a Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Why Do Not Physicians Attend Hospital Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Training?

Kasper G Lauridsen¹⁻³ Rikke Højbjerg⁴ Anders S Schmidt^{1,3} Bo Løfgren

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Randers Regional Hospital, Randers, Denmark; ²Emergency Department, Randers Regional Hospital, Randers, Denmark; ³Research Center for Emergency Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁴Emergency Department, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁵Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Introduction: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) training is mandatory in most hospitals. Despite this, some hospital staff do not attend CPR training on a regular basis, but the barriers to training attendance are sparsely investigated. This study aimed to investigate CPR course attendance, barriers to participation, and possible initiatives to increase CPR course attendance. Methods: Physicians from one university hospital and one regional hospital in the Central Denmark Region were included. Questionnaires were handed out at daily staff meetings at departments of internal medicine and surgery.

Results: In total, 233 physicians responded (response rate: 92%, male: 54%). Overall, 32% of physicians had not attended CPR training at the hospital. Mean (±standard deviation) time since the last CPR course participation was 17 (±3) months. Frequent barriers to attending courses included not knowing when courses are conducted (70%) and where to sign up for training (45%). The majority (60%) of physicians responded that the reason why they prioritize course participation is to be professionally updated. In contrast, 16% stated that they had sufficient CPR skills and therefore CPR training was unnecessary. Physicians stated that the following factors would improve CPR training participation: an annual day protected (no clinical work) for course attendance (72%), use of short booster sessions (49%), shorter courses combined with e-learning (51%) and shorter courses held over 2 days (46%).

Conclusion: One-third of physicians did not attend hospital CPR training at two Danish hospitals. Several barriers to course participation exist, of which course registration seems to be a crucial factor. Alternative CPR training methods may help improve training participation. Keywords: in-hospital cardiac arrest, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, resuscitation training, hospital administration, basic life support

Introduction

In-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) occurs frequently with an incidence of 1-10 per 1000 hospital admissions and has a poor survival rate at 15-30%.¹⁻⁵ The cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) does most often not meet guideline recommendations for chest compression rate and -depth and ventilation rate.^{6,7} CPR training is essential in order to improve CPR quality and has been identified as the primary focus area in order to improve survival following cardiac arrest.⁸⁻¹⁰ Moreover, efficient CPR training is associated with improved survival following IHCA.¹¹

Following CPR training, resuscitation skills are known to decay as soon as after 3-9 months.¹²⁻¹⁴ Frequent CPR retraining is therefore mandatory in many hospitals. However, some hospital staff do not attend CPR training on a regular basis, and physicians are known to attend training less often than nurses.¹⁵ Therefore, the actual CPR training attendance rate among hospital physicians is unknown.

Open Access Emergency Medicine 2021:13 543-551

Correspondence: Bo Løfgren Tel +45 7842 0000 Email bl@clin.au.dk

Several barriers to attending CPR training may exist, and the motivation for CPR training attendance is currently understudied. Moreover, novel teaching methods such as e-learning have been shown to be efficient, time-saving, and cost-effective when training CPR.^{16,17} Other methods such as low-dose and high-frequency CPR training have been shown to improve skill retention of inhospital pediatric providers.¹⁸ It is unknown if novel CPR training initiatives can increase CPR course attendance among hospital physicians.

Accordingly, this study aimed to investigate in-hospital CPR course attendance, barriers for participating in CPR training and possible initiatives to increase CPR course attendance among hospital physicians.

