Between Curing and Torturing: Burden of Adverse Reaction in Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis Therapy
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Abstract: Drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) requires prolonged and complex therapy which is associated with several adverse drug reactions (ADR). The burden of ADR can affect the quality of life (QoL) of patients that consists of physical, mental, and social well-being, and influences the beliefs and behaviors of patient related to treatment. This article reviews the burden of ADR and its association with QoL and adherence. We used PubMed to retrieve the relevant original research articles written in English from 2011 to 2021. We combined the following keywords: “tuberculosis,” “Drug-resistant tuberculosis,” “Side Effect,” “Adverse Drug Reactions,” “Adverse Event,” “Quality of Life,” “Adherence,” “Non-adherence,” “Default,” and “Loss to follow-up.” Article selection process was unsystematic. We included 12 relevant main articles and summarized into two main topics, namely, 1) ADR and QoL (3 articles), and 2) ADR and therapy adherence (9 articles). The result showed that patients with ADR tend to have low QoL, even in the end of treatment. Although it was torturing, the presence of ADR does not always result in non-adherence. It is probably because the perception about the benefit of the treatment dominates the perceived barrier. In conclusion, burden of ADR generally tends to degrade QoL of patients and potentially influence the adherence. A comprehensive support from family, community, and healthcare provider is required to help patients in coping with the burden of ADR. Nevertheless, the regimen safety and efficacy improvement are highly needed.
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Introduction
Drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) remains a global public health problem. It is defined as a disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacteria that have been resistant to the standard antimicrobial treatment. In 2019, approximately 465,000 people with TB were resistant to rifampicin or called rifampicin-resistant TB (RR TB), of which 78% were multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB). MDR is a condition when bacteria are resistant to both Isoniazid and Rifampicin as the two most potent antituberculosis drugs. Unlike drug-susceptible tuberculosis (DS-TB) treatment, which only requires first line antituberculosis, RR/MDR-TB treatment requires a combination of second line antituberculosis drugs. Recent WHO recommendation of RR/MDR-TB treatment has categorized antituberculosis agent into 3 groups. Group A consists of bedaquiline, fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin/moxifloxacin), and linezolid. Group B consists of clofazimine and cycloserine/terizidone. Group C consists of ethambutol, delamanid, pyrazinamide, imipenem–cilastatin/meropenem, amikacin, streptomycin, ethionamide/prothionamide, p-aminosalicylic acid. Combination of all drugs from group A and at least one drug from group B is recommended as a standard treatment.
of long-term regimen, while group C can be administered when main drugs from group A and B cannot be used. Long-term regimen requires 18–24 months of therapy, this is 3–4 times longer than DS-TB therapy which only requires 6 months of therapy. Yet, for patients with certain conditions, the duration can be shortened to 9–12 months or called short-term regimen.1,2

DR-TB therapy is quite challenging owing to prolonged duration, more complex, and more toxic regimens that likely cause adverse drug reactions (ADR).1,2 ADR is a response to a drug that is noxious, unintended, and occurs at doses normally used in man for prophylaxis, diagnosis, or therapy of disease, or for the modification of a physiological function.3 The severity of ADR can be categorized in major (consists of fatal, life-threatening, severe) and minor (consists of mild, moderate) types.4 Several ADR of DR-TB therapy regimens that have been reported included gastrointestinal (GI) disturbances as the most common ADR (induced by p-aminosalicylic acid, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, and ethionamide), otoxicity (aminoglycoside), peripheral neuropathy (isoniazid, cycloserine, ethambutol, ethionamide), psychiatric disorders (cycloserine, fluoroquinolone), QT prolongation (delamanid, bedaquiline, fluoroquinolone), hypothyroidism (p-aminosalicylic acid, ethionamide), dermatologic disorders (pyrazinamide, clofazimine), renal impairment (aminoglycoside), vision problems (ethambutol, linezolid), electrolyte imbalance (aminoglycoside), hepatic dysfunction (all), seizures (cycloserine, isoniazid, fluoroquinolone), Arthralgia (fluoroquinolone, pyrazinamide), dizziness, and headaches.5–12 Some ADR even persist after the therapy ended.4,5,13

