Overexpressed long noncoding RNA TUGI affects the cell cycle, proliferation, and apoptosis of pancreatic cancer partly through suppressing RND3 and MT2A

This article was published in the following Dove Medical Press journal: OncoTargets and Therapy

Bingqing Hui, 1,2,* Yetao Xu,3,* Benpeng Zhao, 4,* Hao Ii, 1,2 Zhonghua Ma, 1,2 Shufen Xu, 1,2 ZhenYu He,1,5 Keming Wang,1,2 Jianwei Lu⁶

Department of Oncology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China; ²Department of Oncology, Second Clinical Medical College of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China; ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu, China; Basic Medicine Faculty of Shanghai Jiaotong University, Core Facility of Basic Medical Sciences, Shanghai 200000, China; ⁵Department of General Surgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, C Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Hospital, Jiangsu Institut Research, Nanjing Medical Upi rsity Affiliated Cancer Hospital Mjing 210000, Jiangsu, China

*These authors contribut to this work

Correspond ing Wang ology, Second Affiliated Department of Hospital, Nanjing cal University, 121 Jiangjiayuan road, Gul istrict, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China Tel +86 189 5176 2692 Fax +86 25 5850 9810 Email kemingwang@njmu.edu.cn

Department of Medical Oncology, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, Jiangsu Institute of Cancer Research, Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, 42 Kunlun road, Xuanwu District, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China Tel/fax +86 025 8328 4750 Email lujw@medmail.com.cn

Background: Long noncoding RNAs (la (NAs) involved various human diseases, ented. We investigated alteraincluding cancers. However, their mech ns remain uk tions in lncRNA that may be related panci ic cancer (PC) through analysis of microarray

, quantitative real-time PCR analysis was used to examine the **Methods:** In the present sty expression of taurine upregated 1 (TUG in PC tissue samples and PC cell lines. In PC cell lines, MTT assays, colony mation assay and flow cytometry were used to investigate the effects of TUG1 on proliferation le regulation, and apoptosis. Moreover, we estabassess the effect of TUG1 on tumor growth in vivo. The molecular tial get es was detected through nuclear separation experiments, don (RIP), chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIP), and other oprecip menta hethods

ults: 2 lings suggest that the abnormally high expression of TUG1 in PC tissues tated with tumor size and pathological stage. Knockdown of TUG1 blocked the cell ccelerated apoptosis, thereby inhibiting the proliferation of PC cells. In addition, RIP experiments showed that *TUG1* can recruit enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) to the moter regions of Rho family GTPase 3 (RND3) and metallothionein 2A (MT2A) and inhibit then expression at the transcriptional level. Furthermore, ChIP experiments demonstrated that EZH2 could bind to the promoter regions of RND3 and MT2A. The knockdown of TUG1 reduced this binding capacity.

Conclusion: In conclusion, our data suggest that *TUG1* may regulate the expression of PCassociated tumor suppressor genes at the transcriptional level and these may become potential targets for the diagnosis and treatment of PC.

Keywords: LncRNA, ncRNA, regulate, mechanism, cancer, EZH2, transcriptional level, tumor suppressor genes

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer (PC) is one of the most aggressive malignant tumors in the world and the fourth most common cause of death. The most common sites for metastasis in PC are the common bile duct, duodenum, stomach, and celiac artery. PC is a disease characterized by rapid progression, high mortality, and a high degree of malignancy. In recent years, its morbidity and mortality have increased steadily. 1-3 Although the diagnostic and therapeutic strategies have rapidly progressed over the past two decades,

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S18839

the achievement in diagnosing and curing PC remains inadequate. The 5-year survival rate of patients with PC is ~6%.⁴ Although the combination of tumor markers and imaging modalities has facilitated prompt and accurate diagnosis of this disease, the absence of early clinical symptoms continues to delay diagnosis.² Therefore, the pathogenesis of early PC has become an important research topic.

In recent years, noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been shown to act as key regulators of gene expression.5 There is a class of ncRNAs with a length between 200 and 100,000 nucleotides exhibiting limited or no protein-coding capacity. These are called long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) and they play essential roles in human diseases like metabolic diseases and cancers. 6 lncRNAs are involved in many biological processes, such as Th-cell differentiation, embryonic stem cell differentiation,8 cell senescence,9 cancer cell apoptosis and metastasis, 10 autophagy and myocardial infarction, 11 and resistance to chemotherapy.¹² Recent studies have shown that dysregulation in lncRNAs is characterized by specificity for certain tissues. In addition, its abnormally high expression in the serum or tumor tissues of some cancer patients is closely related to tumor metastasis and poor prognosis.¹³ These lncRNAs participate in tumor occurrence and development via the activation of tumor promoters or silencing tumor suppressors. Different mechanisms, such as epigeneti modification, RNA decay, alternative splicing, a tion of posttranslational modifications have fied to explain the regulatory effect. ¹⁴ Collective, it is ingly obvious that different lncRNAs p fund as tumor suppressors or oncogenes in tumor

To date, many lncRNAs have been demonstrated to be involved in PC, such as OTAIR, HONEP, MALAT-1, AFAP1-AS1, H19, PVT, and AF339813. Dpregulated HOTAIR could promote sist to tumor necrosis factorrelated apoptosis in being hands in Pacell lines. 16 HOTTIP haracte s of cancer stem cells in changes the bi ogica PC by regt, ting HC (10 17 Enhancer of zeste homolog 2 MALAT-I, a combination that inhibits (EZH2) binds E-cadherin and prootes cell migration and invasion without altering cell proliferation. 18 H19 promotes metastasis of PC cells by inhibiting let-7 against its target HMGA2-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) inhibition.¹⁹ *lncRNA-PVT1* competitively binds miR-448 to regulate translation of downstream target genes to promote proliferation and migration of PC cells.²⁰ As we look into the future, we recognize the imperative need for further study on the PC-related lncRNAs.

