Genetic and Psychosocial Risk Factors Associated with Suicide Among Community Veterans: Implications for Screening, Treatment and Precision Medicine
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Introduction: Since veteran suicide is a concern and our knowledge of predictive factors is still limited, our objective was to assess risk factors for suicide, including genetic factors, among deployed veterans.

Methods: For this study, we surveyed 1730 veterans who were outpatients in a multi-hospital system in Pennsylvania. Altogether, 1041 veterans (60%) provided a DNA sample. The genetic risk variants investigated were within loci previously associated with PTSD and substance misuse, including \textit{CRHR1}, \textit{CHRNA5}, \textit{RORA}, and \textit{FKBP5} genetic variations, which were used to calculate a polygenic risk score (range=0–8, mean=3.6, SD=1.4).

Results: Most veterans (56.2%) were deployed to Vietnam while significant numbers were deployed to Iraq, Afghanistan, and other post-Vietnam conflicts. Overall, 95.1% of the veterans were male, their mean age was 56.2 (SD=12), and 95.6% were Caucasian. Among the veterans, 24% had high combat exposure. The prevalence of lifetime suicidal thoughts was 11.3%. Additionally, 5.7% ever developed a suicide plan or attempted suicide in their lifetimes. Among those with a history of a lifetime suicide attempt or suicide plan, the PTSD genetic risk score was significantly higher (OR=3.96 vs 3.55, \textit{p}=0.033), but for suicidal thoughts, this association was not significant (\textit{p}=0.717). In multivariable analysis (MVA) logistic regression, significant predictors of attempting suicide or having a suicide plan were history of depression (OR=5.04, \textit{p}<0.001), PTSD genetic risk score (OR=1.25, \textit{p}=0.036), history of childhood abuse/neglect (OR=2.24, \textit{p}=0.009), and lifetime marijuana use (OR=1.56, \textit{p}=0.020). Conversely, rural residence was protective for suicide risk (OR=0.49; \textit{p}=0.031). For suicidal thoughts, in the MVA genetic risk score was not significant (\textit{p}=0.697), but history of child abuse/neglect (\textit{p}=0.001), history of depression (\textit{p}<0.001), low psychological resilience (\textit{p}=0.004), and lifetime marijuana use (\textit{p}=0.022) were significant.

Discussion: In this study, we identified genetic risk variants and other predictors for suicide among veterans that may have implications for future screening and clinical care. Further research is advised.
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Introduction

Research suggests that significant numbers of former service members have developed mental health disorders following deployments.\textsuperscript{1–5} In the current study, we examine the impact of risk and protective factors, including genetic measures, for suicide among veterans.\textsuperscript{6} Consistent with previous research,\textsuperscript{7} the objective of this
study is to assess the impact of pre- and post-deployment factors on the mental health status of military veterans.

Given previous research,\(^8\) our supposition was that veteran suicidality was associated with more prevalent mental health disorders, existing personality factors, negative life events, as well as genetic factors.\(^6,9\) Recent studies have confirmed that suicidal behavior among veterans is complex and associated with both individual and community-level factors.\(^10–12\) In addition, some research suggests that veterans may not have a higher risk of suicide than comparable community-based non-veterans.\(^13,14\) However, a great deal of opposing research suggests otherwise.\(^15\) Furthermore, suicide risk among veterans is not exclusively limited to deployments or combat exposure, per se,\(^16\) which emphasizes the importance of identifying other predictive factors. There is growing evidence to date supporting genetic risk factors of suicide among veterans, but research on this topic is insufficient.\(^17–19\) Consequently, risks for suicide among veterans may have a heritable component that cannot be explained by psychosocial or warzone exposures alone.\(^20,21\) Updated research is needed to address the aforementioned knowledge gap. To assess this, we employed a genetic prediction model that we used successfully in past research among both veterans and non-veterans.\(^5,9,22,23\)

