The Profile and Function of Gut Microbiota in Diabetic Nephropathy
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Introduction: Dysbiosis of gut microbiota impairs the homeostasis of immune and metabolic systems. Although previous studies have revealed the correlation between gut microbiota and various diseases, the function between gut microbiota and diabetic nephropathy (DN) has not been discovered distinctly. In this study, we tried to investigate the profile and function of gut microbiota in DN.

Methods: A total of 100 people were enrolled in this study. Twenty were healthy people, 20 were diabetes patients, and 60 were DN patients. The DN patients were divided into three stages including stage III, IV, and V. We conducted taxonomic analyses in different groups. The distributions of phyla, classes, orders, families, and genera in different groups and samples were investigated. We also evaluated the correlations between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in 60 DN patients.

Results: The gut microbiota in the healthy group, diabetes group, and DN group had 1764 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in total. The healthy group had 1034 OTUs, the diabetes group had 899 OTUs, and the DN group had 1602 OTUs. The diversity of gut microbiota in the stage III DN group was smaller than that in the other groups. 24-h urinary protein was positively correlated with Alistipes and Subdoligranulum, cholesterol was positively correlated with Bacteroides and Lachnoclostridium, and estimated glomerular filtration rate was negatively correlated with Ruminococcus torques group.

Discussion: The gut microbiota might play an important role in the development and pathogenesis of DN. A change in gut microbiota diversity is correlated with disease progression. Some kinds of gut microbiota including Alistipes, Bacteroides, Subdoligranulum, Lachnoclostridium, and Ruminococcus torques group might be detrimental factors in DN.
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Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is characterized by kidney function loss caused by diabetes mellitus. 1 Almost one-third of patients with diabetes have DN, and the prevalence of DN is increasing worldwide. 2 DN is one of the most important factors of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease (ESRD). The signs and symptoms of DN include nocturia, proteinuria, fatigue, vomiting, nausea, appetite loss, and leg swelling. 3 The risk factors for DN include uncontrolled high blood pressure, uncontrolled blood glucose, cigarette use, and family history. 4 There are 5 (stages I to V) stages of DN depending on the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and albuminuria. The progression of DN involves hyperfiltration, microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, nephrotic proteinuria, and ESRD. The final complication of DN...
is renal fibrosis with multiple mechanisms, such as oxidative stress, inflammatory processes, and glucose abnormalities. Haemodynamic and metabolic factors are two main factors in the pathophysiology of DN.\(^5\) Regarding haemodynamic factors, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) is overactivated, and intraglomerular pressure is increased. Regarding metabolic factors, advanced glycation end products are formed, leading to cardiovascular complications. The treatments for DN include blood pressure control, RAAS inhibition, glycaemic control, and cardiovascular risk reduction.\(^6\) Because the pathogenesis of DN has not been investigated clearly, the management of DN is not currently specific.

Gut microbiota are microorganisms such as archaea and bacteria living in the digestive tract.\(^7\) Compared with other areas, the gut microbiota has the largest abundance of species and numbers of bacteria in the body, and almost 60% of the dry mass of faeces is bacteria. The composition of the gut microbiota is different depending on age, diet, and geography.\(^8\) There have 4 dominant phyla of bacteria including Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes in upper gastrointestinal tract.\(^7\) The genera of gut bacteria include Peptostreptococcus, Eubacterium, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, and Clostridium. The correlation between gut microbiota with disease is very complicated. Some types of gut microbiota are beneficial, while other types of gut microbiota are harmful to humans. Regarding the beneficial aspects, gut microbiota can defend against pathogens, metabolize indigestible compounds, and maintain immune homeostasis.\(^10\) Apart from the beneficial aspects, the gut microbiota is also correlated with various diseases, such as obesity, colon cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes and DN.\(^11,12\) In diabetes patients, butyrate-producing bacteria including Roseburia intestinalis and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii concentrations were lower compared with healthy population.\(^13\) In DN patients, Enterobacteriaceae and Proteobacteriaceae could increase production of pro-inflammatory substances.\(^14\) Kikuchi et al found that gut microbiome-derived phenyl sulfate contributes to albuminuria and might be considered as disease marker in DN.\(^15\) Li et al explored that gut microbiota including Allobaculum, Anaerospirobacter, and Blautia were involved in modulating renal function in experimental DN murine models.\(^16\) Mosterd et al inferred that microbiota structure in DN patients is disrupted and intestinal microbes create large quantities of uremic solutes leading to renal damage.\(^17\)

