Exenatide once weekly: clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction
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Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a complex disorder in which interactions between environmental and genetic factors result in the development of insulin resistance (in most cases) and progressive pancreatic β-cell failure. The currently available oral anti-diabetes treatments are effective as monotherapy; however, due to the progressive decline in β-cell function, most patients will require the use of combination therapy and eventually insulin to reach glycemic targets. These therapeutic options are not without undesirable side effects such as weight gain and hypoglycemia. Furthermore, T2DM is associated with impaired quality of life (QOL) and poor compliance with treatment. Hence, there is a need for anti-diabetes agents that result in sustained improvements in glycemic control without hypoglycemia or weight gain and have a positive impact on patients QOL and thereby hopefully improve compliance. Incretin-based therapy is the latest addition to anti-diabetes treatments which addresses some of the shortcomings of older treatments.

Aims: To review the evidence for the use of exenatide once-weekly.

Methods: We have searched Medline using the terms “exenatide”, “exenatide once-weekly”, and “exenatide LA”.

Results: Exenatide once-weekly is an incretin mimetic that is currently undergoing phase 3 clinical trials, and has been shown to improve glycemic parameters (HbA1c and fasting and postprandial glucose levels), with low risk of hypoglycemia, causes weight loss, and use was associated with improvements in patient satisfaction which might have a positive impact on treatment compliance.

Conclusions: Exenatide once-weekly is effective, well tolerated in patients with T2DM and should be a useful addition to the available range of anti-diabetes treatments.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a global epidemic with an estimated worldwide prevalence of 6% (246 million people) in 2007 that is forecasted to rise to 7.3% (380 million) by 2025.1,2 The health, social, and economic burden of T2DM is great,3–5 it continues to pose a major challenge to healthcare provision around the world.

The development of insulin resistance (IR) and pancreatic β-cell dysfunction due to various environmental and genetic factors results in onset of T2DM.6,7 Despite obesity being the single most important contributor to IR, most obese insulin-resistant individuals do not develop T2DM8–9 because their β-cells are capable of producing sufficient insulin to maintain euglycemia.8–13 This suggests that the failure of β-cells to secrete sufficient insulin to overcome IR is the key step in the development and progression
of T2DM.\textsuperscript{6,7,9,10} Pancreatic \(\alpha\)-cell dysfunction manifesting as non-suppressed glucagon secretion in the presence of hyperglycemia is also manifest in patients with T2DM.\textsuperscript{14}

Several pharmacological agents have been developed to treat patients with T2DM. They either improve insulin resistance (biguanides, glitazones), stimulate insulin secretion from the \(\beta\)-cell (sulfonylureas, metaglinides), or decrease glucose absorption from the gut (\(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitors).\textsuperscript{15} The initial improvements in glycemic control observed with these agents as monotherapy are not sustained because of the progressive nature of the disease due to the continuing decline in \(\beta\)-cell function.\textsuperscript{9,16} This often necessitates the use of combination therapy and eventually insulin. Furthermore, current agents may be associated with undesirable side effects including gastrointestinal (metformin, \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitors), weight gain (sulfonylureas, metaglinides, glitazones, and insulin), and hypoglycemia (sulfonylureas, metaglinides, and insulin).\textsuperscript{17} These side effects may contribute to further worsen the already impaired health-related quality of life (QOL) found in patients with T2DM\textsuperscript{18} and may contribute to poor compliance common in this group of patients.\textsuperscript{19}

