Evaluation of Phage Therapy for Pulmonary Infection of Mouse by Liquid Aerosol-Exposure Pseudomonas aeruginosa
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Background: Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an important nosocomial infectious bacterium, more and more multidrug resistant P. aeruginosa have been isolated and posed severe challenges to clinical antibiotic treatment, bringing additional morbidity, mortality, and economic burden. Bacteriophages can lyse bacteria specificity and are feasible alternatives to antibiotics.

Methods: A Pseudomonas aeruginosa-infecting phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW was isolated. Phage plaque assays, transmission electron microscopy, host-range determination, infection assay analyses, whole-genome sequencing and annotation were performed for the phage. Mice pneumonia model using liquid aerosol-exposure Pseudomonas aeruginosa was established, and phage therapy was evaluated.

Results: vB_PaeP_PA01EW belongs to the family Podoviridae according to transmission electron microscopy and was identified as a Luz24likevirus according to the genome analysis. For the phage therapy, compared with the bacteria-infected group, the phage-rescue group has some characteristics. First, adventitial edema and diffuse infiltration of inflammatory cells in tissues were alleviated, Second, bronchial epithelial cell proliferation was reduced. Third, the bacterial burden was significantly decreased.

Conclusion: This study provided data support and theoretical basis for the clinical application of bacteriophages. It has important guiding significance and reference value for the application of bacteriophage therapy of other pathogenic bacteria.
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Background

Bacterial resistance is a growing concern in the nosocomial environment in which Pseudomonas aeruginosa plays an important role due to their opportunism and metal-β-lactamase production. This bacterium has been considered an important emerging multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogen over the past two decades due to its frequently causing healthcare-associated infections, such as pneumonia, meningitis, urinary tract infections, surgical site infections and sepsis. Bloodstream infections with MDR P. aeruginosa have been associated with high mortality rates. P. aeruginosa is isolated as human clinical specimens from respiratory, urinary, blood, or gastrointestinal tract. It is a significant nosocomial pathogen and a common cause of iatrogenic bacteremia.

The emergence of broad-spectrum antimicrobial-resistant P. aeruginosa isolates caused that no therapeutic option was available. Phage therapy is of great...
significance with the continuous emergence of drug-resistant pathogen.9 Bacteriophage treatment is particularly desirable because of the side effects and inefficacy associated with antibiotics and the emergence of new antibiotic-resistant strains.10 Antibacterial agents against the antibiotic resistance strains have been discovered.11,12 Conventional phage therapy has shown hopeful results in human clinical cases.13,14 In animal models and in vitro studies, phages are used as therapeutics.15,16 Previous studies of phage therapy for P. aeruginosa infection have been reported. Jault et al indicated that PP131 (a cocktail of 12 phages) decreased bacterial burden in burn wounds infected by P aeruginosa.17 Fong et al demonstrated that CT-PA (a cocktail of 4 phages) significantly reduced biofilms formed in vitro by a range of P. aeruginosa isolates.18 Khairnar et al indicated that phage MBL effectively cured ulcerative lesions caused by MDR P. aeruginosa infection in Clarias gariepinus.19 Jeon et al demonstrated that two novel phages, Bφ-R656 and Bφ-R1836, improved survival in Galleria mellonella and mouse infected with extensively drug-resistant P. aeruginosa.20 Yang et al demonstrated that inhaled phage KPP10 exerted a significant protective effect against pneumonia caused by P. aeruginosa D4.21 Morello et al demonstrated that two different bacteriophages (PAK-P3 and P3-CHA) administered intranasally are effective in treating lung infections by two different P. aeruginosa strains.22 Forti et al demonstrated a broad-range bacteriophage cocktail (PYO2, DEV, E215, E217, PAK_P1 and PAK_P4) can reduce P. aeruginosa biofilms and treat acute infections in two animal models (Galleria larva and mice).23 Abd El-Aziz et al demonstrated intranasal administration of a single dose of phage MMI-Ps1 immediately after infection with P. aeruginosa provided a significant level of protection and increased the survival duration.24

The ideal therapeutic option for ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by carbapenem-resistant P. aeruginosa isolates was not defined.25 Respiratory tract infection in the form of aerosol was one of the most common ways of infection.26 There was little research evidence to determine if aerosol spread of infectious P. aeruginosa was possible.27 In this study, mouse models with pulmonary infection due to exposure to liquid aerosol of P. aeruginosa were established and phage therapy effects were assessed. Aerosol delivery has advantages: it delivers medication directly to where it is needed and it avoids the first-pass effect with minimum reduction of bioavailability.28,29 The inhaled route is increasingly developed to deliver locally acting or systemic therapies, and rodent models are used to assess tolerance before clinical studies.30

