Development and Evaluation of Serum CST1 Detection for Early Diagnosis of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma
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Purpose: Our pilot study has shown that cystatin SN (CST1) protein is highly expressed in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) tissues. We intend to develop a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) available for serum CST1 detection and define the diagnostic value of CST1 detection for early ESCC patients, and establish a panel of CST1 with traditional tumor markers to improve the diagnostic sensitivity for early ESCC.

Methods: Detection performance of CLEIA for CST1 was evaluated by linearity, detection limit, accuracy, precision, anti-interference and stability. Diagnostic performance of CST1 for early ESCC was evaluated by detecting CST1 of 112 early ESCC, 107 esophageal benign lesions (EBL), and 151 healthy controls (HC). CEA, CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag were detected by chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA).

Results: The linear range and detection limit of CLEIA for CST1 were 6.25–400 pg/mL and 1.35 pg/mL, respectively; the average recovery rate was 102.65%; CVs of intra-batch precision and inter-batch precision were <1/4 TEa and <1/3 TEa, respectively; 8 interferents including 7 common interferents and CST4 had no interference on CST1 detection; stability evaluation showed good sample and reagent stability. The level and positive rate of CST1 in early ESCC group were significantly higher than those in EBL/HC groups (P<0.05). The diagnostic sensitivity of CST1 for early ESCC was 31.25% (specificity 92.64%, AUC 0.654). The diagnostic sensitivity of traditional tumor markers ranged from 16.07% to 28.57%, at >93.0% specificity, and SCC-Ag showed the highest AUC (0.709). Combination of CST1 and CEA, SCC-Ag exhibited the highest AUC up to 0.736 (sensitivity 49.11%, specificity 89.53%).

Conclusion: CLEIA has excellent detection performance for CST1. CST1 might be a prospective serological biomarker for early diagnosis of ESCC, while combination of CST1 and CEA, SCC-Ag might improve the early diagnostic performance.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors in the clinic. It originates from the esophageal epithelium and manifests as a series of symptoms, such as swallowing pain, dysphagia, and weight loss. Esophageal cancer is mainly divided into esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). In recent years, the incidence of ESCC is significantly higher than that of EAC in >90% of countries, of which China has the highest incidence of
ESCC in the world, with 572,034 new cases reported in 2018. As a highly invasive tumor, ESCC development often leads to lymph node metastasis, showing highly malignant biological behavior. More than 80% of patients have been at the middle and advanced stage when they visit the doctor, with a dismal 5-year survival rate of 15% to 20%. Therefore, early diagnosis and timely treatment are critical for improving the prognosis and survival rate of ESCC patients. Unfortunately, fiber endoscopy and mucosal biopsy are demonstrated to be not available for routine screening for the patients with ESCC at early stage due to several shortages such as relatively complex operation and uncomfortable invasion experience, etc. Serological biomarkers have the advantages of easy accessibility, convenient detection, and high acceptance by patients and play a critical role in the early screening of ESCC. However, the traditional tumor markers, CEA, CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag, present a different degree of detection sensitivity or specificity problems, which limit their clinical value for the early diagnosis of ESCC. Therefore, finding new prospective serological markers is very essential for the patients with ESCC at early stage.

Cystatin (CST) was first isolated from egg white by Anastasi et al using affinity chromatography, with the feature of inhibitory effect on cysteine protease. CST gene is located on chromosome 20p11.21 and encodes cystatin SN protein that belongs to the second subfamily of cystatin superfamily, which includes 7 exocrine proteins: Cystatin SN (CST1), Cystatin SA (CST2), Cystatin C (CST3), Cystatin A (CST4), Cystatin D (CST5), Cystatin E/M (CST6), and Cystatin F (CST7). Other than CST3, which is widely expressed in various body fluids and tissues, the other 6 members in the second subfamily of cystatin superfamily present specific distribution limitations in different body fluids and tissues. For example, CST1 is found to be limitedly expressed in seminal plasma, lacrimal fluid, cystic fluid, submandibular gland, lacrimal gland, and gallbladder. A balance between CST and cysteine protease must be maintained in organisms because its breakdown is speculated to lead to malignancies. Recently, some CSTs have been reported to be closely correlated with malignancies, of which CST1 was shown high expression in cancerous tissues of some malignancies, including colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, liver cancer, pancreatic cancer, and breast cancer, and then promoted cancerous cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. Additionally, CST1 is found to be a downstream effector of transcription factor TCF, involved in the occurrence and development of gastric cancer via Wnt/β-catenin/TCF signal transmission; in liver cancer, CST1 promoted the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) process of cancerous cells by regulating the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway.

