An Aging-Related Gene Signature-Based Model for Risk Stratification and Prognosis Prediction in Breast Cancer
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Background: Aging, an inevitable process characterized by functional decline over time, is a significant risk factor for various tumors. However, little is known about aging-related genes (ARGs) in breast cancer (BC). We aimed to explore the potential prognostic role of ARGs and to develop an ARG-based prognosis signature for BC.

Methods: RNA-sequencing expression profiles and corresponding clinicopathological data of female patients with BC were obtained from public databases in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). An ARG-based risk signature was constructed in the TCGA cohort based on results of least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) and multivariate Cox regression analysis, and its prognostic value was further validated in the GSE20685 cohort.

Results: A six ARG-based signature, including CLU, DGAT1, MXI1, NFKBI, PIK3CA and PLAU, was developed in the TCGA cohort and significantly stratified patients into low- and high-risk groups. Patients in the former group showed significantly better prognosis than those in the latter. Multivariable Cox regression analysis demonstrated that the ARG risk score was an independent prognostic factor for BC. A predictive nomogram integrating the ARG risk score and three identified factors (age, N- and M-classification) was established in the TCGA cohort and validated in the GSE20685 cohort. Calibration plots showed good consistency between predicted survival probabilities and actual observations.

Conclusion: A novel ARG-based risk signature was developed for patients with BC, which can be used for individual prognosis prediction and promoting personalized treatment.
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Introduction
Breast cancer (BC) ranks the first among diagnosed malignancies and is the leading cause of tumor-related deaths among women worldwide.1 Despite advances in the landscape of diagnosis and therapy, the mortality of BC remains a global challenge. Clinical outcomes among patients are highly variable, which is potentially caused by patient heterogeneity.2,3 Traditional clinicopathological factors, including tumor size, lymph nodes status and pathological grades, are independently associated with the prognosis of BC and lead to a better understanding of individual risk assessment of recurrence. However, they have limited predictive accuracy in prognostic predictions and are limited to early-stage BC, which are characterized by a small size or without lymph node metastasis.4 For example, while recurrence and death are often seen in patients with early-stage BC within a short time of diagnosis, patients...
staged III/IV or with pathological grade III breast tumors can survive for over 5 years. Although the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system is commonly used to predict individual prognosis, it just contain clinical factors and neglects genetic characteristics. Thus, it is essential to exploit new prognostic biomarkers for classifying heterogeneous populations with BC and guiding personalized treatment.

Aging, an inevitable and important biological process, is characterized by gradual functional deterioration of many tissues. The resulting chronic and age-related pathologies are strong risk factors for several disorders, such as cancer, cardiovascular, neurodegenerative, metabolic, and neoplastic diseases. At a cellular level, aging is associated with genomic instability, cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction etc, which leads to the accumulation of irreparable damage and lethal substances.

As the main cause of aging, senescence has been found to occur in vivo in many tumors and it has an antitumor effect, where it irreversibly arrests cell growth and development, suppressing the uncontrolled proliferation of tumors. Compared with young cells, senescent cells can present a large flat morphology and reduced motility, which may suppress cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. However, the mechanisms and influence of cellular senescence on malignancy are very intricate. Aging-related genes (ARGs) play a key role in the generation and regulation of senescent cells and possibly affect tumor cells. Although ARGs inhibit cancer by managing tumor cellular senescence, they can facilitate tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis of tumors. Identifying key characteristics and induction of senescence in tumor cells has been a recent focus of cancer research, as researchers have explored and confirmed their potential diagnostic or prognostic value as biomarkers in malignancy, including colorectal and lung cancer. However, its prognostic value in BC remains unknown as no precise clinical signature has been established.

Since a comprehensive model containing multi-genomes has better predictive capacity than one consisting of just one gene, we used The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database in this study to establish an ARG-based signature for individually predicting survival outcomes of BC and validated its prognostic value in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset. Finally, we developed a prognostic nomogram integrating the ARG-based signature and other clinicopathological factors to provide a precise prognostic prediction of BC.

Materials and Methods
Data Collection and Preparation
Gene expression files and corresponding clinicopathological information of patients with BC were downloaded from the TCGA and GEO databases. The TCGA dataset was used as a training cohort, and the GSE20685 dataset was used for validation. A total of 307 human ARGs were obtained from the Human Aging Genomic Resources 3 (Supplementary Table 1).

