Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
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Abstract: More than 25,000 hematopoietic stem cell transplantations (HSCTs) are performed each year for the treatment of lymphoma, leukemia, immune-deficiency illnesses, congenital metabolic defects, hemoglobinopathies, and myelodysplastic and myeloproliferative syndromes. Before transplantation, patients receive intensive myeloablative chemoradiotherapy followed by stem cell “rescue.” Autologous HSCT is performed using the patient’s own hematopoietic stem cells, which are harvested before transplantation and reinfused after myeloablation. Allogeneic HSCT uses human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-matched stem cells derived from a donor. Survival after allogeneic transplantation depends on donor–recipient matching, the graft-versus-host response, and the development of a graft versus leukemia effect. This article reviews the biology of stem cells, clinical efficacy of HSCT, transplantation procedures, and potential complications.
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Stem cell function and differentiation

Stem cells are found throughout the body and can be defined as a population of undifferentiated cells capable of indefinite self-renewal and generation of a functional progeny of highly specialized cells. Stem cells have different proliferative properties and functions depending on their physical location or tissue compartment. Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are characterized by the ability to self-renew and differentiate into all mature blood lineages.1,2 Hematopoiesis is a continuous developmental process in which HSCs make specific cell fate decisions, producing the various blood lineages.3

The generation and maintenance of appropriate numbers and types of mature cells require a complex regulatory network that is incompletely understood. The differentiation and proliferation of hematopoietic cells are regulated by stromal interactions with soluble and cell-bound cytokines.4 The most primitive HSCs express the cell surface antigen CD34 and receptors for the early-acting hemopoietic growth factors: kinase domain receptor (KDR [flk-1]), vascular endothelial growth factor, and the positive hemopoietic development regulators, c-kit and flt-3, which can be used for ex vivo expansion of HSCs.5

HSCs for transplantation can be collected from bone marrow (BM) or peripheral blood. Hematopoietic reconstitution after BM ablation depends on the migration and “homing” of intravenously transplanted stem cells to the hematopoietic microenvironment in the BM niches of the recipient6 (Figure 1). HSC “homing” is a multistep process involving sequential activation of adhesion molecules.7 The chemokine...
stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) was the first identified chemottractant for monocytes, lymphocytes, and CD34+ cell homing.8,9

CXCR4+ progenitors are activated by SDF-1 and vascular ligands, such as intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and vascular cellular adhesion molecule-1, which facilitate firm adhesion to endothelial cells. Circulating transplanted cells interact with BM vascular endothelial cells “rolling” on constitutively expressed endothelial (E) and platelet (P) selectins. Cells expressing insufficient levels of CXCR4 detach and return to the bloodstream.10

In humans, SDF-1 arrests CXCR4+ stem cells, facilitating extravasation through extracellular BM matrix barriers into the hematopoietic compartments. SDF-1 and macrophage inflammatory protein-1 activate the binding of CD34+ cells to the extracellular matrix protein fibronectin via very late activation antigen-5 (VLA)-5 and VLA-4 integrin receptors.11 Finally, migrating stem cells reach “stem cell niches” where they interact with supporting cells, adhesion molecules, SDF-1, and growth factors.

The transplanted hematopoietic progenitors are depleted by the homing process and only form a small part of the transplant recipient’s stem cell pool. The true stem cells divide slowly,12,13 avoiding exhaustion by limiting expansion and reverting to a dormant state when mature compartments are fully reconstituted. Despite adverse conditions in the host BM niches, the infused HSCs generate sufficient progenitors to repopulate the host hematopoietic system with mature cells. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-forming units return to normal levels within 2 years of transplantation.

Rationale for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation – how transplantation works

The indications for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) depend on the patient’s medical condition, the therapeutic objectives, and the availability and source of stem cells (Table 1). In 2006, the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (IBMTR) collected data from more than 400 worldwide transplant centers and found that hematological malignancies (and premalignant conditions) are the most common indications for allogeneic HSCT. Acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) accounts for 33% of allogeneic HSCTs, acute lymphoblastic leukemia 16%, chronic myeloid leukemia 6%, other leukemias and preleukemias 18%, Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) 12%, and multiple myeloma (MM) 3%.

