Abstract: Saxagliptin (Onglyza™) is a potent, selective, once-daily dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor indicated for improving glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D). By blocking DPP-4, saxagliptin increases and prolongs the effects of incretins, a group of peptide hormones released by intestinal cells after meals, which stimulate glucose-dependent insulin secretion to lower blood glucose. In controlled clinical trials, saxagliptin administered as monotherapy or in combination with metformin, glyburide, or a thiazolidinedione improved glycemic control in a clinically significant manner, reflected by significant decreases in glycated hemoglobin (monotherapy, −0.5%; add-on to metformin, thiazolidinedione, or sulfonylurea, −0.6% to 0.9%; initial combination with metformin, −2.5%), fasting plasma glucose, and postprandial glucose compared with controls. Additionally, saxagliptin improved β-cell function, reflected as increases in homeostasis model assessment (HOMA)-2 B. Saxagliptin was generally well tolerated; it did not increase hypoglycemia compared with controls, and was weight neutral. A meta-analysis of Phase II and III trials showed that saxagliptin did not increase the risk of major cardiovascular events. Professional organizations have updated their guidelines for T2D to include a DPP-4 inhibitor as an early treatment option—either as initial therapy in combination with metformin, or as add-on therapy for patients whose glycemia is inadequately controlled by a single oral antidiabetic drug.
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Introduction

Saxagliptin (Onglyza™, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Wilmington, DE, USA) is a selective dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor approved for the management of type 2 diabetes (T2D) along with diet and exercise.1 In addition to saxagliptin, sitagliptin is currently approved in the United States and various regions worldwide.2 Vildagliptin is not yet approved in the United States.3 Structurally, saxagliptin is a cyanopyrrolidine derivative designed to provide extended inhibition of the DPP-4 enzyme (Figure 1).1,4,5 Saxagliptin has been evaluated in initial therapy of T2D both as a single agent and in combination with metformin,6–8 as well as add-on therapy for patients whose glycemia had not been adequately controlled by metformin, a sulfonylurea (glyburide), or a thiazolidinedione (rosiglitazone).9–11 In each treatment setting, saxagliptin significantly improved glycemic control relative to the comparator group, and exhibited a safety and tolerability profile comparable with that observed in the control arms.6–11 The purpose of this article is to review the clinical profile of saxagliptin in the manage-
ment of patients with T2D. After providing the rationale for DPP-4 inhibition, the pharmacokinetics of saxagliptin will be considered, including its use in special patient populations, followed by the results of controlled clinical trials conducted with saxagliptin in the first-line and add-on treatment settings.

Role of incretins

The incretins are peptide hormones secreted by the intestines following food consumption. They bind to specific G-protein–coupled receptors, thereby stimulating glucose-dependent insulin secretion (Figure 2). The best characterized incretins are glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) secreted by intestinal L-cells in the distal ileum and colon, and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP) produced by intestinal K-cells in the duodenum and jejunum. Together, these incretins account for approximately 50% to 70% of the postprandial insulin response in healthy individuals. In addition to stimulating insulin secretion, GLP-1 has other actions that help to control blood glucose levels. GLP-1 reduces postprandial glucagon secretion from pancreatic α-cells to lower hepatic glucose production, slows gastric emptying to reduce glucose absorption after meals, and promotes satiety to reduce further food intake.

The actions of the incretins, however, are short-lived because they are rapidly inactivated by DPP-4, a serine protease that is widely distributed in human tissues, including the intestines, pancreas, lungs, kidneys, adrenals, brain, and lymphocytes. DPP-4 predominantly cleaves peptides containing either an alanine or proline at position 2 of the N-terminus. The half-life (t½) of biologically active GLP-1 and GIP, following exogenous administration, is <2 and 5–7 minutes, respectively, which reflects in part the rapid inactivation by DPP-4. The secretion of GLP-1 following meals is reduced modestly in patients with T2D compared with healthy individuals, but its actions in stimulating insulin secretion are preserved. In contrast, meal-stimulated GIP secretion is normal but its biologic activity is reduced in T2D. By blocking inactivation of the incretins, DPP-4 inhibitors are designed to increase and prolong the effects of the incretins, particularly GLP-1, in patients with T2D, thereby reducing plasma glucose levels (Figure 2).

