparate results have been described. Longitudinally, moderate to severe OSA was a significant independent risk factor for incident diabetes in an Australian cohort (fully adjusted OR = 13.45; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.59–114.11) with CIs that were notably wide, suggesting lack of measurement precision. Alternatively, an association between OSA and future development of diabetes after a 4-year follow-up period was not found in the Wisconsin Cohort Study. Specifically, the odds ratio for developing diabetes mellitus within 4 years in those with an AHI of 15 or more compared with those with an AHI < 5 was not statistically significant when adjusting for age, sex, and body habitus. The discrepancy in these results may be explained by short follow-up periods, small sample sizes, or inconsistencies in measuring markers of insulin resistance, obesity, and OSA. In one study, improvement of insulin sensitivity in patients with OSA after 2 days of treatment remained stable for 3 months, an effect that was more pronounced in individuals with a BMI less than 30 kg/m². These findings were countered by one randomized study. After 3 months of treatment, no difference was noted in insulin sensitivity between intervention and placebo group.

A series of other studies with a host of limitations, including small sample sizes, etc, have also not identified an improvement in insulin resistance with OSA treatment. Results from a randomized, crossover, controlled trial did not provide evidence, that impaired glucose tolerance normalizes after 2 months of therapeutic CPAP treatment. However, insulin resistance was measured by the oral glucose tolerance test, and insulin glucose product improved in those with severe sleep apnea, suggesting beneficial metabolic effects of CPAP in those with severe disease.

Another metabolic derangement associated with OSA is dyslipidemia. Mice models of chronic intermittent hypoxia, a hallmark feature of OSA, showed increase in triglyceride and cholesterol biosynthesis and secretion through upregulation of certain liver enzymes that increase availability of fatty acids and lipoprotein secretion. Similar results were seen in patients with OSA with increased plasma triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein noted to correlate with nocturnal hypoxia. Furthermore, treatment of OSA led to a reduction in total cholesterol in adults and pediatric populations. In one study, however, abnormalities in the lipid profile were noted to be attributable to obesity more than OSA, and treatment of OSA by PAP had no effect on total cholesterol and triglyceride levels.

**Cognitive function and motor vehicle accidents**

Patients with OSA are often unaware of their nocturnal symptoms. However, daytime symptoms have a great impact on cognitive function and quality of life. Cognitive function is commonly classified in clinical and research studies into three broad categories: attention, memory and learning, and executive performance. Patients with OSA commonly experience difficulties in working, memory problems, and concentration, and these symptoms have been best correlated with a degree of hypoxia at night. On the other hand, treatment of OSA is linearly associated with improvement of cognitive dysfunction, and patients with severe disease tend to benefit the most.
As high vigilance is required for safe driving, it is no surprise that patients with OSA are at higher risk for motor vehicle accidents. Indeed, patients with AHI \( > 15 \) were significantly more likely to have multiple accidents in 5 years (OR = 7.3).\(^{289}\) Improvement in cognitive and motor function with treatment of OSA is associated with a reduction in collision rate.\(^{3,290,291}\)

### Quality of life

Patients with OSA often report poor quality of life\(^{292,293}\) and poor general health status.\(^{294}\) Different factors can contribute to this perception: excessive daytime sleepiness,\(^{295}\) insomnia, social dysfunction, impaired work performance,\(^{296}\) and higher prevalence of psychiatric problems such as depression.\(^{297}\)

To evaluate the degree of impairment in quality of life, different methods have been used in clinical practice and research, which are divided into generic instruments that allow comparison between populations or studies, eg, the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36,\(^{298}\) and alternatively, specific instruments that are designed to detect changes among patients with specific disorders, eg, Calgary sleep apnea quality of life index.\(^{299}\) Both of these methods have been used to quantify the degree of impairment and the improvement of quality of life after treatment of OSA.\(^{300}\)

Several clinical trials showed improvement of quality of life with consistent usage of PAP after variable periods of follow-up from 2 weeks to 4 months. Those studies showed that the degree of improvement depends mainly on the degree of impairment at baseline.\(^{296,301–306}\)

### Diagnosis of OSA

The diagnosis of OSA is based upon clinical presentation and physical findings suggestive of the disease in conjunction with objective data obtained from sleep study monitoring. OSA is a highly prevalent disease and, if left untreated, may result in considerable social, economic, and health sequelae. Bearing this in mind, early recognition by the health care provider is of paramount importance.

