Targeted Cancer Therapy Based on Acetylation and Deacetylation of Key Proteins Involved in Double-Strand Break Repair
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Abstract: DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) play an important role in promoting genomic instability and cell death. The precise repair of DSBs is essential for maintaining genome integrity during cancer progression, and inducing genomic instability or blocking DNA repair is an important mechanism through which chemo/radiotherapies exert killing effects on cancer cells. The two main pathways that facilitate the repair of DSBs in cancer cells are homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). Accumulating data suggest that the acetylation and deacetylation of DSB repair proteins regulate the initiation and progression of the cellular response to DNA DSBs, which may further affect the chemosensitivity or radiosensitivity of cancer cells. Here, we focus on the role of acetylation/deacetylation in the regulation of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated, Rad51, and 53BP1 in the HR pathway, as well as the relevant roles of PARP1 and Ku70 in NHEJ. Notably, several histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors targeting HR or NHEJ have been demonstrated to enhance chemo/radiosensitivity in preclinical studies. This review highlights the essential role of acetylation/deacetylation in the regulation of DSB repair genes, suggesting that HDAC inhibitors targeting the HR or NHEJ pathways that downregulate DNA DSB repair genes may be worthwhile cancer therapeutic agents.
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Introduction

In eukaryotes and prokaryotes, lysine acetylation is a highly evolutionarily conserved protein post-translational modification (PTM), which is dynamically regulated by lysine acetyltransferases and deacetylases. The presence of acetyl groups on histones was first demonstrated by Allfrey and coworkers in 1964. Acetylation affects a wide array of biological functions by modifying histone and non-histone proteins. In particular, the acetylation of certain proteins plays an important role in DNA damage and repair in cancer. Several mechanisms regulate the DNA damage and repair processes in cancer, primarily by modulating chromatin structure and regulating the transcription and acetylation of DNA damage and repair-related proteins.

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are highly deleterious DNA lesions, which may lead to chromosomal translocations, genomic instability, and cell death in cancer. Conventional cancer therapies, such as radiation and chemotherapy, mainly exert their effects by inducing DSB-mediated cancer cell death. To counteract DSB damage and preserve genomic integrity, tumor cells have evolved to take advantage of highly conserved DSB repair pathways, including homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). HR and NHEJ repair are essential for maintaining genomic stability, and these pathways protect tumor cells against endogenous and exogenous DNA damaging factors, even though they may be deregulated in cancer cells.

In HR repair, the homologous chromosome or sister chromatid is used as a template to replace the missing information at the break. In contrast, NHEJ directly ligates the cognate broken ends without a homologous template, which is also called error-prone repair.
In the presence of the undamaged sister chromatid DNA template, error-free HR repair is regarded as accurate and efficient, and it generally occurs during the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle. HR repair begins with the recognition and binding of the double-strand DNA break by the MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 (MRN) complex. Following the activation of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM), the MRN complex recruits the carboxy-terminal binding protein-interacting protein (CtIP) endonuclease, as well as BRCA1/2, PALB2, and Rad51, initiating excision of the damaged DNA end. RAD52 also mediates the DNA-DNA interactions necessary for annealing of the complementary DNA strands. In the S and G2 phases, ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated and Rad3-related (ATR) activity is essential for CtIP recruitment, which mediates DSB end resection in concert with BRCA1 and the MRN complex.

Unlike HR, the NHEJ pathways (canonical [c-NHEJ] and alternative [alt-NHEJ]) repair DSBs by directly ligating the two broken DNA ends. In cancer, this can result in error-prone repair and DNA sequence rearrangements. The NHEJ repair pathway is not dependent on the presence of replicated DNA, and it can therefore occur at any point in the cell cycle. In G1 phase, several negative regulators limit DSB end resection repair, including the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer and the tumor suppressor p53-binding protein 1 (TP53BP1). Both of these proteins steadily bind the DSB broken ends, preventing resection and recruiting DNA-PK kinase and the endonuclease Artemis, which ultimately promotes repair via the NHEJ pathway in cancer. C-NHEJ is mainly executed by the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer, DNA-PK, LIG4, XRCC4, and XLF, whereas CtIP, PARPs, LIG1/3, and XRCC1 are involved in the alt-NHEJ pathway. The Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer also activates DNA-PK, which subsequently promotes the activation of XRCC4 and Artemis.

