Racial differences in diabetes-related psychosocial factors and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes
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Background: We examined whether diabetes-related psychosocial factors differ between African American and white patients with type 2 diabetes. We also tested whether racial differences in glycemic control are independent of such factors.

Methods: Baseline glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and survey measures from 79 African American and 203 white adult participants in a diabetes self-management clinical trial were analyzed.

Results: Several psychosocial characteristics varied by race. Perceived interference of diabetes with daily life, perceived diabetes severity, and diabetes-related emotional distress were higher for African Americans than for whites, as were access to illness-management resources and social support. Mean HbA1c levels were higher among African Americans than whites (8.14 vs 7.40, beta = 0.17). This difference persisted after adjusting for demographic, clinical, and diabetes-related psychosocial characteristics that differed by race (beta = 0.18). Less access to illness-management resources (beta = −0.25) and greater perceived severity of diabetes (beta = 0.21) also predicted higher HbA1c.

Discussion: Although racial differences in diabetes-related psychosocial factors were observed, African Americans continued to have poorer glycemic control than whites even after such differences were taken into account. Interventions that target psychosocial factors related to diabetes management, particularly illness-management resources, may be a promising way to improve glycemic control for all patients.
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An estimated 17.9 million people in the United States had a diagnosis of diabetes in 2007, with direct and indirect costs associated with diabetes totalling $174 billion. 1 The burden of this prevalent and costly disease falls disproportionately on African Americans, with recent estimates indicating that 14.7% of non-Hispanic African American adults have diabetes, compared with 9.8% of non-Hispanic whites. 1 Not only is diabetes more prevalent among African Americans, 2–6 but among those diagnosed with diabetes, African Americans are less likely than whites to achieve adequate control of blood glucose levels 7–9 and are more likely than whites to suffer diabetes-related complications such as nephropathy, end-stage renal disease, retinopathy, lower-extremity amputations, and mortality. 10–30

Given that maintaining near-normal levels of blood glucose can greatly reduce the risk of experiencing diabetic complications, 31 it is important to understand why disparities exist in glycemic control. Identifying factors that facilitate or hinder effective
diabetes management, and determining whether these factors operate the same for African American and white patients, would allow the strategic development and implementation of interventions designed to reduce disparities between groups. However, few factors underlying the racial gap in glycemic control have been identified. Several studies have shown that racial differences in glycemic control persist after controlling for demographic, clinical, and behavioral factors such as age, sex, body mass index, education, socioeconomic status, physical comorbidities, depression, diabetes treatment regimen, access to health care, adherence to diabetes medications, and physical activity levels.\textsuperscript{7,8,11–13,16,32–34} Racial differences in glycemic control are also not fully explained by differences in processes of care, as rates of obtaining standard tests and screenings for comprehensive diabetes management are often equivalent or higher for African Americans compared with whites.\textsuperscript{10,15,35–41}

Achieving and maintaining adequate glycemic control can require the adoption of multiple behaviors that involve diet, exercise, and appropriate intake of insulin and/or oral hypoglycemic medications, resulting in a complicated regimen that poses significant challenges to patients. Social cognitive theory (SCT) maintains that whether patients adopt desired behaviors is influenced by the interaction of intrapersonal factors (eg, belief in one’s ability to adopt the behavior or the expected utility of adopting the behavior) and environmental factors (eg, social support for the behavior or lack of external barriers).\textsuperscript{42} The basic tenets of SCT are supported by a large body of evidence showing that factors such as self-efficacy in disease management and social support are associated with increased adherence to recommended diabetes self-management behaviors and improved glycemic control.\textsuperscript{43–48} Much of the early work applying SCT to diabetes management, however, did not examine whether there were racial differences in intrapersonal and environmental factors related to diabetes management (here-tofore referred to as diabetes-related psychosocial factors) or whether such factors had different effects on diabetes outcomes for these groups.