### Polysomnography

PSG is the gold-standard method to diagnose OSA and provides a method to determine the PAP level required for treatment. During PSG, detailed information is obtained by using electroencephalogram, electromyogram, electro-oculogram, electrocardiogram, snore microphone, body position and leg movement, oronasal airflow, chest wall effort, and oxyhemoglobin saturation, as well as video recording.\(^{307}\) Full sleep study monitoring is performed during usual sleep hours with 6 hours of recording optimally needed to establish the diagnosis. Usually, the patient returns to the laboratory for a follow-up sleep study to establish adequate pressure required to eliminate respiratory events. In order to enhance efficiency, split night studies may be performed, which involves an initial portion of sleep recording required to establish the diagnosis followed by PAP titration. Split night studies have inherent drawbacks due to short recording time for the diagnostic portion, representing a less than ideal diagnostic method for those patients with a low pretest probability (as longer sleep time are often needed to establish the diagnosis), and given that the therapeutic part of the split night study may not provide sufficient time to establish the optimal therapeutic PAP setting.

Many factors can affect the accuracy of PSG in ascertaining the diagnosis of OSA. PSG is a highly specific and sensitive test for patients with a high pretest probability. However, this is not the case for patients with low-test probability of OSA. Considering an AHI cutoff of 15 results in a false negative rate of approximately 20%.\(^{308–311}\) Factors that may result in night-to-night variability are body position and use of drugs that affect the percentage of REM sleep (ie, apnea tend to occur in supine position and in REM sleep).\(^{312,313}\)

### Home portable monitoring

With an increasing number of patients who are referred for the evaluation for OSA and by following current guidelines for the diagnosis of OSA, it has been estimated that 600 sleep studies per 100,000 individuals per year would be required.\(^{314}\) This has placed great pressure on the limited number of sleep laboratories in the country and may contribute to delay in OSA diagnosis and treatment. For this reason, portable home sleep monitoring emerged as a potential alternative for the diagnosis of OSA.

Per the American Academy of Sleep Medicine guidelines, there are different levels of sleep monitoring with levels II–IV categorized as unattended monitoring involving a minimum of 7 channels (Level II), 4 channels (Level III), or 1 channel (Level IV).\(^{315}\) Although portable sleep monitoring is less costly and more convenient for the patient, there are several disadvantages. Absence of direct supervision by a sleep technician increases the likelihood of data loss or obtaining poor quality or uninterruptable sleep studies with some reports of equipment failure or data loss between 8%–16%.\(^{316,317}\)

In the Sleep Heart Health Study, \( \sim 60 \) participants underwent both unattended portable monitoring and in-laboratory monitoring within 2 weeks of one another. The intraclass correlation coefficients of the respiratory variables demonstrated good reliability with values of \( \sim 0.7–0.8.\)\(^{316}\) In another study,
portable sleep monitoring and PSG were noted to have the best performance in patients with AHI < 5 or AHI > 30. Data from a randomized controlled trial comparing PSG and portable sleep monitoring showed that outcomes of quality of life and sleepiness were similar in the 2 groups.

On the basis of current literature, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine released clinical guidelines regarding the use of portable sleep monitoring. In laboratory, PSG remains the gold-standard method for OSA diagnosis; however, portable sleep monitoring is a diagnostic option in patients with high pretest probability of moderate to severe OSA without significant comorbidity or concern for concomitant sleep disorders. Portable sleep monitoring should not be used for PSG screening, diagnosing other sleep disorders, or in the absence of a comprehensive sleep evaluation by a board certified or board eligible sleep professional.

