Patient perspectives on antipsychotic treatments and their association with clinical outcomes
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Abstract: This analysis examined patient-reported attitudes toward antipsychotic medication and the relationship of these attitudes with clinical outcomes and pharmacotherapy adherence. The analysis included three randomized, double-blind studies in patients with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or schizophreniform disorder diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th Edition and randomly assigned to treatment with olanzapine 5–20 mg/day or another antipsychotic (haloperidol 2–20 mg/day, risperidone 2–10 mg/day, or ziprasidone 80–160 mg/day). Patient-reported improvements were significantly greater for olanzapine (n=488) versus other treatments (haloperidol n=145, risperidone n=158, or ziprasidone n=271) on multiple Drug Attitude Inventory items. A positive attitude toward medication reported by patients was significantly associated with greater clinical improvement on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale and lower discontinuation rates. These results suggest that patients’ perceptions of treatment benefits are associated with objective clinical measures, including reduction of symptom severity and lower discontinuation rates. Furthermore, olanzapine may be associated with more positive treatment attitudes. These findings may contribute to a better understanding of reasons for treatment adherence from patients’ own perspectives.
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Introduction
Nonadherence to pharmacotherapy has long been recognized as a problem in the treatment of schizophrenia. Even the most conservative estimates indicate a discontinuation rate in naturalistic studies of approximately half of all patients within one year of their most recent episode.1–2 A 2008 analysis of Medicaid prescription records for 5898 patients with schizophrenia revealed that more than 90% of patients discontinued treatment within a year of filling their first (index) prescriptions.3 Nonadherence to treatment is associated with poorer clinical and functional outcomes, increased use of emergency psychiatric services, and an increased number of hospitalizations.4,5 In fact, noncompliance is the leading contributor to relapse,6,7 and the overwhelming majority of patients with schizophrenia who require hospitalization have generally been noncompliant in the period leading up to their most recent episode.6 Conversely, longer duration of treatment is associated with reduced rates of relapse and hospitalization.8

Numerous studies have found that type of medication may influence treatment nonadherence. In some studies, the use of atypical antipsychotics was seen to be associated with greater treatment adherence relative to conventional neuroleptics.9–11 It has been posited that the improved control over negative symptoms and the reduced
risk of extrapyramidal symptoms during treatment with the atypical medications should confer greater adherence to treatment. Moreover, some research suggests that patients receiving atypical antipsychotics have more favorable subjective responses to their current medication than those receiving conventional medications. However, other studies report no difference or suggest patient characteristics may be responsible for differences in adherence rather than type of medication.

The health belief model suggests that patient likelihood to continue with medication intake is a product of an implicit and subjective assessment of the relative risks and benefits of the medicine in relation to personal goals and constraints. In this model, patients are more likely to stay on treatment when they believe that their need for treatment and the benefits of treatment outweigh the negative aspects.

The potential association between patients’ subjective attitudes toward medication and treatment adherence, as well as objective symptom responsiveness, has not been fully characterized and deserves greater research attention. To explore this relationship, this analysis examined differences in patients’ attitudes toward treatment with olanzapine, haloperidol, risperidone, and ziprasidone, and how these attitudes might be related to differences in discontinuation rates and improvements in symptom severity.

Methods

Studies

This was a post hoc analysis of clinical trials within the Eli Lilly and Company olanzapine database. The selection criteria for the clinical trials included in this research were (1) randomized, double-blind, active comparator, (2) involving a minimum of 50 patients, and (3) having collected both the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) and the Drug Attitude Inventory 10-item version (DAI-10). Three studies met these criteria and formed the basis of this analysis. All were large multicenter trials performing head-to-head comparisons of the safety and efficacy of olanzapine with another antipsychotic. Study 1 (F1D-MC-HGGN, completed before initiation of the Clinical Trial Registry) was conducted at 39 sites in the US and Canada, and compared the safety and efficacy of olanzapine, haloperidol, and risperidone. Study 2 (F1D-MC-HGHJ, Clinical Trial Registry #NCT00036088) was conducted at 79 sites in North and South America and Europe, and compared olanzapine and ziprasidone. Study 3 (F1D-US-HGHO, completed before initiation of the Clinical Trial Registry) was conducted at 18 sites in the US, and compared olanzapine plus lorazepam with haloperidol plus lorazepam. Studies 1 and 2 were both long-term trials, with Study 1 conducted over 12 months and Study 2 conducted over 28 weeks. Study 3 was a short-term study conducted over three weeks.