Our assessment includes veterans from different conflicts, which may better represent the “real-world” population of current veterans.\(^5,24\) As we note below, our analysis includes veterans that both do and do not use the VA health system.\(^7\) Understanding deployment-related risk factors among veterans is imperative for more effective prevention and treatment of mental health disorders among the veteran population.\(^25\) In addition, we would expect that veterans would have both risk and protective factors related to suicide, including level of support, service history, personality factors among others.\(^26–29\) This knowledge is also important for both VA and non-VA providers, since recent policy changes in the US have expanded access to health care for veterans outside of the VA healthcare system.\(^30,31\) This service trend is also observed among service members worldwide.\(^24,32\)

Our primary hypothesis is that a genetic association would be found for suicidal behaviors (ie, planning and attempting suicide) but not for suicidal thoughts, which is more nebulous and subjective, compared to behaviors.\(^17,33\) While the genetic bases for suicide are still unclear, the links between PTSD, suicide, and mental health status among veterans is more unambiguous.\(^5,34\) This is especially true as it relates to the onset of “complex” PTSD following high combat and high trauma exposures.\(^35\) In fact, the PTSD D4 criteria in DSM-5 specifically stipulates that one of the characteristic symptoms for PTSD is the onset of a persistent negative emotional state, including fear, horror, anger, guilt, and shame,\(^36\) which could result in self-harm risk over time. Our secondary hypothesis is that heavy marijuana use would be associated with suicide, which is a concern among veterans and other groups.\(^17\) Our tertiary hypothesis is that rural veterans would be at a lower risk of suicidal behaviors.\(^24\)

Noteworthy, however, is that our study is a prediction of suicide among deployed veterans, so our focus is on predictions among warfighters, and the most relevant exposures for them are typically combat exposure and deployment history. As discussed below, for combat exposure we used the Combat Experience Scale, a measure used in veteran studies for decades.\(^34\) We also measured Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) using the ACE scale and a lifetime trauma exposure scale also widely used in trauma research.\(^38–40\) Finally, our research was directed by the “Stress Process Model,” which focuses on psychosocial support and risk factors that occur in the pre-trauma, trauma, and post-trauma periods and this guided our variable selection and analyses.\(^40–42\)

**Methods**

**Sample**

The population for the current study included a sample of US military veterans recruited for a study of the health effects of military service.\(^6,40\) All veterans in the study were outpatients in the Geisinger Clinic, the largest multi-hospital system in central Pennsylvania.\(^7\) In 2007, Geisinger initiated a veterans’ registry for patients receiving outpatient care. Over 35,000 patients have provided this information, and this was used to select a random sample of veterans for the current study. Geisinger is an integrated health services organization. This system serves more than 3 million residents throughout 45 counties in central, south-central, and northeast Pennsylvania and encompasses a 40,000 square kilometer (25,000 square mile) service area (see: [www.geisinger.org](https://www.geisinger.org)). The Geisinger system includes more than 30,000 employees, 1600 employed physicians, 10 hospital campuses, a 551,000-member managed care plan, a medical school, and is an open healthcare system that accepts all insurance and payer types, including private consumers and public insurance (Medicare, Medicaid), Tricare, as well as payments for VA care.
Following patient consent, interviewers administered structured diagnostic health interviews by telephone from February 2016 through February 2017. All veterans recruited for the current study had one or more warzone deployments. Veteran status and deployment history were confirmed based on military records. Among the veterans identified for the surveys, all were under 76 years old and served in Vietnam or a post-Vietnam conflict (ie, Iraq, Afghanistan, Persian Gulf, or other recent conflict). After a total of 10 telephone calls, we were able to complete interviews with 55% of eligible veterans (N=1730).7 Using demographic data in the electronic medical record, the only significant differences found between survey responders and non-responders were that responders tended to be younger and more often married.7 Among those surveyed, 60% veterans provided DNA by mail after several follow-up attempts (n=1074). When asked why the veteran returned the DNA, they reported they did this for “self-knowledge/benefit” and to help other veterans.43 Oragene DNA saliva kits, manufactured by Genotek (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada), were used to collect DNA following the manufacturers’ instructions. To avoid confounded results due to genetic admixture,44 non-Caucasian veterans (n=40) were excluded from the generic analyses, resulting in a final study sample of 1041 veterans.5