Multiple factors are involved in the function of gut microbiota in disease. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota impairs the homeostasis of immune and metabolic systems.\(^18\) Faecal microbiota transplantation has been a novel method in the treatment of Clostridioides difficile infection in recent years.\(^19\) Although previous studies have revealed the correlation between gut microbiota and various diseases, the connection between gut microbiota and diabetic nephropathy has not been distinctly uncovered.

In this study, we investigated the profile of gut microbiota in healthy people, diabetes patients, and diabetic kidney disease (DN) patients. In addition, we also identified the profile and differentiation of gut microbiota in different stages of DN patients. Furthermore, we revealed the correlation between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in DN patients. We hoped to provide a useful picture of the profile and function of the gut microbiota in DN.

### Methods

#### Patients

A total of 100 people were enrolled in this study. Of the 100 people, 20 were healthy subjects, 20 were diabetes patients, and 60 were diabetic kidney disease (DN) patients. The DN patients were divided into three stages: stage III, stage IV, and stage V. Stage III was Early stage of DN. Stage IV was established stage of DN. Stage V was advanced stage of DN. The numbers of stage III, stage IV, and stage V patients were 13, 35, and 12, respectively. The criteria of DN were as follows: stage III, urinary microalbumin excretion rate (UAER) of 30–300 mg/24 h or urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) of 30–300 mg/g; stage IV, UAER > 300 mg/24 h or ACR > 300 mg/g, or 24-h urine protein (24h-UP) > 0.5 g; and stage V, eGFR < 20 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\). The other clinical parameters of DN patients included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, serum creatinine (Scr), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), uric acid (UA), albumin (ALB), 24-hour urine protein (24-h UTP), C-reactive protein (CRP), serum cholesterol, and triglyceride. Patients who had severe diseases in other systems were excluded. The faecal samples were stored at −80°C before further experiments. All patients signed informed consent prior to participation in the study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (No. DZMEC-KY-2016-95). The details about the participants are shown in Table 1.
### Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Established</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td>55.15±13.77</td>
<td>55.20±14.77</td>
<td>61.23±12.56</td>
<td>60.51±8.63</td>
<td>59.83±9.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender, n (%)</td>
<td>12(60)</td>
<td>8(40)</td>
<td>5(38.5)</td>
<td>11(31.4)</td>
<td>3(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.67±3.31</td>
<td>26.68±3.53</td>
<td>25.38±3.06</td>
<td>25.56±3.66</td>
<td>25.09±2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>128.10±12.77</td>
<td>128.40±17.75</td>
<td>137.54±21.69</td>
<td>134.63±15.64</td>
<td>142.90±23.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>75.20±8.55</td>
<td>79.60±10.75</td>
<td>74.92±12.65</td>
<td>74.69±10.56</td>
<td>74.08±11.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>6(30)</td>
<td>7(35)</td>
<td>4(30.8)</td>
<td>18(50.4)</td>
<td>18(66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinking, n (%)</td>
<td>5(25)</td>
<td>6(30)</td>
<td>3(23.1)</td>
<td>16(45.1)</td>
<td>16(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scr (µmol/L)</td>
<td>57.09±14.78</td>
<td>56.52±14.55</td>
<td>66.69±23.69</td>
<td>96.33±35.55</td>
<td>452.1±16.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>125.8±33.14</td>
<td>127.05±33.96</td>
<td>119.39±53.84</td>
<td>79.99±35.39</td>
<td>13.07±5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.15±1.52</td>
<td>5.32±1.76</td>
<td>6.70±2.10</td>
<td>7.85±2.93</td>
<td>20.75±7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (µmol/L)</td>
<td>293.01±91.21</td>
<td>334.38±98.92</td>
<td>345.55±97.35</td>
<td>359.45±88.15</td>
<td>398.8±68.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALB (g/L)</td>
<td>38.7±6.06</td>
<td>42.36±5.19</td>
<td>40.10±3.66</td>
<td>35.28±6.49</td>
<td>34.16±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h UTP (g/24h)</td>
<td>1.40±1.88</td>
<td>2.66±3.19</td>
<td>8.27±2.51</td>
<td>7.04±3.11</td>
<td>6.39±1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>4.43±1.01</td>
<td>4.74±1.19</td>
<td>4x±0.94</td>
<td>4.16±1.29</td>
<td>4.30±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.56±0.98</td>
<td>3.14±0.55</td>
<td>2.16±2.46</td>
<td>2.39±1.65</td>
<td>2.07±0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/L)</td>
<td>24.38±14.22</td>
<td>36.97±14.69</td>
<td>20.27±10.87</td>
<td>21.27±10.39</td>
<td>27.50±10.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>22.93±10.77</td>
<td>26.54±21.19</td>
<td>25.27±14.04</td>
<td>19.11±7.52</td>
<td>22.25±9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>4.12±0.42</td>
<td>4.31±0.34</td>
<td>4.09±0.45</td>
<td>4.24±0.54</td>
<td>5.06±0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mmol/L)</td>
<td>22.90±12</td>
<td>2.30±0.10</td>
<td>2.26±0.11</td>
<td>2.24±0.15</td>
<td>2.08±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.26±0.14</td>
<td>1.30±0.15</td>
<td>1.23±0.11</td>
<td>1.31±0.21</td>
<td>1.77±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (mmol/L)</td>
<td>139.62±23.39</td>
<td>138.16±25.58</td>
<td>139.27±33.35</td>
<td>138.35±30.50</td>
<td>133.35±28.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>104.03±27.25</td>
<td>101.53±34.46</td>
<td>103.44±3.09</td>
<td>103.65±4.02</td>
<td>106±5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>18.25±24.37</td>
<td>5.54±2.23</td>
<td>10.63±10.51</td>
<td>9.83±7.05</td>
<td>20.96±3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.51±2.13</td>
<td>13.96±1.19</td>
<td>13.84±1.67</td>
<td>12.11±2.06</td>
<td>9.78±1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification**