Patient sample

Participating subjects were adults (18–65 years) male or female patients. In Study 1, participants were inpatients or outpatients who had met the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and had a minimum baseline score of 4 on at least two items of the PANSS positive subscale and a minimum score of 18 (ie, individual item score range 0–6, total range 0–108) on the 18-item Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS). In Study 2, participants were inpatients or outpatients who met the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia and had a minimum score of 4 on the Clinical Global Impressions–Severity scale (CGI-S) and a baseline score of at least 42 (ie, individual item score range 1–7; total range 18–126) on the BPRS, with a score of 4 or more on at least one of the PANSS positive subscale items. In Study 3, the sample consisted of acutely psychotic patients who were initially inpatients and who met the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia, or schizophreniform or schizoaffective disorder. Patients had a minimum score of 20 on the PANSS agitation subscale (range 0–60) and a CGI-S score of at least 4 at baseline. Female patients of childbearing potential were required to be using a medically accepted means of contraception (for Study 3 only).

Patients with any acute, serious, or unstable medical conditions, including inadequately controlled diabetes, hepatic insufficiency, recent cerebrovascular accidents, uncontrolled seizure disorders, serious acute systemic infection, or immunologic disease, or unstable cardiovascular disorder were excluded. Also excluded were any patients who had undergone treatment with an injectable depot antipsychotic within one dosing interval before randomization. Before participation, patients or their legal representatives each received a complete description of their study and signed an informed consent document approved by the investigatory site’s institutional review board. All studies were conducted under the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatments

Each of the three studies included in this analysis was a randomized, double-blind, parallel trial comparing treatment
with oral olanzapine with another orally administered atypical antipsychotic or haloperidol. Studies 1 and 2 began with a washout and placebo lead-in period lasting from two to nine days before treatment randomization. In Study 1, patients were randomly assigned to treatment with either olanzapine 5–20 mg/day, haloperidol 2–19 mg/day, or risperidone 2–10 mg/day for up to 12 months, whereas in Study 2, patients were assigned to either olanzapine 10–20 mg/day or ziprasidone 80–160 mg/day (the latter administered in split, twice-daily doses) for up to 28 weeks. In Study 3, the washout period was applied for up to 24 hours, after which patients were assigned to either olanzapine 10–20 mg/day plus lorazepam as needed for behavioral agitation, or haloperidol 10–20 mg/day plus lorazepam as needed, for up to three weeks. As the trial progressed, the use of adjunctive lorazepam was gradually restricted so that by the middle of the third week, patients were no longer receiving lorazepam.

Assessments
The PANSS is an assessment tool that measures the severity of psychiatric symptoms of psychosis. It consists of 30 items, each rated on a scale from 1 = absent to 7 = extreme, with totals ranging from 30 to 210. In addition to the PANSS total score, this analysis examines changes in the five dimensions proposed by Davis and Chen,30 ie: depressive, which includes symptoms of anxiety (Items 15–17 and 20); disorganized thought (Items 12, 18, 19, 24, 25, and 27); hostility, which includes symptoms of excitement and impulsivity (Items 4, 7, 22, and 28); negative, which includes negative symptoms (Items 8–11, 13, 21, and 30); and positive, which includes positive symptoms (Items 1–3, 5, 6, 14, 23, 26, and 29).

The DAI-10 is a 10-item, self-reported scale, extracted from the full 30-item version of the DAI,22 that measures subjective feelings of patients with schizophrenia toward their current medications. The DAI-10 total score is the sum of Items 1 through 10, and individual items are scored as either “0”, which means “no” or “1”, which means “yes” based on whether the patient agrees with the statement. Items 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, and 10 reflect positive attitudes toward the current medication, while items 2, 5, 6, and 8 reflect negative attitudes.