Treatment of OSA
Treatment of OSA is focused on reversal of underlying pathology. PAP has been used to act as pneumatic splint in order to keep pharyngeal pressures above pharyngeal critical pressure and, hence, prevent recurrent airway obstruction. However, certain subgroups of patients with craniofacial abnormalities as a primary OSA risk factor may benefit from upper airway surgical intervention or oral appliance to restore normal upper airway anatomy. Furthermore, patient counseling about sleep posture (ie, discouraging sleep in the supine position), sleep hygiene (maintaining regular sleep–wake times and discouraging the use of sedatives, hypnotics, and alcohol particularly given their ability to reduce upper airway muscle tone and worsen OSA), and weight loss is an integral part of the management of OSA.

Positive airway pressure
PAP was first introduced as a treatment of OSA in 1983. Since then, and with advances in technology, different ways in delivering PAP have evolved with a large number of clinical trials investigating the efficacy in improving different clinical outcomes. Continuous PAP, known as CPAP, is commonly used to treat OSA by delivering a constant pressure throughout inspiration and expiration to maintain upper airway patency during sleep. It consists of a flow generator that delivers airflow at a constant pressure to the patient through a mask via a tubing system. CPAP was evaluated in clinical trials and was compared to sham CPAP, pills, or no treatment, using parallel or crossover designs. The results were in favor of CPAP comparatively reducing AHI and improving daytime sleepiness assessed subjectively by Epworth sleeping scale\(^{96,286,305,321–335}\) and objectively by using multiple sleep latency testing\(^{286,305,322–324,326,328,332}\). Although the effect of CPAP on other outcomes is less established, several reports noted improvement in sleep quality (increased stages 3 and 4)\(^{333}\) and quality of life.\(^{328,332,334,335}\)

The effect of treatment of OSA on cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, cognitive function, and road traffic accidents has already been discussed earlier in this review. However, most of these studies enrolled patients with moderate to severe OSA; therefore, whether these outcomes are improved in milder degrees of OSA is not as substantiated. In one study, a beneficial effect of CPAP over conservative therapy in individuals with mild OSA in terms of mood, energy level, and general health, as assessed by the Medical Outcome Survey Short Form-36, was observed, and it was most evident among individuals with hypertension or diabetes.\(^{336}\) In another study, those with an AHI in the range 5–10 noted an improvement in cognitive function, psychological well-being, and quality of life with CPAP use.\(^{286}\)

Another mode of PAP is bi-level PAP. Bi-level PAP delivers 2 different set pressures: one during inspiration and a different lower pressure during expiration, called inspiratory PAP (IPAP) and expiratory PAP (EPAP), respectively. It has the same indication as CPAP; however, bi-level PAP allows exhalation at a lower pressure, which theoretically makes it more tolerable than CPAP, especially if pressure needs are high.\(^{337}\) Another advantage of bi-level PAP is the pressure differential increase in ventilation via pressure support, which may be important in patients with concomitant hypoventilation, lung restriction, or increased dead space.