We conjectured that there are still numerous undiscovered lncRNAs involved in PC and their molecular processes remain undocumented. We downloaded the microarray data set (GSE16515; 52 pairs of tumor and normal tissue samples) from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/GDSbrowser?acc=GDS4102) and analyzed the data to obtain a set of lncRNAs that were abnormally expressed in PC. We found that one of the upregulated lncRNAs, namely taurine upregulated 1 (*TUG1*), also showed significantly increased expression levels in PC tissues. In addition, we demonstrated its biological fractions, potential molecular mechanisms, and target these in our tudy.

The *TUG1* gene is 8,330 bp in North, located a p7, and consists of three exort. It has to a show that TUG1 promotes the proliferation of cells of charge occarcinoma and cervical cancer.^{21,22} in and Zhao et al demoncapable facilitying proliferation and strated that TUG migration of P lines through sponging there have been no reports regarding miR-382.^{23,2} Howev the region function of *VIG1* at the transcriptional level in Ils. In this study, we aimed to examine the relationship PC en the expression of *TUG1* in PC and the clinicopathobety logica features of atients with PC. We focused on exploring biological behavior of PC cell lines in vitro wo. We investigated the molecular mechanisms that ay explain this effect, providing a theoretical basis for the linical genetic diagnosis and treatment of PC.

Materials and methods

Tissue collection and ethics statement

PC tissues and adjacent normal tissues (42 pairs) were collected from patients with PC. None of the patients received any local or systemic therapy prior to surgery and they provided written informed consent prior to their participation in this study. According to the WHO classification guidelines, clinical features such as pathological staging, grading, and lymph node status were determined by experts with extensive clinical experience. All the experiments described in this article have been approved by the ethics committee of Nanjing Medical University. The national guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals were strictly enforced during the animal experiments. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Cell lines and culture conditions

We purchased human PC cells (AsPC-1 and BxPC-3) and human normal pancreatic cells HPDE6-C7 from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 37°C, with 5% CO₂ in humid air. All media were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analyses

We extracted total RNA using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and subsequently, reverse transcribed the RNA into cDNA using the Reverse Transcription System Kit (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, China). Real-time PCR was performed to determine the expression level of mRNA in PC cells or tissues with GAPDH as a control according to the manufacturer's standard procedure (Takara Biotechnology). The relative level of gene expression is in the form of ΔCt , and the fold change in gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Transfection of PC cells

To prevent off target effects, three separate siRNAs and scrambled negative control siRNA were declared for ferent sites and purchased from Thermor isher scientific According to the manufacturer's instruction acceptation to the manufacturer's instruction acceptation in the profession of the professi

Cell proliferation assays

Cell viability was to ted using the MTT kit (Sigma-Aldrich Co, St Louis 100, Using according to the manufacturer's instruction and to transfer cells were grown in 96-well plates. We record the proliferation of cells every 24 hours after transfer on of cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. No cells were treated with 20 μ L MTT and then cultured at 37°C for 4 hours. After removing the medium, 150 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide were added to each well to lyse the cells. Finally, the absorbance was measured at 490 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Colony formation and clonogenic assays

The PC cells were trypsinized into single-cell suspensions 48 hours following transfection. For the colony formation

assay, 500 cells were plated into each well of a six-well plate and maintained in media containing 10% FBS to allow colony formation. The medium was replaced every 4 days. The plates were incubated for 1–2 weeks at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere until colonies were formed. The colonies were immobilized with methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) in PBS for 15 minutes. The visible colonies were manually counted. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

Flow cytometry

alyzed to flow cytometry Cell cycle and apoptosis were and the transfected cells were vested by tr The FITC-Annexin V Ar ptosis Dection K was purchased from BD Bioscience San Jone, CA, S. FITC-Annexin V and propidium Nide were used for double staining in accordance v In the injuracture is instructions, followed by flow extry (FACTIBD Biosciences). We first distinguished As. C-1 and BxPC-3 cells by living cells, dead celly apoptotic ells, and apoptotic cells. The relative roportion of early apoptotic cells in the transfection group nd the contell group was the target of our comparison. en analyzing the cell cycle, we calculated and compared the permage of cells in the G0/G1, S, and G2/M phase in ransfected and control groups through FACScan analysis using the CycleTEST PLUS DNA kit (BD Biosciences) according to the instructions. All samples were assayed in triplicate.

Xenotransplantation mouse model

We purchased 4-week-old male nude mice from the Animal Center of Nanjing University (Nanjing, China) and maintained all mice pathogen-free in the laminar flow cabinet. For the in vivo cell proliferation assay, we stably transfected the BxPC-3 cell line with shRNA and an empty vector. After collecting the cells, both groups were resuspended at a density of 2×10^7 cells/mL. Subsequently, 100 μ L of the shRNA-transfected cells and 100 µL of the empty vector cells were subcutaneously transplanted to both sides of the BALB/c male nude mice, respectively. We examined the growth of xenograft tumors every 2 days and the tumor volume was measured as length × width² ×0.5. Sixteen days after the injection, the mice were sacrificed through asphyxiation using CO₂ and the tumors were peeled off from the nude mice for further analysis. This study was conducted in strict accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health on the use of experimental animals. Our program was approved by the Animal Experimental Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University.

Subcellular fractionation location

A PARIS Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to isolate the nuclear and cytosolic portions of PC cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. The levels of TUG1, GAPDH, and U1 RNA in the cytoplasm and nuclear components were detected using qRT-PCR. GAPDH was used as a cytoplasmic control, while U1 was used as nuclear control. The relative ratios of TUG1, GAPDH, and U1 in the cytoplasm or nucleus are presented as percentages of the total RNA.

RIP

In accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, we performed RIP experiments using the Magna RIP RNA Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were lysed in complete RIP lysis buffer; the cell extracts were mixed with magnetic beads conjugated with specific antibodies or control IgG (EMD Millipore), and incubated for 6 hours at 4°C. To remove the protein, we incubated the extracts with proteinase K after washing the beads. Finally, the purified RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. The EZH2 RIP assay an body was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK).