The total DNA of the gut microbiota was extracted with a DNA isolation kit according to the manual. The DNA was isolated from PowerSoil®DNA Isolation Kit (12888-50). The PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit does not require homogenization using a high velocity bead beater. A NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and 1% agarose gels were used to check the quality and purity of genomic DNA. Then, the bacterial 16S rRNA gene V3-4 hypervariable region was amplified with the following primers: ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG and GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT. In each sample, a barcode sequence region was amplified with the following primers: ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG and GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT. In each sample, a barcode sequence was added to the primers (Allwegene Company, Beijing). The total reaction volumes were 25 µL, including 5 µL of DNA (30 ng of total template quantity), 12.5 µL of 2*Taq plus master mix, 1 µL of forward primer (5 µM), 1 µL of reverse primer (5 µM), and 5.5 µL of ddH₂O. The cycling parameters were 94°C for 5 min, followed by 28 cycles of approximately 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s. The final extension was 72°C for 10 min.

**High-Throughput Sequencing**

We used the MiSeq platform at Allwegene Company to conduct deep sequencing. The Illumina Analysis Pipeline Version 2.6 was used to conduct image analysis, base calling and error estimation.

**Data Analysis**

Data were presented as mean±standard for continuous variables, and proportions for categorical variables. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Chi-squared test were conducted to measure the significance in the current study. Spearman correlation was used to calculate the correlation between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in DN patients. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered using Vsearch (v2.7.1) software with a similarity level of 97% for the sequences. Then, rarefaction curves were generated, and the richness was calculated. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Classifier tool was used to classify all sequences into different taxonomic groups against the Silva128 database. PCA and clustering
analysis were conducted to explore the similarity between different samples depending on the OTU data with every sample. Bray Curtis algorithms were used to evaluate the evolution distances of microbial communities with the samples. Then, the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) clustering tree was used to reveal the dissimilarity with multiple samples. Furthermore, the Newick formatted tree was constructed by this method. Finally, a heat map was constructed to describe the top 20 OTUs with Mothur so that the structure and relationships could be compared among different samples.