Methods

Study Design

This is a cross-sectional questionnaire study. Physicians from one university hospital (regional invasive center, number of hospital beds: 992, number of annual hospitalizations: 96.199, number of full-time physicians employed at the hospital: 1.586) and one regional hospital (number of hospital beds: 232, number of annual acute hospitalizations: 37.665, number of full-time physicians employed at the hospital: 578) in the Central Denmark Region were included. Physicians were mandated to participate in a half-day local basic life support (BLS) course or a full-day local advanced life support (ALS) course at least every other year, depending on the department of deployment, which reflects practices in other Danish hospitals.¹⁹ CPR training participation was mandatory, although there were no sanctions if a physician did not participate in the training. Questionnaires were handed out to physicians at morning staff meetings at internal medicine departments and non-medical departments. We chose these departments as most cardiac arrests occur outside the ED and outside the ICU in Denmark.^{4,20} We chose not to include departments with a very low risk of cardiac arrests, eg Department of Pathology and Department of Radiology. We sampled physicians at morning staff meetings as we believed that there would be no systematic differences between those being on service on a randomly selected day and those not being on service. Participants were able to ask clarifying questions to a study investigator throughout completion of the questionnaire. Participation was voluntary, and participants were guaranteed that no answers were revealed to employers or other parties. Participants were included in a draw to win a bottle of wine in each department (value: 10 Euros). Information on the number of cardiac arrests occurring in each hospital department was obtained by contacting the resuscitation officer in each hospital.

According to Danish law, no consent form or approval from the Danish National Committee on Biomedical Research Ethics was required. Distribution of questionnaires was approved by the hospital administration in each hospital and the chief physician in each department.

Study Questionnaire

The questionnaire collected information on 1) Demographics including age, sex, area of specialty, postgraduate clinical experience, and clinical position. 2) Information on the time since the last CPR course attendance and the type of previous CPR training. 3) Barriers to course attendance including course registration and clinical workload. 4) Preferred length of CPR courses. 5) Professional output of CPR courses. 6) Personal motivation for attending CPR courses. 7) CPR training initiatives that could improve CPR course attendance.

Information on the time since the last CPR training was provided as number of years and months and information on course registration as a barrier to attending CPR training was dichotomously assessed (yes/no). Clinical workload as a barrier for attending CPR training, the professional output of CPR training, and motivational factors were assessed using a 5-point Likert scale (disagree, partially disagree, neither agree nor disagree, partially agree, agree). Preferred course length was assessed using categories (≤ 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, 4 hours, >4 to 6 hours, >6 to 8 hours, >8 hours). Information on initiatives to improve CPR training was dichotomously assessed (yes/no). The content and specific wording of the questionnaire was carefully reviewed by all the authors and afterwards tested for face-validity by three resuscitation officers.

Statistical Analysis

Physicians were grouped as A) junior physicians (medical students working as locum physicians and residents) and senior physicians (fellows and attending physicians), and B) from the Department of Internal Medicine or non-medical departments (surgical departments, gynecology/obstetrics, and pediatrics). Data were analyzed for normality using histograms and Q-Q plot analysis. Non-normally distributed data were logarithmically transformed and were only reported as raw data with median (25th percentile; 75th percentile) if not normally distributed after logarithmic transformation. Normally distributed data were reported as mean (±standard

deviation (SD)) and were analyzed using Student's *t*-test. Assumptions behind the Student's *t*-test were assessed using standard deviation testing. The ratio for time since last CPR training between junior- and senior physicians is based on a Student's *t*-test of logarithmically transformed data (ie geometric means). Categorical data were compared using Chi-squared test. Data were analyzed using Stata version 13.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

We distributed 254 questionnaires to physicians at morning staff meetings at 6 departments of internal medicine and 6 departments of surgery with various incidences of cardiac arrests (Table 1). In total, 233 (response rate: 92%) responded. Baseline characteristics of physicians are shown in Table 2.

In total, 158 (68%) had attended CPR training in the hospital of present employment. More physicians from the departments of internal medicine (75%) had attended CPR training in the hospital of current employment compared with physicians from non-medical departments (57%), risk ratio 1.4 (95% confidence interval: 1.1-1.8, p = 0.007). Mean (±SD) time since last CPR training was 17.2 (±3.2) months. Junior physicians had more recent CPR training (mean 6.5 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 4.4-9.6)) compared with senior physicians (mean 21.7 months (95% CI: 18.5-25.3), ratio: 3.3 (95% CI: 2.3-4.8, p < 0.001)). There was no statistical difference in time since last training between physicians from departments of internal medicine (mean 16.6 months (95% CI: 12.7-21.7)) and non-medical departments (mean 17.5 months (95% CI: 14.3-21.4)), ratio 0.95 (95% CI: 0.68-1.32, p = 0.76).