Considered that the correlation between CST1 and ESCC has not yet been clarified up to now, it is worth exploring whether there is ectopic high expression of CST1 in both cancerous tissues and sera of ESCC patients. As expected, the result of immunohistochemical (IHC) staining showed CST1 protein was aberrantly expressed in 81.8% of cancerous tissues while negative in all matched paracancerous tissues of 22 early ESCC patients in our pilot study. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that aberrant expression of CST1 might also exist in sera of the patients with early ESCC. In this study, we intend to develop a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) available for serum CST1 detection and define the diagnostic value of serum CST1 detection for early ESCC patients, and then determine an optimal panel of serum CST1 with traditional tumor markers to further improve the diagnostic performance for ESCC patients at early stage.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Participants**

Three-hundred and seventy serum samples from Fujian Provincial Hospital during the period from January 2017 to December 2019 were derived from 112 patients with early esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), 107 patients with esophageal benign lesions (EBL) and 151 healthy controls (HC). All early ESCC patients at TNM 0/I/II stage were confirmed by endoscopy combined with cytology or histopathology and did not receive any anti-tumor therapy such as surgery, chemoradiotherapy, and molecular targeted therapy. The EBL group included esophageal benign tumors, benign ulcer of esophagus, esophageal erosions and reflux esophagitis, all of whom were inpatients and outpatients from Fujian Provincial Hospital during the same period. The HC group included 151 healthy adults receiving the physical examination at the Physical Examination Center in Fujian Provincial Hospital and showed no evidence of malignancies, EBL, etc. The clinical and pathological data are listed in Table 1. 5 mL peripheral blood from each subject was collected and the serum was separated at 3000 rpm...
for 5 min and stored at −80°C before use. Twenty-two pairs of ESCC tissues and matched paracancerous tissues for IHC staining were randomly selected from 112 cases with ESCC at early stage. The Institutional Review Board of Fujian Provincial Hospital approved this study in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided written informed consent.

### IHC Staining

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, 3-mm thick sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. IHC SP staining kit (Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Limited Company, China) was used for staining according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sections were, respectively, incubated with primary antibody against CST1 (1:100, Abcam (Shanghai) Trading Limited Company, China) overnight at 4 °C, followed by incubation with the biotinylated secondary antibody for 10 min at room temperature, and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin for 10 min at room temperature. The immunoreactivities were visualized brown with diaminobenzidine (DAB kit; Lab Vision) and counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin. ESCC tissue sections always positive after multiple tests and verification were used as the positive control, and PBS was used as the negative control instead of the primary antibody, keeping all other steps in the process the same. The intensity of staining was graded as: 0 = undetectable, 1+ = weak staining, 2+ = moderate staining, and 3+ = strong staining.

### Development of Chemiluminescent Enzyme Immunoassay (CLEIA) for Serum CST1 Detection

1.6 μg/mL mouse monoclonal antibody against CST1 (Shanghai Liangrun Biomedicine Technology Limited Company, China) diluted with CBS buffer was coated onto 96-well plates, 100 μL/well, at 4 °C overnight. After 1 time wash with PBST, 200 μL microplate stabilizer I (Huzhou Yingchuang Biotechnology Limited Company, China) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. After 3 times wash with PBST, 100 μL CST1 calibrator (CST1 recombinant antigen, Shanghai Liangrun Biomedicine Technology Limited Company, China) or serum sample was added and
incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. After 5 times wash with PBST, 100 μL horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labeled rat monoclonal antibody against CST1 (1:2000 dilution, Shanghai Liangrun Biomedicine Technology Limited Company, China) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. After 5 times wash with PBST, 100 μL luminescent solution (substrate A containing luminescent agent and enhancer mixed with substrate B containing hydrogen peroxide at a ratio of 1:1 before use) was added and incubated at room temperature for 3 min. RLU was measured on Antu bio LUMO chemiluminescence analyzer (Shanghai Antu Biotechnology Limited Company, China), and the CST1 level was calculated according to the standard curve.

Evaluation of CLEIA for Serum CST1 Detection

The detection limit and linearity the calibrator (0 pg/mL) with no analyte was repeatedly detected by CLEIA for 20 times, and the mean (X) value of RLU and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. X ±3SD was equal to the detection limit. After serial double dilution, each level of the CST1 calibrator (CST1 recombinant antigen, Shanghai Liangrun Biomedicine Technology Limited Company, China) was detected twice, and the average value of RLU was calculated. The linear regression curve was drawn by using Log (C) as the ordinate Y and Log (RLU) as the abscissa X. The regression equation was “Log (C) = a * Log (RLU) + b”. The a and b in the formula were the parameters of CLEIA, which determined the conversion relationship between the RLU value and the CST1 level of the sample.