### Table 1 Disorders treated by hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonmalignant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aplastic anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond–Blackfan syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalassemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chediak–Higashi syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic granulomatous disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glanzmann thrombasthenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopetrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosomal storage disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemann–Pick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucopolysaccharidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycoproteinoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxia telangiectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiGeorge syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiscott–Alrich syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kostmann syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shwachman–Diamond syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myelogenous leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy cell leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelodysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloproliferative neoplasms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelofibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycythemia vera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelofibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic myelogenous leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmoplastic small round cell tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choriocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The use of allogeneic HSCT for hematological malignancies in the 1980s and early 1990s was largely restricted to younger patients (≤45 years old) with a human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-identical sibling donor. Less-intensive conditioning regimens and improved graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) prophylaxis and supportive care have increased the use of allogeneic HSCT in older patients. In 1987–1992, only 4% of allogeneic HSCT recipients were older than 50 years. In 2006, 33% of allogeneic HSCT
recipients were older than 50 years, and 11% were older than 60 years.

The application of HSCT in patients without HLA-identical siblings has been facilitated by the establishment of large unrelated donor registries, such as the Anthony Nolan Trust in the United Kingdom. Between 1987 and 1992, less than 10% of HSCTs for hematological malignancies used unrelated donors; in 2006, this figure was greater than 40%.

HSCT allows the use of higher doses of chemotherapy that would otherwise be fatal in a conventional setting. Autologous or allogeneic HSCs are used as a “rescue” after the induction of life-threatening myelosuppression. Autologous HSCT is most effective when there is direct correlation between chemotherapy dose and tumor response and when the dose-limiting treatment toxicity is myelosuppression. In allogeneic HSCT, the conditioning regimen eradicates malignant cells, ineffective hematopoietic cells, and host immune cells, which may reject the donor cells. Although HSCT was originally regarded as a way of rescuing patients from therapy-induced marrow aplasia, it is now accepted that alloreactive donor cells confer a substantial graft-versus-tumor (GvT) effect, which contributes to cancer eradication.

HSCT is also an established treatment for congenital or acquired BM failure, immunodeficiency states, and autoimmunity. In these cases, the GvT effect is not desired, and prevention of GvHD is a priority. HSCs can also act as “therapeutic vehicles” to replace defective or missing enzymes, such as adenosine deaminase, or to introduce genes that mediate antitumor activity (eg, interleukin-2). Infused HSCs can be genetically modified to maximize the GvT effect and to include “suicide genes” for donor cell elimination in the event of GvHD. Lymphohematopoietic cells can be used in conjunction with solid organ transplants, as microchimerism facilitates the establishment of transplanted organ tolerance.

In allogeneic HSCT, hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells, from donor marrow or other sources, are intravenously infused. The stem cells “home” to the recipient’s hematopoietic microenvironment and engraft in the BM niches. Under optimal circumstances, the recipient’s immune system tolerates donor cell engraftment without nonengraftment or late graft failure. Donor immune effector cells interact with the recipient’s immune cells and sustainably engraft without inducing fatal GvHD. Eventually,
a stable chimeric state predominates, with reconstitution of functional B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, and natural killer cells, along with a sustained GvT effect.19

Observations by Kolb et al,20 that an infusion of lymphocytes from the original donor could treat relapses of chronic myelogenous leukemia after allogeneic transplantation, provided compelling evidence of an immunotherapeutic GvT effect. This has lead to the development of nonmyeloablative conditioning regimes, which gradually replace the host lymphohematopoietic compartment (normal and malignant cells) by donor-derived cells.

Selection of stem cell source

The HSC categories are autologous, syngeneic, and allogeneic. Selection of HSC source depends on the donor availability and transplantation indication. Extensive prior cytotoxic therapy and heavy malignant involvement of marrow or peripheral blood often precludes the use of autologous HSCs. HLA-matched sibling donors are preferred for allogeneic transplants; however, less than 30% of patients have a suitable donor.21 Patients without a sibling donor have a 30%–40% chance of finding a phenotypically HLA-matched unrelated donor through volunteer registries.22 The development of cord blood HSCs has increased the chance of finding allogeneic donors for pediatric and adult patients.23–25

Autologous, syngeneic, or allogeneic HSCs support hematopoietic recovery after myeloablative chemoradiotherapy for malignant hematological and nonhematological diseases. Syngeneic or allogeneic HSCs are used for acquired disorders of marrow function (eg, aplastic anemia) and correction of congenital hematopoietic or immunological defects (eg, thalassemia and severe combined immunodeficiency syndrome).26,27

How stem cells are generated for HSCT

HSC products for autologous or allogeneic transplantation are available from BM, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood (UCB).