Interestingly, it has been previously reported that WRN regulates pathway choice between classical and alternative non-homologous end joining; ATRX and RECQ5 also regulate distinct homologous recombination pathways; and BLM influences the DSB repair pathway choice by prevention of alt-NHEJ. Furthermore, the expression and localization of WRN and BLM helicases may be regulated by cellular essential enzyme SIRT1.

Conventional cancer treatments such as radiotherapy and certain forms of chemotherapy exert killing effects on cancer cells by activating DSB pathways. Notably, cancer cells become even more dependent on DSB repair mechanisms to survive during these therapies. It has therefore been suggested that DSB repair-targeted therapy is particularly beneficial in combination with conventional radiotherapy and certain forms of chemotherapy. In recent years, several combination treatment strategies have been identified, including PARP inhibition (PARPi). Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibition (HDACi) is also successful in combination with chemo/radiotherapy.
pharmacological inhibition of CHK1 mediated homologous recombination repair (HRR), but not non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) repair, leading to hyper-radiosensitization of WRN-deficient cancers; It was also reported that a small molecule preferentially killed RECQL5-expressing breast cancers but not RECQL5-knockout.

Figure 1 Schematic representation showing the mechanisms of the two main double-strand break (DSB) repair pathways in cancer, namely homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). The relevant sensors recognize the region of damaged DNA and initiate the HR and NHEJ repair pathways. The MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 (MRN) complex and Ku70/Ku80 are the major sensor proteins. DNA DSB signals are mediated by various proteins, including ATM, ATR, CtIP, BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2, and RPA in HR, as well as DNA-PKcs in NHEJ. There are also various effector proteins, which include Rad51, PARP, EME1, SLX1/4, BLM, POLQ, and FANCJ in HR, as well as XRCC4, XLF, LiG4, APLF, Artemis, and WRN in NHEJ.

Acetylation and Deacetylation of Key Factors Mediating HR Repair

ATM

The kinase ATM plays an essential role in regulating DNA DSB repair. Under normal conditions, ATM exists as an inactive dimer, and it dissociates into active monomers upon autophosphorylation at serine (Ser) 1981. When cancer cells undergo DSBs, ATM signaling is enhanced, leading to activation of the HR and NHEJ repair pathways, the selection of which is determined by BRCA1 and 53BP1. ATR, another member of the phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase-like kinase family of Ser/Thr-protein kinases, also regulates various key proteins involved in DSB repair.

Blackford et al clearly demonstrated that Tip60-mediated acetylation of ATM (a critical regulator of self-activation and phosphorylation of various DSB repair factors) was necessary for its kinase activity. The authors showed that ATM was acetylated and activated by Tip60 during HR repair and that the suppression of Tip60 interrupted the ATM-dependent phosphorylation of p53 and Chk2, which sensitized cancer cells to ionizing radiation. However, the recruitment of the ATM–Tip60 complex to DSB sites is independent of ATM kinase activity.
Tip60 at Lys-3016 increases ATM kinase activity, and the MRN complex-dependent accumulation of UFL1 also promotes ATM activation by recruiting Tip60.\textsuperscript{39,40} According to recent findings, Tip60 is also activated and phosphorylated by various factors during DSB repair. The chromodomain of Tip60 has been shown to interact with H3K9me3, which activates the acetyltransferase activity of Tip60.\textsuperscript{41,42} Research has shown that the protein tyrosine kinase c-ABL also phosphorylates and regulates Tip60, which binds to H3K9me3 at DNA damage sites and activates ATM.\textsuperscript{43} Other regulators which have been identified as substrates of Tip60 (such as ATF2 and NOTCH1) also promote or inhibit ATM activation.\textsuperscript{44–46}

Tang et al demonstrated that the Tip60-mediated acetylation of histone H4 prevented the interaction of the Tudor domain of 53BP1 with H4K20me2 during HR repair.\textsuperscript{47} Additionally, Tip60-catalyzed acetylation of H2AK15 also inhibited 53BP1 recruitment to H2AK15ub by preventing its ubiquitination.\textsuperscript{48} A previous study demonstrated that the Tip60 complex and its cofactor transformation/transcription-domain-associated protein (TRRAP) mediated the acetylation of histone H4 and the accumulation of the repair molecule Rad51 at DSB sites, which further facilitated HR repair.\textsuperscript{49} It is worth noting, however, that while the role of Tip60-mediated acetylation and activation of ATM in cancer cells has been well described, the dynamic regulation of ATM and ATR acetylation during HR repair is poorly understood.