Accuracy 900 μL basic serum sample added to 100 μL distilled water was taken as the control sample; 900 μL basic serum sample added to 8 μL calibrator of 200 pg/mL CST1 and 92 μL distilled water was taken as the recovery sample 1; 900 μL basic serum sample added to 48 μL calibrator of 200 pg/mL CST1 and 52 μL distilled water was taken as recovery sample 2; and 900 μL basic serum sample added to 72 μL calibrator of 200 pg/mL CST1 and 28 μL distilled water was taken as recovery sample 3. Each sample was detected twice and the mean level was calculated. The recovery rate was calculated according to the following formula:

\[ R = \frac{(V_S + V_0)X (C_1 - C_0)}{V_SC_S} \]

In the formula, the final detected level was \( C_1 \), and the basic serum sample level and volume were \( C_0 \) and \( V_0 \), respectively. The calibrator level and volume were \( V_S \) and \( V_S \) respectively. The recovery rate was \( R \). \( R \) within the range of 85% to 115% was considered to be acceptable.

Precision Precision evaluation was performed according to CLSI ep15-a2 standard: Low and high CST1 levels of samples were detected for 5 days, respectively, each level of which was repeatedly detected 3 times and then the average value was calculated.

Anti-interference Interference evaluation was performed according to WS-T 416–2013 interference experiment guide: Seven common interferents, that is, hemoglobin (Hb) of 2 g/L, rheumatoid factor (RF) of 750 IU/mL, bilirubin (Bil) of 342 μmol/L, triglyceride (TG) of 37 mmol/L, alpha fetoprotein (AFP) of 5 mg/dL, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) of 0.2 μg/mL and vitamin C (VitC) of 12.3 mg/dL, and CST4 (CST4 recombinant protein, Shanghai Liangrun Biomedicine Technology Limited Company, China) of 800 pg/mL, were added to the basic serum samples with CST1 levels of 20.95 pg/mL and 65.39 pg/mL at a ratio of 1:9, respectively. Each sample was detected 3 times, and the relative deviation (\( \delta \)) <10% was considered to be acceptable.

Sample stability Low levels (23.74 pg/mL), medium levels (81.60 pg/mL) and high levels (197.03 pg/mL) of serum samples stored at 4 °C and 25 °C for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 d were detected, respectively. Each sample was detected twice, and \( \delta \) was calculated by comparing the results of different time points with that for 0 d. \( \delta <10\% \) was considered to be acceptable.

Reagent stability The reagents stored at 25 °C for 0, 1, 3, 5 and 7 d were used to detect CST1 calibrators and serum samples, respectively. The reagents stored at 37 °C for 0, 1, 3, 5 and 7 d were used to detect CST1 calibrators and serum samples, respectively. The reagents stored at 4 °C for 0, 1, 3 and 6 m were used to detect CST1 calibrators and serum samples, respectively. Each sample was detected twice, and \( \delta <10\% \) was considered to be acceptable.

Evaluation of Diagnostic Performance of Serum CST1 for Early ESCC Patients by CLEIA

The levels of serum CST1 of 112 cases in early ESCC group, 107 cases in EBL group and 151 cases in HC group were detected by CLEIA. The difference of serum CST1 level between the early ESCC group and control group (EBL+HC group) was evaluated by the Mann–Whitney
Detection of Three Traditional Tumor Markers, CEA, CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag, for Early ESCC Patients

The levels of serum CEA and CYFRA21-1, and SCC-Ag of 112 cases in early ESCC group, 107 cases in EBL group and 151 cases in HC group were detected by chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) in cobas 602 analyser (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), and Architect i2000SR analyser (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), respectively. All procedures were strictly carried out according to the manufacturer's instruction. The cutoff values of serum CEA, CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag were 5.0 ng/mL, 3.3 ng/mL and 1.5 ng/mL, respectively.

Results

Expression of CST1 Protein in ESCC Tissues

The results of IHC staining showed that CST1 protein was localized in the cytoplasm and focally or diffusely distributed brown granules in ESCC tissues (Figure 1A), while it was negative in matched paracancerous tissue (Figure 1B). The positive expression rate of CST1 protein in ESCC tissues was 81.8% (18/22), which was significantly higher than that in matched paracancerous tissue (0.00%, 0/22; \( P<0.01 \)).
CST4, had no interference on CLEIA for serum CST1 detection (Table 5).