Results
Baseline Characteristics of Patients
In our study, a total of 100 patients were enrolled: 20 were healthy subjects, 20 were diabetes patients, and 60 were diabetic kidney disease (DN) patients. The average age of 20 healthy control (12 females, 8 males) was 55.15±13.77 years. The average age of 20 diabetes patients (8 females, 12 males) was 55.20±14.77 years. The average age of 60 DN patients (19 females, 41 males) was 60.53±9.62 years. In the total 60 DN patients, average age of stage III DN patients (n=13) was 61.23±12.56 years, average age of stage IV DN patients (n=35) was 60.51±8.63 years, average age of stage V DN patients (n=12) was 59.83±9.66 years. The parameters of healthy subjects and diabetes patients included age, BMI, SBP, DBP, Scr, BUN, UA, ALB, 24-h UTP, CRP, and HbA1c. Detailed information on the healthy people and diabetes patients was listed in Table 1. The 60 DN patients were divided into three stages: stage III, stage IV, and stage V. The clinical parameters of DN patients included age, BMI, SBP, DBP, Scr, eGFR, BUN, UA, ALB, 24-h UTP, CRP, serum cholesterol, and triglyceride. The levels of Scr were significantly increased from stage III to stage V (66.69 ± 23.69, 96.33 ± 35.55, and 452 ± 146.22, respectively, P = 0.000). eGFR was significantly decreased from stage III to stage V (119.39 ± 53.84, 79.99 ± 35.39, and 13.07 ± 5.21, P = 0.000). The levels of BUN were significantly increased from stage III to stage V (6.7 ± 2.1, 7.85 ± 2.93, and 20.75 ± 7.61, P = 0.000). ALB was significantly decreased from stage III to stage V (40.1 ± 3.66, 35.28 ± 6.49, and 34.16 ± 6.13, P = 0.025). The 24-h UTP was significantly increased from stage III to stage V (0.25 ± 0.13, 3.12 ± 3.22, and 6.02 ± 3.45, P = 0.000). HbA1c was significantly decreased from stage III to stage V (8.27 ± 2.51, 7.04 ± 1.31, and 6.39 ± 1.19, P = 0.016). The levels of K were significantly increased from stage III to stage V (4.09 ± 0.45, 4.24 ± 0.54, and 5.06 ± 0.9, P = 0.000). The levels of Ca significantly decreased from stage III to stage V (2.26 ± 0.11, 2.24 ± 0.15, and 2.08 ± 0.18, P = 0.004). The levels of P were significantly increased from stage III to stage V (1.23 ± 0.11, 1.31 ± 0.21, and 1.77 ± 0.41, P = 0.000). The levels of haemoglobin decreased from stage III to stage V (13.84 ± 1.67, 12.11 ± 2.06, and 9.78 ± 1.55, P = 0.000).

Gut Microbiota Structure and Alpha Diversity Analysis
A total of 100 patient samples were enrolled to test gut microbiota. The Venn graph results indicated that the gut microbiota in the three groups (healthy subjects, diabetes patients, and DN patients) had 1764 OTUs in total (Figure 1). Among the three groups, the healthy subjects group had 1034 OTUs, the diabetes group had 899 OTUs, and the DN group had 1602 OTUs. The Shannon-Wiener curves tended to be stable, indicating that the samples were enough to reflect the diversity of gut microbiota (Figure 2A). Rank-abundance curves showed the species abundance and species uniformity (Figure 2B). In the
horizontal direction, the abundance of species is reflected by the width of the curve; the higher the abundance of the species is, the larger the range of the curve on the horizontal axis. The shape (smoothness) of the curve reflects the uniformity of the species in the sample; the smoother the curve is, the more homogeneous the species distribution.