DPP-4 inhibition with saxagliptin

*In vitro* studies have shown that saxagliptin is a highly potent, selective, reversible, and competitive DPP-4 inhibitor. The enzyme-inhibitor dissociation constant (Kᵢ) of saxagliptin for DPP-4 at 37°C is 1.3 nM, making it approximately 10-fold more potent for blocking DPP-4 than sitagliptin. DPP-4 belongs to a family of ubiquitous atypical serine proteases, which have physiologic functions that go beyond incretin degradation to include effects on endocrine and immune systems. This family includes the intracytosolic members, DPP-8 and DPP-9, the specific physiologic function of which remains unclear. Saxagliptin is selective for DPP-4 relative to these other DPP-4 family members; the Kᵢ values for blocking DPP-8 and DPP-9 are 508 and 100 nM, respectively, or approximately 400-fold and 75-fold higher than the concentrations needed to block DPP-4. Moreover, saxagliptin displays >4000-fold higher selectivity for DPP-4.
Saxagliptin has an active metabolite (5-hydroxy saxagliptin; BMS-510849) that is two-fold less active than the parent drug as a DPP-4 inhibitor \((K_i = 2.6 \text{ nM})\), but displays approximately two-fold greater selectivity. Saxagliptin dissociates slowly from the DPP-4 active site with a \(t_{1/2}\) of 50 minutes, whereas slow dissociation has not been seen from any other enzymes tested, including DPP-8 and DPP-9. This tight but reversible binding to DPP-4 helps to explain why saxagliptin provides prolonged enzyme inhibition.

Saxagliptin inhibited plasma DPP-4 activity in a dose-related manner over the dose range of 2.5–400 mg once daily in healthy subjects and patients with T2D. DPP-4 activity remained inhibited by 50% and 79% when measured at 24 hours after the 2.5 and 400 mg doses, respectively. After an oral glucose load or meal, inhibition of the DPP-4 enzyme by saxagliptin resulted in a two- to three-fold increase in circulating levels of active GLP-1 and GIP, and increased glucose-dependent insulin secretion.

The effect of saxagliptin on \(\beta\)-cell function was explored in a study of 36 treatment-naïve patients with T2D who received either saxagliptin 5 mg or placebo for 12 weeks. Saxagliptin increased \(\beta\)-cell responsiveness to glucose in both the fasting and postprandial states, as evidenced by significant increases from baseline in the insulin secretion rate compared with placebo \((P = 0.02\) in the fasting state; \(P = 0.035\) following oral glucose load). This was accompanied by a reduction in postprandial glucagon secretion. Moreover, saxagliptin increased and prolonged peak incretin levels, particularly GLP-1, after the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). These results indicate that saxagliptin blocks DPP-4, slows inactivation of the incretins, in particular GLP-1, and prolongs their biologic actions.

### Dosage, administration, and formulations

Saxagliptin is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with T2D. Saxagliptin should not be used in patients with type 1 diabetes or diabetic ketoacidosis, because the pathophysiology of these conditions, as well as the drug’s mechanism of action, would not confer benefit in these settings.

As indicated by the US label for saxagliptin, the recommended dose of saxagliptin for patients with T2D is 2.5 or 5 mg orally once daily, which can be taken without regard to the timing of meals. No dosage adjustment is recommended based on age, gender, or race, or for patients with hepatic impairment or mild renal insufficiency. (ie, creatinine clearance \([\text{CrCl}] > 50 \text{ mL/min}\)). However, the dose of saxagliptin should be adjusted to 2.5 mg for those patients with moderate-to-severe renal impairment \((\text{CrCl} \leq 50 \text{ mL/min})\) or end-stage renal disease to achieve optimal saxagliptin plasma concentrations. Because saxagliptin is removed by hemodialysis, doses of saxagliptin should be given after, not before, hemodialysis sessions.

In addition, although dosage adjustment is not necessary based on age alone, it is important to recognize that elderly patients often have decreased renal function and, therefore, care should be exercised in selecting the dose of saxagliptin for elderly patients whose renal function may be compromised. Dose adjustment to 2.5 mg is also recommended for patients who are concomitantly taking ketoconazole or another strong cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4/5 inhibitor (eg, atazanavir, clarithromycin, indinavir, itraconazole, nefazodone, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, or telithromycin). However, dosage adjustment is not necessary for patients taking moderate CYP 3A4/5 inhibitors, such as diltiazem, verapamil, erythromycin, or grapefruit juice.

### Pharmacokinetics

#### Absorption and exposure

The pharmacokinetics of saxagliptin were explored in two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, sequential ascending-dose trials. The first trial evaluated saxagliptin at doses from 2.5 to 50 mg once daily for 14 days in patients with T2D; the second trial evaluated doses from 100 to 400 mg once daily for 14 days in healthy volunteers. No differences in the pharmacokinetics of saxagliptin or 5-hydroxy saxagliptin were apparent between the patients with T2D and healthy volunteers. Saxagliptin was well absorbed following oral administration, with time to peak plasma concentrations \((T_{\text{max}})\) of saxagliptin and 5-hydroxy saxagliptin reached by \(\approx 2\) and \(\approx 4\) hours, respectively. Systemic exposure (area under the plasma concentration-time curve \([\text{AUC}]\)) to saxagliptin and 5-hydroxy saxagliptin was proportional to the saxagliptin dose over the entire dose range from 2.5 to 400 mg, with no substantial accumulation or reduction in systemic exposure seen with the once-daily dosing regimen.