Sample stability The detection results of the low, medium and high levels of samples stored at 4 °C for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 d are shown in Figure 3A, all of which δ calculated by comparing the results for different time points with that for 0 d were <10%. The detection results of the low, medium and high levels of samples stored at 25°C for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 d are shown in Figure 3B, of which δ for 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 d were >10%, illustrating that storage at 25°C for more than 2 d had a certain impact on the sample stability.

Reagent stability Thermal stability: The detection results of the reagent stored at 25 °C and 37 °C for 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 d are shown in Figure 4, all of which δ calculated by comparing the results for different time points with that for 0 d were <10%. Validity period stability: The detection results of the reagent stored at 4 °C for 0, 1, 3 and 6 m are shown in Figure 5, all of which δ calculated by comparing the results for different time points with that for 0 m were <10%.

Evaluation of Diagnostic Performance of Serum CST1 for Early ESCC Patients by CLEIA

The detection results of the serum samples by CLEIA are presented in Figure 6A. The level of serum CST1 in early ESCC group was significantly higher than that in the control group (EBL+HC group) (P<0.05), while there was no significant difference between EBL group and HC group (P>0.05). The 95th percentile of serum CST1 level in 151 HC was 68.33 pg/mL; as the cutoff level was set at 68.33, sensitivity and specificity of serum CST1 for early ESCC patients were 31.25% (35/112) and 92.64% (239/258), respectively. The sensitivity of CST1 in early ESCC group was significantly higher than that in EBL group (6.54%, 7/107) and HC group (7.95%, 12/151) (P<0.05). Analysis of the ROC (early ESCC vs EBL +HC) revealed that the AUC of serum CST1 for early ESCC patients was 0.654 (P<0.05) (Figure 6A).

Diagnostic Performance of Traditional Tumor Markers for Early ESCC Patients

The level and positive rate of CEA in early ESCC group were significantly higher than those in EBL/HC group (P<0.05). The positive rates of CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag in early ESCC group were significantly higher than those in EBL/HC group (P<0.01), while there were no significant differences of the levels of CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag between early ESCC group and EBL/HC group (P>0.05). The diagnostic sensitivity of three traditional tumor markers for early ESCC patients ranged from 16.07%-28.57%, at >93.0% specificity, of which SCC-Ag showed the highest AUC, up to 0.709 (Figure 6B–D).

Different Combinations of CST1 and Three Traditional Tumor Markers for Early Diagnosis of ESCC Patients

Among all possible combinations of CST1 and three traditional tumor markers, a panel of CST1 with CEA and SCC-Ag exhibited the highest AUC up to 0.736 (95% CI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured value 1</th>
<th>Measured value 2</th>
<th>Measured value 3</th>
<th>Measured value 4</th>
<th>Measured value 5</th>
<th>Measured value 6</th>
<th>Measured value 7</th>
<th>Measured value 8</th>
<th>Measured value 9</th>
<th>Measured value 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
<td>RLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8124</td>
<td>7913</td>
<td>7873</td>
<td>8032</td>
<td>8206</td>
<td>8169</td>
<td>8043</td>
<td>7884</td>
<td>8263</td>
<td>8237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured value 11</td>
<td>Measured value 12</td>
<td>Measured value 13</td>
<td>Measured value 14</td>
<td>Measured value 15</td>
<td>Measured value 16</td>
<td>Measured value 17</td>
<td>Measured value 18</td>
<td>Measured value 19</td>
<td>Measured value 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7986</td>
<td>8278</td>
<td>8501</td>
<td>8224</td>
<td>8146</td>
<td>8004</td>
<td>8206</td>
<td>8004</td>
<td>8231</td>
<td>8023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Detection Limit of CLEIA for CST1

\[\bar{X}=8117\]

SD=157.7

Figure 2 Standard curve for CLEIA. The RLU value is the mean of each diluted level detected twice; C is the diluted level (pg/mL) of CST1 calibrator. The same base logarithms of RLU and C are taken as X and Y axis, respectively, to draw the curve.

\[R^2=0.9935, P<0.01\]

Log (C)=1.213Log (RLU)-4.079

Log (RLU)
0.678–0.793), with sensitivity 49.11% at specificity 89.53% (Figure 6E, Table 6).

Discussion
The recent reports on the correlation of CST1 with the diagnosis, illness monitoring, and prognosis evaluation of some malignancies have gained increasing attention. Chen et al found the aberrant expression of CST1 existed in both gastric cancer tissues and cells, and patients with high CST1 expression had poor outcomes.\(^4\) Dai et al demonstrated that high CST1 expression was negatively correlated with survival of breast cancer patients and might serve as an independent prognostic factor for breast cancer, the same as ER status and nodal status.\(^5\) Additionally, serum CST1 was also reported to be a valuable diagnostic biomarker for colorectal cancer by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), manifested as a marked increase in sera of patients with colorectal cancer.\(^6\) Therefore, although the correlation of CST1 with ESCC has not yet been elucidated up to now, it is expected that the aberrantly high expression of CST1 might exist in cancerous tissues and sera of ESCC patients.