ADR are burdensome and interfere with daily life activities and the well-being of patients.14,15 The burden can inflict poor quality of life (QoL), as patients had to spend a lot of energy, time, and resources to deal with their conditions that potentially influenced their beliefs and behaviors related to their treatments.15,16 Finally, it results in increasing the risk of non-adherence that led to unachieved therapy goals and poor treatment outcomes.14,15

Although many studies about ADR have been published, only a few discussed the impact on the well-being or QoL of patients quantitatively, while many of them focused on the prevalence and ADR types. Given that the QoL is important, it may impact adherence as a critical concern of DR-TB therapy, and both topics should be understood properly. Therefore, the literature review was written to discuss the 1) association between ADR and health-related QoL, and 2) association between ADR and therapy adherence. A comprehensive discussion about ADR, QoL, and adherence in DR-TB patients helps to demonstrate burden of ADR from psychosocial perspective. This review is expected to be the source of information for healthcare providers in understanding the burden of ADR that frequently occur in DR-TB patients.

Materials and Methods
We searched for articles in PubMed with the use of the following keyword combinations to meet the aim of this study: “tuberculosis,” “Drug-resistant tuberculosis,” “Side Effect,” “Adverse Drug Reactions,” “Adverse Event,” “Quality of Life,” “Adherence,” “Non-adherence,” “Default,” and “Loss to follow-up.” We included original research studies written in English from 2011 to 2021. The identification of articles and the selection process were not systematic. The flow of article selection is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. Only original research studies with quantitative methods were selected as the main articles. Articles on unrelated topics were excluded. The results were summarized into two main topics, namely, 1) ADR and Health Related-QoL, and 2) ADR and therapy adherence. The search strategy identified 12 quantitative research papers as the main articles.

ADR and Health-Related QoL
QoL is a multidimensional concept that represents the perceived position of an individual regarding physical health, psychological condition, social relationships, personal beliefs, level of independence, and his/her relationship to environment.17 When it corresponds to or affected by the presence of disease or treatment, it is called health-related QoL (HR QoL).18 HR QoL is one healthcare system output that is important to be measured. It is broader than biological functioning and morbidity.19

Some research had proven that tuberculosis and its consequences affected HR-QoL not only due to somatic symptom but also had psychological and social impacts.20,22 Moreover, in DR-TB, long-term therapy and toxic drugs render the implementation of curing processes more difficult.23 A systematic review has reported that the HR-QoL of MDR-TB patients was considerably lower than DS-TB patients, especially in the first six months of therapy.24,25 ADR is one of the predictors of HR-QoL in DR-TB patients in addition to other sociodemographic factors, such as age, family support, sex, education, marital status, and clinically related factors, such as TB history,
baseline lung cavity, sickness before diagnosis, positive diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and usage of injectable drugs.\textsuperscript{22,25–29}

The research on this topic remains scarce. Three studies investigated associations of ADR with the patients’ HR-QoL in DR-TB patients (Table 1). One of these investigated both DS-TB and DR-TB. Two of the studies indicated associations between ADR and HR-QoL.\textsuperscript{20,22} A study reported by Sineke used the SF-36 questionnaire to investigate the mental component summary (MCS) and the physical component summary (PCS). DR-TB patients with reported ADR more likely had lower MCS (aRR 2.24 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.53–3.27) and PCS (aRR 1.52 95% CI 1.07–2.18) scores than patients who did not report ADR. The mental score was found to be lower than physical score. This means that physical health recovers faster than mental health.\textsuperscript{22}

ADR during the early month of therapy has reduced HR-QoL substantially. Usage of injectable drugs also contributed to the deterioration of HR-QoL. Patients with injectable drugs were 1.49 times more likely to have low MCS scores than patients with injection-free regimens.\textsuperscript{22}

