Introduction: Breast cancer (BC) is an austere public health problem, representing the most incident and prevalent malignancy among women worldwide.

Objective: To evaluate the health-related quality of life of women with breast cancer undergoing initial and final stages of chemotherapy treatment.

Methods: It was descriptive, correlational, analytical, prospective cross-sectional study that included 80 women diagnosed with breast cancer undergoing chemotherapy treatment. Health-related quality of life was assessed in two phases. The first one was on the initial day of the treatment and the second, 120 days after started the chemotherapy.

Results: Eighty adult women were part of the study, whose average age was 52.54. Overall quality of life decreased due to chemotherapy. It was noted the negative impact that the emotional role, the sexual function and the concern about hair loss on health-related quality of life. It was observed that fatigue, nausea and vomiting, appetite loss, diarrhea were frequent symptoms in comparison between the phases. Sexual function and satisfaction were dissatisfying and worsened during chemotherapy.

Conclusion: The quality of life related to the health of patients is negatively influenced during chemotherapy treatment, hence, requiring interventions in oncology and research in this sphere.
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Introduction

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL)

Refers to the impact of illness, disease aggravation or therapeutic interventions under the individual perception in this context of quality of life. That is, the perception of the change in the state of health by the individual and the impact of this change on their quality of life.1,2

In oncology, quality of life (QOL) comprehends the subjective perception of the patient regarding their disability and satisfaction with their current level of functional organization, making them consider themselves good or not, compared to what they perceive as possible or ideal.3,4

Breast cancer (BC) diagnosis, on its part, is experienced as a moment of anguish, suffering and anxiety. During treatment, the woman experiences losses, both physical and financial, as well as adverse symptoms, such decreased self-esteem, resulting from adaptations to physical, psychological, social, family and emotional changes caused by the disease.3–5
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Due to the high incidence and harm that BC diagnosis and therapy establish in women’s lives, greater relevance has been given to the research of HRQOL measures of women with BC in recent decades, helping staff, patients and caregivers in deciding whether or not to continue therapy.

This study was aimed to evaluate the HRQOL of women with BC in the initial and final stages of chemotherapy treatment.

Patients and Methods
A descriptive, correlational, analytical, prospective study, was conducted between the months of January 2019 to February 2020, in a chemotherapy unit (CT) of a public hospital in the state of Maranhão, Brazil, reference to cancer treatment, with exclusive care of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS). The development of the study has met the national and international standards of ethics in research involving humans, of the National Health Council, and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the UNICEUMA University institution registered number 606.999. The data confidentiality and compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki were maintained. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

The sample was for convenience, not probabilistic, including all patients with BC undergoing exclusive chemotherapy treatment, at any stage of the disease, aged 18 years or older and who agreed to participate in the study. Those patients who already had undergone any chemotherapy treatments and those with brain metastasis were excluded from the sample. Data collection was carried out in two phases. The first phase was done on the day the treatment started and the second was completed after 120 days.

Two sets of questions were used: a sociodemographic and clinical characterization, built by the researchers with data such as age, gender, marital status, education level, occupation, profession, religious activity, medical diagnosis, previous surgery, chemotherapy regimens applied in the first phase.

The questionnaire of HRQOL developed by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire – Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) and the Quality-of-Life Questionnaire – Breast Cancer Module (QLQ-BR23), both in Portuguese and validated in Brazil applied at all stages of the research.6

The questionnaire EORTC QLQ C30 is self-explanatory, consisting of 30 questions, divided into three dimensions: general quality of life, functional scales (called physical, emotional, cognitive, general and social function) and symptoms spectrum (including fatigue, pain, dyspnea, insomnia, loss of appetite, nausea and vomiting, constipation, diarrhea and financial difficulty).

The BR23 questionnaire, is a specific module for patients who have had breast cancer, consisting of 23 questions divided into two dimensions: functional scales (body image, future perspective, sexual function and sexual satisfaction) and symptom scales (effects of chemotherapy, hair loss concern, breast symptoms and arm symptoms).

To calculate the score in each one of the dimensions of the questionnaires applied (C-30 and BR-23), the EORTC Scores’ Manual was used [6]. The average of the responses obtained in each of the scale/dimensions (general quality of life – GQOL; functional scales; symptom scales; BR-23 items) were mathematically transformed into a scale from 0 to 100 points, in which 0 represented the worst state of health and 100, the best state of health. In turn, for the interpretation of the Symptom Scale, the value 0 meant higher quality of life and 100, a lower quality of life Index.

The statistical analysis was carried out through the SPSS v. 19 computer program (IBM – USA), considering a significance level of 5%.

To evaluate the dimensions affected in the patients’ quality of life during and after six months of chemotherapy cancer treatment, the test t-student was used for paired samples, where the means of the scores in the evaluated items of the questionnaires were compared.