On a molar basis, systemic exposure to 5-hydroxy saxagliptin was several-fold higher than for saxagliptin. For example, following a 5 mg dose, the mean plasma AUC values for saxagliptin and 5-hydroxy saxagliptin were 78 and 214 ng·h/mL, respectively.

The mean \(t_{1/2}\) range of saxagliptin was 2.2 to 3.8 hours, and the \(t_{1/2}\) range of 5-hydroxy saxagliptin was 3.0 to 7.4 hours; both were independent of the saxagliptin dose. Approximately...
70% of the administered dose was recovered in urine as the parent drug or 5-hydroxy saxagliptin.\textsuperscript{1,2}

When administered with a high-fat meal, the \( T_{\text{max}} \) was delayed by 20 minutes and was associated with a 27% increase in the AUC of saxagliptin compared with dosing in a fasted condition. These findings indicated that saxagliptin can be taken with or without food.\textsuperscript{3-5}

**Metabolism and elimination**

Saxagliptin is eliminated by both renal and hepatic pathways. Following a single 50 mg dose of \([^{14}\text{C}]\)-saxagliptin, 24% and 36% of the administered dose was excreted in the urine as saxagliptin and 5-hydroxy saxagliptin, respectively. Active renal secretion of saxagliptin occurs, in so far as the mean renal clearance of the drug (∼230 mL/min) was greater than the estimated glomerular filtration rate (∼120 mL/min). Overall, 75% of the administered radioactivity was recovered in urine as the parent drug or 5-hydroxy saxagliptin.\textsuperscript{6}

Active renal secretion of saxagliptin occurs, in so far as the mean renal clearance of the drug (∼230 mL/min) was greater than the estimated glomerular filtration rate (∼120 mL/min). Overall, 75% of the administered radioactivity was recovered in urine as the parent drug or 5-hydroxy saxagliptin.\textsuperscript{6}

The metabolism of saxagliptin is primarily mediated by CYP 3A4/5 and, consequently, the coadministration of saxagliptin with strong CYP 3A4/5 inhibitors or inducers could alter the pharmacokinetics of saxagliptin and 5-hydroxy saxagliptin.\textsuperscript{7} When the strong CYP 3A4/5 inhibitor, ketoconazole (200 mg at 12-hourly intervals for nine days) was coadministered with a single 100 mg dose of saxagliptin in healthy subjects, it significantly increased the time to peak concentration (\( C_{\text{max}} \)) and AUC from zero to infinity (\( AUC_{\infty} \)) of saxagliptin by 62% and 145%, respectively, compared with administration of saxagliptin alone. Exposure to 5-hydroxy saxagliptin, however, was reduced by 88%.\textsuperscript{8}

Based on these findings, reducing the dose of saxagliptin to 2.5 mg once daily for patients who are concomitantly taking ketoconazole or another strong CYP 3A4/5 inhibitor is recommended.\textsuperscript{1}

Concomitant administration of long-acting diltiazem (360 mg once daily for nine days), a moderate CYP 3A4/5 inhibitor, with a single 10 mg dose of saxagliptin significantly increased the \( C_{\text{max}} \) and \( AUC_{\infty} \) of saxagliptin by 63% and 109%, respectively, compared with the administration of saxagliptin alone.\textsuperscript{9} Given the magnitude of the increase in exposure, dosage adjustment of saxagliptin is not needed when coadministered with diltiazem or other moderate CYP 3A4/5 inhibitors.\textsuperscript{1}

Coadministration of the CYP 3A4/5 substrate simvastatin (40 mg once daily for four days) with saxagliptin (10 mg once daily for four days) did not produce a clinically significant effect on systemic exposure to either drug\textsuperscript{10} and, therefore, no dosage adjustment is needed when saxagliptin is given with mild CYP 3A4/5 inhibitors or substrates.\textsuperscript{11}

Coadministration of metformin 1000 mg, glyburide 5 mg, or pioglitazone 45 mg once daily for four days with single doses of saxagliptin revealed no clinically significant drug-drug interactions.\textsuperscript{29-31} Similarly, clinically significant interactions were not evident between saxagliptin and digoxin, famotidine, omeprazole, or the antacid containing aluminum hydroxide + magnesium hydroxide + simethicone.\textsuperscript{12,32,33}

Therefore, dosage adjustments are not needed when saxagliptin is coadministered with any of these agents.