Given the severity of P. aeruginosa infection and the urgent need for better treatment options for multidrug resistant bacteria, alternative treatments for these infections are being sought to help solve this problem.31 In this study, we presented mouse models of lung infection with MDR P. aeruginosa, and the newly isolated lytic phage, vB_PaeP_PA01EW, was used to treat lung infection. Our results demonstrated that bacteriophage could be a promising treatment for lung infection caused by carbapenem-resistant P. aeruginosa in mice.

Methods

Bacterial Strain and Phage

Bacteriophage vB_PaeP_PA01EW (GenBank accession number MG589386) was isolated from a sewage wastewater sample from the Rocket Army General Hospital, Beijing, China, using a double agar overlay plaque assay, as described previously for the isolation of lytic phage.32–35

Electron Microscopy Examination

Phage preparations were stained with 2% potassium phosphotungstate (pH 7.0), and then examined using a Tecnai Spirit 120-kv transmission electron microscope (FEI Company, USA) at different magnitudes to determine the phage morphologies.

Characterization of vB_PaeP_PA01EW

The in vitro infection assay of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW against P. aeruginosa was determined by optical densitometry (OD600). Briefly, the phages were added to bacterial cultures at different MOIs, SM buffer was added to the bacterial culture as a control. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 6 h. The OD600 values were measured at 20 min intervals.36 Temperature stability of vB_PaeP_PA01EW was tested at 4 °C, 25 °C, 37 °C, 50 °C, 60 °C, 70 °C and 80 °C (pH 7.0) for 120 min. The phage titers were tested by the double-layer agar method.

Genomic DNA Purification and Sequencing

Phage DNA was extracted using a phenol-chloroform extraction method, as previously described.37 Genomic sequencing was performed by the CNRS sequencing facility in Gif sur Yvette (IMAGIF) using the Illumina platform.
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Results

vB_PaeP_PA01EW Virulence Spectrum

The *P. aeruginosa* strain PA01 strain was used as an indicator for phage screening of sewage wastewater sample and a *P. aeruginosa* phage named vB_PaeP_PA01EW was isolated. A total of 10 *P. aeruginosa* strains and other species were tested for their susceptibility to the phage. vB_PaeP_PA01EW was responsible for complete lysis of 7 *P. aeruginosa* strains. For the other strains, no significant signs of lysis were detected (Table 1). Luria–Bertani (LB) broth or LB agar was used to culture the bacterium.

Morphology of Phage Particles

When cultured with *P. aeruginosa* PA01, vB_PaeP_PA01EW formed small, clear, and uniform plaques (Figure 1A). And then vB_PaeP_PA01EW was characterized under transmission electron microscopy, as shown in Figure 1B. vB_PaeP_PA01EW has an icosahedral head of approximately 45 nm diameter and a clearly visible short tail with no tail fibers being visible. According to the system of Ackermann classification, vB_PaeP_PA01EW is a member of the family *Podoviridae* (order, *Caudovirales*).

Characterization of Phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW

To assess the ability of vB_PaeP_PA01EW to lyse *P. aeruginosa* in vitro, we monitored the growth of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 in vB_PaeP_PA01EW’s presence. The infection assays of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW against PA01 was performed at 37°C. Our results showed that at an MOI of 0.01 and 0.1, phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW could effectively inhibit the growth of PA01, keeping the optical density at 600 nm (OD600) at approximately 0.2 within 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>PA01</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>28097</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>4013</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>4018</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>10052</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>27144</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>27056</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>15018</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>P104</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>10224</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</em></td>
<td>27056</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>15058</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</em></td>
<td>16107</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>12056</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>16019</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>DH10B</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>MU50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter cloacae</em></td>
<td>TS282</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter aerogenes</em></td>
<td>3-SP</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter baumannii</em></td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>ATCC BAA-2146</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella Sonng</em></td>
<td>#1083</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas putida</em></td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Serratia marcescens</em></td>
<td>wk2050</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</em></td>
<td>J5421</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Flavobacterium indolentans</em></td>
<td>SJ19</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus lactis</em></td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: –Absent; +Present.