More recent work has begun to focus on whether the tenets of SCT generalize to diabetes management among African American patients, but studies have yielded mixed results.\textsuperscript{49–54} The influence of social support and self-efficacy on various self-care domains has been inconsistent in African American samples, with some studies finding no relationships\textsuperscript{84} and others finding relationships with some, but not all, self-care domains (eg, glucose monitoring and exercise, but not medication adherence).\textsuperscript{49,50,51} There is also evidence that diabetes-related emotional distress, which may interfere with diabetes management behaviors, is not related to glucose control among African Americans.\textsuperscript{55} However, few studies have directly examined whether diabetes-related psychosocial factors such as these differ between African Americans and whites or whether racial differences in glycemic control persist after taking into account racial differences in diabetes-related psychosocial factors.

We attempted to address this gap in the literature by exploring whether racial differences exist for several psychosocial factors found in past research to be associated with improved diabetes self-care and/or glycemic control. These included factors pertaining to self-care and quality of life (eg, self-efficacy in diabetes self-management, diabetes-related social support, perceived severity of one’s disease), access to resources that support disease management, and emotional distress related to diabetes. We further examined whether racial differences in glycemic control remained after taking into account race differences in these factors. We examined these issues using baseline data from African American and white patients enrolled in a clinical trial of a behavioral intervention to improve diabetes self-management.

Methods Patient population

Data for this analysis consisted of baseline measures collected from patients enrolled in the ENHANCE study, a single-center, randomized controlled trial of a behavioral intervention designed to foster adherence of individuals with type 2 diabetes to their self-management regimen. Participants were recruited from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and the surrounding area. Adults with a self-reported diagnosis of type 2 diabetes were eligible for enrollment in the trial. Exclusion criteria included (1) history of hypoglycemic coma/seizure within the last 12 months, (2) hypoglycemia requiring 3rd party assistance within the last 3 months, (3) unwillingness to do capillary blood testing, (4) history consistent with type 1 diabetes, (5) unwillingness or inability to participate in scheduled group classes, (6) renal dialysis or expectation of dialysis within the next 6 months, (7) history of dementia, alcohol, or substance abuse, (8) plans to move outside of the study region within the next 8 months, and (9) participation in another clinical study. Eligible participants were scheduled for a baseline fasting laboratory measurement visit and completed a baseline survey (described below). Data collected from participants during their baseline visit were used in the current analyses. Complete details regarding the intervention and study design are available elsewhere.\textsuperscript{56}
Measurements

Two weeks prior to their baseline assessment visit, eligible participants were sent a packet of questionnaires that contained measures of demographic, clinical, and diabetes-related psychosocial factors used in the current analyses. Demographic and clinical factors included self-reported race and ethnicity, gender, years of formal education, annual household income, employment status, health insurance status, and diabetes medication regimen (coded as ‘includes insulin vs does not include insulin’ for analyses). Total caloric expenditure through physical activity was also assessed using the Community Health Activities Model Program for Seniors questionnaire (CHAMPS).57 Physical and mental comorbidities were assessed using the Rigler comorbidity checklist58 and Beck Depression Inventory-II,59 respectively. Descriptions of the diabetes-related psychosocial factors and how they were measured are provided in Table 1.

During the baseline assessment visit, signed informed consent was obtained, after which questionnaires were collected and reviewed for completeness. Staff also obtained measures of height and weight at that time, which were used to calculate body mass index (BMI). Glycemic control was determined by serum hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels obtained via venipuncture. At the completion of the baseline visit, participants were given a $20 gift certificate to a local grocery store and parking vouchers or bus passes were provided as needed. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pittsburgh.

Statistical analysis

We first determined whether there were significant (P < 0.05) racial differences in each demographic, clinical, and diabetes-related psychosocial factor by examining bivariate associations between race and each variable. Continuous variables were summarized using means and standard deviations; categorical variables were summarized by frequencies and percentages. Bivariate associations between race and each variable were assessed using Student’s t-test or its nonpara-

