Evaluating Effectiveness of Fu's Subcutaneous Needling for the Pain Nature and Quality of Life in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: A Study Protocol of Randomized Clinical Trial [Letter]

Danghan Xu ^{1,2}

Ximin Cai³

Jun He^{1,2}

¹Key Laboratory of Guangdong Provincial for Acupuncture and Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China;

²The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China; ³Clinical Medical College of Acupuncture Moxibustion and Rehabilitation, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China

Dear editor

We are very happy to read Chiu et al's paper published in the *Journal of Pain Research*, entitled "Evaluating Effectiveness of Fu's Subcutaneous Needling for the Pain Nature and Quality of Life in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: A Study Protocol of Randomized Clinical Trial."¹ That is one small paper for the journal, one giant leap for Fu's Subcutaneous Needling (FSN).

FSN has been used in China for more than 20 years. However, the related studies cannot keep up with FSN. As far as we know, FSN does not have a high-quality randomized controlled trial. Previously published studies were basically Chinese local articles. Articles published in the international community are mostly based on observational studies, such as case reports or retrospective studies, and there are few randomized controlled trials. Most of them are poorly designed and lack evidence-based power. The paper, Evaluating Effectiveness of Fu's Subcutaneous Needling for the Pain Nature and Quality of Life in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: A Study Protocol of Randomized Clinical Trial, is the first rigorously designed clinical trial, which will promote the development of FSN.

On the other hand, the research on the mechanism of FSN is still insufficient. At present, there is few research focusing on the mechanism of FSN, neither the Chinese academic community nor the international community. If the research on FSN can be carried out simultaneously from the perspectives of clinical efficacy and mechanism of action, this technology that has been used in clinical practice for many years can coruscate more powerful vitality.

At the same time, this article can be modified to be more perfect via some precise descriptions. First of all, the title used "effectiveness" to describe the curative effect. In fact, "effectiveness" is used to describe a larger sample, multi-center, and more extrapolative conclusion.² There are 90 subjects in the trial, then "efficacy" or "effect" will be more suitable. Secondly, the outcome, visual analog scales, had been not indicated in the abstract. Key information in the main body should be presented in the abstract totally. In addition, authors should point out the primary outcome and secondary outcomes in the protocol, which will determine the final result. If primary outcome is positive while secondary outcomes are negative,

Correspondence: Jun He
Email hejunzj@gzucm.edu.cn

the study is still positive because primary outcome deals with primary research question successfully. Moreover, primary outcome also determines the sample size calculation method. Hence, the authors should indicate primary outcome in the paper, especially in a study protocol.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Key Laboratory of Guangdong Provincial for Acupuncture and Chinese Medicine (No. 06 & 05).

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Chiu PE, Fu Z, Jian GW, et al. Evaluating effectiveness of fu's subcutaneous needling for the pain nature and quality of life in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a study protocol of randomized clinical trial. *J Pain Res.* 2021;14:3163–3172. doi:10.2147/JPR.S333299
2. Felipe F, Ben I. *Critical Thinking in Clinical Research*. 1st ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2018.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript

management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S346611>