Next, we divided the DN group into 3 groups from stage III to stage V. We evaluated the alpha diversity index of the healthy subjects group, diabetes group, stage III DN group, stage IV DN group, and stage V DN group. As shown in Figure 3, the number of species of gut microbiota in the stage III DN patient group was smaller than that in the other groups. Chao1, PD whole tree, and Shannon analyses also showed that the diversity of gut microbiota in the stage III DN group was smaller than that in the other groups in our study. Thus, reduced diversity of gut microbiota might be the symbol of stage III DN. It might be an important indicator in the progression and development of DN.

Taxonomic Analysis

We conducted taxonomic analyses in different groups. The phyla in the different groups included Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Fusobacteria (Figure 4). The classes in different groups included Clostridia, Bacteroidia, Negativicutes, Actinobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Bacilli, Coriobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Fusobacteria. The orders in different groups included Clostridiales, Bacteroidales, Selenomonadales, Bifidobacteriales, Enterobacteriales, Lactobacillales, Coriobacteriales, Burkholderiales, Verrucomicrobiales, and Fusobacteriales. The families in different groups included Lachnospiraceae, Bacteroidaceae, Ruminococcaceae, and Veillonellaceae. The genera in different groups included Bacteroides, Faecalibacterium, Blautia, and Bifidobacterium (Figure 5). The classes included Negativicutes, Actinobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Clostridia, Bacilli, and Bacteroidia. The orders included Selenomonadales, Bifidobacteriales, Enterobacteriales, Clostridiales, Lactobacillales, and Bacteroidales. The families in different groups included Lachnospiraceae, Bacteroidaceae, Ruminococcaceae, and Veillonellaceae. The genera in different groups included Bacteroides, Faecalibacterium, Blautia, Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus. So, the distribution of phylum, class, order, family, and genus in different groups were investigated with taxonomic analysis.
The Features of Gut Microbiota from the Differential Regulation Aspects Among Different Groups

We divided the 60 patients to male group (n = 41) and female group (n = 19). The Venn graph result was showed as follows (Supplementary Figure 1). The OTUs of male group were more than female group. 812 OTUs were shared in male and female group. Then, we evaluated the alpha diversity index of male group and female group (Supplementary Figure 2). The number of species of gut microbiota in male group was more than female group.

**Figure 3** Chao1, observed species, PD whole tree, and Shannon analyses of the healthy subjects group, diabetes group, stage III DN group, stage IV DN group, and stage V DN group. Chao1 is the bacterial species richness index used to estimate the number of OTUs in the community. Observed species is the number of OTUs actually observed as the depth of sequencing increases. PD whole tree is a diversity index that takes into account species abundance and evolutionary distance. It is a diversity index calculated based on the phylogenetic tree. Shannon analysis is used to estimate one of the microbial diversity indexes in the group. The larger value of Shannon had the higher community diversity.
A The Phylum in different groups.

B The Class in different groups.

C The Order in different groups.

Figure 4 Continued.
Secondly, we divided groups based on body mass index (BMI). BMI>24 was considered as overweight. Four groups were healthy without overweight group, healthy overweight group, DN without overweight group, DN overweight group. The Venn graph result was showed in Supplementary Figure 3. The results showed that the OTUs in DN overweight group were more than DN without overweight group. In addition, the OTUs in healthy overweight group were more than healthy without overweight group, either. Then, we evaluated the alpha diversity index of healthy without overweight group, healthy overweight group, DN without overweight group, DN overweight group, DN without overweight group, DN overweight group.
Figure 5 Continued.

A The Phylum in all samples.

B The Class in all samples.