**Clinical trials**

The efficacy and safety of saxagliptin were evaluated in a series of well-controlled clinical trials that enrolled treatment-naive patients with T2D (Table 1),\textsuperscript{6,7} or those patients unable to achieve or maintain glycemic control with metformin,\textsuperscript{9} a sulfonylurea (glyburide),\textsuperscript{10} or a thiazolidinedione.\textsuperscript{11} Pregnant women, as well as children and adolescents aged

<p>| Table 1 Summary of the effects of saxagliptin 5 mg on glycemic parameters in controlled 24-week clinical trials |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Baseline ( \text{HbA}_{1c} ) (%)</th>
<th>Mean change in ( \text{HbA}_{1c} ) (%)</th>
<th>Patients (%) with ( \text{HbA}_{1c} ) &lt;7%</th>
<th>Mean change in FPG (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Mean change in PPG-AUC (mmol·min/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monotherapy\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>Saxagliptin 5 mg</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-0.5\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-0.50\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-2.39\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-on to MET\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>Saxagliptin 5 mg (+ MET)</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>-0.7\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>44\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo (+ MET)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-on to GLY\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>Saxagliptin 5 mg (+ GLY)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>-0.6\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>23\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-0.56\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-1.89\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLY up-titration</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saxagliptin 5 mg (+ TZD)</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>-0.9\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo (+ TZD)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial therapy with MET\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>Saxagliptin 5 mg (+ MET)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>-2.5\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>60\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-3.33\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>-7.66\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Statistically significant.

**Abbreviations:** FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GLY, glyburide; \( \text{HbA}_{1c} \), glycated hemoglobin; MET, metformin; PPG, postprandial glucose; TZD, thiazolidinedione.
Efficacy of saxagliptin monotherapy

The efficacy of saxagliptin monotherapy was initially evaluated in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, dose-ranging trial conducted at 152 US sites. A total of 338 treatment-naïve patients with T2D with inadequate glycemic control (baseline glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] 6.8%-9.7%) were randomly allocated to treatment with saxagliptin 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or 40 mg once daily or placebo for 12 weeks, and an additional cohort of 85 patients were randomized to saxagliptin 100 mg once daily or placebo for six weeks. Starting from a relatively low mean baseline HbA1c of 7.9%, saxagliptin at doses of 2.5-40 mg produced consistent and clinically significant HbA1c decreases of 0.72% to 0.90% compared with a 0.27% reduction in the placebo group (P < 0.007). The placebo-adjusted change from baseline in HbA1c ranged from -0.45% to -0.63%. More patients with baseline HbA1c ≥7% achieved the glycemic target of HbA1c <7% with saxagliptin than with placebo (41%-53% versus 20%). Comparable results were achieved in the high-dose cohort, in which saxagliptin 100 mg reduced HbA1c from baseline by 1.09% versus 0.36% for placebo, and 66% versus 22% of patients achieved the HbA1c target of <7%. At each dose level, saxagliptin also reduced fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, which was first evident by the second week of treatment, as well as one-hour postprandial glucose (PPG) as part of an OGTT. In general, the efficacy of saxagliptin in reducing HbA1c, and FPG was greatest in subgroups with higher baseline values of these glycemic parameters.

Based on the results of the dose-ranging study, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase III trial was conducted to compare saxagliptin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg once daily versus placebo. A total of 401 treatment-naïve T2D patients with baseline HbA1c ≥7% to 10% were allocated to study treatment for 24 weeks; mean baseline HbA1c and FPG were 7.9% and 9.71 mmol/L, respectively. Saxagliptin produced clinically significant improvements in HbA1c and FPG at each dose tested. The mean changes from baseline in HbA1c were -0.43%, -0.46%, and -0.54% with the 2.5, 5, and 10 mg doses, respectively, compared with an increase of 0.19% in the placebo group (all P < 0.0001). Similarly, the mean changes in FPG were -0.83, -0.50, and -0.94 mmol/L, respectively, compared with an increase of 0.33 mmol/L in the placebo group (P ≤ 0.0074). The two higher saxagliptin doses allowed a significantly greater number of patients to achieve the HbA1c target of <7% compared with placebo (38% and 41% versus 24%; P ≤ 0.05), and each saxagliptin group had significantly greater reductions in PPG-AUC in the 75 g OGTT (P ≤ 0.0002). This study also enrolled an open-label cohort of 68 patients with a baseline HbA1c between 10% and 12% who received saxagliptin 10 mg once daily for 24 weeks. Saxagliptin produced greater mean improvements in HbA1c (-1.9%), FPG (-1.83 mmol/L), and PPG-AUC (-615 mmol-min/L) in this cohort than in the main study, consistent with their poorer glycemic status at baseline.

Taken together, these two clinical trials demonstrated that saxagliptin monotherapy produced clinically significant improvements in glycemic control compared with placebo in treatment-naïve patients with T2D. The clinical benefit of saxagliptin was evident across a wide range of baseline HbA1c levels, and in general, greater HbA1c decreases were achieved in patients with poorer glycemic control at baseline.

Efficacy of saxagliptin in combination therapy

Saxagliptin was evaluated in combination with metformin in two clinical trials, one evaluating add-on saxagliptin in patients with inadequate glycemic control on stable doses of metformin, and the other evaluating saxagliptin in combination with metformin as initial therapy.