MOF is a member of the highly conserved MYST family of histone acetyltransferases, which plays an important role in the DSB repair pathway, particularly in HR repair. Recent studies have shown that acetylation and ubiquitylation of chromatin modifiers and DNA repair proteins regulates their recruitment to sites of DNA damage.\textsuperscript{50} Gupta and colleagues showed that ionizing radiation (IR)-induced cancer cells exhibited MOF-mediated H4K16 hyperacetylation. Inhibiting this hyperacetylation led to decreased ATM autophosphorylation and kinase activity, suggesting that MOF is essential for the activation of ATM in this context.\textsuperscript{51} Conversely, ATM has also been shown to phosphorylate MOF at T392 during HR repair in cancer cells. In response, p-T392-MOF colocalized with γ-H2AX, ATM, and p53BP1, which further facilitated the recruitment of HR repair proteins such as BRCA1 and MDC1.\textsuperscript{52,53}

Tang et al identified Sirtuin 7 (SIRT7) as a factor catalyzing the deacetylation of ATM at K3016, which led to the dephosphorylation and deactivation of ATM, thus impeding HR repair signals in human colon cancer cells.\textsuperscript{54} Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that SIRT7 expression correlates with the clinical response and prognosis of cancer chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{55} In addition, ATM interacts with HDAC1 through its LXCXE domain, while ATR has been shown to colocalize in a complex with HDAC2. ATM and ATR both phosphorylate BRCA1 in cells with DNA DSBs.\textsuperscript{56,57}

**Rad51 and 53BP1**

During HR repair, Rad51 plays a central role in homologous strand exchange at damage sites, which is a key step in the DNA DSB repair process.\textsuperscript{58,59} It has been demonstrated that the inhibition of Rad51 may increase the chemosensitivity of cancer cells to cisplatin.\textsuperscript{60} A previous study showed that SIRT1 may interact with and deacetylate Rad51. In a lung cancer xenograft model, the inhibition of SIRT1 promoted the acetylation of Rad51, which further enhanced apoptosis in lung cancer cells induced by the WEE1 inhibitor MK-1775.\textsuperscript{61} In human colon cancer cells stimulated with IR, the HDAC inhibitor PCI-24781 impaired HR repair by blocking the formation of Rad51 subnuclear repair foci, which led to favorable therapeutic outcomes.\textsuperscript{62} In addition, in aggressive basal-like breast cancer cells, HDAC2 altered the expression of Rad51 by regulating the microRNA mir-182. The impaired HR efficiency promoted the progression of these breast cancer cells.\textsuperscript{63} In prostate cancer cells, an HDAC inhibitor was shown to downregulate E2F1-mediated expression of the DNA DSB repair genes Rad51, CHK1, and BRCA1, which lead to a downregulation of HR.\textsuperscript{64}

It is worth taking note of TP53-binding protein 1 (53BP1), an NHEJ-promoting factor following DSBs. CBP-mediated acetylation of 53BP1 inhibits its recruitment to damaged chromatin, which results in the promotion of HR over NHEJ.\textsuperscript{65,66} (Figure 2).

**Acetylation and Deacetylation of Key Factors Involved in NHEJ Repair**

**PARP1**

As a member of the PARP enzyme superfamily, the DNA damage sensor PARP1 catalyzes the synthesis of poly ADP-ribose polymers (PAR) by using NAD+ as a substrate. PARP1 is essential for DNA replication, chromatin remodeling,
PARP1 mainly participates in DNA base excision repair and DNA single-strand break repair, but it also plays an essential role in DNA DSB repair, participating in both the HR and NHEJ pathways. In HR, c-NHEJ, and alt-NHEJ, PARP1 facilitates the choice of repair pathway and the detection of DNA strand breaks by interacting with and regulating DNA repair factors.

Various studies have demonstrated that several PTMs are involved in the regulation of PARP1, including PARylation, ubiquitination, and acetylation, and there is both competition and crosstalk between these modifications. More than 20 acetylation sites of PARP1 have been identified, including Lys498, Lys505, Lys508, Lys521, and Lys524, which regulate the transcriptional coactivator functions of PARP1. P300/CREB-binding protein-mediated acetylation of PARP-1 is essential for the activation of NF-κB-dependent genes, via enhanced interactions with p300 and the mediator complex.