ChIP

ChIP assays were performed using the EZ—IP kit ing to the manufacturer's instruction (EM) sing anti-L Immunoprecipitation was perform anti-H3K27me3 antibodies (EM) Min ore) with normal mouse IgG as a negative corol. The prime were designed sequences of RNDs and MT2A, according to the promot referring to the upstream of the RND3 and MT2A gene tes. It corresponding primers were transcription start d for VCR according to the subsequently nen u Using the formula 2^(InputCt-TargetCt) manufacture 's instr $\times 0.1 \times 100$, the data were calculated as a percentage with DNA. respect to the inpu

Western blotting analysis and antibodies

Transfected AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were treated with RIPA protein extraction reagent (Beyotime, Beijing, China) containing the protease inhibitor and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. After determining the protein concentration, ~50 µg of the protein extract were separated using 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Sigma-Aldrich Co). Subsequently, the nitrocellulose membranes were incubated with specific antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). The intensity of the bands was observed and determined through densitometry (Quantity One software; Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, Hercules, CA, USA), while GAPDH was used as a control.

Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analysis using the SPSS software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The significance of the difference between the experimental and control ground was est, ated using the Student's *t*-test or chi-square test. The patients was calculated using the Kalan-Moor method and compared using the g-rank test. 1 correlation coefficients were calcuted and the Prism 5 software e Inc). 1 0.05 w considered statisti-(GraphPad Softw cally significa


Resul

TUII expression is increased in human PC tissues and cell lines

To ide ify lnc. As that may be involved in the develment or re, we first downloaded the GEO data set (2) and analyzed the microarray data. The results showed that the lncRNA TUG1 was abnormally expressed PC tissues compared with normal tissues (Figure 1A). In ddition, we determined the expression levels of 42 TUG1 in PC tissues and adjacent normal tissues using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). The results showed that 39 of the 42 pairs of tissues showed high levels of TUG1 expression (fold change: >2, P<0.001) (Figure 1B). The expression levels of TUG1 were subsequently measured in human PC cell lines (AsPC-1, BxPC-3) and a human normal pancreatic cell line (HPDE6-C7). As shown in Figure 2A, the expression of *TUG1* was significantly higher in PC cell lines compared with that observed in human normal pancreatic cells (both P < 0.05). We then focused on detecting the biological function of this overexpressed lncRNA in PC cells to assess its diagnostic or therapeutic potential for PC.

High expression of TUGI is associated with tug-lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage, tumor size, lymphatic metastasis, poor prognosis

In order to further understand the importance of the abnormally high expression of TUG1 in PC, we assessed potential



evels of TUGI in tumor tissues relative to normal tissues ession level in PC tissue samples. (**D**) TUGI expression sets. (B) Relative expression levels of TUG1 in pancreatic vith larger tumor sizes (>4 cm) than in those with are presented as $2V[V^{\rm UG} \subset T_{\rm normal} - {\rm GRDHCT}_{\rm normal} - ({\rm TUG} \subset T_{\rm normal})$. (C) TUGI expression was classified into two groups according to the was significantly higher in patients with higher pathological stages (IIII). (E) TUGI expression was significantly higher in patients with higher pathological stages (IIII). Notes: (A) TUGI expression in PC tissues (n=36) compared with noncancerous tissues (n=16) analyzed using microarray data from the Ge cancer tissues (n=42) compared to adjacent non-tumor tissues (n=42). TUGI expression was examined by qRT-PCR and normalized to GAP Figure 1 Relative TUG1 expression in PC tissues and its clinical significance.

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; TUG/, taurine upregulated 1; qRT, quantitative real-time; OS, overall survival; GCRMA, GeneChip robust multi-ar smaller tumor sizes (\leq 4 cm). (F) Kaplan–Meier OS curves according to TUG1 expression levels. **P<0.01




Figure 2 TUGI promotes PC cell proliferation in vitro. Notes: (A) Analysis of TUGI expression levels in two PC q nes (AsPC ompared with a normal pancreatic cell line (HPDE6-C7) using qRT-PCR. (B) The relative expression levels of TUGI in AsPC-I and BxPCnsfe si-TUGI (si-TUGI 1#, si-TUGI 2#, and si-TUGI 3#) were measured using qPCR. (C) The relative expression levels of TUGI in AsPC-I BxPC transfected with an empty vector or sh-TUG1 were measured using qPCR. (D, E) MTT assays were performed to determine the cell viability for si-T -transfected C-I and BxPC-3 cells. (F, G) Colony formation assays were used to determine the proliferation of si-TUGI-transfected AsPC-I and BxPC-3 cells. **P<0.01 Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; TUC egulated 1; qR1, quantitative real-time; qPCR, quantitative PCR; NC, negative control.

correlations between the level of UG1 expression and the clinicopathologic feature atients y th PC. The results expression was posishowed that an d leve advance. ANM stage (P < 0.001) and ed with 2 tumor size () fession of TUG1 was higher in patients with the ge III/IV or tumor size >4 cm, whereas it was lower in paties with stage I/II or tumor size <4 cm (Figure 1D and E). However, in our study, there was no significant relationship between the expression of TUG1 and other clinical factors such as gender (P=0.352) and age (P=0.537) (Table 1). To further evaluate the effect of TUG1 expression on the prognosis of PC patients, the samples were divided according to the median level of TUG1 expression into a high TUG1 expression group (above median value, N=21) and a low TUG1 expression group (below median value, N=21) (Figure 1C). The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and logarithmic rank test were used to determine the overall survival (OS). As shown in Figure 1F, the OS rate in the high TUG1 expression group >8 months was 23.8%, while that of the low TUG1 expression group was 47.6%. Notably, the overexpression of TUG1 was associated with shorter OS (P=0.017). These results suggest that TUG1 may be a useful marker of PC prognosis or progression.

TUG1 promotes proliferation of PC cells in vitro

To study the function of *TUG1* in PC cells, we first performed qRT-PCR analysis to detect its expression in multiple human PC cell lines. As shown in Figure 2A, the expression of *TUG1* was significantly upregulated in two PC cell lines

Table I Correlation between *TUGI* expression and clinic-opathological characteristics of 42 PC patients

Characteristics Expre		on of	P-value*
	Low (n=21)	High (n=21)	
Sex			0.352
Male	11	8	
Female	10	13	
Age (years)			0.537
≤60	12	10	
>60	9	11	
Histological grade			0.19
Low or undifferentiated	9	5	
Middle or high	12	16	
TNM stage			0.025*
I and II	17	9	
III and IV	4	12	
Tumor size (cm)			0.001**
≤4	18	8	
>4	3	13	
Regional lymph node invasion			0.0005**
Positive	7	18	
Negative	14	3	
Distant metastasis			0.01
Positive	3	11	
Negative	17	10	

Note: *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; *TUG1*, tauring pregulated , TNM, tullymph node metastasis.