Analyses
Separate comparisons between treatments were made in a head-to-head fashion between olanzapine and each of the three active comparators in turn, in order to allow for fair comparisons of each pair of drugs based on the same studies. Data for head-to-head comparison of olanzapine and risperidone were obtained from Study 1, while data for comparison of olanzapine and ziprasidone were obtained from Study 2. Data for comparison of olanzapine and haloperidol were pooled from Studies 1 and 3.

Baseline treatment differences for categoric variables (eg, demographics and diagnostic categories) were analyzed via Fisher’s exact test, while continuous variables (eg, age and PANSS) were analyzed by analysis of variance with term for treatment. Treatment differences in rates of endpoint responses (ie, agreement or disagreement) with DAI-10 items were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. To explore potential associations between differences in attitude to treatment on the one hand and differences in completion rates or PANSS scores on the other, completion rates and PANSS subscale scores were compared between patients responding with agreement to each DAI-10 item and those responding with disagreement, using Fisher’s exact test to compare completion rates and analysis of variance (last observation carried forward) to compare PANSS scores. All tests in this report are based on a two-tailed $\alpha$ significance of 0.05.

Results
Patient demographics and baseline characteristics
A total of 1221 patients were represented in these analyses (Table 1), roughly evenly randomized to treatment with either olanzapine ($n = 647$) or one of the other antipsychotics (all other antipsychotics $n = 574$ [haloperidol $n = 145$, risperidone $n = 158$, ziprasidone $n = 271$]). The majority of patients were male (68.2%), and most had received a diagnosis of schizophrenia (81.8%), with smaller numbers receiving diagnoses of schizoaffective disorder (18.0%) or schizophreniaiform disorder (0.2%). Racially or ethnically, the sample was composed primarily of patients of Caucasian (51.4%) origin, with lower proportions identifying themselves as of African origin (28.2%), Hispanic ethnicity (13.8%), East Asian (1.4%), West Asian (0.4%), or “other” (4.8%). The mean age in all three studies was in the late 30s, with a mean age at onset of illness in the early 20s. Baseline PANSS total scores indicated a mean illness severity in the moderate range. No significant difference was seen in any demographic characteristic between patients assigned to olanzapine treatment and those assigned to any other antipsychotic agent (Table 1).

Mean change in PANSS total and subscale scores from baseline to endpoint
When compared with patients treated with haloperidol, patients treated with olanzapine had significantly greater improvement on the PANSS total score ($P = 0.011$), as well
as the depressive \( (P = 0.012) \) and disorganized thought \( (P = 0.025) \) subscale scores at endpoint. In comparison with patients treated with risperidone, patients treated with olanzapine has significantly greater improvements on the disorganized thought subscale at endpoint \( (P = 0.011) \). Lastly, olanzapine treatment was associated with significantly greater improvements on the PANSS total score, as well as the depressive, disorganized thoughts, hostility, negative, and positive subscale scores at endpoint when compared with patients treated with ziprasidone (all \( P < 0.001 \), Table 2).

### Comparison of patient attitudes to treatment: DAI-10 scores

Olanzapine treatment was associated with higher percentages of patients reporting a positive attitude on the majority of DAI-10 items relative to the other three medications (Table 3). These differences reached statistical significance for six items in the comparisons with haloperidol (Items 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9), two items in the comparisons with risperidone (Items 1 and 4), and one item in the comparisons with ziprasidone (Item 2).

### Patient attitudes and adherence

When pooled across antipsychotic medications, patients with a positive attitude toward their medication had a significantly greater likelihood of completing their treatment (see Figure 1). On every item of the DAI-10, patients reporting a positive attitude toward treatment had significantly higher completion rates than did those reporting a negative attitude. Differences were the greatest for items 1 (positive response = agreement