Measures
To assess suicide, we used measures from the Clinical International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI).45 The CIDI instrument is a commonly used diagnostic survey now used worldwide.46,47 For the CIDI, we included measures related to suicidal thoughts and suicidal-related behaviors, including having suicide plans and past suicide attempts.45,48,49 Given the number of potential predictor variables assessed, we based our analyses on previous conceptual models and empirical results and selected variables that reflected key demographics, military, stressful event, and personality factors pre-, during, and post-trauma exposure and identified as the “stress process model.”6,50

To assess PTSD, we used an instrument based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5.51,52 To receive a diagnosis of PTSD, veterans had to meet the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria A through G.36 This PTSD scale has been used in recent studies40,53,54 and is reported to be a valid and reliable scale6 (Cronbach Alpha = 0.92). Nearly 80% of the veterans in the current study reported that the most significant lifetime stressor they experienced was warzone exposure.7 In addition to PTSD, the survey collected data related to the veteran’s military history, combat exposure, mental health status, and demographic factors.6,40

Depression was assessed using a major depressive disorder scale based on the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria.55,56 which has been used in previous studies.5,57–59 Data related to the validity of this depression scale were previously reported and suggest that this scale can be used to diagnose depression in community-based population studies.60 (Cronbach Alpha = 0.90). To meet criteria in the study, subjects had to meet the full diagnostic criteria for lifetime major depressive disorder.55,56

Other variables in the study included demographic and military factors (eg, age, gender, race, rural residence, warzone deployments, and combat exposure), which were derived from the survey instrument or US Census data, as in the case of rural residence, and used in previous studies.5,24,50 Warzone exposures included the Vietnam War, Persian Gulf War, Afghanistan/Iraq War, and “other” recent warzone deployments, as defined by the VA. Global War on Terrorism (GWOT) veterans (n~50) were combined with Iraq/Afghanistan veterans, since these deployments were during the same timeframe and were in supporting theaters of operations. Combat exposure was based on the Combat Experience Scale and versions of this scale have been used in studies since before the Vietnam War51–64 (Cronbach Alpha = 0.81). Based on previous research, scale measures for combat exposure were divided into standard cut-points based on quartiles and described elsewhere.7,50

Our study also assessed the occurrence of lifetime traumatic events using a traumatic event scale (eg, forced sexual contact, domestic abuse, a serious accident, served in a warzone, experienced a disaster, etc.) used in previous research.58,65 As we had no measure to judge the severity of these events in our survey, we collapsed these into three categories: less than 3 traumatic events, 3–5 events, and 6 or more events, as has been done in other traumatic stress disorder studies.6,24,25,40,59,66,67 A total of 21% of respondents experienced 6 or more lifetime traumatic events in the current study and we used this to define “high” lifetime traumatic event exposure.68 This traumatic event scale was developed from other trauma studies, was used in previous research, and has demonstrated good reliability and validity.58,59,65 Psychological resilience was assessed by an instrument used and validated in previous research, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC).69 The Cronbach alpha for this scale in the current study was 0.80.
and the scale was coded as high vs not high based on the highest quartile.\(^5\)

Marijuana use was based on survey questions that have been used in previous trauma research to measure marijuana use.\(^60,67\) In the current study, this scale was used as an ordinal variable (coded as: never used, used occasionally but less than 50 times, and used 50 or more times). Approximately 10% of veterans reported using marijuana 50 or more times. Rural residence was based on ZIP Code Tabulation Areas (ZCTAs) developed by the United States Census Bureau. These were introduced with the 2000 Census to improve land area classifications.\(^24\) For the current study, we defined “rural” as an area in which 95% of the households were in a rural land area, which represented 46% in the households in the current study.