Bone marrow

BM is harvested from the posterior iliac crests under epidural or general anesthesia. The anterior iliac crest or sternum can be used if larger quantities of marrow are required. The BM is collected with heparinized syringes and large-bore needles and is stored in culture medium. The marrow can be infused immediately after harvesting but can be stored at 4°C for 24 hours without loss of stem cell viability, allowing national and international transfers of HSCs between transplant programs.

The cell dose required for stable long-term engraftment is not clearly defined. A nucleated cell dose of 2 × 10^8/kg is generally considered adequate, although cell doses of 1 × 10^8/kg can be used.28 This requires between 700 and 1,500 mL of BM from an adult donor. National Marrow Donor Program guidelines limit BM removal to 15 mL/kg of donor weight. As only a small percentage of total body BM is removed, peripheral blood leukocyte counts are not affected.

After harvesting, the marrow is filtered to remove small particles or clots before intravenous transfusion into the recipient. Red blood cells and plasma can be depleted if the recipient has high anti-A or anti-B antibody titers and major or minor ABO mismatches.29 In the event of a major ABO mismatch, plasmapheresis of the recipient can reduce high anti-A or anti-B titers, so that red blood cell depletion of marrow is not required.

In an analysis of marrow harvests from 1,549 donors, the harvested median total nucleated cell count was 2.5 × 10^8/kg recipient weight (range, 0.3–12.0).30 The incidence of life-threatening complications from marrow harvesting is around 0.27%–0.4% and predominantly relates to anesthetic risk.31

Peripheral blood stem cells

Peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs) are widely used for allogeneic HSCT and have virtually replaced BM as the HSCT component for autologous HSCs. PBSCs engraft more quickly than BM-derived stem cells. After PBSC transplantation, the median time to an absolute neutrophil count greater than 500/µL and platelet transfusion independence is typically around 11–14 days.32,33

Improvements in engraftment kinetics reduce autologous transplantation costs.34,35 Peripheral blood HSCs are found in low numbers, requiring multiple aphereses for adequate collection. The number of leukaphereses may be reduced to one or two sessions by mobilization of HSCs to the peripheral blood with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) at a rate 6 µg/kg/day with or without chemotherapy.36

After chemotherapy, patients are leukapheresed when the total white blood cell count has recovered to 1,000/µL or when the CD34+ cell count in the peripheral blood is at least >10/µL. Leukapheresis can be performed as early as day 4 using a continuous blood flow separation technique. For normal allogeneic donors or patients who do not require chemotherapy, mobilization is with G-CSF alone (5–16 µg/kg) by daily subcutaneous injections for 5–8 days.37–39
The incidences of moderate to severe adverse effects of G-CSF administration are as follows: bone pains, 84% of patients; headache, 54% of patients; fatigue, 31% of patients; and nausea, 13% of patients.40 There are no absolute contraindications to the stimulation of healthy donors with G-CSF, but rare occurrences of nontraumatic splenic rupture do occur.41–44

Pheresed products may be cryopreserved in 5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) for frozen storage until transplantation. Sustained and faster hematopoietic recovery of neutrophil and platelet counts occurs with increasing CD34+ cell numbers in the hematopoietic cell graft (up to 5 × 10^9/kg).36 For complete autologous recovery, some investigators consider 2.5 × 10^6/kg of recipient weight to be the minimum dose of peripheral blood CD34+ cells.

Since the cell dose used in the autologous transplant setting yields consistent and prompt engraftment, allogeneic HSCs can be routinely collected from the peripheral blood. This avoids general anesthesia and other common complications of marrow harvesting, such as back pain, fatigue, and bleeding from the harvest site. If peripheral veins are inadequate, a large-bore vascular access double-lumen catheter may be required.

The disadvantages of PBSC components compared with BM or UCB for autologous or allogeneic transplantation include multiday collections (especially for autologous transplantation), inability to collect adequate components from all patients and donors, and a slightly higher risk of difficult-to-treat GvHD.45,46

**Umbilical cord blood**

For allogeneic HSCT, lack of a suitably matched HLA donor, particularly for ethnic minorities, limits the chance of receiving a transplant. One solution to the shortage of donors is the development of cord blood banks, such as the recently opened Anthony Nolan Cord Blood Bank at Nottingham Trent University in the United Kingdom, which will bank stem cells from 50,000 cord bloods by 2013.