### Table 2 Changes in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale total and subscale scores at endpoint, by treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PANSS scale</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus haloperidol</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus risperidone</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus ziprasidone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olz</td>
<td>Hal</td>
<td>( P ) value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>( -16.7 )</td>
<td>( -12.6 )</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive</td>
<td>( -2.3 )</td>
<td>( -1.7 )</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorganized</td>
<td>( -2.8 )</td>
<td>( -2.1 )</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thought</td>
<td>Hostility</td>
<td>( -2.0 )</td>
<td>( -1.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>( -3.1 )</td>
<td>( -2.1 )</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>( -6.4 )</td>
<td>( -5.1 )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Hal, haloperidol; Olz, olanzapine; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; Ris, risperidone; Zip, ziprasidone.
with “For me, the good things about my current medication outweigh the bad") and 10 (positive response = agreement with “By staying on my medication, I can prevent getting sick”), and lowest – yet still significantly higher for positive attitudes – on items 8 (positive response = disagreement with “It is unnatural for my mind and body to be controlled by medications”) and 3 (positive response = agreement with “I take my medications of my own free choice”).

**Patient attitudes and symptom control**

Across the antipsychotic medications, favorable attitudes toward current treatment were also associated with improvements in symptom severity, as shown by greater reductions in PANSS subscale scores associated with positive attitudes on the individual items of the DAI-10 (Table 4). However, no specific symptom clusters reflected patients’ attitudes to a greater or lesser degree, and all five subscales showed significantly greater score reductions among patients reporting positive attitudes than those reporting negative attitudes for most of the items of the DAI-10. Most items of the DAI-10 showed a significant correlation with all five PANSS dimensions. However, there was essentially no correlation between attitude toward treatment and symptom reduction for DAI-10 item 8, “It is unnatural for my mind and body to

---

**Table 3** Drug Attitude Inventory items, percent agreeing at endpoint, by treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAI item</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus haloperidol</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus risperidone</th>
<th>Olanzapine versus ziprasidone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01: Good outweighs bad</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02: Feel weird, like a “zombie”</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03: Take meds of own free choice</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04: Feel more relaxed</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05: Feel tired/sluggish</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06: Take meds only when sick</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07: Feel more normal</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08: Unnatural to be controlled</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09: Clearer thoughts</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: Med prevents sickness</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** DAI, Drug Attitude Inventory; Hal, haloperidol; Olz, olanzapine; Ris, risperidone; Zip, ziprasidone.

**Figure 1** Completion rates, by endpoint Drug Attitude Inventory response for all patients. Patients with a positive attitude toward their medication had a greater likelihood of completing their treatment (*P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.001).
Table 4 Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale subscale score changes, by endpoint Drug Attitude Inventory response for all patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAI item</th>
<th>Resp.</th>
<th>Depressive Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Disorg. Thet. Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Hostility Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Negative Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Positive Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good outweighs bad</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−1.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.1 (5.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.0 (4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.6 (6.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−4.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.4 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.6 (4.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.2 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.0 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel weird, like a “zombie”</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−3.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−4.3 (5.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>−6.1 (7.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−10.0 (9.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−1.8 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.9 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.7 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−3.5 (6.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.4 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take meds of own free choice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−2.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>−3.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−1.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−4.3 (7.3)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>−8.0 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.0 (3.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.1 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.4 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5.8 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−9.5 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel more relaxed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−2.0 (4.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−3.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−6.2 (8.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.4 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.6 (4.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.2 (7.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.0 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel tired/sluggish</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−3.4 (4.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−4.6 (5.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.7 (4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−6.6 (7.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−10.0 (9.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−2.2 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−3.0 (4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.7 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−3.8 (6.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−7.1 (9.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take meds only when sick</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−4.7 (5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−6.8 (7.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−11.0 (9.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−2.7 (3.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−3.2 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.7 (4.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.2 (7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−7.3 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel more normal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−2.2 (4.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.9 (5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.4 (5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−3.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−6.3 (9.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.4 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.7 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.1 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.0 (9.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnatural to be controlled by meds</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−3.0 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>−3.9 (5.1)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−2.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>−5.9 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>−9.4 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−2.9 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.2 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.3 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5.0 (6.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−9.1 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearer thoughts</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−2.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−3.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−5.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.5 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.7 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.2 (7.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.0 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med prevents sickness</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−2.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.3 (5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.0 (4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−3.7 (7.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−5.6 (8.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−3.2 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.4 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.6 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−6.0 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.0 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value difference between percentages of patients responding “yes” or “no,” using Fisher’s Exact test.