A study conducted by Valadares et al investigated the association between ADR and QoL in a TB population consisted of DS-TB and DR-TB. Patients who suffered both minor and major ADR had worse QoL than patients with minor ADR only.\textsuperscript{20}

Contradictory results were reported by Sagwa et al that identified no association between QoL and ADR in MDR-TB patients. This finding was reasonable because the
subject underwent the final month of treatment or had completed treatment within the last three months. Severe side effects frequently suffered in the intensive phase. In the continuation phase there may be several persistent adverse outcomes, such as hearing loss, but it could be tolerated or handled better as therapy progressed, and as the number of medications decreased over time. Therefore, unlike the early phase, the patients in the final month of therapy may have a better QoL.

Despite significant HR-QoL improvement in the end of MDR-TB therapy, HR QoL score was considered low which indicated an impairment. Residual impairment of HR-QoL may have happened after prolonged therapy with high pill burden and various ADR. Moreover, the disease itself altered lung architecture and increased risk of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).

### Physical Well-Being

ADR generate physical distress. Major ADR can cause hospitalization, disability, or even death if not managed properly. A meta-analysis indicates that 57.3% of MDR-TB patients experienced at least one adverse event. A higher ADR average was found in a high-prevalence HIV setting (83%), in which 24% of the evaluated cases were considered as serious adverse events. GI disturbance such as gastritis, vomiting, and reduced appetite, was the most prevalent ADR. Some patients admitted that they cannot tolerate ADR. Instead of feeling better, patients felt that the outcomes following the intake of medications were as bad as the illness itself, or even worse. Some ADR lead to permanent disability, even when the drug has been discontinued, for example ototoxicity. A study conducted in 50 MDR-TB patients with aminoglycoside injectable agent reported that 28% of participants experienced ototoxicity and 18% of participants experienced long-term hearing loss. Ototoxicity is one of the most common major ADR with a prevalence of 14.6%. Injectable drugs not only cause pain at the injection site but also found to be the most ADR-inducing drugs.

### Social Well-Being

Despite the social stigma of the illness itself, ADR also was a burden of social life of patients. Patient missed out a lot of social activities because they felt they are too weak to socialize. For some patients, the visible effects, such as skin discoloration, made them feel ashamed. The ADR prevented them to perform household chores. The inability to work owing to the disease and its adverse effects compelled patients to face income losses. Conversely, they also faced financial constraint to provide adequate nutritious foods and to sustain their treatment. Although the treatment itself is free, patients still have to pay some indirect cost, for example transportation cost.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>HRQoL Measurement</th>
<th>Summary of Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Johannesburg, South Africa, February 2015-January 2018</td>
<td>DR-TB Patients; ≥ 18 years old (n= 149)</td>
<td>Short Form-36 (SF-36)</td>
<td>Patients with ADR experience were more likely to have lower MCS (aRR 2.24 95% CI 1.53–3.27) and PCS (aRR 1.52 95% CI 1.07–2.18).</td>
<td>Sineke et al, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Namibia, January-April 2015</td>
<td>MDR-TB patients in the final month or had completed the treatment within the past 3 months; aged 17–54 years (n=36)</td>
<td>Short Form-8 (SF-8)</td>
<td>There was no significant different between patients reporting zero to two ADR (median HRQoL score = 56.8; 44.4–56.8) with those reporting three or more ADR (median HRQoL score = 55.2 (38.6–56.8); (p value = 0.34)).</td>
<td>Sagwa et al, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Belo Horizonte, Brazil. March-December 2015.</td>
<td>Both DR-TB and DS-TB patients, &gt;18 years old (n=73)</td>
<td>Abbreviated World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-Bref)</td>
<td>There were significant association between the type of ADR and HRQoL in univariate analysis (p value =0.016) and in multivariate analysis (p value =0.012).</td>
<td>Valadares et al, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ADR, adverse drug reaction; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary; aRR, adjusted relative risk; CI, confidence interval; DR-TB, drug resistant tuberculosis; MDR-TB, multi-drug resistant tuberculosis.
Mental Well-Being
ADR was also associated with psychiatric problems. A cross-sectional study showed that 33.8% patients were depressed. Qualitative data indicated that the majority of patients feel depressed owing to side effects. Another study revealed that the side effects were the most significant factors of depression among MDR-TB patients (aOR 20.7, 95% CI 1.2–355.7). Patients were often depressed. Some patients felt that their lives were only about disease and treatment. Patients were very tortured and even some of them said that they would rather die than experience this type of terrible feeling. The worthless feeling and disability also made them feel depressed. Furthermore, psychiatric disorders were included in the major ADR of some drugs. A prior study reported that it occurred in 13.2% of patients. The manifestations of these disorders can be anxiety, depression, and psychosis that may sometimes lead to suicidal attempts. It could be induced by cycloserine and fluoroquinolone. Depression and other mental problems as a consequence of DR-TB therapy may exacerbate non-adherences.