(AsPC-1 and BxPC-3) corpare with that c erved in human normal pancreaticells HPD. C7. Subsequently, we designed three different TUG1 siRNA for transfection into cell lines. qR PCR and ysis was performed 48 hours ata shoy d that all *TUG1* siRNAs after transfection, and in the cells. Of note, si-TUG1 were effect vely roduce more effective interference than si-TUG1 3# (Figur P). Therefore, we chose si-TUG1 1# and 2# for eriments. The sh-TUG1 we designed was successfully introduced into cells (Figure 2C). MTT assays showed that the knockdown of TUG1 expression significantly inhibited the growth of AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells compared with the corresponding randomized control (Figure 2D and E). Similarly, colony formation assays showed a significant reduction in the survival rate of clonal formation after downregulation of TUG1 in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells (Figure 2F and G). Apoptosis and cell cycle regulation were identified as two factors leading to the growth of PC cells. Thus, we performed flow cytometry analysis to characterize these factors. In order to examine whether the effect of TUG1 on the proliferation of PC cells reflects the change in cell cycle, flow cytometry analysis was performed to study the cell cycle progression. The results showed that AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells transfected with si-TUG1 stagnated at the G1/G0 phase (Figure 3A). In addition, flow cytometry was performed to determine whether apoptosis involved in TUG1 knockdown induces cell growth arrest. As shown in Figure 3B, the rate of early apoptosis (upper right) and late apoptosis (lower right) with low TUG1 in AsPC-1 and BxPC-1 ells was higher than that reported in control cells. In inclusion was found that the knockdown of TUG1 expression significantly reduced the proliferation rate of cells, and ted the ell cycle, and se findings sug induced apoptosis. T at TUG1 may be an oncogene involved in principal the proliferation of PC.

Knockdown of Toll inibits PC cells tumorigened is in vivo

tigate when TUG1 can also affect tumor developent in vivo, BxPC-3 cells were stably transfected with sh-*UG1* or an e pty vector (Figure 2C). MTT assays showed sh-TUC vector transfection impaired BxPC-3 cell tro. In addition, colony formation assays showed RxPC-3 decreased colony formation following transfection with the sh-TUG1 vector. Subsequently, sh-TUG1 or BxPC-3 cells stably transfected with an empty vector were injected into mice. As shown in Figure 4A, silencing of TUG1 inhibited tumor growth compared with the control group. Twenty days after injection, the tumors formed in the sh-TUG1 group were significantly smaller than those formed in the control group (Figure 4B). Meanwhile, the weight of the tumor in the sh-TUG1 group was significantly reduced compared with that observed in the empty vector group (Figure 4C). In addition, the qRT-PCR assay showed that levels of *TUG1* expression in tumor tissues formed by sh-TUG1 cells were lower than those observed in the control group (Figure 4D). In addition, tumors formed from BxPC-3 cells transfected with sh-TUG1 showed a decreased positivity for Ki-67 compared with the control cells (Figure 4E). These data suggest that the knockdown of TUG1 inhibits tumor growth in vivo.

TUG1 suppresses the transcription of Rho family GTPase 3 (RND3)/ metallothionein 2A (MT2A) in PC

In order to explore the molecular mechanism of *TUG1* in the phenotype of PC cells, we investigated potential targets




Figure 3 Effects of *TUGI* on PC cell cycle and a provise in vitro.

Notes: (A) Forty-eight hours after transfect of, the NI cycle stages to AsPC-I and BxPC-3 cells were analyzed using flow cytometry. The bar chart represents the percentages of cells in the GI/G0, S, or G2/M phases. It AsPC-I and BxPC-3 cells were stained and analyzed by flow cytometry 48 hours after transfection. LR, early apoptotic cells; UR, terminal apoptotics as. All experiments have conducted in biological triplicates with three technical replicates. Data are presented as the mean ± SD.

*P<0.05 and **P<0.01

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cer; TUG arine upregulated 1; Pl, propidium iodide; UR, upper right; LR, lower right.

reration and apoptosis. for the regulati nor ce pr ed gRT-PCR to determine the gene expression that negatives regulate tumor initiation and progression. Inter ingly, the expression levels of RND3 and MT2A increased in A. C-1 and BxPC-3 cells transfected with si-TUG1 (Figure 5A). The expression of the RND3/MT2A protein was determined through Western blotting analysis. After transfection with si-TUG1, the levels of RND3 were 3.4fold higher in AsPC-1 cells, 2.7-fold higher in BxPC-3 cells, 2.3-fold higher in AsPC-1 cells, and 2.9-fold higher in BxPC-3 cells (Figure 5B). Meanwhile, the expression of RND3/MT2A in 42 PC tissues and PC cell lines was determined using qRT-PCR. The results showed that the mRNA levels of

RND3/MT2A in PC tissues and cell lines (AsPC-1 and BxPC-3) were generally lower than those observed in matched normal tissues and cell lines (Figure 5C and D). These data showed that RND3 and MT2A were negatively regulated by the mRNA and protein levels of *TUG1* in PC cells. Moreover, the inhibition of *TUG1* contributed to the activation of *RND3/MT2A*, confirming our earlier findings that *TUG1* may be involved in promoting the proliferation of PC cells.

Tumor-suppressive function of RND3 and MT2A in PC

In the present study, we specifically observed the effect of RND3 and MT2A overexpression on the proliferation of PC cells.




Figure 4 *TUG1* promotes tumorigenesis of PC cells in vivo.

Notes: (A) Empty vector or sh-TUG1 was transfected into BxPC-3 cells, which were susquently injected individually into nude mice (n=7). (B) Tumor volumes were calculated every 2 days after injection. The mean tumor volumes are indicated by point and the bars indicate SD (n=7). (C) Tumor weights are represented as the mean tumor weights ± SD. (D) qRT-PCR analysis was performed to determine the average expension levels of 1 of 1 in the xenograft tumors (n=7). (E) The tumor sections were examined using H&E staining and immunohistochemical staining with antibodies against 1 of 7. The error bar indicate the mean ± standard error. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; *TUG1*, taurine upregulated 1; qRT, quantitative religion.