Treatment acceptability and adherence are particularly important in the management of T2DM. A systematic review showed that many patients took less than their prescribed dose of insulin and/or oral anti-diabetes medications\textsuperscript{20} and that a substantial proportion of patients had difficulty in dealing with various elements of the chronic disease management, particularly adhering to a strict drug regimen.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Taking the above into account, there is a need for new pharmacological agents that are well tolerated with sustainable impact on glycemic control, and with very low risk of hypoglycemia, cause weight loss (or at least no weight gain) and thereby encourage patient adherence to therapy. Incretin-based therapy is the latest class of anti-diabetes medications to become available and addresses some of the shortcomings of conventional anti-diabetes treatments. Incretin-based therapy can be given either orally (dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors) or via a subcutaneous injection (glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) analogues/mimetics). They improve glycemic control with favorable impact on weight and low risk of hypoglycemia (apart from when used with sulfonylureas).\textsuperscript{23} In addition, animal studies have shown that some of these agents improve \(\beta\)-cell survival,\textsuperscript{23} which if true in humans might result in a more sustained impact on glycemic control. GLP-1 analogs/mimetics are given in once- or twice-daily dosing regimes. However, other drugs are in development in this category that require administration once weekly or even less frequently.\textsuperscript{23} Such a dosing regimen might be highly acceptable to patients and encourage compliance with treatment.

In this article, we aim to review the available data regarding the once-weekly use of exenatide in the management of T2DM and the potential patient considerations for the use of this drug. Further details regarding incretin-based therapies are not within the scope of this article and can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{24-27}

**Incretins**

Incretins are hormones that are released from the gut in response to ingestion of food.\textsuperscript{28} The incretin effect was first described in 1964, when it was observed that the insulin response to oral glucose challenge was substantially higher than to an intravenous glucose load.\textsuperscript{29} The incretin response accounts for at least 50% of insulin secretion in healthy individuals.\textsuperscript{30}

Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) was the first incretin to be isolated and characterized.\textsuperscript{31,32} It is a 42 amino acid peptide secreted in the bioactive form from the K-cells in duodenum and jejunum in response to ingestion of carbohydrates and lipids.\textsuperscript{33} The second incretin to be isolated was GLP-1, which is cleaved from pro-glucagon and secreted from the L-cells in the distal ileum and colon.\textsuperscript{33} GLP-1 levels are reduced in patients with T2DM, unlike GIP levels which are maintained.\textsuperscript{34}

Both GIP and GLP-1 facilitate glucose-dependent insulin secretion through their action on pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells. GLP-1 increases insulin gene transcription as well as all the steps of insulin biosynthesis.\textsuperscript{35} In addition, GLP-1 results in glucose-dependent glucagon suppression, delays gastric emptying, increases satiety, and possibly reduces insulin resistance.\textsuperscript{36,37} There is also evidence that GLP-1 increases \(\beta\)-cell mass in animal studies.\textsuperscript{38}

GLP-1 secretion is reduced in patients with T2DM.\textsuperscript{39} Although there is a blunting of GLP-1 secretory response in these patients, their response to exogenous GLP-1 is intact.\textsuperscript{23} A continuous 6-hour intravenous infusion of GLP-1 in the fasting state, leading to GLP-1 levels 2–3 times higher than normally seen after meals, resulted in lowering of glucose and glucagon levels, with increases in insulin secretion without any hypoglycemic events in patients with poorly controlled T2DM.\textsuperscript{40} Subcutaneous GLP-1 was also shown to have a similar glucose-lowering effect when administered pre-meal in patients with T2DM.\textsuperscript{41}

Incretins are rapidly metabolized by the enzyme DPP-4, and thus have extremely short half-lives (GIP < 2 minutes and GLP-1 5–7 minutes).\textsuperscript{42,43} The short half-life of these naturally occurring incretins limited their clinical use. This led
to the development of various modifications of the amino acids of GLP-1, rendering them DPP-4 resistant. Exenatide (Byetta®; Eli Lilly), a synthetic analog of exendin-4, was the first-in-class incretin mimetic. Lisproglutide (Victoza®; Novo Nordisk), an analog of human GLP-1, is a fatty acid derivative of GLP-1 that has been approved for clinical use more recently. There are several long-acting once-weekly preparations currently in phase 3 clinical trials – exenatide once-weekly (Byetta®; Eli Lilly), albiglutide (GlaxoSmithKline) and taspoglutide (Ipsen and Roche), all of which show promising results. Research has also targeted developing inhibitors of the DPP-4 enzyme. The currently available DPP-4 inhibitors are sitagliptin (Januvia®; Merck & Co), vildagliptin (Galvus®; Novartis) and saxagliptin (Onglyza®; Bristol-Myers Squibb and Astra-Zeneca). Alogliptin (Takeda) and linagliptin (Ondero®; Boehringer Ingelheim) are currently undergoing phase 3 clinical trials.