ADR and Therapeutics Adherence
ADR constitute one of the challenging aspects that may exacerbate the burden of illness. Burdened patients sometimes struggle with adhering to therapy. Suffering with bad experiences associated with ADR may sometimes be intolerable and inflict treatment disruption. Therefore, ADR could be considered as a barrier for DR-TB patients to achieve treatment adherence. Some studies had demonstrated that deliberating ADR in DR-TB patients was associated with non-adherence and treatment default or loss to follow-up (Table 2). Adherence itself indicates how long a patient follows the healthcare provider’s recommendation regarding the received health advice, while loss to follow-up refers to interrupted treatment for at least two consecutive months. Loss to follow-up may indicate non-adherence. Non-adherence in TB therapy may diminish effectiveness of medication and result in higher risk of treatment failure, relapse, and worse drug resistant.

Wang et al found that the incidence of short treatment interruption (≤14 days) among 202 MDR-TB patients was 37.6%, and serious interruption (>14 days) was 28.7%. ADR was found to be the most prominent factor of treatment interruption (ORadj: 2.82, 95% CI: 1.41–5.61) that accounted for 20.3% of serious interruption, followed by the financial related factor and comorbidity. Another similar report by Woldeyohannes et al revealed that patients that experienced ADR were six times more likely to become loss to follow-up (AHR = 6.1; 95% CI = 2.5–14.34).

According to research conducted by Sanchez-Padilla et al (2014), the number of ADR was associated with the loss to follow-up (aRR 1.18, 95% CI 1.09–1.27). This was reinforced by their qualitative findings based on which all of the patients perceived that dealing with ADR was difficult. Poor tolerability demotivated them from continuing the treatment. The urge of discontinuing was shown to be stronger in the initial period of therapy, and caused losses to follow-up during the intensive phase (69 (71.1%) of the 97 patient). There were 11 ADR that became the main reason of loss to follow-up. According to the study by Tupasi et al, among many ADR, high-severity vomit was the only adverse drug reaction that was independently associated with loss to follow-up in multivariate analysis (OR 1.10 (1.01–1.21), p = 0.03). Additionally, vomit was included in GI disorders, the most common ADR of DR-TB medication. Meanwhile, other side effects, such as dizziness and fatigue exhibited a significant association only in the univariate model. Patients also expressed the fact that their fears toward side effects had been the primary reason for stopping treatments.

Meanwhile, other research studies yielded slightly different results. Research conducted by Iweama et al demonstrated ADR and treatment adherence in both DS-TB and DR-TB. It showed significant bivariate correlation but insignificant multivariate correlation between side effects and treatment adherence. This may be attributed to the fact that because self-reported adherence assessment used in this study may be limited by recall and bias. Moreover, the proportion of DS-TB patients was higher than DR-TB patients, while DS-TB did not cause severe side effects, like DR-TB did. Similar results reported by Dela et al 2017, which found a significant association between loss to follow-up with ADR in bivariate analysis (χ² = 20.214, degrees-of-freedom = 5, p = 0.001), as well as between adherence with no occurrence of ADR (χ² = 18.614, df = 1, p = 0.000).