Construction and Validation of the Prognostic ARG Signature
First, we performed univariate Cox regression analysis to select candidate ARGs for the prognostic-related signature (P < 0.05) in the TCGA cohort. A least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression analysis was then performed to narrow down the ARGs and determine the best weighting coefficient of each one. Finally, we used multivariate Cox regression analysis to assess the prognostic contributions of these candidate ARGs in overall survival (OS) and construct an ARG signature. The risk score of each case in this signature was calculated according to their normalized expression levels and corresponding regression coefficients. The specific formula was as follows: risk score = sum (each ARG expression level × corresponding coefficients). BC cases in the TCGA cohort were stratified into high- and low-risk groups according to the cut-off point of the risk score from maximally selected rank statistics. We used Kaplan–Meier curve analysis with a Log rank test to compare OS differences between these two groups.

Similarly, the risk score of cases in the GSE20685 dataset was calculated according to the same formula as the TCGA cohort to validate this prognostic signature. Subjects in the GSE20685 cohort were also stratified into two different risk groups according to the cut-off value of the risk score derived from maximally selected rank statistics. Then, Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also was performed to assess the relationship between ARG signature and OS in the validation set.

Estimating the Immune Cell Infiltration Landscape
We uploaded the normalized ARG expression files to the CIBERSORT web portal (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/),
which is an algorithm to computationally characterize immune cell compositions.\textsuperscript{23,24} Percentages of 22 human immune cells infiltrating in BC subjects were calculated by CIBERSORT and compared according to the mode between the high- and the low-risk group. Additionally, we assessed the identified ARGs and the level of correlation of the infiltration of immune cells via the TIMER database,\textsuperscript{25} in which data were collected on six types of immune infiltrates, ie, B-cells, CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cells, CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and myeloid dendritic cells.

Establishment of a Predictive Nomogram

A nomogram for the predictive model integrating the ARG risk signature and other clinicopathological features identified from multivariate Cox regression analysis was established in the TCGA training cohort. We also performed calibration curves for the survival probability at 1-, 3- and 5-year to evaluate the predictive precision of this nomogram in both the TCGA and the GSE20685 cohorts.

Statistical Analysis

Expression levels of mRNAs were listed as raw data and log\textsubscript{2} normalized. Continuous variables were shown as mean with range, and categorical ones as percentages. The cut-off value of the risk score maximizing differences between groups was determined by maximally selected rank statistics using “maxstat” R package according to an outcome-oriented approach for OS.\textsuperscript{26} Survival differences between these two risk groups were compared using Kaplan–Meier curves with a Log rank test. We conducted univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses to screen out independent prognostic indicators for OS and established a prognostic nomogram model by “rms” R package, whose predictive accuracy was evaluated by calibration curves. A Wilcoxon test was performed to compare the proportions of different tumor-infiltrating immune cells between these two risk groups. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 22.0, IBM, Corp., Armonk, NY) and R software (version 4.0.1, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN). A \( p \) value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Identification of a Prognosis-Related ARG Signature

After removing 58 cases with missing survival data, 1031 subjects from the TCGA cohort and 327 subjects from the GSE20685 cohort were included in this study. Among them, 31 subjects in the TCGA set lacked clinicopathological information; therefore, we included a final total of 1327 subjects: 1000 from the training TCGA cohort and 327 in the validation GSE20685 cohort. The clinicopathological features of these two cohorts are summarized in Table 1. These data underwent Cox regression analysis of OS, combining the ARG risk scores with other clinicopathological features (Figure 1).

First, we performed univariate Cox regression analysis using mRNA expression profiles of each ARG to select survival-related ARGs. We identified 33 and 15 OS-related ARGs from the TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Then, 33 ARGs were entered into LASSO regression analysis to decrease the number of ARGs in the prognostic signature (Figure 2A and B). A total of 14 genes from LASSO analysis underwent multivariate Cox regression analysis to develop an ARG risk signature (Figure 2C). Finally, a 6-ARG risk signature was developed using 1031 BC cases from the TCGA cohort. The risk score was specifically calculated