The saxagliptin add-on trial was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter study that enrolled 743 patients with T2D and an HbA1c of 7% to 10% despite treatment with stable metformin doses from 1500 to 2550 mg/day. Patients were randomly allocated to receive saxagliptin 2.5, 5, or 10 mg once daily or placebo for 24 weeks in addition to continuing their stable open-label metformin dose. From a mean baseline HbA1c of 8.0%, add-on saxagliptin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg significantly reduced HbA1c by -0.59%, -0.69%, and -0.58%, respectively, compared with an increase of 0.13% with add-on placebo (all P ≤ 0.0001). Clinically relevant and statistically significant reductions from baseline to week 24 for patients taking saxagliptin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg in FPG (0.79, 1.22, and 1.14 mmol/L, respectively; all P < 0.0001) and PPG-AUC (−493, −532, and −432 mmol-min/L, respectively; all P < 0.0001), as well as in the proportion of patients achieving an HbA1c target <7% were achieved compared with placebo, with maximal
benefit seen in the saxagliptin 5 mg dose group. Patients receiving add-on saxagliptin had significant increases in postprandial insulin compared with those receiving add-on placebo in the OGTT (all $P < 0.0001$), and in addition, $\beta$-cell function measured by the HOMA-2$\beta$ method was improved in all saxagliptin treatment groups. $^9$ Patients who completed the 24-week study period with or without need for rescue medication were eligible to enter a 42-month long-term extension period, $^3$ during which saxagliptin added to metformin provided sustained glycemic improvement. At 102 weeks, the placebo-corrected decrease in HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ was $−0.62\%$, $−0.72\%$, and $−0.52\%$ with add-on saxagliptin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg, respectively.$^4$

The initial combination therapy trial was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, 24-week study that enrolled 1306 treatment-naïve patients with T2D and HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ between 8% and 12% (mean baseline HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ = 9.5%). $^7$ Patients were randomly allocated to one of four treatment arms: saxagliptin 5 mg + metformin 500 mg, saxagliptin 10 mg + metformin 500 mg, saxagliptin 10 mg + placebo, or metformin 500 mg + placebo. The dose of metformin was increased to 1000 mg after one week, then titrated in 500 mg increments to a maximum of 2000 mg/day if FPG was $>6.11$ mmol/L. Saxagliptin was administered once daily, while metformin was given in two divided doses. Initial therapy with the saxagliptin–metformin combination significantly improved HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$, FPG, and PPG-AUC compared with treatment with either saxagliptin or metformin alone.$^5$ The mean decrease from baseline HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ was 2.5% in each combination group compared with reductions of 1.7% and 2.0% for saxagliptin or metformin alone, respectively ($P < 0.0001$ versus each monotherapy). The difference in HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ was evident by week 4—the first time point assessed—and was maintained throughout the remainder of the treatment period. Moreover, the combination of saxagliptin 5 or 10 mg + metformin allowed a significantly greater proportion of patients to reach HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ targets $<7\%$ than either saxagliptin or metformin alone (60.3% and 59.7% versus 32.2% and 41.1%, respectively; all $P < 0.0001$ for combination versus monotherapy).$^7$ A similar relationship favoring combination therapy was seen when achievement of HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}_\text{\textsubscript{\geq}6.5\%}$ was assessed.$^7$ Saxagliptin 5 mg + metformin significantly improved FPG ($−3.33$ mmol/L) compared with metformin ($−2.61$ mmol/L, $P = 0.0002$) and saxagliptin ($−1.72$ mmol/L; $P < 0.0001$) monotherapy, and similarly produced significant improvements in PPG-AUC compared with either agent alone (both $P < 0.0001$). In addition, saxagliptin–metformin therapy produced significant improvements in $\beta$-cell function (based on HOMA-2$\beta$ assessment, compared with saxagliptin [$P < 0.0001$] or metformin [$P = 0.0004$] alone), numerically greater increases in postprandial insulin compared with metformin alone, and in early insulin response measured by the insulinogenic index compared with either agent alone.$^7$