In particular, Lys498, Lys521, and Lys524 of PARP1 are simultaneously PARylated and acetylated at the same auto-modification domain. The dynamic PARylation of PARP1 regulates the recruitment and dissociation of critical DSB repair proteins at DSB sites and also provides the lysine residues as acceptor sites for ADP-ribosylation. In contrast, acetylation of these PARP1 lysine sites was shown to interrupt the binding of critical repair factors. Another functional study of PARP1 also demonstrated its acetylation at five lysine residues. PARP1 acetylation also promoted NF-κB-mediated chemoresistance of cancer cells to platinum compounds. In addition, the lysine residues K498, K521, and...
K524 were identified as acceptor sites for auto-PARylation, which might compete with the acetylation of these sites. Robert et al showed that the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) altered the acetylation level of PARP1, which impaired the NHEJ pathway. In HDACi-treated AML patients, more PAR was found to colocalize with DSBs. The authors demonstrated that the inhibition of HDAC increased PARP1 acetylation, which led to decreased NHEJ repair in leukemia cells, suggesting that PARP-1 acetylation inhibits DSB repair activity.

In human breast cancer cells, PARP1 was shown to mediate the PARylation of MORC2 at DNA damage sites, which in turn promoted NAT10-mediated acetylation of PARP1 at Lys949. The acetylation of PARP1 impeded its own degradation, thus increasing the DSB repair ability of the cells. PARP inhibition (PARPi) has been used in the clinical treatment of ovarian, breast, prostate, and pancreatic cancers, especially in combination with other chemotherapy drugs in BRCA-deficient cancer cells. Together, the data suggest that acetylation of different PARP1 lysine sites can confer opposing functions of this protein in the DSB repair efficiency, suggesting that precise regulation of PARP1 acetylation may be a potentially novel therapeutic target.

Ku70
The Ku70 DNA end-joining protein binds damaged DNA during the NHEJ repair process, as well as during V(D)J recombination. Ku70 was identified as a suppressor of tumor cell apoptosis which is regulated by the interaction of its C-terminal linker domain with the proapoptotic factor Bax. Cohen et al has shown that Ku70 K542 is acetylated by CBP and PCAF in vivo. The hyperacetylation of Ku70 K539 or K542 abolished the Ku70 and Bax-mediated inhibition of apoptosis in cancer, suggesting that several acetyl-transferases might be potential tumor suppressors.

Another study also suggested that SIRT1-mediated Ku70 deacetylation may promote the DSB repair capacity of cells. In prostate cancer cells, Ku70 K282, K338, K539, or K542 hyperacetylation was associated with DNA-binding affinity. The treatment of prostate cancer cells with HDAC inhibitors increased Ku70 acetylation and reduced cellular capability to repair DNA DSBs without disrupting Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer formation, thereby enhancing the chemotherapeutic effect. In neuroblastoma cells, IR-induced acetylation of nuclear Ku70 was determined by CBP and correlated with increased DNA repair activity. Cytoplasmic Ku70 was transferred to the nucleus following IR, indicating that Ku70 hyperacetylation may promote the effect of IR in neuroblastoma cells. In addition, it was reported that HDAC6 deacetylated Ku70 to regulate the Ku70–Bax interaction, which promoted cell death in neuroblastoma cells. In melanoma cell lines, the HDAC inhibitor sodium butyrate was shown to inhibit the expression of Ku70 and the DNA-PK catalytic subunit protein via deacetylation during NHEJ. In lung cancer A549 cells, epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) promoted cancer cell apoptosis by upregulating the expression of cleaved caspase-3 and Bax, as well as downregulating the expression of Bcl-xL. Mechanistically, it was established that EGCG induced K70 hyperacetylation in A549 cells and impaired the interaction of Bax with Ku70, thus promoting the apoptosis of the lung cancer cells. In hepatocellular carcinoma cells, the deacetylation of Ku70 K542 by SIRT6 inhibited the mitochondrial translocation of Bax and attenuated apoptosis, suggesting that the SIRT6-Ku70 pathway may serve as a promising therapeutic target for hepatocellular carcinoma in the future. In addition, NHEJ was also impaired by the differential acetylation of Ku70/Ku80 and PARP1 in response to TSA. These results suggest that IR-induced Ku70 acetylation promotes the apoptosis of cancer cells by reducing nuclear DNA DSB repair. P300/CBP was also implicated in the acetylation of H3 and H4, recruiting the Ku70/Ku80 complex to DSB sites during NHEJ repair.