Cord blood transplantation (CBT) has important advantages including easy and safe procurement, easy availability, reduced potential for viral transmission, and relatively immature immune cells, which reduce the incidence of GvHD.47 However, CBTs contain fewer cells than other HSCT cell sources which delays hematological recovery, increases infection risk, and early posttransplant period mortality.48

CBT has found an important niche for patients without a suitable related or unrelated volunteer. The donor cells are relatively immunologically naive, which allows multiple-antigen mismatches. A match of 3–4 of the 6 HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-DRB1 antigens is sufficient for CBT and reduces the risk of GvHD.

Cord blood has a higher enrichment of stem cells than BM or peripheral blood.48,49 Around 40–70 mL of fetal cord blood is collected immediately after the cord is clamped and cut. The placenta is separated, and the UCB cells are collected into a sterile donor blood collection set. The placenta and umbilical cord are suspended on a frame, and blood is drained by “standard gravity phlebotomy” into citrate phosphate dextrose (CPD) anticoagulant.50 The units are cryopreserved and stored in cord blood banks. If the cord is appropriately clamped, the collection poses no donor risks.

Novel culture strategies that increase CD34+ cord blood progenitor numbers are in development. A recent study of a notch ligand and an ex vivo culture system produced a 100-fold expansion of CD34+ stem cells, and results from a phase 1 study showed a reduction in neutrophil engraftment times.51

A meta-analysis comparing unrelated donor CBT and unrelated donor BM transplantation in adult and pediatric patients found that the risk of chronic GvHD (cGvHD) was significantly lower after allogeneic cord blood HSCT (relative risk [RR] = 0.41; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.25–0.68). In adults, BM-derived HSCT was associated with a lower relapse rate and improved overall survival (OS).52

**The safety and efficacy of bone marrow transplantation**

HSCT is the only potentially curative treatment for patients with chemotherapy-resistant hematological malignancies, which are usually fatal in the absence of treatment. HSCT is associated with both significantly early (<100 days after transplant) and late (>100 days after transplant) morbidity and mortality. Around 4% of patients will die within 100 days of autologous or allogeneic HSCT.53 Outcomes for non-relapse related mortality (NRM) can be as high as 46%.54

HSCT mortality is affected by the comorbidities, disease characteristics, HLA matching, GvHD, the GvT effect, and posttransplantation recurrence. Relapse-related mortality reflects tumor biology and NRM complications arising from HSCT procedures and patient comorbidities. Improved outcomes are due to improvements in tissue typing, prophylaxis against viral and fungal infection, immunosuppressive drugs, and supportive care.

The development of reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) regimes has allowed HSCT in older patients, typically defined as those ≥50 years, although older patients receiving RIC
have a higher relapse rate. Outcomes for children with severe aplastic anemia are excellent with 5-year survival rates of around 90% for unrelated sibling transplants and 100% for matched sibling donor transplants.

The hematopoietic cell transplantation comorbidity index

The hematopoietic cell transplantation comorbidity index (HCT-CI) was developed by investigators at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (Seattle, Washington, USA) to allow the risk assessment of patients undergoing transplantation. The investigators collected retrospective data from 1,055 patients undergoing non ablative (n = 294) and ablative (n = 761) conditioning prior to stem cell transplantation. The median age of the group was 45 years old and, most of the diagnoses (66%) were myeloid malignancies.

The HCT-CI uses a comorbidity-based scoring system, which adjusts for age, disease risk, and conditioning regimen, to predict 2-year NRM after transplantation. Patients are classified into three risk groups: low risk (NRM, 14% at 2 years); intermediate risk (NRM, 21% at 2 years); and high risk (NRM, 41% at 2 years). The HCT-CI successfully predicted NRM, OS, and progression-free survival in a cohort of 203 patients with NHL, HL, MM, and patients with myelodysplastic syndromes or AML receiving alemtuzumab-based RIC HSCT.

Although HCT-CI is a strong predictor of outcome, other investigators have questioned the ability of the HCT-CI to predict NRM and OS. Defor et al proposed a modified comorbidity index (MCI), which was developed using a pure multiplicative model in a cohort of 444 adult allogeneic HCT recipients. The MCI had a higher discriminating and predictive power for OS and NRM compared with the HCT-CI. Further validations of the HCT-CI and MCI in larger HSCT cohorts are required.

Complications of HSCT

The high chemotherapy doses used in HSCT cause significant drug toxicities and complications from prolonged immunodeficiency and require an extended recovery process. Recognition of risk factors for complications allows the design of risk-specific supportive-care regimens that reduce the incidence of transplantation morbidity and mortality. HCT-related complications are broadly classified into infections, early noninfectious complications (within 3 months of HSCT), late noninfectious complications (after 3 months of HSCT), and GvHD.