C The Order in all samples.
Figure 5 Taxonomic analyses in all samples. (A) The phyla in all samples. (B) The classes in all samples. (C) The orders in all samples. (D) The families in all samples. (E) The genera in all samples. The taxonomic comparison of all samples at each classification level were discovered.
Correlations Between Clinical Parameters and Gut Microbiota in DN Patients

We evaluated the correlations between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in 60 DN patients. The top 20 genera in 60 DN patients were calculated by taxonomic analysis. The top 20 genera included *Bacteroides*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Prevotella 9*, *Lactobacillus*, *Blautia*, *Escherichia Shigella*, and *Klebsiella*. The results showed that 24-h UP was positively correlated with *Alistipes* ($r = 0.271, P = 0.0037$) and *Subdoligranulum* ($r = 0.37, P = 0.036$) (Figure 6). Cholesterol was positively correlated with *Bacteroides* ($r = 0.33, P = 0.0098$) and *Lachnoclostridium* ($r = 0.33, P = 0.01$). Triglycerides were positively correlated with *Lachnoclostridium* ($r = 0.41, P = 0.001$), *Bacteroides* ($r = 0.33, P = 0.010$), and *Parabacteroides* ($r = 0.29, P = 0.02$). ALB was negatively correlated with *Alistipes* ($r = −0.33, P = 0.009$) and *Bacteroides* ($r = −0.26, P = 0.048$). CRP was

![Figure 6](https://www.dovepress.com/4293)

*Figure 6* Correlations between clinical parameters and the top 20 gut microbiota in DN patients. The clinical parameters included uric acid (UA), albumin (ALB), 24-hour urine protein (24-h UP), C-reactive protein (CRP), haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), serum cholesterol, triglycerides, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and haemoglobin. The gut microbiota included *Bacteroides*, *Lactobacillus*, *Blautia*, *Klebsiella*, etc. Pink indicates a positive correlation, blue indicates a negative correlation, while white indicates no correlation. *Indicates $P < 0.05$. ** Indicates $P < 0.01$. 
negatively correlated with *Klebsiella* (r = −0.27, P = 0.038). eGFR was negatively correlated with *Ruminococcus torques group* (r = −0.34, P = 0.0077). IL-6 was negatively correlated with *Megaspheara* (r = −0.28, P = 0.032). Hb was negatively correlated with *Bacteroides* (r = −0.38, P = 0.0026) and *Ruminococcus torques group* (r = −0.30, P = 0.021). We inferred that detrimental gut microbiota genus in DN might include *Alistipes, Bacteroides, Subdoligranulum, Lachnclostridium,* and *Ruminococcus torques group.*

**Discussion**

Gut microbiota are microorganisms living in the digestive tract of humans. The gut microbiota has the largest bacterial numbers in the body compared with other parts. Previous studies have investigated the relationship between diabetes and gut microbiota. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota plays important roles in the pathogenesis and development of diabetes. First, some bacteria in the gut microbiota produce lipopolysaccharides (LPS) to induce the aggregation of inflammation. Diabetes is correlated with upregulated inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Therefore, gut microbiota dysbiosis could increase the inflammatory status in diabetes. Second, gut microbiota dysbiosis might lead to enhanced intestinal permeability in diabetes. Enhanced intestinal permeability might cause metabolic endotoxaemia to exacerbate diabetes. Third, some kinds of bacteria in the gut microbiota could directly influence insulin resistance and glucose homeostasis in diabetes patients.

Fourth, gut microbiota dysbiosis is correlated with increased oxidation of fatty acids. Previous studies already considered microbiota in diabetic nephropathy. Yu et al discovered the composition of the gut microbiota in Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) and membranous nephropathy (MN) patients. They found that the gut microbiota was different in DKD and MN patients. The related microbiome-associated markers were useful to distinguish DKD and MN. Lu et al used rat diabetic nephropathy model to discovered abnormal intestinal microflora might produce excessive acetate induce kidney injury in early DN. Gradisteanu et al conducted a pilot study including diabetes/DN patients and healthy controls. They revealed phyla abundance and relative abundance of specific bacterial groups. The relationship between microbiota and treatment with DN was investigated, either. Singh et al found significant gut microbiome changed in DN patients compared with control subjects. Sabatino et al cited that intestinal dysbiosis was correlated with endotoxemia and chronic inflammation. Furthermore, Kikuchi explored microbiome-derived phenyl sulfate promoted albuminuria in animal models of DN.