Course registration was a major barrier as only few physicians knew where to sign up for CPR training or when courses were conducted (Table 3). This regarded physicians from non-medical departments in particular. Overall, 60 (26%) physicians agreed or partly agreed that the clinical workload is a barrier for course participation. More physicians from non-medical departments (n = 36(42%)) agreed or partly agreed that the clinical workload is a barrier for course participation compared with 24 (17%) of physicians from departments of internal medicine (p < 0.001). In total, 19 physicians (13%) from departments of internal medicine and 26 (31%) of physicians from non-medical departments disagreed or partly disagreed that the clinical department administrators take CPR training into consideration when planning the work schedule (p = 0.001). Only 11 (5%) physicians reported that the course duration was a barrier to participation. When asked about the optimal course length, physicians reported an optimal course length of 4 (2; 6-8) hours. The median optimal course length for physicians from departments of internal medicine was 4-6 (3; 6-8) hours and 2 (1; 4) hours for physicians from non-medical departments. Overall, 120 (52%) agreed or partially agreed that their professional output of CPR training was good, while 7 (3%) disagreed or partially disagreed.

The most prevalent motivational factor for course participation was being professionally updated, while few would be motivated by a pay-raise for course participation (Figure 1). Several training initiatives would improve course participation, according to physicians (Figure 2).

Regional Hospital Cardiac Arrests Within Last Two Year Responses Department of Internal Medicine 62 25 0 П Department of Pediatrics Department of Orthopedic Surgery 10 14 Department of Abdominal Surgery 8 5 Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics 2 8 University Hospital 149 49 Department of Internal medicine: Cardiology 32 32 Department of Internal medicine: Endocrinology Department of Internal medicine: Neurology П 21 10 15 Department of Internal medicine: Hematology Department of Abdominal Surgery 36 33 27 12 Department of Cardio-thoracic Surgery 6 8 Department of Blood Vessel Surgery

 Table I Included Departments and Cardiac Arrest Incidence

Note: Data are presented as numbers.

	Physicians Overall	Departments of Internal Medicine	Non-Medical Departments
Age (years)	42.7±10.4	42.2±10.5	43.4±10.3
Male	122 (54)	75 (54)	47 (52)
Median clinical experience (years)	12 (7; 22)	12 (7; 22)	13 (8; 23)
Years at current hospital	2.5 (0.8; 10.0)	2.8 (0.8; 10.0)	2.3 (0.8; 9.0)
Clinical position			
Locum physician	4 (2)	1 (1)	3 (3)
Resident	43 (18)	31 (22)	12 (13)
Fellow	61 (26)	42 (30)	19 (21)
Attending	124 (53)	68 (48)	57 (63)
Previous ERC ^a ALS ^b training	45 (19)	36 (25)	9 (10)
Previous ERC EPLS ^c training	10 (4)	3 (2)	7 (8)
Cardiac arrest team member	46 (20)	41 (29)	5 (5)
Cardiac arrests treated within last year	I (0; 3)	I (0; 5)	0 (0; 1)

Table 2 Physician Characteristics

Notes: Categorical variables are shown as numbers (%) and continuous variables are shown as mean ± standard deviation or median (quartile 1; quartile 3). ^aEuropean Resuscitation Council. ^bAdvanced life support. ^cEuropean Pediatric Life Support.

Discussion

We found that one-third of hospital physicians did not attend CPR training in the hospital where they are currently employed. Several barriers to course participation exist, of which course registration seems to be a crucial factor. The major motivational factor for course participation was to be professionally updated. Several CPR training initiatives were reported to improve respondents' course participation.