Infection after HCT

Infection is an important cause of morbidity and mortality after HSCT. Engraftment after autologous HSCT occurs within 7–14 days and from 14 to 28 days after allogeneic HSCT. The risk of infection is related to the time period after transplantation, which can be defined as pre-engraftment, less than 3 weeks; immediate postengraftment, 3 weeks to 3 months; and late postengraftment, more than 3 months. Allogeneic recipients are at risk of infection throughout all periods, but autologous transplant recipients are only at significant risk during the pre-engraftment and immediate postengraftment periods. Risk factors for infection during the pre-engraftment phase are disruption of mucocutaneous barriers, indwelling venous catheters, neutropenia, and organ dysfunction.

Total T cell (CD3+ or CD4) cell levels can be used as a surrogate marker for T-cell immunity, although no definitive biomarkers exist for immune reconstitution that predict infection risk and need for antimicrobial prophylaxis. Consequently, patients need careful monitoring and early intervention for signs or symptoms of infection. Most centers continue antimicrobial prophylaxis until after the initial posttransplant period and 3–6 months after cessation of immunosuppression.

HSCT survivors may be offered vaccinations at 12 months posttransplantation. Current guidelines for the management of infections in HCT recipients from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, The Infectious Disease Society of America, and The American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation can be found at www.cdc.gov.

In the initial posttransplant period, bacterial infections occur in up to 30% of transplant recipients, commonly arising from normal skin flora (coagulase-negative Staphylococcus) and the oropharynx and gastrointestinal tract (Streptococcus viridans, Enterococcus species, and enteric gram-negative bacilli). Other serious gram negative infections include Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacteriaceae, and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. The commonest cause of infectious diarrhea is Clostridium difficile. Listeria monocytogenes may cause septicemia and meningitis, and patients are at risk of unusual nosocomially acquired infections, such as legionella.

Infection with Aspergillus species and fungi is common after HCT. Risk factors for invasive candidiasis include prolonged severe neutropenia, use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, severe organ dysfunction, mucocutaneous damage, and yeast colonization with Candida species. The introduction of routine antifungal prophylaxis with triazole antifungals,
especially fluconazole, reduces the morbidity and mortality of invasive candidiasis. However, the incidence of infections from triazole-resistant *Candida* species, such as *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* has increased.62

Invasive aspergillosis occurs in around 5% and 30% of patients after autologous and allogeneic HCT, respectively. Risk factors for aspergillosis infection include older age, GvHD, corticosteroid therapy, graft failure, a diagnosis other than chronic myelogenous leukemia, and advanced cancer at transplantation. *Pneumocystis carinii* (*P. jirovecii*) pneumonia (PCP) tends to occur at around 9 weeks after HCT, but routine prophylaxis limits the incidence to around 1%–2% of all transplant-related pneumonia.

In the immediate postengraftment period, the risk factors for infection are mucocutaneous damage, cellular immune dysfunction, immunomodulating viral infections, such as cytomegalovirus (CMV) and human herpes 6 viruses, hyposplenism, and decreased opsonization and reticuloendothelial function. Severe immune dysfunction is enhanced and prolonged by acute and chronic GvHD, corticosteroids, and immunosuppressive treatments.63

Autologous HSCs engraft and reconstitute immunity quicker than allogeneic HSCs, reducing infection incidences. After allogeneic HSCT, immune reconstitution can take up to 2 years. Patients requiring long-term immunosuppression for cGvHD are particularly susceptible to infection.63

Primary viral infection with Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) or reactivation of latent viral infections with CMV or human herpes viruses is a common cause of morbidity and mortality after HSCT. Patients should be educated about reducing their risk of acquiring new viral infections, especially for infections, such as EBV and varicella zoster virus.

The risk of herpes viral infection is predominantly confined to seropositive patients, as almost all herpes infections occur due to viral reactivation rather than new primary infection. Reactivation rates are around 70% and are similar after autologous or allogeneic transplantation. The median time onset of herpes simplex virus disease is 2–3 weeks, and around 100 days for CMV.

CMV infection is a common cause of morbidity and mortality after HSCT. Approximately 50%–85% of the general population are infected with CMV, which is transmitted by saliva, sexual contact, and blood products. After allogeneic HSCT, around 30% of patients will experience reactivation of latent CMV infection, which usually occurs within the late posttransplant period and is associated with a 46% mortality.64

The immune response against CMV is predominantly mediated by the cellular immune system. After the initial infection and immune response, the virus establishes lifelong latency. Primary CMV infection is usually asymptomatic in immunocompetent individuals, but reactivation or primary infection in immunocompromised patients can cause severe disease. Reactivation occurs in allogeneic transplantation when a CMV-negative recipient receives an allograft from a CMV-positive donor, or after depletion of CMV specific T cells.