Exenatide, a synthetic version of the naturally occurring salivary peptide isolated from the Gila monster (Heloderma suspectum), is a partial structural analog of human GLP-1 and has 53% amino acid sequence homology with human GLP-1.44 It contains a glycine at position 2, in contrast to human GLP-1, which has an alanine at position 2, thus making the molecule DPP-4 resistant, in turn conferring a longer half-life.44 Exenatide has a half-life of 3.3–4.0 hours and clinical effects lasting for up to 8 hours.45–47 Exenatide treatment results in significant reductions in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and post-prandial glucose (PPG) in patients with T2DM.48–51 In addition, it results in slowing of gastric emptying (which contributes to the reductions in PPG),52 appetite suppression53 and weight loss.54

The AC2993 Diabetes Management for Improving Glucose Outcomes (AMIGO) trials were three 30-week randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials that had similar design and examined the impact of exenatide treatment on glycemic control in patients with T2DM.48,55,56 They enrolled subjects aged 16–75 years who were poorly controlled on metformin and/or sulfonylurea with HbaA1c 7.5%–11%. In the AMIGO trials, patients were randomized to placebo, exenatide 5 µg or exenatide 10 µg while continuing metformin and/or sulfonylurea. By week 30, exenatide 10 µg resulted in mean HbaA1c reduction of −0.8% ± 0.1% to −0.9 ± 0.1% compared with a −0.16% ± 0.1% to 0.08% ± 0.1% in placebo.55 The effects of exenatide on glycemic control appeared to be sustainable as reductions achieved at 30 weeks (−1.0% ± 0.1%) were maintained at 82 weeks57 and 3 years58 in the open-label extensions of the AMIGO trials.

The open-label extensions of the AMIGO trial also showed that exenatide treatment promotes progressive weight loss up to 82 weeks (−2.1 ± 0.3 kg versus −4.0 ± 0.3 kg for exenatide 10 µg week 30 versus week 82 respectively).57,59 Furthermore, a subset of patients who had 3.5 years of exenatide exposure had reductions in triglycerides of 12% (P = 0.0003); LDL-C decreased by 6% (P < 0.0001), and HDL-C increased by 24% (P < 0.0001).59

Exenatide is generally well tolerated long term, but the most commonly reported adverse events (AEs) (mostly in the first few weeks of treatment) are nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, headache, dizziness, and dyspepsia.60 In a recent meta-analysis, exenatide was associated with a significant increase in the proportion of patients experiencing hypoglycemia in placebo-controlled trials (OR: 2.92 (1.49–5.75), P = 0.002). This excess, however, was only observed when exenatide was combined with sulfonylureas.61 Concerns about acute pancreatitis have been raised in patients using exenatide. However, a 1-year follow-up study of patients who were initiated on exenatide, sitagliptin, glyburide, or metformin showed the risk of acute pancreatitis to be comparable between the cohorts.62 Nonetheless, the FDA has changed the labeling on the drug to warn about possibility of acute pancreatitis particularly in susceptible patients, based on post-marketing analysis showing 30 reported cases of pancreatitis in 2007 and 6 cases of necrotizing hemorrhagic pancreatitis in 2008.63 The FDA also warns that exenatide should not be used in patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min) or end-stage renal failure, and should be used with caution in those with renal transplant or moderate renal impairment (creatinine clearance 30–50 mL/min).60

Although exenatide is relatively well tolerated and effective in improving glycemic control with favorable impact on weight and low risk of hypoglycemia, the main drawback is that it needs to be administered by twice-daily injections. As a result, the development of exenatide once-weekly is now in progress.