Another study in Philippines demonstrated different results. This study reported that occurrence of uncontrolled adverse events during the first year of treatment was not associated with loss to follow-up (p = 0.35) in a univariate analysis. A retrospective study conducted in Uganda also reported similar results. The study revealed that ADR was not significantly associated with non-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Summary of Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Guizhou, China, January-June 2018</td>
<td>MDR-TB Patients (n= 202)</td>
<td>Pill count, interview</td>
<td>ADR (OR: 2.82, 95% CI:1.41–5.61) were the top predictor of treatment interruption.</td>
<td>Wang et al, 2019&lt;sup&gt;49&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort retrospective</td>
<td>Yerevan, Armenia, November 2011</td>
<td>DR-TB patients who initiated treatment from 2005 to 2011 (n= 381)</td>
<td>Ratio of treatment doses received under DOT divided by the number of doses prescribed.</td>
<td>Number of ADR (aRR 1.18, 95% CI 1.09–1.27) was associated with loss to follow up from treatment.</td>
<td>Sanchez-Padilla et al, 2014&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Oromia region, Ethiopia, November 2012-December 2017</td>
<td>DR-TB patients (n= 406)</td>
<td>Patient records</td>
<td>Drug adverse effect (aHR = 6.1; 95% CI: 2.5, 14.34) were independent predictors of loss to follow up.</td>
<td>Woldeyohannes et al, 2021&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case control</td>
<td>Philippines, April-July 2014</td>
<td>DR-TB patients, ≥ 18 years old, started treatment from July-December 2012 (lost to follow-up cases, n=91; control, n= 182)</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Vomit was the only ADR that associated with loss to follow-up in multivariate analysis (OR 1.10 (1.01–1.21), p value 0.03) and reported as the primary reason for stopping treatment.</td>
<td>Tupasi et al, 2016&lt;sup&gt;50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Kano and Kaduna States, Nigeria, January 2015-June 2016</td>
<td>DS-TB and DR-TB patients, adult (n=390)</td>
<td>Tuberculosis Medication Adherence Questionnaire (TBMAQ)</td>
<td>56.7% reported that side effects of anti TB drugs affected their treatment adherence. Antituberculosis drugs side effects were significant variable in bivariate analysis (p &lt; 0.001), but it was insignificant predictor in multivariate analysis (p = 0.191).</td>
<td>Iweama et al, 2021&lt;sup&gt;51&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Rajkot, India</td>
<td>MDR-TB cases during 2010–2013 (n =125)</td>
<td>Registered data</td>
<td>Defaulter was associated with ADR ($\chi^2 = 20.214$, df = 5, p = 0.001). Treatment adherence was associated with no occurrence of ADR ($\chi^2 = 18.614$, df = 1, p = 0.000).</td>
<td>Dela et al, 2017&lt;sup&gt;54&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Metro Manila, Philippines</td>
<td>MDR-TB patients treated from 1999 to 2006 (n=583)</td>
<td>Patient records</td>
<td>Uncontrolled ADR was not significantly associated with defaulter (p = 0.35).</td>
<td>Gler et al, 2015&lt;sup&gt;55&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>MDR-TB patients treated from January 2012-May 2016 (n=227)</td>
<td>Registered data</td>
<td>ADR was not significantly associated with non-adherence (p value = 0.357)</td>
<td>Batte et al, 2021&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Kerala, Delhi and West Bengal, India</td>
<td>MDR-TB in the three States from January 2009 to December 2011.</td>
<td>Treatment card and registered data</td>
<td>ADR has no significant association with unfavorable outcome (p value = 0.09)</td>
<td>Nair et al, 2017&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ADR, adverse drug reaction; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary; aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; DR-TB, drug resistant tuberculosis; MDR-TB, multi-drug resistant tuberculosis.
adherence in MDR-TB patients.\textsuperscript{56} In addition, a study of 788 MDR-TB patients in India suggested no significant association between ADR and unfavorable outcome (failed, died, loss to follow up, transferred out, and switched to Extensively Drug Resistant) both in univariate (p = 0.07) and multivariate (p = 0.09) analysis.\textsuperscript{57} Despite patient’s decision to refuse therapy, therapy interruption could sometimes occur owing to the clinician’s recommendation regarding severe side effects or the clinical condition.\textsuperscript{58}