CMV infection or reactivation after HSCT can cause pneumonitis, retinitis, hepatitis, colitis, and BM suppression. Risk factors for CMV infection include CMV viremia, the presence of GvHD, prolonged and persistent neutropenia, and the receipt of a CMV-positive graft. Patients can be monitored serologically for early signs of relapse by measuring phosphoprotein 65 (pp65) antigenemia, which is an abundant CMV viral matrix protein and an immunodominant CMV antigen. Quantitative plasma polymerase chain reactions for CMV DNA may be useful during periods of severe neutropenia when leukocyte numbers are insufficient for CMV pp65 antigenemia testing.

The risk of CMV infection can be reduced by matching CMV-positive donors to CMV-positive recipients and CMV-negative donors to CMV-negative recipients. Early treatment of CMV is preferable to prophylaxis, as antiviral drugs are toxic, difficult to administer, and of limited efficacy.65 The antiviral drug ganciclovir is immunosuppressive, causes neutropenia, and delays recovery of CMV-specific lymphocytes.66 The prophylactic administration of immunoglobulins to high risk patients does not reduce the risk of CMV infection.67

There has been extensive research on vaccination strategies to prevent CMV infections in patients receiving HSCT and solid organ transplants, as well as neonatal CMV infection.68 Progress toward developing an effective CMV vaccination has been slow, in part because of the laborious task of discovering CMV vaccine epitopes69 and the fact that new infections occur with different viral strains. The viral coat phosphoprotein pp65 is the major immunodominant CMV antigen and a candidate for a peptide-based CMV vaccine.69 A recent placebo-controlled Phase II clinical trial of a CMV glycoprotein vaccine was terminated early.
after showing an encouraging efficacy of around 50%. A Phase III study has been initiated.

CMV infection and other transplant-related diseases, such as EBV-related lymphoproliferative disorders, can be treated and prevented by adoptive cellular transfer therapy. The HSCT creates a unique immunological environment, with the conditioning creating a “vacated space” within the BM, allowing rapid expansion of adoptively transferred cells. Results from clinical trials have been promising, although technical issues, such as finding suitable donors and the generation of antigen-specific T cells from patients or donors, has prevented widespread adoption.

The HSCT creates a unique immunological environment, allowing rapid expansion of adoptively transferred cells. Results from clinical trials have been promising, although technical issues, such as finding suitable donors and the generation of antigen-specific T cells from patients or donors, has prevented widespread adoption.

Despite apparent immunological recovery, many HSCT recipients remain immunocompromised beyond the initial 2 years after transplantation, especially those with cGvHD, where infection remains the most important cause of morbidity and mortality. Research and novel therapies are needed to allow quicker immune reconstitution protection against infectious pathogens, to identify reliable surrogate markers of immunological recovery and to safely augment the GvT response.

Graft-versus-host disease

GvHD can be classified into acute GvHD (aGvHD), which occurs within 100 days of transplantation, and cGvHD, which occurs after 100 days of transplantation. This distinction is arbitrary, as aGvHD can present at around 3 months posttransplant after RIC, and signs of cGvHD occur within a 100 days of transplant. As aGvHD and cGvHD share common features, the recent National Institutes of Health (NIH) Consensus Conference proposed definitions of aGvHD or cGvHD, each with two subcategories, using the specificity of signs and symptoms rather than time of onset (Table 2).

Table 2: The definitions of acute and chronic GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Manifestation time</th>
<th>aGvHD features</th>
<th>cGvHD features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aGvHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>≤ 100 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent, recurrent, late onset</td>
<td>&gt; 100 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGvHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>No time limit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap syndrome</td>
<td>No time limit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GvHD, graft-versus-host disease; aGvHD, acute GvHD; cGvHD, chronic GvHD.

The diagnosis and assessment of aGvHD

aGvHD typically affects the skin, liver, gastrointestinal tract, and the hematopoietic system and presents with characteristic rash, abdominal cramps and diarrhea, and deranged liver function tests. Other signs include fever, decrease in performance status, and weight loss. In many cases, the differential is wide, making diagnosis difficult. A biopsy of the skin or gastrointestinal tract can confirm or exclude the diagnosis of aGvHD. When considering liver biopsy in patients with thrombocytopenia, percutaneous transjugular liver biopsy may be safer than percutaneous liver biopsy.