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Characteristics} & \textbf{Training Cohort} & \textbf{Validation Cohort} \\
& \textbf{TCGA (N=1000)} & \textbf{GSE20685 (N=327)} \\
\hline
\textbf{Age (years)} & & \\
\leq45 & 176 (17.6) & 151 (46.2) \\
>45 & 824 (82.4) & 176 (53.8) \\
\textbf{Mean} & 57.93 & 47.89 \\
\textbf{Range} & 26–89 & 24–84 \\
\hline
\textbf{T classifications}\textsuperscript{a} & & \\
1 & 265 (26.5) & 101 (30.9) \\
2 & 582 (58.2) & 188 (57.5) \\
3 & 123 (12.3) & 26 (8.0) \\
4 & 30 (3.0) & 12 (3.7) \\
\hline
\textbf{N classifications}\textsuperscript{a} & & \\
0 & 479 (47.9) & 137 (41.9) \\
1 & 345 (34.5) & 87 (26.6) \\
2 & 108 (10.8) & 63 (19.3) \\
3 & 68 (6.8) & 40 (12.2) \\
\hline
\textbf{M classifications}\textsuperscript{a} & & \\
0 & 984 (98.4) & 319 (97.6) \\
1 & 16 (1.6) & 8 (2.4) \\
\hline
\textbf{Risk score}\textsuperscript{b} & & \\
\leq0.26 & 589 (58.9) & 268 (82.0) \\
>0.26 & 411 (41.1) & 59 (18.0) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Clinical Co-Variates of the Training and Validation Cohorts}
\end{table}

Notes: \textsuperscript{a}Diagnosis based on the AJCC 2010, seventh edition. \textsuperscript{b}Cut-off values were determined by the maximally selected Log rank statistics.
based on a linear combination of gene expression levels and their corresponding regression coefficients. The specific formula was as follows: risk score = \( CLU \times 4.8531 \times 10^{-7} + DGAT1 \times 8.1029 \times 10^{-5} + MXII \times 4.3561 \times 10^{-5} - NFKBIA \times 5.7371 \times 10^{-5} + PIK3CA \times 2.8901 \times 10^{-5} + PLAU \times 1.5526 \times 10^{-5} \).

Further, we analyze the potential of these six identified genes (\( CLU \), \( DGAT1 \), \( MXII \), \( NFKBIA \), \( PIK3CA \) and \( PLAU \)) in predicting OS of breast cancer patients via Kaplan–Meier plotter databases (Supplementary Figure 1), which indicated that these six ARGs might be general factors to show a relatively prognostic value in breast cancer.

Independent Prognostic Value of the ARG Signature in the Training Cohort

In the TCGA cohort, a value of 0.26 was determined as the cut-off value of the risk score to maximally divide samples into risk-stratified groups (high-risk \( N = 431 \); low-risk \( N = 600 \)) by means of the maximally selected rank statistics. Figure 3A shows the distribution of risk scores. Compared with the high-risk group, there were fewer deaths from BC in the low-risk group (Figure 3C). A heat map showing the different expression levels of these six ARGs between these two risk groups was plotted (Figure 3D). Additionally, patients in the high-risk group had a significantly worse OS than patients in the low-risk group in the training cohort (\( P < 0.0001 \); Figure 3E). The Cox regression analysis showed that the ARG risk signatures remained significantly related to OS after adjustment of other clinicopathological factors in the training cohort (HR = 3.17, 95% CI = 2.35–4.28, \( P < 0.001 \); Figure 3F).

Independent Prognostic Value of the ARG Signature in the Validation Cohort

In the GSE20685 validation cohort, we also divided patients with BC into high-risk (\( N = 59 \)) and low-risk (\( N = 268 \)) groups based on the maximally selected rank statistics. Distribution of risk score is presented in Figure 4A. Significantly fewer deaths occurred in the low-risk group than in the high-risk group (Figure 4B). Different expression profiles of the six hub ARGs between these two groups were plotted in a heat map (Figure 4C). The Kaplan–Meier curves showed a significantly better OS in the low-risk group compared with the high-risk group (Figure 4D). The Cox regression analysis showed that ARG risk signature remained an independent factor for OS after controlling for age and \( T, N \) and \( M \) classification (HR = 2.60, 95% CI = 1.58–4.27, \( p < 0.001 \); Figure 4E).