The occurrence of ADR could be either significantly or insignificantly associated with poor therapy outcomes.\textsuperscript{58–61} Given that non-adherence and treatment interruption was associated with poor outcomes, these states ought to be managed properly.\textsuperscript{62,63}

### Discussion

Health care is a humanistic transaction that targets the well-being of patients.\textsuperscript{17} Medication, as the part of healthcare, is expected to cure and improve the QoL of patients. Otherwise, in DR-TB therapy, the existing therapeutics regimen was shown to be extremely burdensome for most patients owing to ADR.\textsuperscript{36,39,45} Some studies reported that ADR more likely reduced HR-QoL.\textsuperscript{20,22} ADR is included in the burden of medicine, a term that refers to workloads that are withstood by a person who undergoes therapy and can affect their QoL, especially in a long-term therapy.\textsuperscript{64–66}

HR-QoL is more complex than just a health status, it combines some values that a patient expects.\textsuperscript{17} It is about physical, mental, and social well-beings that account for the patients’ functionality.\textsuperscript{27} Not only ADR, but other clinical and sociodemographic characteristics are also responsible for the patients’ HR-QoL, for example age, smoking, residence, marital status, education level, duration of current treatment, length of sickness before DR-TB, HIV comorbid, and number of drugs used.\textsuperscript{25,27} Low QoL predicts poor treatment outcomes owing to its impact to adherence\textsuperscript{15} (Figure 3).

Medication adherence is a complex phenomenon.\textsuperscript{67} In addition to ADR, there were a lot of predisposing factors of DR-TB therapy non-adherence, such as being male, smoking, middle-low education level, being older than 40–45 years old, Extensively Drug Resistant (XDR), or pre-XDR-TB category, and the history of poor TB therapy outcome (loss to follow-up or failed).\textsuperscript{50,58,68} Therefore, ADR may not always be a significant predictor of non-adherence. However, ADR is a concern that healthcare workers and care givers should pay attention to.

Based on the health belief model (HBM), perceived barriers and benefits are two direct and prominent aspects that affect adherence. Meanwhile, cues of action, perceived self-efficacy, perceived severity, perceived susceptibility, as well as sociodemographic characteristics and psychological distress were known to be the exogenous variables that indirectly affected adherence.\textsuperscript{69} In this context, the example of perceived barrier is when patients believe that consuming TB drugs costs effort, time, energy, and money owing to ADR. Patients with poor physical well-being may perceive more barriers. The example of perceived benefit is when the patients believe that consuming TB drugs will improve their health states and prevent them from experiencing deteriorating conditions. Patient with good mental well-being will more easily perceive the benefit of therapy.\textsuperscript{70} If perceived benefits outweigh perceived barriers, the patient may adhere to the regimen, and vice versa.

Proper strategies about ADR management have to be planned to maintain QoL and therapy adherence. Proper counseling sessions before and during therapy could build awareness and belief of necessity and convince the patients about the benefit of therapy. Knowledge or educational intervention is one of the primary efforts to strengthen the perceived benefit and reduce the perceived barrier in order to achieve adherence.\textsuperscript{71,72} Healthcare workers have responsibility to deliver information to patients, family, and the caregiver with comprehensive understanding about disease and treatment, including the

![Figure 3 Illustration of burden of ADR and its impact to QoL and adherence.](https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S333111)

**Abbreviations:** HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ADR, adverse drug reaction; $\rightarrow$ associated.
ADR and how to handle ADR. Psychological and educational support could improve both HR-QoL and medication adherence.