While most physicians attended CPR training during their education, only one-third attended a CPR course in the hospital of current employment, despite CPR training being a mandatory requirement. Local training may be important in order to be familiar with local procedures and equipment as well as the hospital cardiac arrest telephone number and cardiac arrest team composition that is known to vary between hospitals.^{21–23} Moreover, a simulation-based study found that CPR training in a hospital environment can improve skills during IHCA compared with standard basic life support (BLS) training.²⁴

Another critical factor for CPR performance is the time since the last retraining. CPR skills rapidly decay after only 3–9 months^{13,14,25} whereby CPR skills may be seriously deteriorated after 17 months being the mean time since the last CPR training in our study. Accordingly, international guidelines now recommend that organizations consider the need for more frequent retraining than every 12–24 months.^{26–28} Notably, previous findings of retraining intervals for hospital staff in Northern Europe varied from four months²⁹ to one year³⁰ and two to three years.¹⁹ Our findings show that

Table	3	Barriers	to	CPR	Training
labic	•	Darriers	ιu	<u><u> </u></u>	manning

Course Registration Factors	Departments of Internal Medicine	Non-Medical Departments
I know when courses are conducted	53 (37)	15 (16)
Information about courses is sufficient	60 (42)	36 (40)
I know where to sign up for courses	84 (59)	39 (43)
Course registration is easy	51 (36)	24 (26)
I am relieved from clinical duties with salary to participate	105 (74)	38 (42)
My department signs me up for course registration	42 (30)	19 (21)
If I do not participate, I will receive a reminder	47 (33)	25 (27)

Note: Number (%) of physicians answering yes to the statements on course registration.



Figure I Motivational factors for CPR training. Responses are shown as percent of physicians agreeing in each statement. Number (%) of physicians not responding to each question: (A) 3 (1), (B) 6 (3), (C) 6 (3), (D) 22 (9), (E) 6 (2), (F) 5 (2). , Disagree; , Partly disagree; , Neither agree or disagree; , Partly agree; , Agree.

differences may be due to, eg, clinical experience as junior physicians have a remarkably shorter time since last retraining when compared to senior physicians. This may be due to frequent changes in employment place for junior physicians. Accordingly, retraining may be needed when starting clinical work at a new hospital. Moreover, they are more often a part of the cardiac arrest team,²⁹ which could influence frequency of training as well.



Figure 2 Percent of physicians reporting that each initiative would increase their participation in hospital CPR training.

Our findings from many physicians stating that they do not know when courses are being held may reflect a lack of awareness about CPR training. This regarded physicians from departments of surgery in particular. The lack of awareness is also implied in the findings of several physicians not knowing if the department will cover salary for participation in CPR training. Reducing these problems may include increasing information and advertisement of hospital CPR training to physicians and/or further demanding department chairs to ensure that all employed physicians have completed CPR training. Department administrators may play a crucial role in ensuring that physicians attend CPR training, as not all physicians are motivated to attend CPR training. Incentives to hospital administrations could be by, eg, charging payment for CPR training from the department's budget if physicians are not participating in CPR training currently paid for by the overall hospital funding. This may at least increase the number of physicians receiving reminder mails if they do not participate in CPR training, which we found to be less than one third of physicians in this study. Another approach may be by looking at the US healthcare system, where physicians are required to be CPR certified in order to work as a hospital physician. Interestingly, we found that more than half of physicians from departments of internal medicine agreed or partly agreed in the statement that it should not be possible to work in the hospital without certification in CPR training, whereas fewer physicians from non-medical departments agreed on this.

The most dominating motivational factor to participate in CPR training was being professionally updated. In contrast, only one in five were motivated by a pay rise for course participation. This corresponds well to findings by Hopstock et al showing that a dominating motivational factor is that each individual knows the professional importance of training the skills.³¹ Notably, more physicians from non-medical departments tend to state that cardiac arrest occurs so rarely that training is not necessary. CPR training may seem more relevant to physicians at departments with high incidences of cardiac arrest compared with departments with lower incidences. However, CPR training may have an even greater impact on departments with lower incidence rates in the event of a cardiac arrest due to the lack of experience with resuscitation.