Abbreviations: DAI, Drug Attitude Inventory; Disorg. Thet, disorganized thought subscale; SD, standard deviation; Resp, response.
be controlled by medications”, which showed no significant differences in PANSS score reductions between patients with positive versus negative attitudes for any PANSS subscale. The correlation was likewise weak for item 3, “I take my medications of my own free choice”, which showed a significant difference for just the PANSS negative subscale.

Discussion

The principal findings from these analyses demonstrate that treatment with olanzapine may be associated with better medication perceptions than those for haloperidol, risperidone, or ziprasidone. Furthermore, patient subjective attitude toward medication is associated with treatment adherence and objective measures of symptom responsivity among patients with schizophrenia. Positive attitude toward medication, as measured by DAI-10, was shown to be associated with significantly higher study completion rates and greater symptom reduction in all five dimensions of the PANSS.

No particular symptom dimension appeared to have a higher or lower association than any other with medication attitude. This was unexpected, because numerous studies have identified positive symptom severity as the leading predictor of higher risk of discontinuation. Moreover, patient compliance and attitude toward medication have been linked to PANSS positive subscale scores. However, the depressive symptom cluster has also been implicated as an important factor in both adherence and attitude toward medication, as have symptoms of hostility/excitement. In fact, recently published data show that, while the positive symptom cluster may indeed be the strongest predictor of patient attitudes and adherence, a correlation can nevertheless be found between adherence and general PANSS symptom reduction. Although this study did not explicitly examine the association between discontinuation and symptom severity within specific symptomatic dimensions, the two do appear to have a strong relationship. This, however, has the potential to be complicated by other factors, such as dosing frequency and patients’ levels of insight.

In this research, symptom severity, adherence to treatment, and attitudes toward medication were examined simultaneously. Clearly there is a complex interaction between these and other aspects of schizophrenia and its treatment, such as a medication’s tolerability and adverse event profile, patients’ insight into their own condition, and perceived social pressures from the stigma associated with having the disease, or indeed of taking medication for its alleviation. Studies involving the conventional antipsychotics had earlier implicated the incidence of akathisia as a major determinant of treatment discontinuation. However, with the increased use of the second-generation, atypical antipsychotics, akathisia has become less of an issue, and indeed current thinking is that lack of efficacy, rather than tolerability profile, is a stronger predictor of early discontinuation. Medication noncompliance and undercompliance continue to be a problem in the treatment of schizophrenia; the vast majority of hospital admissions for exacerbation of psychosis have been linked to noncompliance, which may still be the most important mitigating factor in relapse.

The correlations between patient attitude toward medication, treatment persistence, and symptom improvement in the present research do not suggest a causal relationship. Possibly the positive attitude toward medication has contributed to patients staying on treatment longer. It is also possible that longer treatment duration helped patients to gain better insight, and thus a better perception of the medication. Similarly, positive patient attitude and behavior may have contributed to improved psychopathology. Conversely, improvement in symptoms and the recognition of this improvement by patients may have led to improvement in their attitude to medication.

A potential limitation of the present analysis is that all-cause discontinuation was used to measure treatment persistence. In keeping with the objectives of the Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) studies, all-cause discontinuation of treatment had been selected for the current set of analyses because it integrates patients’ and clinicians’ assessments of efficacy and tolerability into a single global measure that reflects a medication’s balance of relative benefits and risks. Other limitations that warrant mention include the differences in baseline PANSS scores and study designs among the source studies, which may have been mitigated to some extent by the use of within-study comparisons and the limited sample sizes. Finally, it should be pointed out that the data were all derived from clinical trials under controlled conditions and with attendant exclusion and inclusion criteria, and therefore the results may not be easily extrapolated to the entire population of patients with schizophrenia.

In summary, the results of this post hoc analysis indicate that patients’ subjective perceptions of treatment benefits may be associated with objective clinical measures, including reduction of symptom severity and lower discontinuation rates. These findings may contribute to a better understanding of the patient’s own perspective of antipsychotic treatment and its implications for treatment adherence.
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