Table 1 Summary of psychosocial measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement tool</th>
<th># of items</th>
<th>Possible range</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to illness-management resources</td>
<td>Support (eg, informational or emotional) for the management of chronic illness available from one's physician/health care team, family/friends, personal actions, neighborhood, community, media/policy, community organizations, and workplace</td>
<td>CIRS65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference of diabetes with daily life</td>
<td>Interference caused by diabetes to daily activities, work, and social/recreational activities</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity of diabetes</td>
<td>Extent to which diabetes is a severe health problem and causes concern/worry</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived diabetes-related social support</td>
<td>Social support received from significant others, family, friends, and health professionals</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive reinforcing behaviors</td>
<td>Reinforcement received from significant others for engaging in desirable self-management behaviors</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misguided support behaviors</td>
<td>Nonsupportive behaviors by significant others to encourage self-management behaviors (eg, nagging)</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self efficacy in diabetes self management</td>
<td>Confidence in ability to perform desirable self-management behaviors</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome expectancies for self-care behaviors</td>
<td>Perceptions of the effects of desirable self-management behaviors on metabolic control and prevention of complications</td>
<td>MDQ Subscale45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes-related emotional distress</td>
<td>Emotional responses to issues unique to living with diabetes</td>
<td>PAID66</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIRS, Chronic Illness Resources Survey; MDQ, Multidimensional Diabetes Questionnaire; PAID, Problem Areas in Diabetes Scale.
metric equivalent (eg, Wilcoxon rank sum test) for continuous
variables and by the $\chi^2$ statistic for categorical variables.

Next, we used linear regression to examine whether
race was associated with HbA1c level prior to adjusting for
any additional variables. We then examined multiple linear
regression models to determine whether race was associated
with HbA1c level after adjustment for variables that were found
to differ by race in the bivariate analyses at a $P$ value of at
least marginal significance ($P < 0.10$). The first multivariable
model included race and patient demographic and clinical
factors that differed by race. The second multivariable model
consisted of the first model plus the psychosocial factors that
differed by race. Finally, we examined an additional set of
models that tested the interaction effects of race and each
variable on HbA1c to determine whether the effects of these
variables on glycemic control differed for African American
and white patients. All analyses were performed using SAS
software (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results
Racial differences in demographic
and clinical characteristics
African Americans and whites differed on several demo-
graphic and clinical characteristics (Table 2). Compared
with whites, African Americans were significantly younger
(mean age = 51.1 vs 57.3), had fewer years of education
(13.4 vs 15.0), expended more calories during physical
activity (4228.3 vs 3354.8), and had fewer comorbid
conditions (3.3 vs 3.9). There was a marginal trend for
African Americans to score higher than whites on the Beck
Depression Index (0.6 vs 0.5). African Americans were also
more likely than whites to be female (79.8% vs 62.7%)
and had lower incomes than whites (51.3% vs 19.5% had
incomes <US$20K). There were no racial differences in
body mass index, likelihood of being employed, having
health insurance, or having a diabetes-medication regimen
that included insulin.

Table 2 Demographic and clinical characteristics of white and African American adults with type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-hispanic white (n = 203)</th>
<th>African American (n = 79)</th>
<th>$P^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>57.3 ± 11.1</td>
<td>51.1 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of formal education</td>
<td>15.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>34.6 ± 7.0</td>
<td>35.3 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloric expenditure/week</td>
<td>3354.8 ± 2612.9</td>
<td>4228.3 ± 3175.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity disease index</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck’s depression index</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n %)</td>
<td>126 62.7%</td>
<td>63 79.8%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
<td>38 19.5%</td>
<td>40 51.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000–$50,000</td>
<td>87 44.6%</td>
<td>23 29.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than $50,000</td>
<td>70 35.9%</td>
<td>15 19.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>122 60.7%</td>
<td>43 54.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed</td>
<td>79 39.3%</td>
<td>36 45.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insured</td>
<td>190 94.5%</td>
<td>74 94.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not insured</td>
<td>11 5.5%</td>
<td>4 5.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication regimen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes insulin</td>
<td>37 19.4%</td>
<td>19 25.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not include insulin</td>
<td>154 80.6%</td>
<td>56 74.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Comparisons between white and African American participants were made using t-tests (or non-parametric equivalent) for means and $\chi^2$ tests for frequencies.
Racial differences in HbA1c

African Americans (mean = 8.14, standard deviation = 2.53) had significantly higher HbA1c levels than whites (mean = 7.40, standard deviation = 1.71) in analyses not adjusting for any demographic, clinical, or psychosocial characteristics (standardized beta = 0.17, \( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 4, Model 1). This race difference persisted after adjusting for demographic and clinical factors that varied by race in bivariate analyses (standardized beta = 0.15, \( P = 0.02 \)) (Table 4, Model 2). In a model that also included diabetes-related psychosocial factors that differed by race, race continued to be a significant predictor of HbA1c (standardized beta = 0.18, \( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 4, Model 3).