Study participants pointed towards new initiatives to improve CPR training, including e-learning, short booster sessions (high-dose low-frequency training) and use of an annual course day without clinical obligations in order to complete CPR training. E-learning has been shown to be an effective alternative to BLS training for laypersons¹⁶ and a cost-effective way to shorten the duration of ALS training.^{17,32} E-learning has several advantages such as allowing course participants to use learning material at their own pace.³³ However, not all studies report e-learning to be equally efficient compared to standard CPR training³² and many learners prefer face-to-face teaching over e-learning without face-to-face training.³³ This may be reflected in the finding that half of participants did not report e-learning to increase their attendance. The request for face-to-face teaching should also be considered when using self-training, which was preferred by less than a third of participants in this study. Self-training is known to be efficient for training, eg chest compressions,³⁴ but may be less efficient when compared to instructor-led feedback.¹⁸ Moreover, selftraining is not usable for training of non-technical skills, which are known to be a determinant of CPR quality³⁵ and also a barrier for improving in-hospital resuscitation.³⁶ Accordingly, self-training may not be able to stand alone as a CPR training method.

The use of short booster sessions (low-dose high-frequency training) was among the popular initiatives to improve course participation. A number of recent studies have shown that low-dose high-frequency training is highly efficient for avoiding skill decay and even improving CPR skills.^{12,18,37–39} In clinical practice, short booster sessions can be carried out as a brief training session on BLS skills performed on a manikin or as an in-situ simulated cardiac arrest ("mock codes"). The use of mock codes may improve CPR performance and perceived confidence levels for nurses⁴⁰ and enable identification of targets for further educational interventions.⁴¹ Furthermore, a recent study found an association between the use of mock codes and improved survival rates following IHCA.⁴²

The most popular approach suggested to improve CPR training participation was an annual day for CPR training without clinical obligations. This solution may solve problems of signing up for courses and poor awareness. However, recertification of CPR skills could be combined with other mandatory recertification skills (eg firefighting or other mandatory skills) for one day and several days must be found for each group of physicians in order to maintain performance of clinical tasks in the departments. Nonetheless, an annual course day does not solve the problem of skill decay after only a few months.

Limitations

This is a questionnaire study, and the actual change in CPR course attendance based on new CPR training initiatives is unknown. We assessed barriers and initiatives to improve training using pre-specified closed questions. We do not know whether different barriers and different initiatives would evolve from using open-ended narrative comments. We did not include all physicians at each department as we sampled physicians at only one morning staff meeting in each department. However, this is a "random" sample, and we have no reason to believe that this sample is different from physicians at the same departments not attending this morning staff meeting. Our response rate from this "random" sample is 92%, considered a high response rate. We cannot infer on other professions such as nurses, and we cannot infer on physicians from departments not included in this study, eg Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care. Not all physicians reported time since the last CPR training and some physicians did not respond at all. Physicians not responding may have attended CPR training less frequently. The response rate is high, which minimizes selection bias.

Conclusion

One-third of physicians did not attend hospital CPR training at two Danish hospitals. Several barriers to course participation exist, of which course registration seems to be a crucial factor. Alternative CPR training methods may help improve training participation.

Acknowledgment

We thank all physicians who volunteered to answer the questionnaires. We thank the resuscitation officers Leif Bach, MD, Tabita Gallagher, RN, and Jacob Løyche, RN for validation of questionnaires. The abstract of this paper was presented at the American Heart Association Resuscitation Science Symposium 2016 as a poster presentation with interim findings. The poster's abstract was published in Circulation. 2016; 134:A20463.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