The expression of RND3 and MT2A in AsPC-1 and Bx cells was induced by using pcDNA-RND3 or an empty vector. Compared with each control roup, th expression of RND3 and MT2A was sign red with in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells transf QNA-RND3 or pcDNA-MT2A at the mRNA ar pi vin levels (N After transfection with pcDNA-RND *cDNA-MT2A*, or an empty vector, MTT and colony formation says were used to investigate the cell of activitin AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells. The MTT and colony ation as showed that overexinhibit cell viability in PC r M'i CO Therefore, was concluded that RND3 and MT2A p. e in tune inhibition in PC.

TUG1 suppresses the transcription of RND3/MT2A by binding with EZH2 at the transcriptional level

In order to determine the distribution of *TUG1* in PC cells, we performed hierarchical separation of PC cell lines and obtained nuclear and cytoplasmic grades. We found that the *TUG1* RNA was mainly located in the nucleus rather than the cytoplasm (Figure 7A), indicating that it plays a regulatory role at the transcriptional level. Excessive levels

PDH or U1 RNA were used as an indicator of successful grading. Recent studies have concluded that ~20% of lncRNAs regulate downstream target genes by binding to the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2).²⁵ PRC2 is a methyltransferase that trimethylates H3K27 to suppress the transcription of specific genes; one of its major components is EZH2.²⁶ A previous study demonstrated that HOXA-AS2 can epigenetically silence the expression of P21/PLK3/ DDIT3 via binding to EZH2.27 In addition, ANRIL was shown to be able to cross talk with microRNAs by binding to PRC2, thus regulating the growth of PC cells. 28 In view of this background, RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) analysis was performed to confirm the binding of TUG1 to PRC2. As shown in Figure 7B, endogenous TUG1 was enriched in anti-EZH2 RIP level in PC AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells. Our results suggest that TUG1 may be genetically suppressed by binding to EZH2.

Transfection of *EZH2* siRNA into PC AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells with si-*EZH2* 1# and 2# showed more effective interference than si-*EZH2* 3# (Figure 7C). Furthermore, we detected increased expression of *RND3* and *MT2A* in *EZH2*-depleted PC cells (Figure 7D). Based on our qRT-PCR data (Figures 5A and 7D), *RND3* and *MT2A* are the

Hui et al Dovepress




Figure 5 TUG1 regulates the expression of RND3 and Notes: (A) The mRNA levels of RND3, MT2A, KLF LK3, PI LATS1, LATS2, RRAD, and ASPP2 were determined using qPCR after knockdown of TUG1 in AsPC-I and BxPC-3 cells. (B) The levels of RND3 and termined using Western blotting analysis in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells following TUG1 knockdown. A protein wei were compared with the corresponding non-tumor tissues (n=42). The expression of RND3 (C) Relative expression levels of RND3 and PC tissues (n and MT2A was examined using qPCR and namalized e expression of GAPDH. Lower Δ Ct values indicate higher expression. (**D**) Analysis of the RND3 and MT2A expression levels in two PC cell lines co pancreatic cell line (HPDE6-C7) using qRT-PCR. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. ared with a nor TUGI, taurine upregu I; qRT, quantitative real-time; qPCR, quantitative PCR. Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cand

most upregulated mRNAs. *TUG1-t* pleted PC cells and *EZH2*-deplete cells. Cllectives these findings suggest that *RND3* and *T2A* me the key downstream genes of *TUG1* and that *TUG1* conhibit its expression by binding to EZH2.

In addition, the esults of a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis showed that EZH2 could bind to the *RND3* and *MT2A* promoter regions to induce histone lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) modification in PC AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells. Knockdown of *TUG1* results in binding of the *RND3* and *MT2A* initiators by EZH2 and reduction in H3K27me3 occupancy (Figure 7E). These results showed that *TUG1* can promote the growth of PC cells and regulate transcription of *RND3* and *MT2A* by binding to EZH2.

Discussion

Recent findings have suggested that many lncRNAs (such as *HOTTIP*,²⁹ *NORAD*,³⁰ *PVT1*,³¹ and *MEG3*³²) play important biological roles in PC. Our previous investigation also identified that lncRNA SNHG15 inhibits the expression of P15 and KLF2 to promote the proliferation of PC cells through EZH2-mediated H3K27me3.³³ Generally, lncRNAs are involved in the regulation of cancer cells, phenotypes by regulating the expression of target genes through different molecular mechanisms, including chromatin modification, genomic imprinting, RNA decay, sponging miRNAs, and binding with RNA binding protein.^{34,35}




Figure 6 The tumor-suppressive function of P 3 and M n PC.


Notes: (A) qPCR analysis was used to destruction the express. Levels of RND3 and MT2A in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with pcDNA-RND3 or pcDNA-MT2A. (B, C) The protein levels of RND3 and 1/2 are investigated. AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells transfected with pcDNA-RND3 or pcDNA-MT2A using Western blotting. (D, E) MTT and colony formation experiments we used to assess cell viability in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells following transfection with pcDNA-RND3, pcDNA-MT2A, or empty vector. *P<0.05, **P<0.01

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic ancer; qPCR, quantitate PCR.

stablish a and populated, more lncRNAs ere i ntified meir abnormal expressions ceriety of human cancers, including PC. In aled in were re etermined the overexpression of the lncRNA PC tissues by analyzing data from the GEO database and confirming the findings in paired cancer tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues obtained from patients who had not undergone drug therapy prior to surgery. In addition, the knockdown of TUG1 expression led to significant inhibition of cell proliferation and promotion of apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. These findings suggest that TUG1 plays a direct role in regulating cell proliferation and progression of PC, and may be a useful novel marker of prognosis or progression for PC.^{36,37} As additional lncRNAs are studied, many have been shown to function by binding to PRC2 and silencing downstream target genes involved in multiple cancers, including PC. *TUG1* has been reported to be involved in the proliferation of cancer cells by silencing the expression of KLF2,³⁸ P57,³⁹ and BAX.⁴⁰ In this study, we found that *TUG1* is mostly located in the cell nucleus and could bind to EZH2, a core subunit of PRC2, resulting in suppressing the transcription of *RND3* and *MT2A*.