Relations between quality-of-life dimensions, clinical and sociodemographic variables were analyzed according to the one-way ANOVA tests (for qualitative variables) and Pearson Linear Correlation (quantitative variables). For the use of the ANOVA test one-way, data normality (SHAPIRO-WILK test) and homogeneity of variances (LEVENE test) were previously tested. As corroborating post-techniques of ANOVA were used the TUKEY multiple comparison tests (for samples with equal variances) and GAMES-HOWELL tests (for samples with non-presumed variances). For variables that did not meet any of the ANOVA presuppositions, the nonparametric test MANN-WHITNEY was used.

Results
Eighty adult women, with mean age of ± 52 years, participated in the study. Most of the women were from São Luís (65%), home owners (78.75%), white (53.75%),
married (56.25%) and catholic (58.75%). Regarding their level of education, most of them had completed High School (60%) and technical/specialist training (66.25%).

In clinical results, the infiltrating ductal carcinoma histological type predominated (98.75%), as well as stage III (55.00%), mastectomy (46.25%) and the doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide taxol chemotherapy treatment scheme (ACT) (56.25%).

Regarding the evaluation of HRQOL of patients in Phase 01, in general there were higher evaluations of the average QOL scores for the dimensions of the functional scale and symptom scale. The worst QOL evaluation averages were present within the functional scale (sexual function) and symptom scale (insomnia) (Table 1).

In Phase 02, better assessments were recorded for the Functional Scale (cognitive function) and symptom scale (dyspnea and constipation, both with average 0 in the evaluation). The worst evaluations were descriptive for the functional scale (sexual function) and symptom scale (concern with hair loss) (Table 1).

When we compared the average of the evaluation of the responses, have been observed improvement in the QOL of range of symptoms of pain, body image and future. The worsening in QOL was recorded for the

**Table 1 Comparison of Health-Related Quality of Life Between Stages of Chemotherapy Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLQ-C30 EORTC Questionnaire</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Phase 01 Mean ± Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Phase 02 Mean ± Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall quality of life</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.71 ± 7.36</td>
<td>66.15 ± 5.19</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>97.25 ± 3.63</td>
<td>94.50 ± 5.49</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional function</td>
<td>81.35 ± 10.71</td>
<td>78.13 ± 8.00</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive function</td>
<td>95.83 ± 7.26</td>
<td>96.88 ± 6.55</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General function</td>
<td>92.71 ± 8.32</td>
<td>78.13 ± 18.10</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social function</td>
<td>66.88 ± 25.09</td>
<td>62.08 ± 8.38</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale of symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>5.56 ± 7.50</td>
<td>9.44 ± 9.37</td>
<td>−3.88</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>3.96 ± 7.61</td>
<td>0.83 ± 5.24</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>16.25 ± 16.77</td>
<td>33.33 ± 0.10 /-</td>
<td>−17.08</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.67 ± 16.77</td>
<td>−16.67</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.38 ± 17.48</td>
<td>−19.38</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.58 ± 11.55</td>
<td>−4.58</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial difficulty</td>
<td>3.75 ± 10.60</td>
<td>33.33 ± 0.10 /-</td>
<td>−29.58</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLQ-BR23 EORTC Questionnaire</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Phase 01 Average ± Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Phase 02 Average ± Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body image</td>
<td>25.73 ± 18.57</td>
<td>34.06 ± 6.10</td>
<td>−8.30</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future perspective</td>
<td>34.58 ± 6.37</td>
<td>40.00 ± 13.42</td>
<td>−5.42</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual function</td>
<td>8.54 ± 8.38</td>
<td>4.38 ± 7.38</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual satisfaction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale of symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy Effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.50 ± 6.49</td>
<td>−12.50</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair loss</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.33 ± 36.32</td>
<td>−48.33</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Symptoms</td>
<td>4.90 ± 4.72</td>
<td>8.54 ± 1.86</td>
<td>−3.64</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Symptoms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.50 ± 5.24</td>
<td>−7.50</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *T*-student test for paired samples. *Significant difference, p < 0.05.
Overall Quality of Life; the scale functional–physical role, emotional role, the overall function and sexual role; the scale of the fatigue symptoms, sleep, appetite loss, nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, financial difficulties, the effects of chemotherapy, and concern about hair loss, symptoms in the breast and the symptoms in the arm, with the largest difference from the average being the concern about the hair loss (Table 1). The factors related to dyspnea and constipation have not presented variation between the phases.

Discussion

The age group of the participants presented average age of 52.54 ± 9.54 years, matching the presented profile, as in studies performed in the states of Ceará and Minas Gerais, investigating the HRQOL of women with breast cancer.7,8

Regarding the level of education, it was observed that most of the population studied were homeowners and had completed High School (60.00%; n = 48), reproducing something contrary to what the literature says, where they point BC involvement mostly in low-income women and precarious level of education in developing countries.9 It is inferred that, currently, through the national social economic context, a large part of the population has lost private healthcare insurance, resorting to the public health system.

About race/ethnicity, there was a predominance of white skin color, narrowing with data from the Brazilian Breast Cancer Study Group.10 The evaluation of the race/ethnicity of the patients, however, did not have any objective criterion, being carried out by the perception of the interviewee.