**Exenatide once-weekly Chemistry**

Exenatide once-weekly uses a sustained release drug delivery system. Molecules of exenatide are encapsulated in injectable microspheres of poly (D, L lactic-co-glycolic acid), a biodegradable polymeric matrix commonly used in extended release preparations.63 This poly-lactide-glycolide and exenatide microsphere suspension allows gradual drug delivery at a controlled rate by diffusion and erosion of the microspheres.63,64
Pharmacokinetics

Mean plasma concentration of exenatide once-weekly (0.8 or 2 mg) reached clinically significant levels (at which exenatide lowers blood glucose) by week 2 in a 15-week phase 2 study of 45 adults (60% men, 60% Caucasians) whom glycemic control was suboptimal (HbA1c 8.5% ± 1.2%) with metformin and/or life-style changes.64,65 By week 6, exenatide once-weekly attained a maximum concentration higher than that attained by a single injection of exenatide 10 µg (a steady state concentration of 232 pg/mL versus 211 pg/mL).59 Six weeks after stopping treatment, the serum concentration of exenatide once-weekly declined steadily to insignificant levels.64

In a randomized, double-blind, parallel study in Japanese patients with T2DM (59% men, aged 58 ± 9 years), the AUC (0–8 hours) of exenatide once-weekly on day 1 was 187.6 (133.7–263.3) pg * h/mL and 405.6 (278.4–590.8) pg * h/mL for 0.8 mg and 2 mg respectively.66 The Cmax on day 1 was 64.3 (38.3–107.8) pg/mL for 0.8 mg and 137.3 (74.6–252.6) pg/mL for 2 mg of exenatide once-weekly. Geometric mean (90% CI) steady-state plasma concentrations were 81.2 (68.3–96.4) pg/mL and 344.5 (256.5–462.7) pg/mL with 0.8 mg and 2.0 mg respectively (Figure 1).66

The diabetes therapy utilization researching changes in A1c, weight, and other factors through intervention with Exenatide once-weekly (DURATION)-1 study (described below) showed that plateau concentrations of exenatide were achieved after 6–10 weeks of exenatide once-weekly with a geometric mean steady state plasma concentration of 71.7 pmol/L.67

Clinical efficacy

Impact on glycemic parameters

There are 3 published randomized controlled trials that assessed the impact of exenatide once-weekly on glycemic parameters (Table 1). Exenatide once-weekly produced significant reductions in HbA1c, FPG, and PPG when used in drug-naïve patients or patients treated with one or more oral anti-diabetes therapy.64,66,67