Figure 1 (A) Plaques of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW on *P. aeruginosa* PA01. (B) Morphology of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW.
We could conclude that phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW was able to effectively lyse *P. aeruginosa* PA01 in vitro. Temperature stability was an important influence factor of the therapeutic application of the phage in vivo. In this study, phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW could maintain good stability and high titer at 4 °C, 25 °C and 37 °C (conditions in the lungs in vivo) (Figure 2B).

Open Reading Frames (ORFs) and Comparative Genomics

Analysis of the genomes of phages is essential to their safe use as alternative biocontrol agents. vB_PaeP_PA01EW has a double-stranded DNA genome consisting of 46,403 bp and 74 predicted ORFs, including three tRNAs genes, tRNA-Ile, tRNA-Asp and tRNA-Pro. However, functional predictions could only be made for 27 ORFs (38%) based on gene prediction and annotation (Figure 3). Coding sequences for phage structure and replication were identified in the genome of vB_PaeP_PA01EW (Figure 4). The shortest ORF encodes a putative protein of 31 amino acid residues (orf26), the longest encodes a putative protein of 1055 residues (orf22). At the nucleic acid level, vB_PaeP_PA01EW has a significant similarity to *Pseudomonas* phage PaP4 with an identity of 97.38% (94% coverage) and to *Pseudomonas* virus LUZ24 with an identity of 97.21% (91% coverage). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the vB_PaeP_PA01EW was clustered in the same clade with Luz24 and was genetically like other Luz24likevirus (Figures 4 and 5). Most protein sequences showed high identity to proteins from the Luz24likevirus genus. vB_PaeP_PA01EW should be classified to Luz24likevirus according to the International Committee of Virus Taxonomy (ICTV) classification scheme based on morphology, biological characteristics, and genome organization (http://www.ictvonline.org/virusTaxonomy.asp). Twelve genes (ORFs 31–33, 36–37, 45–46, 48-49, 51–52, 60) involved in nucleotide biosynthesis and viral replication process were found in the vB_PaeP_PA01EW genome, named early genes. ORF31 encodes tRNA nucleotidyltransferase/poly(A) polymerase sharing 99.05% identity (96% coverage) with *Pseudomonas* phage U47. ORF32 encodes 5′-3′-exonuclease sharing 98.91% identity with phage Luz24. Fourteen genes (ORFs 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15–16, 19–24, 30) involved in virion structure and assembly were also found in the vB_PaeP_PA01EW genome. ORF4 and ORF6 encode the small and large terminase subunits, which preform the translocation of viral genomic DNA into the capsid during the packaging process by ATP hydrolysis. ORF7 encodes the portal protein; ORF10 encodes the major head protein; and ORF9 encodes a scaffolding protein, which is a chaperone possibly related to viral particle assembly. ORFs 12, 19–24 encode particle/structural proteins; ORF15 encodes the tail fiber protein, which derived from the tail fibers of bacteriophages recognizing specific bacterial surface receptors. In the vB_PaeP_PA01EW genome, ORF5 encodes a lysozyme that is used in the process of host cell breakage through the lysis of the peptidoglycan layer, this protein shares 97.73% with Luz24. ORF40 encodes a holin with three transmembrane
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**Figure 2** (A) Infection assay of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW against *P. aeruginosa* in vitro. Each data point is a mean of three experiments. (B) Stability of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW under different temperatures. Each assay was performed as three repetitions and the values represented are the means.
domains like those from the phage LUZ24, the holin protein share 97.83% identity with phage Luz24. The annotated vB_PaeP_PA01EW phage sequence has been deposited at NCBI GenBank under accession number MG589386. There are still many genes whose function is unknown or unannotated. The elucidation of the function of unknown phage proteins is one of the main challenges of phage molecular biology in the future.43

Histological Changes and Bacterial Burden
Lung tissue from bacteria-infected group showed severe thickening and congestion of the alveolar walls and marked inflammatory cell infiltration in the perivascular and peribronchial areas compared with the control group at 24 hpi (Figure 6). After 36 hours, local alveolar atrophy and disappearance were observed, and some bronchial
epithelial cells proliferated and the wall thickened, accompanied by inflammatory cell infiltration compared with the control group. After 48 hours, the lung consolidation worsened, and red blood cells overflowed in some alveoli, inflammatory cell infiltration in lung tissue and bronchial lumen aggravated compared with the control group. This prompted that mice pneumonia model was successfully established using MDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} PA01.