IHC staining was first performed to demonstrate that aberrantly high expression of CST1 protein existed in the ESCC tissues, as evidenced by the positive rate of 81.8% (18/22) in cancerous tissues significantly higher than 0%
(0/22) in paracancerous tissues (P<0.01). Subsequently, we developed a CLEIA available for serum CST1 detection and a systematic methodological evaluation was performed. It was shown that CLEIA has wide linear range and good detection limit of CLEIA for serum CST1, manifested as 6.25–400 pg/mL and 1.35 pg/mL, respectively; high accuracy with average recovery rate of 102.65% (85–115%); good precision with CVs of 4.43% and 1.94% within batches for low and high CST1 level, respectively, and CVs of 1.39% and 1.90% between batches for low and high CST1 level, respectively; adequate anti-interference ability of eight interferents, that is, seven common interferents and CST4; good 4 °C stability of sample, and 25 °C, 37 °C thermal stability as well as 4 °C validity period stability of reagent. These findings indicated that the developed CLEIA presents excellent performance for meeting the stable and reliable detection of serum CST1 level of ESCC patients.

In addition, we performed the detection of CST1 in a cohort of 370 serum samples (112 early ESCC...
patients, 107 EBL patients, and 151 healthy subjects) by CLEIA. Our results demonstrated the potential of serum CST1 for the diagnosis of early ESCC patients, with 0.654 of AUC, and 31.25 of sensitivity (92.64% specificity) higher than 16.07%-28.57% of sensitivity (93.80–95.35% specificity) of three traditional tumor markers: CEA, CYFRA21-1 and SCC-Ag. Considering that the sensitivity of individual detection is relatively limited, we evaluated all possible combinations of serum CST1 and three traditional tumor markers: CEA, CYFRA21-1

**Figure 5** Histogram of quantitative detection results for CST1 by three batches reagents stored at 4 °C for four time points. The quantitative detection results for CST1 calibrator 2 (12.5 pg/mL), 3 (25 pg/mL), 4 (50 pg/mL), 5 (100 pg/mL), 6 (200 pg/mL), 7 (400 pg/mL) and serum sample by three batches reagents are presented in (A–G), respectively.
and SCC-Ag. Encouragingly, the combination of CST1 with CEA and SCC-Ag was determined to be an optimal panel for the early diagnosis of ESCC, supported by its potential to improve AUC to 0.736, with up to 49.11% sensitivity at 89.53% specificity for early ESCC patients.

In conclusion, we successfully developed a CLEIA with good detection performance for serum CST1 and made clear the diagnostic value of serum CST1 for early ESCC patients. We then established an optimal panel of serum CST1 with CEA and SCC-Ag for further improvement of the early diagnostic performance for ESCC patients.
**Table 6** Comparison of Different Combinations of Serum CST1 and Three Traditional Tumor Markers for Early Diagnosis of ESCC Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEA, CYFRA21-1</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.586–0.712</td>
<td>33.04</td>
<td>92.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, SCC-Ag</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.672–0.788</td>
<td>29.46</td>
<td>92.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, CST1</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.596–0.724</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>91.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFRA21-1, SCC-Ag</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.644–0.766</td>
<td>39.29</td>
<td>91.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFRA21-1, CST1</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.596–0.722</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>90.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC-Ag, CST1</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.673–0.788</td>
<td>43.75</td>
<td>91.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, CYFRA21-1, SCC-Ag</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.674–0.790</td>
<td>40.18</td>
<td>89.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, CYFRA21-1, CST1</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.605–0.732</td>
<td>44.64</td>
<td>88.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, SCC-Ag, CST1</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.678–0.793</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td>89.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFRA21-1, SCC-Ag, CST1</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.670–0.786</td>
<td>48.21</td>
<td>89.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, CYFRA21-1, SCC-Ag, CST1</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.677–0.793</td>
<td>50.89</td>
<td>87.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *The highest AUC in the combined detection of any two indicators. **The highest AUC in the combined detection of any three indicators.

**Abbreviations**

ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; EAC, esophageal adenocarcinoma; CST1, Cystatin SN; IHC, immunohistochemical; CLEIA, chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay; CLIA, chemiluminescence immunoassay; EBL, esophageal benign lesions; HC, healthy controls; SD, standard deviation; RF, rheumatoid factor; CV, coefficient of variation; TEa, total error allowance.
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