Innovations in gas permeable multifocal contact lenses

Abstract: A current area of great consumer interest, as well as potential for practice growth, pertains to the contact lens correction of presbyopia. In particular, many recent innovations with gas permeable multifocal lens designs have resulted in them comparing favorably—and often superiorly—to both soft multifocal lenses and monovision lenses, notably in the area of quality of vision. Gas permeable (GP) back surface aspheric multifocal designs have become increasingly popular because newer designs often are able to incorporate higher add powers than their predecessors, often via the addition of add power to the front surface of the lens. In addition, several front surface lens designs have recently been introduced which have the benefits of minimizing any corneal topography changes as they are typically fit in alignment with the cornea, while also providing a higher add than can be provided on the back surface of the lens. Some high specific gravity materials have been introduced which potentially allow for thinner lenses which have less mass and may exhibit more consistent centration than aspheric multifocal designs in conventional materials. New segmented, translating designs are available with the ability to provide an intermediate correction while the introduction of a hybrid design provides the practitioner with a viable option when a GP lens results in either poor centration of excessive subjective awareness.
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Introduction

It is evident that the greatest potential growth in the contact lens market today is the presbyopic patient. As the presbyopic population worldwide is rapidly growing and represents the largest segment of the population, it is still well underrepresented in the contact lens market.1–4 Data from annual contact lens fitting surveys have found that less than 40% of contact lens wearers older than 45 years of age are prescribed a presbyopic contact lens correction.5 Some of this problem may pertain to potential multifocal contact lens patients not being informed of this option. A 2007 survey by the Contact Lens Council reported that 40% of patients who responded were unaware that their presbyopia could be corrected by multifocal contact lenses.6 In addition, 75% of contact lens wearers and 60% of spectacle wearers indicated interest in wearing them. Jones et al7 found that if the practitioner is proactive in recommending contact lenses, 21 of 33 presbyopic patients agreed to be fitted with contact lenses.

Gas permeable multifocals: how do they compare to soft lens multifocals and monovision?

Monovision is defined as correcting one eye for distance vision and the other eye for near vision. For several decades monovision was the most popular contact lens...
Corrections have been made to improve legibility and clarity.

Innovations in GP lens designs

The trend away from monovision as the preferred modality as well as the superior visual performance of GP versus soft presbyopic lens designs, should continue as a result of several recent lens design and material innovations. These include posterior surface aspheric multifocal designs with additional add power on the front surface, front surface aspheric multifocal designs, high specific gravity lens materials, segmented translating designs innovations including intermediate correction and thinner profiles, and hybrid multifocal designs.

High add back surface aspheric multifocal designs

Although there is some shifting up or translation of an aspheric multifocal design when the patient views inferiorly to read, all aspheric GP multifocals (and all soft lens presbyopic designs in common use) utilize the principle of simultaneous vision in which near and distance corrections are in front of the pupil at the same time. In addition, another traditional limitation of this design is the inability to achieve a high add on the back surface. As these are pupil dependent designs, typically with the optimum distance correction in the center followed by a gradual increase in plus power toward the periphery, individuals with a large pupil diameter (ie, ≥6 mm in room illumination) are not good candidates due to the increased compromise with distance vision, notably in low illumination conditions (ie, night driving).11 Therefore, the add power on the back surface is typically optimum for early presbyopes; however, to achieve the add required in moderate-to-advanced presbyopia, several recently introduced designs are available with additional add power on the front surface. One such representative design is the Essential CSA® design (Blanchard Contact Lens Inc, Manchester, NH, USA). The back surface is an aspheric multifocal design and the front surface has a concentric distance
power zone (4.0–4.6 mm) surrounded by a concentric zone that provides the additional add power required by someone with moderate-to-high presbyopia. When the patient views inferiorly, and the lens shifts superiorly, they should be viewing through the region of the lens with additional add power.

**Front surface multifocal designs**

The challenges provided by back surface aspheric multifocal designs include the limited add power, not only on the back surface but also if the lens does not translate with downward gaze. Also the base curve selected is typically, at minimum, one diopter steeper than “K”. This can potentially result in lens adherence and distortion, especially if the lens decents on the cornea. The benefits of front surface aspheric designs include that they can be fit with corneal alignment (ie, approximating an “On K” base curve radius selection) minimizing the risk of corneal distortion; in addition, it has been found that a higher add can be placed on the front surface than on the back surface. Many of these designs also have aberration-control optics on the front surface to optimize the quality of vision.