RND3 (also known as RhoE) encodes proteins belonging to the superfamily of small GTPase proteins, including Rnd1, Rnd2, and RND3, which are involved in cell migration, invasion, and cell responses to nerve processes

Hui et al Dovepress



A2 to repr the expression of RND3 and MT2A. Figure 7 TUGI interacted with Notes: (A) Relative levels of 7 in the cytoplasm or nucleus of AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were determined using qPCR. GAPDH was used as cytoplasmic control stribution ${\it UGI}$ RNA in the cytoplasm or nucleus was represented as the percentage rate of total RNA. (${f B}$) The RNA levels and UI was used as nuclear control etermined using qPCR. The expression levels of TUGI RNA are represented as fold enrichment relative to the IgG in immunoprecipitates) The r els of EZH2 in AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells transfected with si-NC or si-EZH2 (si-EZH2 1#, si-EZH2 2#, and si-EZH2 3#) immunoprecipitate. ive exp g qPCR. (The mRNA were measured es of RND3, MT2A, KLF2, PLK3, P15, P21, LATS1, LATS2, RRAD, and ASPP2 were determined using qPCR after knockdown of EZH2 in AsP nd Bx ChIP shows EZH2/H3K27me3 occupancy on the RND3 or MT2A promoter regions in AsPc-1 and BxPc-3 cells, and knockdown of AGAP2-ASI decre occupancy. The mean values and SD were calculated from triplicates of a representative experiment. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. Abbreviations: PC, reatic cancer; TUGI, taurine upregulated I; qPCR, quantitative PCR; NC, negative control; EZH2, enhancer of zeste homolog 2; RIP, RNA

extension and branching.⁴¹ RND3, also known as RhoE, has been shown to play a separate role in the oncogenesis of human cancer. Previous studies have shown it to be an antiproliferative protein. Tang et al reported that RND3 is downregulated in lung cancer cell lines, and its reintroduction can block the proliferation of cancer cells. Mechanistically, Notch intracellular domain (NICD) protein abundance in H358 cells was regulated by Rnd3-mediated NICD proteasome degradation. Rnd3 regulated H358 and

H520 cell proliferation through a Notch1/NICD/Hes1 signaling axis independent of Rho Kinase.⁴² Zhu et al showed that wild-type TP53 significantly increased the expression of RND3, while the enhanced expression of RND3 significantly inhibited proliferation. These findings indicated that RND3 is a tumor suppressor regulated by TP53.⁴³ In addition, downregulation of RND3 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells promotes cell proliferation and cell cycle progression, whereas upregulation of RND3 inhibits

immunoprecipitation.

cell proliferation and leads to cell cycle arrest at the G0/ G1 phase. Also, overexpression of RND3 increased PTEN and CDKN1B/p27, and decreased pAKT and CCND1 (cell cycle protein D1).44 It has been reported that RND3 prevents the release of EIF4E from EIF4EBP1/4e-bp1 and inhibits cap-dependent translation. Therefore, RND3 also inhibits the expression and transcription activity of the EIF4E target MYC/c-myc.⁴⁵ Poch et al confirmed that RND3 inhibits the activation of ERK, thereby reducing CCND1 expression and leading to decreased inactivation of RB1/retinoblastoma 1. This mechanism is involved in the inhibition of glioblastoma cell growth induced by RND3.46 RND3 induces inhibition of the proliferation of fibroblasts and serum-induced s-entry. In addition, human papillomavirus E7, adenovirus E1A, and CCNE (cell cycle protein E) can rescue cell cycle progression in RND3 expressing cells, indicating that RND3 can inhibit cell cycle progression upstream of the phosphorylated RB1 checkpoint.⁴⁷ Therefore, the underlying mechanism for the anti-proliferation capability of RND3 is context-dependent. Moreover, we found that upregulation of RND3 also inhibits the proliferation of PC cells and its upregulation could be caused by *TUG1* knockdown in PC cells.

Metallothionein (MT) is a low molecular weight, metal-binding protein. Human MT consists of four isoft namely MT1, MT2A (or MT2), MT3, and MT4-48 In cont to the histologically specific expression MT3 nd MT MT1 and MT2A are the major MT isofo, which conserved and present in almost all ypes It tissue. The expression of MT can be inducted by many regulated in a cell/tissue-spectate man er in response to external signals. The human genes are his whomologous and gion of chromosome 16, containing clustered in the q134 one set of MT1 gen (MTA, B, E, F, G, H, and X genes) and another of the ison is (MT2, MT3, and MT4). MT is runctions, such as metal ion a varı y of cea ticcorentiation, apoptosis, inflammation, homeo sis, ce , and chemical sensitization. The abnormal carcinoge expression of T may change its functional characteristics related to tumor and neurodegeneration.⁴⁹ The effects of MTs on pathophysiological processes, particularly on the development of cancer, are the subject of numerous studies. However, the complexity of MT expression has been shown to be associated with tumorigenesis, tumor progression, and patient prognosis in different types of cancer. For example, the expression of MT is increased in breast, kidney, bladder, and ovarian cancers. 49-51 In contrast, the expression of MT is low due to epigenetic silencing and plays a role in tumor inhibition in a range of other human tumors, such as thyroid,

esophagus, liver, colon, and prostate cancer.^{52–57} The present study found that upregulation of MT2A can inhibit the proliferation of PC cells and *TUG1* knockdown could induce MT2A upregulation in PC cells. However, the regulatory mechanism of MT2A in PC remains elusive.

Although to date only a few lncRNAs have been well characterized, they have been shown to regulate various levels of gene expression, including chromatin modification and posttranscriptional processing. ^{58,59} Despite the observation of TUG1-induced proliferation of PC cells, other possible targets and mechanisms that be blight such regulatory behavior need to be fully elucioned.