We note that the current study is primarily a diagnostic study that used diagnostic instruments and scales based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) criteria for PTSD and depression.\(^36\) The study also collected DNA and data from the veteran’s electronic medical records. This study (funded by the US Department of Defense) collected detailed data on combat exposures, from the military record, reported homecomings experiences, and other military incidents.\(^5\) The DSM does collect symptom data, but these are used to qualify for the diagnostic criteria, including to define the onset and course of disorders.\(^70\) Most contemporary epidemiological studies use DSM instruments to collect population-based mental health diagnostic data.\(^46,47,71\)

Based on previous studies, we also used a genetic risk score approach, which included 4 SNP candidate gene variants.\(^22\) These SNP markers were combined into a cumulative risk allele count, as is common in genetic analyses.\(^6,44\) For the current study, we assessed 4 genetic markers using a cumulative risk-allele model to test for an association with PTSD among outpatients, comparable to what has been undertaken to predict genetic associations in other clinical areas.\(^72\) Extending previous research,\(^22\) we specifically genotyped SNPs located within the FK506 binding protein-5 (FKBP5), retinoid-related orphan receptor alpha (RORA), cholinergic receptor nicotinic alpha-5 (CHRNA5), and the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor-1 (CHRH1) gene clusters and assessed these markers for cumulative risk for suicide.

The RORA genetic marker examined (rs80421449) is associated with PTSD in recent research.\(^73\) The FKBP5 genetic marker studied (rs9470080) regulates glucocorticoid receptor sensitivity, is functionally involved in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) stress axis, known to be involved in psychological stress and associated with PTSD.\(^74,75\) The CHRNA5 genetic marker investigated (rs16969968), which encodes components of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR), is associated with nicotine dependence and cigarette smoking,\(^76\) substance misuse,\(^77\) and PTSD.\(^23\) The CRHR1 genetic marker studied (rs110402) is a polypeptide hormone and neurotransmitter involved in corticotropin-releasing hormone activity associated with the stress response. Studies suggest that this gene also regulates HPA axis function and is associated with the impact of traumatic stress exposure and PTSD.\(^78\)

The risk alleles were counted for each of the 4 genetic variants included, which resulted in a genetic risk score ranging from 0 to 8, using the risk alleles variants (A, G, T, respectively). This genetic risk method has been described in detail elsewhere.\(^6\) Assessment of these 4 PTSD genetic markers indicated that all were positively associated with PTSD, with two markers (RORA and FKBP5) significantly associated (both p-values < 0.05). In addition, the cumulative unadjusted genetic risk score was also significantly associated with PTSD diagnosis (p-value = 0.033). This genetic risk score was then used as a covariate in our statistical analyses using standard bivariate and multivariate tests.\(^22\) Risk alleles counted for each of the 4 genetic variants included 0, 1, 2 risk alleles, resulting in a genetic risk score ranging from 0–8 (mean=3.56, SD=1.41), using the risk alleles variants (A, G, T, respectively). The rationale for including these genetic markers in our current study was that these were predictive of PTSD among a trauma-exposed populations in past studies.\(^5,7,22\) and that suicidal behavior was known to be associated with a history of PTSD.\(^7,13,17,22\) Thus, we hypothesized that this genetic risk score should predict suicidal behaviors and other health outcomes among traumatized populations.\(^79,80\)