The severity of aGvHD varies from clinically insignificant grades 0 or I disease to clinically significant grades II–IV disease. The first aGvHD classification was published by Glucksberg in 1974. The Glucksberg classification includes an organ function and performance status assessment and assigns each organ a stage from 0 to 4 (Table 3). The organ stages are combined to give the overall grade of aGvHD (Table 4).

There are limitations to the Glucksberg system, and a modified grading system was proposed at a consensus workshop in 1994, which retained the objective Glucksberg organ-staging criteria but excluded the subjective performance status criteria (Table 5). A revised system has subsequently been developed by the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry (Table 6).

Prevention and treatment

aGvHD is the major cause of early transplant-related mortality caused by the GvHD itself and treatment-related complications, such as profound immune deficiency and opportunistic infections. To date, it has not been possible to prevent GvHD and maintain the beneficial GvT effect. The primary response to treatment is the most important predictor of long-term survival, as second-line treatments are ineffective.

The two major approaches to the prophylaxis of GvHD following BM transplantation are pharmacological therapy...
and T-cell depletion. The standard drug therapy is a combination treatment with a calcineurin inhibitor (cyclosporine [CsA] or tacrolimus) and “short course” methotrexate (MTX). This regimen is active and gives a reasonable balance between the GvHD and the GvT effect in matched sibling transplants after ablative conditioning regimen.

Primary treatment of aGvHD consists of methylprednisolone and a calcineurin inhibitor for 7–14 days, which is slowly tapered after a complete response to therapy and occurs in 25%–40% of patients with grade II–IV aGvHD. Second-line treatments include high-dose methylprednisolone, tacrolimus, and mycophenolate mofetil, antithymocyte globulin, monoclonal antibodies, such as anti-interleukin-2 (anti-IL-2) receptor antibody, antitumor necrosis factor-α (anti-TNF-α) antibody, and anti-CD52 antibody, pentostatin, and extracorporeal photopheresis. There are no criteria for identifying patients who are likely to respond to second-line treatment.

Chronic GvHD

cGvHD occurs in around 50% of long-term survivors of HLA-identical sibling transplants and is the primary cause of late morbidity and NRM in transplant survivors. The clinical findings may overlap with aGvHD, as both disorders affect similar organs. cGvHD has other features that resemble autoimmune disorders, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, sicca syndrome, eosinophilic fasciitis, rheumatoid arthritis, primary biliary sclerosis, bronchiolitis obliterans, and immune cytopenias.

Major risk factors for the development of cGvHD include prior aGvHD, a higher degree of HLA mismatch, older age of donor or host, subacute GvHD on skin biopsy, or buccal mucosal biopsy, CMV seropositivity (donor and recipient), and total body irradiation-containing regimens. Predictive factors include second BM infusions, preceding herpes virus infection, type of underlying malignancy, female donor to male recipient, and no previous blood transfusions before transplantation.

Diagnosis of cGvHD

cGvHD presents with skin and gastrointestinal involvement and an increasing serum bilirubin concentration. Since the clinical diagnosis is one of exclusion, histological confirmation is often necessary to corroborate a clinical impression of possible cGvHD.

NIH consensus criteria

A NIH consensus development project has devised cGvHD diagnostic criteria for use in clinical trials:

- The broad categories of cGvHD include (1) classic cGvHD (without features or characteristics of aGvHD) and (2) an overlap syndrome in which diagnostic or distinctive features of aGvHD and cGvHD appear together.
- Differential diagnoses must be excluded.
- No time limit is set for the diagnosis of cGvHD.
- At least one diagnostic clinical sign of cGvHD (eg, poikiloderma, esophageal ulcer) must be present or one distinctive manifestation (eg, keratoconjunctivitis sicca) that should be confirmed by biopsy or other relevant tests (eg, Schirmer test).

Table 3 The organ grading system for the Glucksberg acute graft-versus-host disease classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Skin/maculopapular rash</th>
<th>Liver/bilirubin, μmol/L</th>
<th>Gastrointestine/diarrhea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>&lt;25% of body surface</td>
<td>34–50</td>
<td>&gt;500 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>25%–50% of body surface</td>
<td>51–102</td>
<td>&gt;100 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Generalized erythroderma</td>
<td>103–255</td>
<td>&gt;1500 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Generalized erythroderma with bullae formation and desquamation</td>
<td>&gt;255</td>
<td>Severe abdominal pain with or without ileus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 The overall Glucksberg grading system for acute GvHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of aGvHD</th>
<th>Degree of organ involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Skin + to ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Skin + to +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gut and/or liver +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild decrease in clinical performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Skin ++ to +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gut and/or liver ++ to +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marked decrease in clinical performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Skin ++ to ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gut and/or liver ++ to ++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme decrease in clinical performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GvHD, graft-versus-host disease, aGvHD, acute GvHD.