Taken together, the acetylation of Ku70 in DSB repair may be another potentially novel target for cancer therapy. The Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer also forms a DNA-PK complex with DNA-PKcs (Lys3241 and Lys3260) that serves as a docking site for other c-NHEJ proteins. Other c-NHEJ proteins that play an essential role in DNA end bridging and ligation include the LIG4/XRCC4–XLF complex. However, the acetylation mediated functions involved in c-NHEJ still require further investigation.

Targeted Cancer Therapy
HDACs are a family of deacetylase enzymes that remove acetyl groups from histones and non-histone proteins. Pharmacological inhibition of HDAC activity using HDAC inhibitors causes significant changes to the chromatin acetylation landscape, resulting in transcriptome changes in cancers. It has been demonstrated that several HDAC
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HDACs are a family of deacetylase enzymes that remove acetyl groups from histones and non-histone proteins. Pharmacological inhibition of HDAC activity using HDAC inhibitors causes significant changes to the chromatin acetylation landscape, resulting in transcriptome changes in cancers. It has been demonstrated that several HDAC
small molecule inhibitors that modulate the acetylation of key proteins involved in the HR and NHEJ pathways hold promise for increasing chemo/radiosensitivity, which would further improve the survival rates of patients.

**Combination of HDAC Inhibitors with Chemotherapy**

Sulforaphane (SFN) is a natural HDAC inhibitor that has provided a new avenue for increasing the chemosensitivity of cancer cells by inhibiting HDAC activity and hindering DSB repair. In colon cancer cells, SFN may be effective in suppressing cancer cells as a chemotherapeutic agent alone or in combination with other HDAC inhibitors, and it is currently being tested in clinical trials. In a rat colon cancer model, novel tetrazole-containing SFN analogs have been shown to promote cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and turnover of the DSB repair protein CtIP, which may lead to the deregulation of HR and NHEJ. These results provide a valuable avenue for synthetic lethality of HDAC inhibitors. Future investigations will need to clarify the value of these targets for combination chemotherapy protocols in clinical trials.

Decreased HR repair capacity and increased cancer cell sensitization have been observed upon treatment with HDAC inhibitors, resulting in increased sensitivity to PARP inhibition, doxorubicin, and cisplatin. Decreased expression of Rad51 and attenuated HR activity was also observed in malignant melanoma cells when HDAC1 and HDAC2 were inhibited, which led to inefficient repair of DSBs and sensitization to temozolomide. Indeed, the synergistic combination of HDACi and classical chemotherapy in Phase I and Phase II clinical trials has demonstrated encouraging efficacy against cancer cells, while combination treatments with PARPi (such as the phase I trial NCT03742245) are worthy of future study.

**Combination of HDAC Inhibitors with Radiotherapy**

Radiotherapy remains an important tool for treating a variety of cancer types. HDAC inhibitor-induced radiosensitization has been identified in various cancer cell lines, including breast, prostate, lung, colon, cervical, and head/neck. Several studies have demonstrated that HDAC inhibitors are essential for DSB repair in cancer cells. For example, the HDAC
inhibitor vorinostat was shown to promote the expression of the DSB marker γ-H2AX in a transcription- and replication-dependent manner.\textsuperscript{115,116}

Valproic acid has been shown to mediate the inhibition of class I HDACs and decrease the activity of key genes involved in HR repair, such as Rad51, CHK1, and BRCA1, leading to the enhanced sensitization of cancer cells to radiation and chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{64} Indeed, HDAC inhibitors are currently being tested in clinical trials in combination with radiotherapy, and there is evidence to suggest that the expression of ATM, p53, and BRCA1 may further enhance the antitumor efficacy of radiotherapy.\textsuperscript{117,118} In A549 lung cancer cells, the p53 inhibitor pifithrin-α was shown to inhibit the TSA-mediated synergistic effect of radiotherapy. In HeLa cervical cancer cells, leptomycin B mediated an increase in radiosensitization induced by TSA, by increasing p53 protein levels.\textsuperscript{119} The HR repair factor BRCA1 was shown to be downregulated by trichostatin A, which lead to increased radiosensitivity of the cancer cells.\textsuperscript{117}

A previous study demonstrated that the class I and II HDAC inhibitor sodium butyrate acetylates histone H4 in melanoma cells, which lead to a decrease in Ku70/Ku80 and DNA-PKcs mRNA/protein levels, thereby enhancing sensitization to IR.\textsuperscript{120} The suppression of NHEJ resulted in the accumulation of DSBs due to HDACi-mediated H4K16 hyperacetylation and suppression of 53BP1 foci formation, which further increased radiosensitization.\textsuperscript{121}