### Data Analyses

Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics depicting the study population and testing the associations between mental health, stress exposures, genetic risks, and suicide. For descriptive purposes, we present the characteristics of the total population (N=1730) and show these results in Table 1. In Table 2, we present the bivariate results for the key risk/protective factors for suicidal behavior and suicidal thoughts for the veterans who provided DNA in the current study (n=1041). To examine these predictor variables in multivariate analyses, we first used stepwise backwards logistic regression,\(^81\) and compared these results to
Table 1 Profile of Veterans in Veterans’ Study Total Sample (N=1730)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables or mean</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>(95% C.I.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean = 59.6. SD = 12.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–39</td>
<td>(177)</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.9–11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–64</td>
<td>(574)</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>31.0–35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 or older</td>
<td>(979)</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>54.2–58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.0–6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>(1645)</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>94.0–96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-White</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5–5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>(1655)</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>94.6–96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>(1340)</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>75.4–79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Married</td>
<td>(390)</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>20.6–24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deployed as Guard/Reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>(1322)</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>74.4–78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(408)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>21.6–25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Warzone Tours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>(1041)</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>58.0–62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(686)</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>37.4–42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warzone*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>(972)</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.8–58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian Gulf</td>
<td>(275)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>14.3–17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq/Afghanistan/GWOT</td>
<td>(396)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>21.0–24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Post-Vietnam Conflict</td>
<td>(245)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.6–15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Branch*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>(288)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.0–18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>(861)</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>47.4–52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>(374)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>19.7–23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marines</td>
<td>(194)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>9.8–12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Services Use*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever used VA</td>
<td>(1073)</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>59.7–64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently use VA</td>
<td>(864)</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>47.6–52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever Thought</td>
<td>(196)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.9–12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever Thought Seriously about Suicide</td>
<td>(209)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.6–13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever Plan or Attempt Suicide</td>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.6–6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide Thoughts in Past Month</td>
<td>(94)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.4–6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Multiple responses allowed.
Abbreviation: GWOT, Global War on Terrorism.

Institutional Review Board Approval
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Geisinger Clinic (IRB # 2015–0441) and the US Department of Defense (IRB # A-18989). All patients provided their informed consent to participate in the study and were offered small monetary incentives for participation. The study data were also protected by a Certificate of Confidentiality (CoC) issued by the National Institutes of Health (NIH). This study was conducted in accordance with the principles stated in the Helsinki Declaration.

Results
Most veterans surveyed were over 65 years old (56.6%), male (95.1%), Caucasian (95.7%), and married (77.5%). In addition, 56.2% were Vietnam veterans, 49.8% serviced in the US Army and 39.7% served on multiple deployments (Table 1). Furthermore, 49.9% reported using the VA system in the past 12 months. Additionally, 11.3% had a history of suicidal thoughts, 12.1% ever thought seriously about suicide, and 5.7% reported ever having a suicide plan or ever attempting suicide in the past. Finally, 5.5% of veterans had suicidal thoughts in the past 30 days (Table 1). In terms of other potential mental health risk factors for suicide, among those who completed the survey and provided DNA, the prevalence of lifetime depression was 21.7% and lifetime PTSD was 12.2%.
Furthermore, the prevalence of high combat exposure (21.6%), high lifetime child abuse/neglect (17.1%), high lifetime trauma exposure (18.7%), low psychological resilience (25.4%), and the prevalence of lifetime marijuana use more than 50 times (9.6%) were noteworthy among the veterans, with all these risk factors associated with increased likelihood of suicidal behaviors (Table 2).

In multivariable analyses among those included in the polygenic analyses (n=1041), significant predictors of having a suicide plan or attempting suicide were PTSD

### Table 2 Bivariate Associations for Ever Suicide Attempt/Plan Among Community Veterans (N=1073)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Variables*</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>% Total</th>
<th>Ever Attempt/Plan</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% No</td>
<td>% Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PTSD Risk Score†</td>
<td>(1041)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Age: 65+ vs &lt; 65</td>
<td>(686)</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female vs Male</td>
<td>(49)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Iraq/Afghanistan Veteran vs not</td>
<td>(208)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% High Combat Exposure vs not High</td>
<td>(232)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Neglect High vs not High</td>
<td>(184)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% High Lifetime Trauma vs not High</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ever Major Depress. Disorder vs not</td>
<td>(233)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ever PTSD vs no PTSD</td>
<td>(131)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ever Marijuana 50+ Times vs not</td>
<td>(103)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Low Psychological Resilience vs not</td>
<td>(265)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Rural vs Nonrural Residence</td>
<td>(496)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Column %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *For brevity, we only present the indicator variable results for crosstabulations shown in Table 2. †For genetic analyses, sample was reduced due to missing DNA and exclusion of 40 non-Caucasians.