In this model, access to illness-management resources was negatively associated with HbA1c (standardized beta = −0.25, \( P = 0.01 \)) and perceived severity of diabetes was positively associated with HbA1c (standardized beta = 0.21, \( P = 0.02 \)). HbA1c was not associated with interference of diabetes with daily life, diabetes-related social support, or diabetes-related emotional distress in the final adjusted model. We ran a series of additional models to test whether there were significant

### Table 3: Psychosocial characteristics (mean ± SD) of white and African American patients with type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Non-hispanic white</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to illness-management resources</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference of diabetes with daily life</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity of diabetes</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived diabetes-related social support</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive reinforcing behaviors</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misguided support behaviors</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy in diabetes self-management</td>
<td>40.7 ± 20.0</td>
<td>38.1 ± 19.5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome expectancies for self-care behaviors</td>
<td>61.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>59.9 ± 12.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes-related emotional distress</td>
<td>33.4 ± 20.8</td>
<td>40.1 ± 22.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Comparisons between white and African American participants were made using t-tests (or nonparametric equivalent).

### Table 4: Multiple linear regression models examining the association of race with HbA1c after adjusting for demographic, clinical, and diabetes-related psychosocial factors that differ by race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1: race</th>
<th>Model 2: model 1 + demographic and clinical factors</th>
<th>Model 3: model 2 + diabetes-related psychosocial factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>0.73 0.26 0.17</td>
<td>0.69 0.30 0.15 0.02</td>
<td>0.82 0.31 0.18 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.008 0.01 0.05</td>
<td>0.005 0.01 0.03 0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of formal education</td>
<td>-0.0003 0.04 1.00</td>
<td>0.01 0.04 0.02 0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloric expenditure/week (Kcal)</td>
<td>-0.09 0.04 0.04</td>
<td>-0.06 0.05 0.08 0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity disease index</td>
<td>-0.13 0.06 0.14 0.04</td>
<td>-0.16 0.07 0.17 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck’s depression index</td>
<td>0.67 0.30 0.15 0.03</td>
<td>0.13 0.35 0.03 0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>-0.19 0.27 0.05 0.47</td>
<td>-0.22 0.28 0.05 0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0.45 0.05 0.05 0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000–$50,000</td>
<td>0.41 0.31 0.10 0.19</td>
<td>0.31 0.31 0.07 0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than $50,000</td>
<td>0.28 0.34 0.06 0.41</td>
<td>0.07 0.34 0.02 0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to illness-management resources</td>
<td>-0.95 0.30 0.25 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference of diabetes with daily life</td>
<td>0.02 0.12 0.01 0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity of diabetes</td>
<td>0.25 0.10 0.21 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived diabetes-related social support</td>
<td>0.08 0.10 0.06 0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes-related emotional distress</td>
<td>-0.0008 0.009 -0.008 0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: B, unstandardized regression coefficient; SE, standard error; Beta, standardized regression coefficient.
interactions between race and each of the demographic, clinical, or diabetes-related psychosocial factors that were included in the multivariable analyses. No interactions were significant (data not shown), indicating that the associations between each variable and HbA1c were similar across the two racial groups.

Discussion

The current study examined whether African American and white patients with type 2 diabetes differ on diabetes-related psychosocial factors, and whether race differences in glycemic control persist after taking into account such differences. We found race differences in some, but not all psychosocial factors examined in this study. Compared with whites, African Americans reported more interference of diabetes with daily life, greater severity of diabetes, and more diabetes-related emotional distress. However, African Americans may have more supportive environments to help them cope, as they reported more overall access to support resources to help them manage their illness and more diabetes-related social support from their family, friends, and health professionals. Interestingly, African Americans and whites did not differ on self-management self-efficacy or perceived benefits of engaging in self-care behavior. This pattern of results suggests that coping with diabetes may pose more challenges for African Americans than for whites, but that African Americans may have more supportive environments than whites to help them cope, and that both groups have equal confidence in their ability to manage their disease and the utility of doing so.