Table 5 The 1994 Consensus Conference classification of acute graft-versus-host disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Gut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Stage 1–2</td>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>Stage 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Stage 3 or</td>
<td>Stage 1 or</td>
<td>Stage 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Stage 2–3 or</td>
<td>Stage 2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Stage 4 or</td>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 The criteria for the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry acute graft-versus-host disease staging system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Gastrointestine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Extent of rash</td>
<td>Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;25%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25%–50% or</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;50% or</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bullae or</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment of cGvHD
A combination of CsA and prednisolone has been the standard first-line therapy for cGvHD for almost 20 years. There is no standard treatment for cGvHD that recurs or fails to respond to initial therapy. Experimental therapies include psoralen and ultraviolet light, mycophenolate mofetil, thalidomide, plaquenil, pentostatin, extracorporeal photochemotherapy, and rituximab.

Morbidity and mortality rates
Transplantation mortality and morbidity rates have decreased considerably because of improved conditioning regimens, HLA typing, supportive care, and prevention and treatment of serious infections. Overall and event-free survival rates depend on disease stage and pathology. Patients undergoing HLA-matched sibling allogeneic transplantation have the best 5-year survival rates. These data should be interpreted with caution, as collection methods, survival quantification, and length of follow-up vary between studies.

Despite the early morbidity associated with HSCT, most of the transplant survivors attain high levels of physical and psychological quality of life (QoL). More than 90% of patients return to full-time employment within 3–5 years of transplantation. Disease-free patients have a 10-fold increased risk of mortality when compared with an age-matched general population at 2 years after allogeneic HSCT. Mortality remains high even 15 years after transplantation.

Major causes of death are late recurrence of original malignant disease, cGvHD, late infections without GvHD, secondary malignancies, pulmonary complications, and cardiac complications. Up to 20% of long-term survivors have functional impairments many years after HSCT. Late complications include treatment regimen toxicities, immune deficiency, autoimmune syndromes, growth impairment in children, cognitive dysfunction, second malignancies, chronic GvHD and problems with psychosocial adjustment. These late effects can negatively affect the performance of daily activities, sense of personal well-being, and interpersonal and family relationships.

QoL refers to every dimension of life except length and includes physical abilities, symptoms, social well-being, psycho-emotional status, and spiritual or existential qualities. Following HSCT, QoL ranges from perfect, with no physical, emotional or social sequelae and a greater appreciation for life, to severely compromised with physical disability, pain, and psychological despair. Although long-term survivors report many specific symptoms and limitations in daily activities, almost all indicate that they would undergo the procedure again given similar circumstances. The major risk factors for poor QoL after HSCT are older age, advanced disease at transplantation, cGvHD, and late side effects. Although cGvHD is a strong predictor of poor QoL, health and functional status improves with resolution of GvHD.

Gender-specific differences in QoL have been observed, with females more likely to report impairments in psychological and sexual domains. Cognitive deficits, particularly involving executive function, memory, and motor skills, have been reported in 30%–60% of HSCT survivors. The risk of developing neuropsychological sequelae is increased in older transplant patients, total body irradiation-based conditioning regimens, and CsA use. Patients may need annual screening for depression and psychological symptoms and counseling for psychological problems.

Individuals undergoing allogeneic HSCT, even when fully recovered, are never free from hospital attendances. Allogeneic HSCT is a lifelong commitment for the patient, their family, primary care physicians, and transplantation team. Robust systems are required for long-term follow-up of survivors after HSCT.

Conclusion
There have been substantial advances in understanding HSC biology and in the medical management of patients undergoing HSCT. The advent of cord blood banking will allow increasing numbers of patients to receive unrelated allogeneic transplants and create an invaluable resource for scientific research. The development of RIC regimens is
encouraging and offers the prospect of remissions for older patients who would previously not have been considered for HSCT. Perhaps the greatest challenge remaining is the maximization of the GvT effect, while minimizing the risk of acute and chronic GvHD. Further basic scientific research will delineate the immunological mechanisms behind GvHD and lead to improved medical therapies.
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