The tumors’ characteristics found explain that most of the women obtained the diagnosis in locally advanced (CE III) and advanced (CE IV) staging. Public health service patients have a higher incidence of advanced stages, considering the long interval between suspicion and diagnostic confirmation, as well as the lower access to mammography and ultrasound of breasts and armpits when compared to the private sector.8 Through this context, we see the realization of more aggressive and invasive therapeutic treatments, as occurred in this study, where 46% of women were subjected to mastectomy.

Through the exponential growth of BC cases, as well as the consequences of chemotherapy treatment on the physical, psychological, social and spiritual conditions of this group, there are several studies that investigate the influence of CT on QOL of these patients.11

The evaluation of HRQOL has thus been used as an important tool in measuring the impact of the disease on the patient, enabling the creation of indicators of the disease severity and progression.12

This study made it possible to describe real-world data about the changes in HRQOL that CT can trigger. This helps oncologists to measure the effect of CT on potential biopsychosocial characters.13

In this study, the patients’ HRQOL is worse when comparing the period right before chemotherapy with that during the course of treatment. The overall quality of life (QOL) has decreased due to CT, inferring those women with BC have considered the worsening of their health status during CT. This fact was also observed by Binotto et al13 and Leinert et al14 who showed that overall health condition has worsened due to CT and increased symptoms associated with the treatment in question.

It was also noted the negative impact that the emotional function, sexual function and the concern about hair loss have generated on HRQOL. Patients with BC have a higher risk of developing changes in their psychological functions.8,15 Based on the literature, it is accepted there that might exist a significant involution in the scales of emotional functioning and body image, especially alopecia during CT. It is inferred that a modified and impaired body image is a peerless psychosocial issue for women with BC.16

This fact is listed in the intrinsic relationship of body image and the inherent perceptions of patients about what others think about them.13 Overall HRQOL, low social and emotional functioning patients with BC were greatly associated with concern about alopecia, contradicting Zhang et al,17 who understood that the severity of symptoms is directly associated with opposing psycho-behavioral characteristics.

It is pondered that no decreasing in breast and arm symptoms was felt throughout the treatment, however, pain has eased. Similar results were explained by Winters et al.18

When analyzing the QLQ-C30 symptom scale, it was observed that fatigue, nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite, diarrhea and financial difficulty were frequent symptoms in the comparison between the phases, as demonstrated by Löbo et al19 and Konstantinidis et al20 for insomnia and by Benevides21 for insomnia and financial difficulty, suggesting that, at some point, the HRQOL of women was affected by the fall in financial income, insomnia and nausea.

Insomnia is a frequent symptom, usually followed by pain, anxiety, depression and fatigue. The treatment improves not only fatigue, but also, the patients’ mood and their QOL.4
Gozzo et al.\textsuperscript{22} and Silva and Gonçalves\textsuperscript{4} report the importance of valuing nausea and vomiting by health professionals, in clinical practice, establishing “individualized care for users”. Managing the events of chemotherapy treatment is essential for the healthy control of gastrointestinal toxicities.

Sexual function and sexual satisfaction in the sample were not satisfactory, and worsened during CT, thus signaling significant interference of the disease and treatment. HRQOL associated with sexuality may decrease or be ceased by the presence of dysfunction in this domain. The importance of a directed approach becomes essential, since most of the (medical) services cover only the physical context of BC and marginalize the acceptance of women to body modifications resulting from this cancer.\textsuperscript{23}

These results corroborate the studies of Hall et al.\textsuperscript{24} which concluded that the vast majority of systemic effects of CT compromise women’s sexuality both in the short and long term. The increase in systemic adverse effects such as fatigue, nausea and vomiting, insomnia, loss of appetite and diarrhea corroborated the expected toxicity results for the treatment.

In the present study, social functioning was not impaired throughout the CT, meaning that physical condition and treatment did not interfere significantly in the way of how the patient connected to her social networks. Conflicting result with Binnoto et al.\textsuperscript{13} and Gaston-Johannsson et al.\textsuperscript{25} Social engagement and interaction are related to better HRQOL, when there is greater social support from family and friends to patients with BC.

The present study was limited by the lack of subsequent evaluations after the one performed at 06 months of CT, as in Binnoto et al.\textsuperscript{13} It was not possible to consider whether the impact of CT on HRQOL was reversible for the period evaluated at the beginning of treatment after an extensive period. Even with this factor, the aim of the study was achieved when assessing the impact of CT on the patient’s HRQOL and the identification of factors that affect the psychosocial parameters of the patient’s well-being.

**Conclusion**

The present study thus contributed to understand the patients’ needs during CT, as well as the coping and planning by the patient, healthcare team and family. The results conclude that the HRQOL of these patients is negatively influenced during chemotherapy treatment, requiring interventions in oncology and research in this area.

Health professionals directly linked to oncology should pay due attention to setbacks in the patient’s adjustment to treatment, as the period immediately after the start of treatment is a crucial point for the assessment of patient’s confronting needs. The quality of the information established and provided at this time leads to an improved sense of well-being.

The healthcare provider’s screening may consider patient perceptions of overall health status and QOL, physical, emotional and social functioning. In this construct, communication and empathy skills are of extreme importance in conducting psychosocial assessment.
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