Conclusion

In summary, the expression of *TU* was significantly increased in PC tissue. This inding suggests that its upregulation may be prognostic actor is patients with PC, indicating a lowe studied rate and angher risk of metastasis. We found that *TUG* may regulate the proliferation capacity of C cells, probably through the regulation of RND3 and IT2A. These results suggest that lncRNAs may regulate the expression of different target genes at the transcriptional lead and contribute to the biological function of different cancer cells. Our findings shed light on the pathogenesis of the and facilitate the development of targeted lncRNAs for the diagnosis and treatment of cancer.

Acknowledgments

We thank Xuezhen Hu (Jiangsu Province Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine [TCM], Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of TCM, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China) for collecting PC tissues and adjacent normal tissues from patients with PC. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81772603) and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20151578).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Petrushnko W, Gundara JS, de Reuver PR, O'Grady G, Samra JS, Mittal A. Systematic review of peri-operative prognostic biomarkers in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. HPB (Oxford). 2016;18(8):652–663.
- Yadav D, Lowenfels AB. The epidemiology of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology. 2013;144(6):1252–1261.
- Ji BL, Xia LP, Zhou FX, Mao GZ, Xu LX. Aconitine induces cell apoptosis in human pancreatic cancer via NF-κB signaling pathway. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2016;20(23):4955–4964.
- 4. Hidalgo M. Pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(17):1605–1617.
- Djebali S, Davis CA, Merkel A, et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature*. 2012;489(7414):101–108.

Hui et al **Dove**press

6. Wapinski O, Chang HY. Long noncoding RNAs and human disease. Trends Cell Biol. 2011;21(6):354-361.

- 7. Spurlock CF, Tossberg JT, Guo Y, Collier SP, Crooke PS, Aune TM. Expression and functions of long noncoding RNAs during human T helper cell differentiation. Nat Commun. 2015;6(1):6932.
- 8. Yin Y, Yan P, Lu J, et al. Opposing roles for the lncRNA Haunt and its genomic locus in regulating HOXA gene activation during embryonic stem cell differentiation. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;16(5):504-516.
- 9. Montes M, Nielsen MM, Maglieri G, et al. The lncRNA MIR31HG regulates p16(INK4A) expression to modulate senescence. Nat Commun. 2015;6(1):6967.
- 10. Yarmishyn AA, Kurochkin IV. Long noncoding RNAs: a potential novel class of cancer biomarkers. Front Genet. 2015;6:145.
- 11. Wang K, Liu CY, Zhou LY, et al. APF lncRNA regulates autophagy and myocardial infarction by targeting miR-188-3p. Nat Commun. 2015;6(1):6779.
- 12. Shi SJ, Wang LJ, Yu B, Li YH, Jin Y, Bai XZ. LncRNA-ATB promotes trastuzumab resistance and invasion-metastasis cascade in breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2015;6(13):11652-11663.
- 13. Kim HS, Minna JD, White MA. GWAS meets TCGA to illuminate mechanisms of cancer predisposition. Cell. 2013;152(3):387-389.
- 14. Rinn JL. lncRNAs: linking RNA to chromatin. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6(8):a018614.
- 15. Huang X, Zhi X, Gao Y, Ta N, Jiang H, Zheng J. LncRNAs in pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7(35):57379-57390.
- 16. Yang SZ, Xu F, Zhou T, Zhao X, Mcdonald JM, Chen Y. The long non-coding RNA HOTAIR enhances pancreatic cancer resistance to TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(25): 10390-10397.
- 17. Fu Z, Chen C, Zhou Q, et al. LncRNA HOTTIP modulates cancer stem cell properties in human pancreatic cancer by regulating HOXA9. Cancer Lett. 2017;410:68-81.
- 18. Han T, Jiao F, Hu H, et al. EZH2 promotes cell migration and in sion but not alters cell proliferation by suppressing E-cadherin, par through association with MALAT-1 in pancreatic cancer. Oncotarge 2016;7(10):11194-11207.
- 19. Ma C, Nong K, Zhu H, et al. H19 promotes pancreatic stasis √GA2-n by derepressing let-7's suppression on its target liated EMT. Tumour Biol. 2014;35(9):9163-9169.
- 20. Zhao L, Kong H, Sun H, Chen Z, Chen B, √A-PVT on through on and mig promotes pancreatic cancer cells prolifer acting as a molecular sponge to reg niR-448. J C Physiol. 2018;233(5):4044-4055.
- gnostic potent 21. Xu Y, Leng K, Li Z, et al. The part of and carcinogenesis of long non-coding RNA TU in human cholange rcinoma. Oncotarget. 2017;8(39):65823 835.
- g X, L 22. Zhu J, Shi H, Liu H, Long non-coding RNA TUG1 ating the miR-138-5ppromotes cervical cancer sion by reg 39):652 65264. SIRT1 axis. On . 201
- A TUG1 can promote prolif-23. Qin CF, Zha L. Lor non-coc higration pancreatic cacer via EMT pathway. Eur Rev eration an Med Phari ol Sc 2377-2384.
- 24. Zhao L, Sun F ng H, Chen Z, Chen B, Zhou M. The Lncrna-TUG1/ EZH2 axis prome pancreatic cancer cell proliferation, migration and EMT phenotype for tion through sponging Mir-382. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2017;42(6):2145-2158.
- 25. Khalil AM, Guttman M, Huarte M, et al. Many human large intergenic noncoding RNAs associate with chromatin-modifying complexes and affect gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(28):11667-11672.
- 26. Marchese FP, Huarte M. Long non-coding RNAs and chromatin modifiers: their place in the epigenetic code. *Epigenetics*. 2014;9(1): 21 - 26.
- 27. Xie M, Sun M, Zhu YN, et al. Long noncoding RNA HOXA-AS2 promotes gastric cancer proliferation by epigenetically silencing P21/ PLK3/DDIT3 expression. Oncotarget. 2015;6(32):33587-33601.

28. Zhang EB, Kong R, Yin DD, et al. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes tumor growth by epigenetically silencing of miR-99a/miR-449a. Oncotarget. 2014;5(8):2276-2292.