Add-on saxagliptin therapy was evaluated in two other multicenter, randomized, double-blind Phase III trials (of sulfonylurea [glyburide] or thiazolidinediones).$^{10,11}$ In the glyburide add-on trial, 768 patients were enrolled who had inadequate glycemic control defined by HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ of 7.5% to 10% while receiving a sulfonylurea at less than the maximum approved dose (mean baseline HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ = 8.4%).$^10$ Patients were randomly allocated to one of three treatment groups using a double-dummy design, saxagliptin 2.5 mg/day, saxagliptin 5 mg/day, or glyburide 2.5 mg/day, each in addition to open-label glyburide 7.5 mg/day. Blinded titration in the glyburide monotherapy group to a maximum total daily dose of 15 mg was allowed at weeks 2 and 4. By the end of the 24-week study, the mean total daily dose of glyburide was 7.4 mg in the combination therapy group compared with 14.6 mg in the monotherapy group, with 92% of patients in the glyburide monotherapy group receiving the maximum allowed dose of 15 mg.$^{10}$ Saxagliptin + glyburide significantly improved HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$, FPG, and PPG compared with glyburide uptitration.$^{10}$ The adjusted mean change from baseline in HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ was $−0.54\%$ and $−0.64\%$ with add-on saxagliptin 2.5 and 5 mg, respectively, compared with an increase in HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ of 0.08% with glyburide monotherapy (both $P < 0.0001$). The corresponding changes in FPG were $−0.40$ and $−0.50$ mmol/L versus $+0.04$ mmol/L ($P = 0.022$ and $P = 0.002$, respectively) and in PPG-AUC $−2$ and $−2$ mmol-min/L versus $+0.44$ mmol-min/L (both $P < 0.0001$).$^{10}$ The proportion of patients reaching the HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ target of $<7\%$ was approximately 1.5 times higher with add-on saxagliptin compared with glyburide uptitration (22.4% and 22.8% versus 9.1%; $P < 0.0001$).$^{10}$ The proportion reaching the more aggressive HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ target of $\geq 6.5\%$ was also higher with add-on saxagliptin 5 mg than with glyburide alone (10.4% versus 4.5%; $P = 0.012$).

Add-on saxagliptin was also evaluated in T2D patients with HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$ of 7% to 10% despite treatment with stable doses of a thiazolidinedione—either pioglitazone 30 or 45 mg once daily or rosiglitazone 4 or 8 mg once daily or 4 mg twice daily.$^{11}$ In this study, a total of 565 patients were randomly allocated to receive saxagliptin 2.5 or 5 mg once daily or placebo for 24 weeks in addition to continuing on their stable dose of thiazolidinedione. Consistent with the other trials, add-on saxagliptin 2.5 and 5 mg significantly improved HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$, FPG, and PPG compared with thiazolidinedione alone.$^{11}$ For HbA$\text{\textsubscript{1c}}$, the mean changes from baseline
Taken together, these trials demonstrate that saxagliptin added to metformin, glyburide, or a thiazolidinedione significantly improves glycemic control in patients inadequately controlled by metformin, glyburide, or a thiazolidinedione alone (Figure 3).7,9–11

A pooled analysis of five 24-week Phase III clinical trials was conducted to explore the efficacy of saxagliptin in elderly patients.35 In total, saxagliptin 5 mg was administered as monotherapy or add-on therapy to 882 adults in these trials. Saxagliptin 5 mg produced similar reductions in HbA1c in patients aged ≥65 years as in those aged <65 years. Saxagliptin produced mean changes from baseline HbA1c of −0.73% (compared with −0.17% for placebo) in the elderly cohort and −0.68% (compared with −0.01%) in the younger adult cohort. The tolerability of saxagliptin also did not differ between the younger and older patients.35

Safety and tolerability of saxagliptin

Saxagliptin was generally well tolerated whether administered alone or in combination with metformin, glyburide, or a thiazolidinedione.6,9,10 Weight gain was a well-recognized side effect of thiazolidinedione therapy, presumably resulting from fluid retention and increased adipose tissue.6,9 Similarly, in the trial with glyburide, small increases in mean body weight were seen in each treatment group (0.7 and 0.8 kg with add-on saxagliptin 2.5 or 5 mg compared with 0.3 kg with glyburide titration; \( P = 0.038 \) and \( P = 0.012 \), respectively).10 In comparison, saxagliptin had weight-neutral effects when used as monotherapy,6,9 or in combination with metformin.7,9
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**Figure 3** Efficacy of saxagliptin in monotherapy, add-on combination therapy, or initial combination therapy in patients with T2D. Shown are the adjusted mean changes in HbA1c with saxagliptin 5 mg once daily in the 24-week trials.7,9–11

Notes: *Statistical significance versus placebo; †Statistical significance versus comparator.

Abbreviations: GLY, glyburide; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; MET, metformin; TZD, thiazolidinedione.
thiazolidinedione. Across clinical trials, the incidence of adverse events in the saxagliptin 5 mg treatment arms was comparable with the rates in the control arms (Table 2).6,7,9-11 In a placebo-controlled pooled safety analysis, the incidence of gastrointestinal adverse events was comparable in patients receiving saxagliptin 5 mg (18.4%) versus a comparator (19.1%). Furthermore, the percentage of patients receiving metformin as initial combination therapy with saxagliptin 5 mg had a similar frequency of gastrointestinal adverse events compared with those on metformin monotherapy (20.1%). Patients treated with saxagliptin 5 mg plus a thiazolidinedione had a higher rate of edema, most commonly peripheral edema, than those patients who received a thiazolidinedione alone (8.1% versus 4.3%).11 The higher incidence of edema with a pedal distribution is well recognized to occur when a thiazolidinedione is used in combination with another glucose-lowering agent.36