The authors of this manuscript declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ehlenbach WJ, Barnato AE, Curtis JR, et al. Epidemiologic study of in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the elderly. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(1):22–31. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0810245
- Girotra S, Nallamothu BK, Spertus JA, Li Y, Krumholz HM, Chan PS. Trends in survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(20):1912–1920. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1109148
- Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Berg KM, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A. In-hospital cardiac arrest: a review. *JAMA*. 2019;321(12):1200–1210. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.1696
- Andersen LW, Ostergaard JN, Antonsen S, et al. The Danish in-hospital cardiac arrest registry (DANARREST). *Clin Epidemiol.* 2019;11:397–402. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S201074
- Sandroni C, Nolan J, Cavallaro F, Antonelli M. In-hospital cardiac arrest: incidence, prognosis and possible measures to improve survival. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33(2):237–245. doi:10.1007/s00134-006-0326-z
- Abella BS, Sandbo N, Vassilatos P, et al. Chest compression rates during cardiopulmonary resuscitation are suboptimal: a prospective study during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2005;111 (4):428–434. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000153811.84257.59
- Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2005;293 (3):305–310. doi:10.1001/jama.293.3.305
- Chamberlain DA, Hazinski MF, Council ER, et al. Education in resuscitation: an ILCOR symposium: Utstein Abbey: Stavanger, Norway: June 22–24, 2001. *Circulation*. 2003;108(20):2575–2594. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000099898.11954.3B
- Soreide E, Morrison L, Hillman K, et al. The formula for survival in resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2013;84(11):1487–1493. doi:10.1016/j. resuscitation.2013.07.020

- Cheng A, Nadkarni VM, Mancini MB, et al. Resuscitation education science: educational strategies to improve outcomes from cardiac arrest: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;138. doi:10.1161/CIR.000000000000583
- Chan PS, Krein SL, Tang F, et al. Resuscitation practices associated with survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide survey. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2016;1(2):189–197. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2016. 0073
- Woollard M, Whitfield R, Newcombe RG, Colquhoun M, Vetter N, Chamberlain D. Optimal refresher training intervals for AED and CPR skills: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71 (2):237–247. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2006.04.005
- Chamberlain D, Smith A, Woollard M. Trials of teaching methods in basic life support: comparison of simulated CPR performance after first training and at 6 months, with a note on the value of re-training. *Resuscitation*. 2002;26:218–227.
- Sullivan NJ, Duval-Arnould J, Twilley M, et al. Simulation exercise to improve retention of cardiopulmonary resuscitation priorities for in-hospital cardiac arrests: a randomized controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. 2015;86:6–13. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.10.021
- Skrifvars MB, Castren M, Kurola J, Rosenberg PH. In-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation: organization, management and training in hospitals of different levels of care. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2002;46(4):458–463. doi:10.1034/j.1399-6576.2002.460423.x
- Einspruch EL, Lynch B, Aufderheide TP, Nichol G, Becker L. Retention of CPR skills learned in a traditional AHA Heartsaver course versus 30-min video self-training: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74(3):476–486. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.01.030
- Thorne CJ, Lockey AS, Bullock I, Hampshire S, Begum-Ali S, Perkins GD. E-learning in advanced life support-an evaluation by the Resuscitation Council (UK). *Resuscitation*. 2015;90:79–84. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.02.026
- Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, et al. Low-dose, high-frequency CPR training improves skill retention of in-hospital pediatric providers. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(1):e145–e151. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-2105
- Schmidt AS, Lauridsen KG, Adelborg K, Løfgren B. Hospital implementation of resuscitation guidelines and review of CPR training programmes: a nationwide study. *Eur J Emerg Med.* 2016;23 (3):232–234. doi:10.1097/MEJ.0000000000296
- Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Lofgren B, Kirkegaard H, Granfeldt A. Adult in-hospital cardiac arrest in Denmark. *Resuscitation*. 2019;140:31–36. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.04.046
- Lauridsen KGG, Løfgren B. A call for 2222 in European hospitals— A reply to letter by Dr. Whitaker. *Resuscitation*. 2016;107:e19. doi:10.1111/joor.12353
- Lauridsen KG, Schmidt AS, Adelborg K, Løfgren B. Organisation of in-hospital cardiac arrest teams - A nationwide study. *Resuscitation*. 2015;89(C):123–128. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.01.014
- Lauridsen KG, Krogh K, Müller SD, et al. Barriers and facilitators for in-hospital resuscitation: a prospective clinical study. *Resuscitation*. 2021;164:70–78. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.05.007
- 24. Hunt EA, Duval-Arnould JM, Chime NO, et al. Integration of in-hospital cardiac arrest contextual curriculum into a basic life support course: a randomized, controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation*. 2017;114:127–132. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.03.014
- Madden C. Undergraduate nursing students' acquisition and retention of CPR knowledge and skills. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2006;26 (3):218–227. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2005.10.003
- Cheng A, Magid DJ, Auerbach M, et al. Part 6: resuscitation education science: 2020 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2020;142(16_suppl_2):S551–S579. doi:10.1161/ CIR.0000000000000903