- 29. Fu Z, Chen C, Zhou Q, et al. LncRNA HOTTIP modulates cancer stem cell properties in human pancreatic cancer by regulating HOXA9. Cancer Lett. 2017;410:68-81.
- 30. Li H, Wang X, Wen C, et al. Long noncoding RNA NORAD, a novel competing endogenous RNA, enhances the hypoxia-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition to promote metastasis in pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer. 2017;16(1):169.
- 31. Yoshida K, Toden S, Ravindranathan P, Han H, Goel A. Curcumin sensitizes pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine by attenuating PRC2 subunit EZH2, and the lncRNA PVT1 expression. Carcinogenesis. 2017;38(10):1036-1046.
- 32. Iyer S, Modali SD, Agarwal SK. Long A MEG3 is an coding aling in funct. epigenetic determinant of oncogenic al pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor cells. Mol Cell V. 2017:37(22) 00278-17.
- 33. Ma Z, Huang H, Wang J, et -coding R A SNHG15 Long ession to pro inhibits p15 and KLF2 ex eatic cancer te pa 12-medi proliferation through d H3K 3. Oncotarget. 2017;8(48):84153-8416
- 34. Schmitt AM, Chap ncoding P Y. L s in cancer pathways. Cancer Cell. 201 ,29(4):452-
- 35. Xie X, Tang YF, et al. L n-coding RNAs in colorectal cancer. On starget.
- Nie F, Wang et al. LncRNA HOXA11-AS promotes and invasion gastric cancer by souffeld. 36. Sun M. Nie F, Wang in modification factors PRC2, LSD1, and DNMT1. Cancer Res. 16;76(21):629 6310.
- S, Ravindranathan P, Han H, Goel A. Curcumin 37. shida K, Tode c cancer cells to gemcitabine by attenuating PRC2 izes pancre subur and the lncRNA PVT1 expression. Carcinogenesis. 2017;38(10):1036–1046.
- M-D, Chen W-M, Qi F-Z, et al. Long non-coding RNA TUG1 is up-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and promotes cell growth and apoptosis by epigenetically silencing of KLF2. Mol Cancer. 2015;14(1):165.
- Zhang E, He X, Yin D, et al. Increased expression of long noncoding RNA TUG1 predicts a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and regulates cell proliferation by epigenetically silencing of p57. Cell Death Dis. 2016;7(2):e2109.
- 40. Liu H, Zhou G, Fu X, et al. Long noncoding RNA TUG1 is a diagnostic factor in lung adenocarcinoma and suppresses apoptosis via epigenetic silencing of Bax. Oncotarget. 2017;8(60):101899-101910.
- 41. Riou P, Villalonga P, Ridley AJ. Rnd proteins: multifunctional regulators of the cytoskeleton and cell cycle progression. Bioessays. 2010;32(11):986-992.
- 42. Tang Y, Hu C, Yang H, et al. Rnd3 regulates lung cancer cell proliferation through Notch signaling. PLoS One. 2014;9(11):e111897.
- 43. Zhu Y, Zhou J, Xia H, et al. The Rho GTPase RhoE is a p53-regulated candidate tumor suppressor in cancer cells. Int J Oncol. 2014;44(3): 896-904.
- 44. Zhao H, Yang J, Fan T, Li S, Ren X. RhoE functions as a tumor suppressor in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and modulates the PTEN/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Tumour Biol. 2012;33(5): 1363-1374.
- 45. Villalonga P, Fernández de Mattos S, Ridley AJ. RhoE inhibits 4E-BP1 phosphorylation and eIF4E function impairing cap-dependent translation. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(51):35287-35296.
- 46. Poch E, Miñambres R, Mocholí E, et al. RhoE interferes with Rb inactivation and regulates the proliferation and survival of the U87 human glioblastoma cell line. Exp Cell Res. 2007;313(4):719-731.
- 47. Villalonga P, Guasch RM, Riento K, Ridley AJ. RhoE inhibits cell cycle progression and Ras-induced transformation. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24(18):7829-7840.

- 48. Babula P, Masarik M, Adam V, et al. Mammalian metallothioneins: properties and functions. *Metallomics*. 2012;4(8):739–750.
- Pedersen MØ, Larsen A, Stoltenberg M, Penkowa M. The role of metallothionein in oncogenesis and cancer prognosis. *Prog Histochem Cytochem*. 2009;44(1):29–64.
- Werynska B, Pula B, Muszczynska-Bernhard B, et al. Metallothionein 1F and 2A overexpression predicts poor outcome of non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Exp Mol Pathol*. 2013;94(1):301–308.
- Yap X, Tan HY, Huang J, et al. Over-expression of metallothionein predicts chemoresistance in breast cancer. *J Pathol*. 2009;217(4): 563–570.
- Arriaga JM, Greco A, Mordoh J, Bianchini M. Metallothionein 1G and zinc sensitize human colorectal cancer cells to chemotherapy. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2014;13(5):1369–1381.
- 53. Datta J, Majumder S, Kutay H, et al. Metallothionein expression is suppressed in primary human hepatocellular carcinomas and is mediated through inactivation of CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling cascade. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(6):2736–2746.

- 54. Huang Y, de La Chapelle A, Pellegata NS. Hypermethylation, but not LOH, is associated with the low expression of MT1G and CRABP1 in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*. 2003;104(6):735–744.
- Mao J, Yu H, Wang C, et al. Metallothionein MT1M is a tumor suppressor of human hepatocellular carcinomas. *Carcinogenesis*. 2012;33(12): 2568–2577
- Peng D, Hu TL, Jiang A, et al. Location-specific epigenetic regulation of the metallothionein 3 gene in esophageal adenocarcinomas. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(7):e22009.
- 57. Wei H, Desouki MM, Lin S, Xiao D, Franklin RB, Feng P. Differential expression of metallothioneins (mts) 1, 2, and 3 in response to zinc treatment in human prostate normal and malignant cells and tissues. *Mol Cancer*. 2008;7:7.
- Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. Cell. 2009;136(4):629–641
- Nagano T, Fraser P. No-nonsense for alons to a genoncoding RNAs. Cell. 2011;145(2):178–181.



OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal}$

Dovepress

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.