Hypoglycemia—when defined as events consistent with signs or symptoms of hypoglycemia with or without a documented blood glucose level—was reported by up to 5% of patients who received saxagliptin 5 mg alone or in combination with metformin or a thiazolidinedione, and by nearly 15% when saxagliptin was given in combination with glyburide (Table 2).6,7,9-11 These rates, however, were comparable with the rates seen in the control groups. Treatment with combinations that include a sulfonylurea or an insulin secretagogue are associated with unacceptable increases in cardiovascular risk.38

In a pooled analysis of the three Phase III trials evaluating add-on saxagliptin 5 mg, the incidence of reported hypoglycemia was 8.3% in the saxagliptin arms compared with 6.8% in the placebo arms.37 Less than 2.5% of the reported hypoglycemia episodes across all studies were confirmed by a fingerstick glucose level ≤2.78 mmol/L in association with symptoms (Table 2). Even when higher doses of saxagliptin were included in the analysis, the incidence of confirmed hypoglycemia remained infrequent in both younger adults and elderly patients.37 In the long-term extension study, adding saxagliptin 5 mg to metformin did not increase the incidence of reported hypoglycemia compared with adding placebo to metformin (8.9% versus 10.1%), and confirmed hypoglycemia was rare in both groups (≤1.1%).34 These findings show that saxagliptin used as monotherapy or in combination therapy has a low propensity for causing hypoglycemia in patients with T2D.37

In late 2008, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued guidance recommendations for demonstrating that an investigational antidiabetic agent for T2D is not associated with unacceptable increases in cardiovascular risk.38

Table 2 Incidence of common adverse events and hypoglycemia in controlled 24-week clinical trials with saxagliptin 5 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event, n (%)</th>
<th>Saxa $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
<th>Saxa $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
<th>Saxa $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
<th>Saxa $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
<th>Saxa $5 mg$</th>
<th>Placebo $5 mg$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>10 (9.4)</td>
<td>7 (7.4)</td>
<td>11 (5.8)</td>
<td>13 (7.3)</td>
<td>253 (267)</td>
<td>186 (184)</td>
<td>320 (328)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>7 (6.6)</td>
<td>5 (5.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.6)</td>
<td>12 (6.7)</td>
<td>15 (5.9)</td>
<td>12 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>3 (3.2)</td>
<td>11 (5.8)</td>
<td>20 (11.2)</td>
<td>10 (4.0)</td>
<td>16 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 (2.1)</td>
<td>6 (3.4)</td>
<td>16 (6.3)</td>
<td>6 (2.2)</td>
<td>8 (4.3)</td>
<td>9 (4.9)</td>
<td>15 (4.7)</td>
<td>11 (3.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15 (8.1)</td>
<td>8 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URTI</td>
<td>9 (8.5)</td>
<td>11 (11.6)</td>
<td>9 (4.7)</td>
<td>9 (5.0)</td>
<td>16 (6.3)</td>
<td>18 (6.7)</td>
<td>17 (9.1)</td>
<td>13 (7.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTI</td>
<td>9 (8.5)</td>
<td>4 (4.2)</td>
<td>10 (5.2)</td>
<td>8 (4.5)</td>
<td>27 (10.7)</td>
<td>22 (8.2)</td>
<td>12 (6.5)</td>
<td>12 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>6 (5.7)</td>
<td>6 (6.3)</td>
<td>13 (6.8)</td>
<td>14 (7.8)</td>
<td>15 (5.9)</td>
<td>18 (6.7)</td>
<td>9 (4.8)</td>
<td>11 (6.0)</td>
<td>22 (6.9)</td>
<td>13 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported cases</td>
<td>5 (4.7)</td>
<td>6 (6.3)</td>
<td>10 (5.2)</td>
<td>9 (5.0)</td>
<td>37 (14.6)</td>
<td>27 (10.1)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>7 (3.8)</td>
<td>11 (3.4)</td>
<td>13 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed cases</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A dash (–) indicates that the incidence was not reported. Reported hypoglycemia was defined as events consistent with signs or symptoms of hypoglycemia with or without documented blood glucose levels. Confirmed hypoglycemia was defined by a fingerstick glucose value of ≤2.78 mmol/L, with associated symptoms.