Auto-PAP allows unattended in-home titration of PAP by adjusting the pressure to the minimum required. Compared to CPAP, auto-PAP is similar in ability to eliminate respiratory events and to improve subjective sleepiness.\(^{338}\) Adaptive servoventilation (ASV) is one of the new versions of PAP that may be helpful in the treatment of complex sleep apnea (treatment-emergent central sleep apnea) and periodic breathing.\(^{339,340}\) It essentially delivers a constant EPAP, but the inspiratory pressure is autoadjustable to meet a certain percentage of the recent average ventilation or flow depending upon the machine type. The difference between the IPAP and EPAP, in essence, fluctuates to create a tidal volume that is 90% of the average sensed ventilation. ASV has a backup rate that is activated by central apnea. PAP is a chronic treatment for a long-lasting disease. Several factors play a role in the patient’s compliance that is conventionally defined as regular use of the device for more than 70% of nights for more than 4 hours each night.\(^{341,342}\)
studies have estimated the PAP discontinuation rate between 5% and 50%, and most of these cases occurred during the first 3 months of use. Factors that predict long-term adherence to therapy are early adherence to therapy, increased OSA severity, and excessive daytime sleepiness. The majority of patients discontinue treatment due to side effects that commonly relate to mask interface problems, such as air leak, skin breakdown, claustrophobia, and mouth dryness. These side effects can be addressed by changing the mask type accordingly (nasal mask vs nasal pillows vs full face-mask) and by ensuring proper mask fit. Other complications are related to nasal symptoms, such as congestion, dryness, and epistaxis. Symptomatic relief can be achieved by using heated humidification and nasal saline solution. Pressure intolerance can also sometimes negatively impact patient compliance with CPAP. In these situations, the ramp feature can be used, which gradually increases the pressure from a lower setting to the goal pressure over a specified period of time to allow acclimatization. Other methods to address pressure intolerance include use of expiratory pressure relief or bi-level PAP.

Oral appliances

As PAP has emerged as an effective treatment of OSA, oral appliances have been identified as an alternative treatment modality. Per the American Academy of Sleep Medicine guidelines, oral appliances are indicated for treatment of mild and/or positional OSA. Oral appliances are broadly divided into devices that protrude the mandible forward known as mandibular advancement devices and tongue-retaining devices. The goal of these devices is to maintain upper airway patency during sleep by changing upper airway geometry and by increasing the pharyngeal occlusion pressure. Most clinical trials have used mandibular advancement devices as a prototype of oral appliances. Relative contraindications for the use of oral appliances include temporomandibular joint disease. Potential side effects include myofascial pain, excessive salivation, temporomandibular joint pain, tooth pain, dry mouth, and gum irritation. Studies comparing PAP to oral appliances showed significant differences in favor of PAP. PAP was more efficacious in improving AHI compared to oral appliances. However, the difference in symptomatic improvement was less clear.

Surgery

Surgical treatment of OSA aims to increase cross-sectional area of the upper airway by removal of redundant tissue, and hence eliminating the obstructive episodes during sleep. Different procedures have been described including uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), which was first introduced in 1981, and consists of removal of the tonsils, uvula, and part of the soft palate. Overall, UPPP effectively addresses OSA in only 50% of cases treated with this modality. Potential complications of this procedure include velopharyngeal insufficiency and postoperative pain. With advances in technology, various other techniques have been used, such as multilevel, temperature-controlled, radiofrequency tissue ablation, laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty, and maxillomandibular osteotomy. Compared with no treatment, no difference was noted in daytime sleepiness and quality of life in patients who underwent laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty. Similar results were reported using temperature-controlled, radiofrequency tissue ablation or radiofrequency surgery of soft palate. Nevertheless, certain patients with OSA might benefit from surgery. Using anatomical staging system to stratify patients with OSA was more effective in predicting outcome than did the severity-based staging.

Conclusion

For the past 3–4 decades, OSA has been increasingly recognized as a highly prevalent disorder and source of adverse health consequences, resulting in major population health burden. In the United States, approximately 12 million people, 30–60 years of age, have OSA, and 38,000 die each year from cardiovascular disease attributed to OSA. OSA is associated with a host of signs and symptoms adversely affecting quality of life, including snoring, daytime somnolence, neurocognitive deficits, and irritability. Substantial morbidity and economic costs are associated with untreated OSA, including those related to daytime sleepiness and hypertension, and cardiovascular comorbidity. Despite the notion that OSA is a prevalent and treatable condition associated with negative health consequences, at least 75% of severe OSA cases are undiagnosed. Therefore, there is a crucial need to increase recognition of this disorder and address the public health impact of this common condition, including the extent to which treatment of OSA may modify the course of other chronic health conditions, such as insulin resistance, cardiac arrhythmogenesis, heart failure, and cardiovascular disease.
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