Infiltration of Different Immune Cells in Breast Cancer

To further understand the association between ARG risk scores and tumor immunity, we used the CIBERSORT
algorithm to screen the RNA-seq datasets of the TCGA data and investigate the infiltration landscape of different immune cells. Proportions of different immune cell types between these two risk groups were significantly different from each other in the TCGA dataset (Figure 5A and B). Figure 5C shows the correlation among all immune cell types. A violin plot showing different infiltration of immune cell types between the high- and low-risk group was produced, which suggested that infiltrating proportions of naïve B cells, resting CD4$^+$ memory T cells, CD8$^+$ T cells and monocytes were significantly lower in the high-risk group. Compared with the low-risk group, the high-risk group had higher proportions of M0 and M2 macrophages (Figure 5D).

Additionally, we analyzed the identified ARGs and the tumor infiltration of six types of immune cells (CD4$^+$ T cells, CD8$^+$ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and myeloid dendritic cells) by TIMER to determine whether there is a correlation between tumor infiltration and immune cells. As shown in Supplementary Figure 2, the expression of DGAT1 was positively correlated with the infiltrating levels of B cells (partial.cor=0.106, $p=7.88e-04$), but it was negatively associated with the infiltrating levels of CD8$^+$ T cells (partial.cor=−0.163, $p=2.33e-07$) and neutrophils (partial.cor=−0.187, $p=1.35e-02$). The expression of NFKBIA was positively related to the infiltrating levels of myeloid dendritic cells (partial.cor= 0.226, $p=5.81e-13$).
cor=0.214, \( p=8.39\times 10^{-12} \)) and CD4+ T cells (partial. cor=0.216, \( p=5.97\times 10^{-12} \)). Similarly, MXI1 was also positively related to infiltrating levels of CD4+ T cells (partial. cor=0.207, \( p=4.00\times 10^{-11} \)), but it was negatively associated with B cells (partial.cor=−0.133, \( p=2.46\times 10^{-05} \)) and macrophage cells (partial.cor=−0.189, \( p=1.84\times 10^{-09} \)). PIK3CA was positively associated with infiltrating levels of neutrophils (partial.cor=0.424, \( p=1.20\times 10^{-44} \)), macrophages (partial. cor=0.375, \( p=1.56\times 10^{-34} \)) and CD8+ T cells (partial. cor=0.302, \( p=2.04\times 10^{-22} \)), but it was negatively related to B cells (partial.cor=−0.137, \( p=1.51\times 10^{-05} \)). The expression of PLAU was negatively related to the infiltrating levels of CD4+ T cells (partial.cor=−0.164, \( p=2.07\times 10^{-07} \), but it was positively related to the infiltrating levels of myeloid dendritic cells (partial.cor=0.260, \( p=8.21\times 10^{-17} \)), neutrophils (partial.cor=0.363, \( p=2.11\times 10^{-32} \)), CD8+ T cells (partial. cor=0.210, \( p=2.20\times 10^{-11} \)) and macrophages (partial. cor=0.469, \( p=1.89\times 10^{-55} \)).

Development of a Nomogram Based on ARG Signatures for BC

Clinicopathological variates and ARG risk scores of these two cohorts were presented as categorical variables (Table 1). Based on the results of multivariate Cox regression analysis in the TCGA training cohort, ARG risk
scores and three other clinicopathological factors, including age, N- and M-classification, were selected to develop a visualized model to individually predict OS probability at 1-, 3- and 5-years (Figure 6A). We performed bootstrap validation in this nomogram and calculated its C-index of 0.741 (95% CI: 0.694–0.788), which suggested good performance in predicting OS for BC. Calibration curves, whose Y- and X-axis represent the actual and predicted survival rate from the nomogram, respectively, were plotted in both the TCGA training (Figure 6B) and the GSE20685 cohort (Figure 6C) to assess the predictive accuracy and clinical practicability of this nomogram. The calibration plot for OS probability at 1-, 3- and 5-year suggested satisfactory consistency between the actual and predicted survival probabilities.