Abbreviations: GLY, glyburide; MET, metformin; PBO, placebo; SAXA, saxagliptin; TZD, thiazolidinedione; UP-GLY, up titrated glyburide; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection; UTI, urinary tract infection.
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Specifically, a meta-analysis of important cardiovascular events should be conducted across Phase II and Phase III controlled clinical trials, and if possible, it should also explore similarities and differences among subgroups.38 Saxagliptin met this FDA recommendation in a post hoc analysis.38 The meta-analysis included 4607 patients, of whom 3356 were exposed to saxagliptin (including 1269 to the 5 mg dose) and 1251 to control treatment.39 This dataset included 3758 patient-years of exposure to saxagliptin. Overall, the incidence of major cardiovascular events (MACE), consisting of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and nonfatal stroke, was 0.7% among patients treated with saxagliptin (0.5% for those who received the 5 mg dose) compared with 1.4% for patients who received control treatment (hazard ratio 0.44; 95% confidence interval 0.24–0.82). Moreover, the incidence of MACE was lower with saxagliptin than controls in most subgroups considered to be at increased cardiovascular risk, including patients with a history of cardiovascular disease, patients with at least one cardiovascular risk factor besides T2D, patients with a history of hypertension or hypercholesterolemia, and male patients. This meta-analysis showed no evidence of increased cardiovascular risk in patients with T2D exposed to saxagliptin for up to 2.5 years.39 A prospective outcomes trial to evaluate whether or not saxagliptin may have a cardioprotective effect is currently underway.40

**Guideline recommendations for DPP-4 inhibitors**

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology recommend a glycemic target (HbA1c) of 6.5%.41 Similarly, the Asociación Latinoamericana de Diabetes defines an appropriate HbA1c level as <6.5%.42 According to the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology algorithm, the treatment approach should be stratified based on the current HbA1c.41 Monotherapy may be used initially for patients with HbA1c ≤7.5%, and if not sufficient to achieve the glycemic target, then an additional medication (ie, add-on therapy) is recommended. For patients with HbA1c of 7.6% to 9.0%, combination therapy with two antidiabetic agents should be initiated, because no single agent is likely to achieve the target glycemic control level. Metformin is recommended as the cornerstone of therapy, or if contraindicated due to renal or hepatic disease, gastrointestinal intolerance, or risk of lactic acidosis, a thiazolidinedione may be used.41 Because these agents serve as insulin sensitizers, DPP-4 inhibitors are an appropriate option for use as the second agent in dual-therapy regimens. For asymptomatic patients with HbA1c >9.0%, triple therapy may be initiated, such as metformin, a DPP-4 inhibitor, and either a sulfonylurea or thiazolidinedione.41

The American Diabetes Association and European Association for the Study of Diabetes recommend an HbA1c target of <7%.43 Metformin is also the cornerstone of treatment in the American Diabetes Association/European Association for the Study of Diabetes algorithm, but either a sulfonylurea or insulin is suggested for add-on therapy, with the latter recommended for patients with HbA1c >8.5%. DPP-4 inhibitors, like several other antidiabetic drug classes are not listed in the treatment algorithm, but are recognized to be an appropriate choice for selected patients.43 The Asociación Latinoamericana de Diabetes has set a more aggressive target for glycemic control in patients with T2D. The Asociación Latinoamericana de Diabetes guidelines recommend an HbA1c of <6%, FPG <5.56 mmol/L, and a one- to two-hour PPG <7.78 mmol/min/L.42

**Conclusions**

Multiple classes of oral antidiabetic agents are available for managing T2D. Although improved glycemic control may be achieved initially, the efficacy of these agents may diminish over time due in part to increasing β-cell dysfunction that occurs with disease progression.13 Traditionally, T2D was managed with metformin, sulfonylureas, and insulin, but surveys indicated that many patients fail to achieve recommended HbA1c targets. Despite increased awareness about the importance of tight glycemic control, as well as the availability of several new antidiabetic agents, surveys continue to show that many patients are still not at recommended glycemic targets. In Brazil, a recent survey found that only 23% of patients with T2D had achieved an HbA1c <7%.44 Higher glycemic control rates were reported in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys conducted in 1999–2002 and 2003–2004 in the United States but still >40% of patients with T2D had not achieved an HbA1c <7%, even though many reported that they were taking either oral hypoglycemic drugs or insulin.45,46

With the evolution of T2D management, treatment guidelines issued by professional organizations are being updated to include the use of DPP-4 inhibitors (as discussed above).31,42 Like other DPP-4 inhibitors, saxagliptin is effective, well tolerated, and can be administered orally once daily with or without food.1 As shown across multiple controlled clinical trials, saxagliptin, in particular, is effective in reducing HbA1c, FPG, and PPG, whether used as monotherapy or in
combination with other oral agents, including metformin, sulfonylureas, and thiazolidinediones. Moreover, saxagliptin and other DPP-4 inhibitors offer an attractive safety and tolerability profile, with a low risk of hypoglycemia and gastrointestinal intolerance when added on to existing therapy, compared with a glinide or sulfonylurea.\textsuperscript{7,9-11,41,45} Saxagliptin is weight neutral and also has a beneficial effect on \( \beta \)-cell function.\textsuperscript{22,43} Taken together, the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of saxagliptin combined with the convenience of once-daily dosing makes it an attractive agent for use in the management of T2D.
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