Numerous front surface aspheric multifocal lenses have recently been introduced. The Naturals Progressive® front surface aspheric design (Advanced Vision Technologies Ltd, Golden, CO, USA) has unlimited add powers via varying the central distance zone from 2.5–5.5 mm and is available in a comprehensive Dispensing Inventory System (Figure 2). One of the benefits of all aspheric multifocal designs is the ease of fitting. In fact, empirical fitting of these designs has been recommended with success often achieved with the first pair of lenses dispensed to the patient. This is an important benefit as the first pair of lenses fit to the patient often results in good vision at all distances. The Reclaim® HD Bi-Aspheric Multifocal lens design (Blanchard Contact Lens) has mild asphericity on the back surface, with most of the add power on the front surface. One benefit of this design is simply that the company does not provide diagnostic fitting sets as an incentive to order these lenses for a given patient. In addition, for the increasing number of post-refractive surgery patients who have entered presbyopia, this laboratory has recently introduced a design with their RSS (Refractive Surgery Specific) reverse geometry back surface in combination with the Reclaim® multifocal front surface. (Figure 3)
High index of refraction (IOR) lens materials

Recently, several lens materials with high index of refraction and low specific gravity have been introduced. These lens materials currently include the Optimum HR® materials (Contamac Ltd, Saffron Walden, UK) with a specific gravity of 1.04 and IOR of 1.51 (Hirafocon A) and 1.53 (Hirafocon B) and the Paragon HDS HI® (Paragon Vision Sciences, Phoenix, AZ, USA) with a specific gravity of 1.12 and IOR of 1.54. Other GP lens materials have specific gravity values between 1.10–1.27 and IOR values between 1.42–1.47. The benefits of these materials includes thinner center-to-edge profiles resulting in less mass and potential improvement in centration and initial comfort. In addition, an increased add power versus other materials has been found. In fact, at 2.5 mm away from the lens center, a front surface aspheric design in a high IOR material results in an add power of 2.36D compared to a back surface aspheric design in a high IOR material (2.12D add) and a back surface aspheric design in a conventional material (1.75D add).

Translating segmented design innovations

Translating designs with intermediate correction

Traditional bifocal segmented translating designs in which the upper portion of the lens had distance correction and the lower section has near correction has resulted in the highest success rates of any contact lens presbyopic correction due to the quality of vision achieved at both distances. However, these designs have required the incorporation of prism ballast to allow the lens to position at or near the lower lid, such that the lens can be pushed superiorly with downward gaze. In addition, with the fact that most presbyopic individuals manifesting a moderate-to-advanced add requirement have intermediate vision needs, several manufacturers have introduced segmented, translating designs incorporating an intermediate correction. This includes executive intermediate designs Llevations® (from Tru-Form Optics, Euless, TX, USA); Tangent Streak Trifocal® (from Firestone Optics, Kansas City, MO, USA); aspheric intermediate optics, Presbylite (from Lens Dynamics, Denver, CO, USA); EZEyes Multifocal® (from Abba Optical Inc, Stone Mountain, GA, USA) and a segmented, translating bifocal front surface in combination with an aspheric back surface multifocal (ESSential Solutions® from X-Cel, Duluth, GA, USA).

Thinner profile translating designs

A recently introduced design, Bi-Expert® (Art Optical Inc, Grand Rapids, MI, USA), has the base of the prism designed as an inverse curve resulting in equal edge thickness 360° around the edge circumference. The slab-off technology used in this design results in less overall mass and greater potential initial comfort (Figure 4). This design also has the advantage of being ordered empirically. The laboratory can be provided with keratometry readings, refraction and (preferably) anatomical information to include pupil diameter, horizontal visible iris diameter, lower lid to lower pupil distance, palpebral fissure width, and lid tonicity. The primary limitation of this design is the absence of an intermediate correction although in a recent study, only two subjects failed due to this problem.
Hybrid multifocal designs
When decentration or initial lens awareness are problematic with presbyopic individuals desiring good vision at multiple distances, the recent introduction of a hybrid multifocal lens design would be a viable option. The SynergEyes® (SynergEyes, Inc, Carlsbad, CA, USA) lens has a 100-Dk GP center and a 27-percent water content skirt in a center-near zone between eyes if better distance vision is necessitated.

Summary
It is apparent that the introduction of high refractive index lens materials, in combination with front surface aspheric multifocal designs and advanced manufacturing techniques resulting in high optical quality and aberration control, bodes very well for contact lens correction of presbyopia. In addition, the introduction of modern segmented translating multifocal and hybrid designs provides numerous tools for the increasing number of presbyopic patients interested in contact lens wear.
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