### Table 3 Multivariable Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Suicide Plan or Attempt Among Community Veterans with Genetic Data (N=1041) †

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables*</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>z Score</th>
<th>(95% C.I.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD genetic risk score</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.01–1.54</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>−0.97</td>
<td>0.96–1.01</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (vs male)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>0.09–1.65</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (vs not married)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
<td>0.37–1.45</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High trauma exposure (vs low trauma)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.78–2.83</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High combat exposure (vs low combat)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.64–2.52</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of child abuse/neglect (vs none)</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.23–4.43</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple tours/deployments (vs one)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>−1.39</td>
<td>0.33–1.21</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever major depression (vs no depression)</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>2.48–10.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever PTSD (vs no PTSD)</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.79–3.39</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low psychological resilience (vs not low)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.74–2.69</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number times ever used marijuana††</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.07–2.27</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residence (vs non-rural)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−2.16</td>
<td>0.26–0.94</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Area under ROC curve = 0.844, Hosmer-Lemeshow \( \chi^2 = 10.37, p = 0.240 \). †For genetic analyses, sample was reduced due to missing DNA and exclusion of 40 non-Caucasians. †† For MVA logistic regression marijuana use was coded as an ordinal variable coded as: never used, used occasionally, but less than 50 times, and used 50 or more times.*
Table 4 Multivariable Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Suicidal Thoughts for Two Weeks or More Among Community Veterans with Genetic Data (N=1041) †

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables*</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>z Score</th>
<th>(95% C.I.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD genetic risk score</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.84–1.14</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>0.97–1.00</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (vs male)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.68–4.09</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (vs not married)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.86–2.59</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High trauma exposure (vs low trauma)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.67–1.81</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High combat exposure (vs low combat)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.71–1.98</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of child abuse/neglect (vs none)</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.49–3.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple tours/deployments (vs one)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.55–1.38</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever major depression (vs no depression)</td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>6.46–17.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever PTSD (vs no PTSD)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.54–1.63</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low psychological resilience (vs not low)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.25–3.11</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number times ever used marijuana††</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.04–1.89</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residence (vs non-rural)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.63–1.50</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: †Area under ROC curve = 0.859, Hosmer-Lemeshow χ² = 4.95, p = 0.763. ††For genetic analyses, sample was reduced due to missing DNA and exclusion of 40 non-Caucasians. †††For MVA logistic regression marijuana use was coded as an ordinal variable: never used, used occasionally, but less than 50 times, and used 50 or more times.

Recent studies have confirmed that suicidal behaviors among veterans would be associated with existing mental health disorders and psychosocial factors, independent of warzone experiences. As with lifetime suicidal thoughts, as hypothesized, current suicidal thought was not associated with the genetic risk score (detailed results available from the senior author [JAB] upon request). Finally, since interaction effects were previously reported for PTSD by trauma exposures, we also assessed these interaction effects for our suicide measures, but these were not statistically significant.

Discussion

Consistent with the psychosocial stress process model, our hypothesis was that suicidal behaviors among veterans would be associated with existing mental health disorders and psychosocial factors, independent of warzone experiences. Recent studies have confirmed that suicidal behavior among veterans is multi-faceted and associated with both individual and societal-level factors. Nevertheless, the influence of genetic factors cannot be ruled out. In summary, we found both risk and protective factors for suicide among a multi-generational cohort of community veterans, including genetic risk factors. Contrary to some reports, however, our study revealed that combat exposure and deployment history were not risk factors for suicide, but that history of childhood neglect/abuse was, as was history of depression, and lifetime marijuana use.