That being said, we found that African Americans had higher HbA1c levels than whites, even after controlling for racial differences in the diabetes-related psychosocial factors noted above. This suggests that the persistent race disparity in glycemic control is independent of diabetes-related psychosocial factors such as support received from significant others or the broader community, self-efficacy in diabetes management, beliefs about the utility of engaging in recommended self-care behaviors, interference of the disease with daily life, or distress caused by the disease. Even though race differences were found in some of these areas, the race difference in glycemic control was still observed after taking those psychosocial factors into account.

Explanations for the race difference in glycemic control therefore remain elusive. Recent work has emphasized the role of socioeconomic status (SES) on diabetes prevalence, as SES is often confounded with race.60-62 For instance, in a sample of white, African American, and Hispanic adults, race differences in diabetes prevalence were greatly reduced after controlling for a combination of education and income.61 Moreover, no racial differences in diabetes prevalence were found in a sample of African American and white adults drawn from a racially integrated urban community where members of both races lived in similar social conditions and did not differ in socioeconomic status.62 The current study, which focused on glycemic control rather than diabetes prevalence, found that race differences in glycemic control persisted even after controlling for education and income, demographic characteristics that serve as indicators of SES. It is possible, however, that our measures of SES did not capture other important racial differences in life circumstances that affect glycemic control. For instance, African American and white patients in the current study were likely drawn from poorly integrated communities, as rates of racial segregation remain high in many Pittsburgh neighborhoods. Residential segregation has been proposed as a contributing factor to racial disparities in health, given that it leads to differences in access to high-quality health care, exposure to environmental hazards, access to healthy and unhealthy foods, and recreational facilities that promote physical activity, all of which can influence health.63 Additional research is needed to determine whether environmental factors not examined in the current analysis contribute to race disparities in glycemic control.

Even though this study found that the race disparity in glycemic control was independent of diabetes-related psychosocial factors, its results can inform the development of interventions to improve diabetes management for both African American and white patients. Our findings suggest that interventions may not need to be designed to target different psychosocial factors for African Americans and whites. Our results indicate that access to illness-management resources is related to glycemic control for both African Americans and whites. Interventions designed to increase access to support from a variety of sources ranging from close friends and family to more distal sources such as the workplace, community, and the media may be a promising way to improve glycemic control for all patients. Such resources may already be more available to African Americans than whites, as African Americans reported more access to illness-management resources than whites in the current study, and a similar trend has been reported in a previous study with a much smaller sample.64 The relatively greater
access to resources reported by African Americans in the current study may reflect the effects of multiple community-based programs designed to provide African American communities in Pittsburgh with support related to chronic disease management, disease prevention, and promotion of healthy lifestyles. The task of optimizing the impact of such resources on glycemic control remains an important area for future efforts.

The current study has several limitations. First, the sample consisted of patients who self-selected into a study of a behavioral intervention designed to improve diabetes self-management. Therefore, the study sample may not be representative of the general population of patients with diabetes in terms of disease severity or motivation to engage in diabetes self-care behaviors. Second, while the study recruited the expected number of African Americans given the race distribution in the region, the number of African Americans in the sample is small, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study prevents conclusions about causal relationships between the variables examined. For example, it is possible that having poorly controlled diabetes may lead patients to perceive their disease as more severe rather than poor glycemic control being caused by perceptions that one’s disease is severe.

Despite these limitations, the current study adds to existing literature by identifying differences and similarities in diabetes-related psychosocial factors across African American and white patients with diabetes, and by showing that the race disparity in glycemic control is independent of differences in such factors. Access to illness-management resources is associated with better glycemic control for both African Americans and whites, which suggests one potential area for the development of interventions to improve diabetes management.
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