Discussion
In the current study, we comprehensively explored the association between ARG expression levels and prognosis of patients with BC, and constructed a novel prognostic risk score containing six ARGs: CLU, DGAT1, MXI1, NFKBIA, PIK3CA and PLAU. Based on the TCGA dataset, the risk score was confirmed to be an independent prognostic feature for OS according to the multivariate Cox regression analysis. Further, a predictive nomogram based on this ARG signature for individual decision-making in the clinic was developed and validated in the GEO dataset GSE20685. Moreover, we found that this ARG risk signature was significantly related to different antitumor immune cell infiltration levels in the tumor microenvironment of BC.
With the advancement of freely available public TCGA and GEO databases, risk prognostic models for BC combining RNA sequencing data and clinicopathological factors have been rapidly established. However, most models are limited to factors such as ferroptosis, energy metabolism, autophagy, immune infiltration, and long non-coding RNAs, and few have been clinically extended. In addition, there are few specific studies about the prognostic role of the ARG risk signatures in BC. Biologically speaking, aging is a spontaneous and inevitable process in organisms, characterized by decline of function. Pathologically, it can lead to metabolic disorders, declining immune response and malnutrition, and can induce many chronic diseases, including cancer. Aging can also promote carcinogenesis, tumor development and metastasis, and is a significant risk factor for colorectal tumor and lung carcinoma. Therefore, novel aging-related markers can potentially be used to predict cancer prognosis, so it is vital to understand the association between signature of aging and BC. In this study, our nomogram presented good calibration and discrimination in the TCGA set. All these suggested that our ARG prognostic nomogram had adequate ability to predict survival outcomes in patients with BC. Unfortunately, more senior patients are not typically regarded as a population for underserved prognostic. However, the application of an “aging signature” in younger patients may be very profound.

In our ARG risk scores formula, diacylglycerol-acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) was mostly unfavorable for survival outcomes. It is a transmembrane protein mainly residing in the endoplasmic reticulum and has been proven as a required target for suppressing growth of malignant cells and inducing apoptosis of glioblastoma, but studies about its effect on BC are rare. Clusterin (CLU), an ATP-dependent molecular chaperone, is abnormally upregulated and correlated to tumor progression, invasion and chemoresistance in numerous cancers. Several studies have explored its potential value in predicting therapeutic response to chemotherapy and a possible metastasis-promoting mechanism in breast cancer. The deficiency of MAX interactor 1 (MXI1), which is considered as...
a potential tumor-suppressor, may cause tumorigenesis.\textsuperscript{40} Published studies have demonstrated that its low expression is related to poor BC survival outcomes,\textsuperscript{41} but the specific mechanism is poorly understood. Mutations in phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA) are highly represented and lead to resistance to chemo-/endocrine therapy and a poor prognosis in BC.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, novel drugs targeting PIK3CA can extend the therapeutic landscape for patients with BC.\textsuperscript{43} Plasminogen activator urokinase (PLAU), a protease of the plasminogen activator family, is involved in cell migration and adhesion by activating several signaling pathways. Its overexpression has been found in BC and may promote tumor migration and invasion and cause poor survival outcomes.\textsuperscript{44} NFKBIA, an inhibitor-alpha of nuclear factor-κB, was the only one associated with positive survival outcomes among the six ARG signatures in the current study. Its polymorphism is related to cancer risks, including breast tumors,\textsuperscript{35–47} but its value as a prognostic factor in BC remains unclear.

Immune responses in tumors are usually triggered by cellular senescence, and immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment contributes to tumor growth.\textsuperscript{16} However, the association between immune cell infiltration and aging is poorly understood in BC. In our study, we used the CIBERSORT algorithm to calculate fractions of

---

**Figure 6** Development of a nomogram based on ARGs signature for predicting overall survival of patients with BC. (A) The nomogram plot integrating ARG risk score, age, N- and M-classification in the TCGA training cohort. (B) The calibration plot for the probability of 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS in the TCGA training cohort. (C) The calibration plot for the probability of 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS in the GSE20685 validation cohort.
In conclusion, we established and validated a survival prognostic model for BC, integrating a novel ARG risk signature and other clinical factors. It could be used as a practical tool for deciding individual therapeutic strategy and predicting clinical outcomes in patients with BC.

There are some limitations in this study. First, the ARG prognostic model was obtained through bioinformatic analysis of public TCGA and GEO databases, the cohort characteristics might be biased (with regard to demographics and event technical platforms). Therefore, further validation with prospective, multicenter, real-world sets or experimental data is needed. Second, we only preliminarily explored the potential association between the identified ARG risk signature and antitumor immune cell infiltration, so further studies are needed to find the underlying mechanisms. Third, although we integrated our ARG risk scores with the TNM classification in the prognostic nomogram, the contribution of each ARG in our score remains unknown.

In conclusion, we established and validated a survival prognostic model for BC, integrating a novel ARG risk signature and other clinical factors. It could be used as a practical tool for deciding individual therapeutic strategy and predicting clinical outcomes in patients with BC.
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