Directly observed treatment (DOT) is very useful to monitor medication usage. DOT from community health workers was known to be more effective to reduce loss to follow-up rate compared with DOT from healthcare workers only from healthcare facilities like hospital. This may be attributed to the fact that healthcare workers in hospital are busy and have only limited time to talk and give support to patients. In the implementation of DOT, adherence maintenance or improvement is a collaborative approach with a patient-centered perspective. It involves discussions and negotiations to resolve non-adherence. Instead of asking “why,” healthcare workers should view non-adherence as a chance to get more information and provide more understanding and motivational support.

Deshmukh et al explained that family, community, and healthcare workers played an important role toward QoL and adherence among DR-TB patients. Hopes and aspiration about future life, concern about their loved ones, and fear of death explained the self-motivation factors for dealing with all barriers. Family and peer attention matter a lot to provide the feeling of security and motivation for patients. Psychological counseling from healthcare professionals was also needed to help patients in relieving anxiety, depression, as well as motivating patients in controlling every barrier. This effort was also effective in improving adherence.

In addition to psychological and cognitive intervention, medical interventions, such as early detection, proper management, and evaluation, were also important. Regular checks and laboratory screenings according to guidelines were needed. Moreover, nutritional support could help patients recover more quickly.

Choi et al recommended that therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) and pharmacogenomic-based therapy could reduce the potency of ADR as well as increase therapy efficacy. TDM could help clinicians to find optimal drug concentration which minimize ADR. Combining TDM with microbiological and clinical assessments could help optimize therapy. Pharmacogenomic-based individualized therapy allowed clinicians to analyze the risk of ADR that may develop in patients according to their genetic characteristics. There are some polymorphisms that are responsible for the occurrence of ADR in TB therapy. Yet, this method is barely implemented resource in limited setting, especially in low- and middle-income countries.

However, an effective, safe, and tolerable regimen is currently required. Global need of DR-TB therapy improvement underlies several studies. Since 2016, WHO has recommended a shorter regimen for patients with certain conditions owing to the lower cost and higher adherence potential. Although currently there is no evidence of adherence improvement in shorter regimen, minimizing therapy period will give more confidence of patient to complete the therapy. Shorter regimen also reduces the potency of ADR and diminish therapy-related cost. Shorter duration of treatment was also known to be associated with better QoL. To strengthen the evidence, a multi-centered study is currently being conducted to evaluate HR QoL among patients with shorter regimen.

Several studies has proven safety and efficacy of novel and repurposed drugs for DR-TB. A randomized control trial showed that Delamanid and Bedaquiline both drugs showed good conversion rate. The occurrence of QT prolongation has been a concern of using both drugs. Bedaquiline, also showed good clinical outcome in combination with moxifloxacin, pretomanid, and pyrazinamide with shorter regimen. Liver enzyme abnormality was found. While study in China showed favorable clinical outcome from regimen containing linezolid, fluoroquinolone, clofazimine/bedaquiline, cycloserine, and pyrazinamide. Peripheral neuropathy and arthralgia/myalgia were the most frequent ADR in this regimen. Pyrazinamide and linezolid were two-most ADR inducing drugs. Based on the existing studies, it can be concluded that delamanid, bedaquiline, clofazimine, pretomanid, fluoroquinolone, and linezolid are novel and repurposed drugs that effective and well tolerated. These agents are promising and may improve QoL and adherence of DR TB patients.

**Conclusion and Prospects**

Burden of ADR makes DR-TB therapy to be a miserable period for patients. ADR generally tend to degrade quality of life of patients and potentially influence the adherence. A comprehensive support from family, community, and healthcare provider is required to help patients in coping with the burden of ADR. Nevertheless, the regimen improvement is highly needed. There is still lack of research that conducts analysis of the burden of medicine...
either in general or specifically regarding to ADR. Therefore, this topic ought to be investigated further.
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