Recent studies examining human prostate cancer and lung adenocarcinoma cells have shown that the expression of critical components of the HR repair pathway is sensitive to HDAC inhibition. In particular, the HDAC inhibitor vorinostat was shown to induce DSBs by suppressing the transcription of RAD50 and MRE11, which increased radiosensitivity of the cells.\textsuperscript{122} In prostate cancer and glioma xenograft models, research has revealed that valproic acid and HDAC inhibitors promote increased radiosensitivity by inhibiting DNA DSB repair.\textsuperscript{123,124} Additionally, HDAC4, known as a biomarker of LBH589 activity, is reported to increase radiation-induced DNA DSBs in human non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).\textsuperscript{125} The HDAC inhibitor TSA may also increase radiosensitivity by downregulating DNA DSB repair proteins in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{126} In melanoma cells, gamma-H2AX phosphorylation is considered to be a predictive marker of the response to radiation, and HDAC inhibitors may sensitize cancer cells to radiation-induced DNA DSBs by inhibiting their capacity to repair DSBs.\textsuperscript{120} Vorinostat significantly improves the survival of patients with breast cancer who develop brain metastases, by enhancing radiosensitivity in vivo.\textsuperscript{127} In colorectal cancer models, vorinostat significantly reduced tumor volume in combination with radiotherapy, compared to the use of radiotherapy alone.\textsuperscript{128} A phase I trial that evaluated the effect of escalating doses of vorinostat with short-term palliative pelvic radiotherapy in patients with gastrointestinal carcinoma generated encouraging results.\textsuperscript{129} Consistent with these data, vorinostat also increases the radiosensitivity of osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma cells by downregulating Ku80 expression.\textsuperscript{130} (Table 1).

**Conclusions and Future Perspective**

This article provides an overview of the recent progress that has been made in elucidating how acetylation/deacetylation of key HR and NHEJ repair proteins mediates the critical roles of these factors in cancer. We illustrated the mechanisms through which acetylation/deacetylation regulates the functions of key factors involved in DNA DSB repair, such as ATM, Rad51, and 53BP1 in HR, as well as PARP1 and Ku70 in NHEJ. ATM acetylation promotes DSB repair, whereas acetylation of Rad51 and Ku70 inhibits DSB repair in cancer cells. However, further research is needed to identify how acetylation might modify the functions of other DSB repair proteins. To date, several studies have revealed that HDAC inhibitors targeting HR and NHEJ repair enhance chemo/radiosensitivity in a variety of cancer types.

It is worth noting that different modification types, such as acetylation and ubiquitylation, can sometimes cooperate in the DNA DSB repair process. Notably, the interplay between acetylation and other modifications at the same or adjacent site in mediating the regulation of cancer DSB repair deserves further investigation. From a therapeutic perspective, HDAC inhibition potentiates the effect of chemotherapy and radiotherapy by inhibiting DSB repair in some cancer types. However, there is also a need to develop new HDACi drugs targeting DSB repair in other types of cancers. In parallel, more precise patient selection is also essential to ensure relevant treatment outcomes. This review illustrates the critical role of acetylation/deacetylation in the regulation of key DSB repair genes in cancer, highlighting the importance of discovering new anti-cancer target drugs to improve therapeutic effects.
Table 1 DSB Repair Associated Experimental Evidence of the Combination Between HDACi and Chemo/Radiotherapy in Cancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Chemo/Radiosensitivity</th>
<th>Drug/Method</th>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFN</td>
<td>HR, NHEJ</td>
<td>Chemo</td>
<td>Isothiocyanates</td>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>[94–96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC1/2 inhibitor</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Chemo</td>
<td>Olaparib</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Chemo/radio</td>
<td>Olaparib/ Irradiation</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Squamous carcinoma</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>PS3</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Cervical cancer</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Squamous carcinoma</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium butyrate</td>
<td>NHEJ</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Prostate and lung cancer</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid</td>
<td>HR, NHEJ</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBH589</td>
<td>γ-H2AX</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>NHEJ</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>γ-H2AX</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>NHEJ</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>Irradiation</td>
<td>Osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SFN, sulforaphane; HDAC, histone deacetylase; TSA, trichostatin A; HR, homologous recombination; NHEJ, non-homologous end-joining.
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