- Greif R, Lockey A, Breckwoldt J, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines 2021: education for resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2021;161:388–407. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.02.016
- Greif R, Bhanji F, Bigham BL, et al. Education, implementation, and teams: 2020 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. *Circulation*. 2020;156. doi:10.1016/j. resuscitation.2020.09.014
- Lauridsen KG, Schmidt AS, Caap P, Aagaard R, Løfgren B. Clinical experience and skills of physicians in hospital cardiac arrest teams in Denmark: a nationwide study. *Open Access Emerg Med.* 2017;9:37–41. doi:10.2147/OAEM.S124149
- 30. Siebig S, Kues S, Klebl F, et al. Cardiac arrest: composition of resuscitation teams and training techniques: results of a hospital survey in German-speaking countries. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2009;106 (5):65–70. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2009.0065
- Hopstock LA. Motivation and adult learning: a survey among hospital personnel attending a CPR course. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76 (3):425–430. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.09.011
- Perkins GD, Kimani PK, Bullock I, et al. Improving the efficiency of advanced life support training: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012;157(1):19–28. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-157-1-201207030-00005
- Lockey AS, Dyal L, Kimani PK. Electronic learning in advanced resuscitation training: the perspective of the candidate. *Resuscitation*. 2015;97:48–54. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.09.391
- 34. Lynch B, Einspruch EL, Nichol G, Becker LB, Aufderheide TP, Idris A. Effectiveness of a 30-min CPR self-instruction program for lay responders: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation*. 2005;67(1):31–43. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.04.017
- 35. Marsch SC, Muller C, Marquardt K, Conrad G, Tschan F, Hunziker PR. Human factors affect the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in simulated cardiac arrests. *Resuscitation*. 2004;60 (1):51–56. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2003.08.004
- Andersen PO, Jensen MK, Lippert A, Ostergaard D. Identifying non-technical skills and barriers for improvement of teamwork in cardiac arrest teams. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81(6):695–702. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.01.024
- Niles D, Sutton RM, Donoghue A, et al. "Rolling Refreshers": a novel approach to maintain CPR psychomotor skill competence. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80(8):909–912. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.04.021
- Niles DE, Nishisaki A, Sutton RM, et al. Improved retention of chest compression psychomotor skills with brief "rolling refresher" training. *Simul Healthc*. 2017;12(4):213–219. doi:10.1097/ SIH.00000000000228
- 39. Patocka C, Cheng A, Sibbald M, et al. A randomized education trial of spaced versus massed instruction to improve acquisition and retention of paediatric resuscitation skills in emergency medical service (EMS) providers. *Resuscitation*. 2019;141:73–80. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.06.010
- Herbers MD, Heaser JA. Implementing an in situ mock code quality improvement program. Am J Crit Care. 2016;25(5):393–399. doi:10.4037/ajcc2016583
- 41. Hunt EA, Walker AR, Shaffner DH, Miller MR, Pronovost PJ. Simulation of in-hospital pediatric medical emergencies and cardiopulmonary arrests: highlighting the importance of the first 5 minutes. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121(1):e34–e43. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-0029
- Josey K, Smith ML, Kayani AS, et al. Hospitals with more-active participation in conducting standardized in-situ mock codes have improved survival after in-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2018;133:47–52. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018. 09.020

Open Access Emergency Medicine

Publish your work in this journal

The Open Access Emergency Medicine is an international, peerreviewed, open access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of emergency medicine. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/open-access-emergency-medicine-journal

Dovepress