The current study has several strengths. First, we recruited a large sample of community veterans. Second, we used validated scales and survey measures from previous research. Third, we included veterans from Vietnam through to current conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan, something not typically done in veteran studies, but potentially more representative of current community veterans. Fourth, we examined the relationship between a genetic risk model and several post-deployment mental health predictors including PTSD, depression, history of childhood abuse/neglect, psychological resilience, and lifetime marijuana use.

However, our study has several limitations, including that it was based on a cross-sectional survey. Because of this limitation, it is possible that some associations found in could be reversed, such that those with post-deployment mental health symptoms may have a more negative recall of different symptoms and other health-related factors. In addition, although our study was based on a large survey, it was conducted among mostly Caucasian patients in a multi-
hospital system located in central and northeastern Pennsylvania. Furthermore, we found some survey participation differences, whereby survey respondents tended to be younger and more often married (both p-values < 0.05), compared to nonrespondents.\textsuperscript{5}

Thus, it may not be possible to generalize these findings to other geographic areas and study populations. As noted elsewhere, however, there are few robust national samples of veterans available for research, since this population tends to be dynamic, given multiple deployments, ongoing conflicts, VA policy changes, the fluctuation in service use, and the aging veteran population.\textsuperscript{7,84–86} In addition, most veterans do not consistently use the VA system for health care,\textsuperscript{5,87} which complicates using samples of veterans for population health research.\textsuperscript{88} Nevertheless, while there were significant differences found between the veterans, as reported elsewhere, there were fewer differences detected in the final adjusted multi-variable analyses.\textsuperscript{7,25} In part, this was likely because of the relatively small number of veterans who reported suicidal behaviors (ie, suicide attempts or suicide plans), which were combined into a single category due to their low numbers, potentially biasing our results. This may have limited our ability to detect at statistically significant difference.\textsuperscript{83} In any case, as shown in Table 3, the impact of our genetic score in the study was limited (z-score = 2.10, compared to major depression (z-score = 4.46), likely due to our limited study sample.

**Conclusion**

Despite these limitations, our findings are consistent with recent studies, in which researchers reported that service members’ pre-deployment, deployment, and post-deployment experiences have an impact on mental health status years afterwards.\textsuperscript{25} We emphasize that services for returning veterans that target modifiable risk factors are important.\textsuperscript{7} Yet, there are currently few specific behavioral health models to improve the homecoming experiences for veterans.\textsuperscript{89} Although recent progress has been made, the reasons why some veterans are at greater risk for suicide are still unclear. For example, the finding that history of marijuana use is associated with suicide among veterans is worthy of further investigation, especially given the legalization of this drug in the US and its experimental use with PTSD-positive veterans.\textsuperscript{29} Following deployments, most veterans return to their local communities and are typically seen in non-government healthcare facilities at least some of the time,\textsuperscript{90,91} potentially complicating their care.

Therefore, providers in non-VA settings need to be aware of the unique clinical presentations and risk factors for these patients.\textsuperscript{92} As noted, one challenge is that there are few representative samples of community veterans to evaluate long-term health outcomes, and the samples that are available are often limited to the VA system.\textsuperscript{93,94} It is well known that hospital and clinic-based sample tend to be biased.\textsuperscript{95} Thus, more broad-based registries for veterans are encouraged, such as those that have been developed in other clinical areas,\textsuperscript{96} especially if this registry can include surveys, electronic health records, and clinical data.\textsuperscript{97,98} Replicating earlier research with non-veterans,\textsuperscript{9,22,99} in the current study we predicted suicidal behaviors among veterans. We previously noted that when genetic information was added to a PTSD risk score it helped improve the accuracy of prediction results for a psychosocial screening instrument that already had good prediction results.\textsuperscript{9} This improvement was achieved by increasing prediction specificity, which may have implications for better precision medicine in the future. Further research is clearly advised since both the phenotypes and genotypes investigated were limited. The polygenic risk score was significant as hypothesized and consistent with previous studies, but additional research is required as this relates to longitudinal research and inclusion of additional phenotypes/genotypes, which is planned in the future.
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