In the phage-rescue group, it was alleviated in both adventitial edema and diffuse infiltration of inflammatory cells in tissues compared with the bacteria-infected group, and bronchial epithelial cell proliferation was also reduced compared with the control group. This prompted that mice pneumonia model was successfully established using MDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} PA01.

In the phage-rescue group, it was alleviated in both adventitial edema and diffuse infiltration of inflammatory cells in tissues compared with the bacteria-infected group, and bronchial epithelial cell proliferation was also reduced compared with the control group. However, it can still be seen that local alveolar epithelial cells proliferate, alveolar wall thickens, infiltration of inflammatory cells in some alveolar walls, as well as distribution of inflammatory cells in some bronchi (Figure 7). Furthermore, mouse lung homogenate was cultured, and colony formation units were detected. In the phage-rescue group, the number of bacteria was significantly reduced compared with that in the bacteria-infected group ($P < 0.01$, 12h; $P < 0.01$, 24h; $P < 0.001$, 36h) (Figure 8), indicating that the phage vB\_PaeP\_PA01EW can effectively kill \textit{P. aeruginosa} PA01 in vivo.

Discussion

\textit{P. aeruginosa} is an indole-negative motile, non-spore forming, Gram-negative bacteria and classified as \textit{Pseudomonadaceae}, \textit{Proteobacteria}.\textsuperscript{6} This bacterium has taken on clinical significance as opportunistic bacteria and have emerged as nosocomial pathogens from intensive care patients.\textsuperscript{44,45} In order to successfully apply phage as an alternative to traditional antibiotic therapy, the discovery of novel phage, complete genome analysis, and careful evaluation of its therapeutic potential in vivo are essential before clinical application.\textsuperscript{14,26} In preliminary clinical trials, no adverse reactions were observed in the treatment of pulmonary infections by bacteriophage nebulization.\textsuperscript{46,47}

---

**Figure 4** Phylogenetic tree of selected bacteriophages. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the Neighbor-Joining method. Reference sequences used in the analysis were obtained from the GenBank database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The highlighted mark indicates the phage vB\_PaeP\_PA01EW.
In this study, we isolated a bacteriophage (vB_PaeP_PA01EW) which could improve the clinical syndrome of pneumonia caused by MDR P. aeruginosa in vivo. In addition, our study also implied the safety of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW applied by liquid aerosol-exposure in mice. No obvious alterations were observed in lung tissue of the phage group compared to the control group, which provided a further safety evidence for the phage therapy by intratracheal aerosol delivery route and supported the feasibility of phage therapy in respiratory infection.

The in vitro study showed that vB_PaeP_PA01EW could effectively lyse P. aeruginosa with a large surge of release after a short incubation time. In addition, the phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW possessed good stability within physiological temperature ranges. Host range infection test suggested that phage PA01EW was highly specific and can only infect a single species. The bacterial burden was significantly decreased in the phage-rescue group compared with the bacteria-infected group, manifesting that the phage could effectively kill P. aeruginosa in vivo. However, in the phage-rescue group, there was still slight damage in the lungs of the mice, indicating that single phage treatment was difficult to completely cure pneumonia, but can be used as an auxiliary method, phage combined with antibiotics may achieve more significant therapeutic effects clinically. The previous report on the positive interaction between phage and antibiotic therapy for controlling PA01 infection in a cystic fibrosis zebrafish model have been provided, indicating that this combination is a useful treatment method reducing the doses and administration time of antibiotics. In this study, although the in vivo phage efficacy was promising, this experiment cannot fully resemble the real clinical situation, for such short time intervals are impossible in clinical practice. Thus, the ultimate applicability of phage vB_PaeP_PA01EW against P. aeruginosa infection still needs to be supported by clinical trials.
Figure 6 Photos and HE staining of lung tissue of the control group (C) and bacteria infected group (B) at 24 hpi, 36 hpi and 48hpi.
Figure 7 Photos and HE staining of lung tissue of the control group (A), phage group (B), bacteria-infected group (C) and phage rescued group (D) at 12 hpi, 24 hpi and 36 hpi.
Abbreviations
hpi, hours post-infection; MDR, multidrug resistant; ORF, open reading frame; MOI, multiplicity of infection; ICTV, International Committee of Virus Taxonomy.
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Figure 8 Bacterial load in the lung tissue of the control group (A), phage group (B), bacteria-infected group (C) and phage-rescue group (D) at 12 hpi, 24 hpi and 36 hpi. **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.