In this study, we investigated the profile and function of the gut microbiota in diabetic kidneys. We found that the diversity of gut microbiota in the stage III DN group was smaller than that in the other groups in our study. Stage III DN was the forerunner of overt DN, which could be measured by radioimmunoassay. Stage III DN is characterized by abnormal urinary albumin excretion. Because stage III DN is a forerunner of overt DN, this stage is a pivotal point in the progression of DN. Stage III DN was correlated with reduced diversity of gut microbiota. Thus, it could be considered that reduced diversity of gut microbiota was an important indicator in the progression and development of DN.

We also analyzed from the differential regulation aspect based on gender and BMI. We found that male patients have more species of gut microbiota compared with female patients. Overweight patients have more species of gut microbiota compared without overweight patients. In DN disease, the disease progress of male and overweight patients was worse compared with female and without overweight patients. Thus, we inferred that more species of gut microbiota was symbol of bad disease progress in DN patients.

Next, we conducted taxonomic analyses in different groups. The distributions of phyla, classes, orders, families, and genera in different groups and samples were investigated. Finally, we evaluated the correlation between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in 60 DN patients. The results showed that some types of bacteria in the gut microbiota were positively correlated with clinical parameters, while other types of bacteria in the gut microbiota were negatively correlated with clinical parameters. ALB was negatively correlated with *Alistipes* and *Bacteroides.* ALB belongs to the globular protein family and is the main protein in human blood plasma. Low levels of ALB (microalbuminuria) are features of DN in the early stage. The *Alistipes* and *Bacteroides* genera are gut bacteria that were correlated with cancer, inflammation, and mental diseases. The *Alistipes* genus contributes to epithelial changes and inflammation formation in hypertension. The genus *Bacteroides* might also contribute to inflammation formation to coincide with *Alistipes.* In this study, we found that the *Alistipes* genus was negatively correlated with ALB and positively correlated with...
24-h UP. A high level of ALB was a beneficial factor, while a high level of 24-h UP was an injurious factor in DN. Therefore, we inferred that the Alistipes genus was a detrimental factor in DN. The Bacteroides genus was positively correlated with cholesterol and triglycerides and negatively correlated with ALB and haemoglobin. Cholesterol is an independent risk factor in DN, and low cholesterol contributes to a favourable prognosis in DN. High levels of triglycerides are also an independent risk factor in the pathogenesis and development of DN. Furthermore, anaemia, with a low level of haemoglobin, is associated with a low quality of life and poor disease outcome in patients with DN. Reasonable management of anaemia in DN patients is also an important strategy in the treatment of DN. High levels of haemoglobin are a beneficial factor in DN. Therefore, our study revealed that the Bacteroides genus was a detrimental factor in DN. Apart from the Alistipes and Bacteroides genera, Subdoligranum was positively correlated with 24-h UP. Therefore, Subdoligranum might be a detrimental factor in DN. Lachnoclostridium was positively correlated with serum cholesterol and triglycerides, while Ruminococcus torques group was negatively correlated with eGFR and haemoglobin. Thus, Lachnoclostridium and Ruminococcus torques group might be detrimental factors in DN. In brief, the genera Alistipes, Bacteroides, Subdoligranum, Lachnoclostridium, and Ruminococcus torques group might be detrimental factors in DN.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we investigated the profile and function of the gut microbiota in DN patients. The diversity of gut microbiota in the stage III DN group was smaller than that in the other groups in our study. Therefore, reduced diversity of gut microbiota was an important indicator of the progression and development of early-stage DN. Next, we conducted alpha diversity analyses and taxonomic analyses in different groups. The distributions of phyla, classes, orders, families, and genera in different groups and samples were investigated. We also evaluated the correlation between clinical parameters and gut microbiota in 60 DN patients. We found that the genera Alistipes, Bacteroides, Subdoligranum, Lachnoclostridium, and Ruminococcus torques group might be detrimental factors in the development and progression of DN. In the future, a more detailed mechanism of the correlation between gut microbiota and DN needs to be investigated.
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