The DURATION-1 study was a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority study that compared exenatide 2.0 mg weekly to exenatide 10 µg twice daily in patients with T2DM. 303 patients were enrolled and 295 (53% men, 78% Caucasians) were randomized.67 All patients underwent a 3-day lead-in period with exenatide 5 µg twice daily, after which they were randomized to either exenatide 2.0 mg once-weekly or exenatide 5 µg twice daily for 28 days, followed by exenatide 10 µg twice daily. Participants had a mean age of 55 ± 10 years with a mean BMI of 35 ± 5 kg/m². The baseline anti-diabetes treatment included metformin (73%), sulfonylurea (37%), and thiazolidinediones (16%) alone or in combination.65 By week 10, there were significantly greater reductions in HbA1c in the once-weekly group compared
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Table 1 Designs and clinical outcomes of the published exenatide once-weekly studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Kim et al\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Drucker et al\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Iwamoto et al\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomized, placebo controlled</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomized, open-label, non-inferiority</td>
<td>Phase 1, randomized, placebo controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>15 weeks</td>
<td>30 weeks</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline characteristics</td>
<td>Age 17–85, Men 60% Diabetes duration 5 ± 4 years FPG 9.9 ± 2.3 mmol/L</td>
<td>Age 55, Men 58% Diabetes duration 6.7 ± 5.0 years FPG 9 ± 2 mmol/L</td>
<td>Age 58 ± 9, Men 58.6% Diabetes duration 6 ± 5 years PPG 15.6 ± 29.1 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study groups</td>
<td>2.0 mg Ex QW 0.8 mg Ex QW Placebo QW 2.0 mg Ex QW 10 µg Ex BD</td>
<td>2.0 mg Ex QW 0.8 mg Ex QW Placebo QW</td>
<td>2.0 mg Ex QW 0.8 mg Ex QW Placebo QW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline treatment</td>
<td>Metformin-53% Metformin-63% Metformin-64%</td>
<td>Metformin-77% SU-37% TZD-15%</td>
<td>BG-0% SU-6% TZD-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HbA\textsubscript{1c}</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.2%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA\textsubscript{1c} change (%)</td>
<td>−1.7 ± 0.3 −1.4 ± 0.3 +0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−1.9 ± 0.1 −1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−1.5 ± 0.7 −1.0 ± 0.7 −0.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 vs placebo &lt; 0.001 vs placebo</td>
<td>0 0.0023</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG change</td>
<td>−2.2 ± 0.5 mmol/L −2.4 ± 0.9 mmol/L +1.0 ± 0.7 mmol/L</td>
<td>−2.3 (SE 0.5) mmol/L −1.4 (SE 0.5) mmol/L</td>
<td>−50.8 ± 27.8 mg/dL −25.2 ± 10.9 mg/dL −20.5 ± 20.4 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 vs placebo &lt; 0.001 vs placebo</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG change</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported −</td>
<td>−5.3 (SE 0.5) mmol/L (subset n = 51) −6.9 (SE 0.5) mmol/L (subset n = 51)</td>
<td>−11.1 ± 48.5 mg/dL −75.8 ± 42.9 mg/dL −28.5 ± 38.4 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline weight (BMI)</td>
<td>106 ± 20 kg (34 kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>102 (SD 20) kg (34 kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>69.7 ± 13.4 kg (26.3 ± 2.9 kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight change</td>
<td>−3.8 ± 1.4 kg −0.04 ± 0.7 kg −0.03 ± 0.7 kg</td>
<td>−3.7 (SE 0.5) kg −3.6 (SE 0.5) kg</td>
<td>−0.8 ± 1.5 kg −0.3 ± 2.2 kg −1.6 ± 1.6 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05 vs placebo NS vs placebo −</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>Not reported Not reported Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FPG, fasting blood glucose; PPG, post prandial blood glucose; Ex, exenatide; QW, once weekly; BD, twice daily; NS, not significant; SU, sulfonylurea; TZD, thiazolidinedione; BG, biguanide.
with the twice-daily group, which continued to be the case until study end (week 30) (Table 1). A greater proportion of patients randomized to exenatide once-weekly achieved target HbA1c ≤ 7.0% (77% versus 61%; P = 0.0039). Exenatide once-weekly also resulted in greater reductions of FPG and 2-hour PPG (measured during a mixed meal tolerance test) (Table 1).67

In another randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial, 45 (60% male) drug-naive or metformin-treated patients with T2DM were randomized to 2.0 mg or 0.8 mg exenatide once-weekly or placebo.64 HbA1c reductions were apparent in both the exenatide once-weekly groups from week 3 onwards and continued to improve until study end (Table 1). 86% of the 2.0 mg group and 36% of the 0.8 mg group achieved target HbA1c ≤7.0% or less compared with 0% in the placebo group.64 In addition, by week 15, exenatide once-weekly (2.0 mg or 0.8 mg) resulted in significant reductions in FPG and PPG (based on self monitored blood glucose profiles) compared with placebo.

Exenatide once-weekly was also examined in a Japanese population in a 10-week randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, parallel study in patients with T2DM suboptimally controlled by lifestyle and/or biguanide, sulfonylurea, thiazolidinedione, or combinations of these agents.66 Patients continued their baseline medications during this study. Patients were randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to subcutaneous placebo once weekly, exenatide once weekly 0.8 mg, or exenatide once weekly 2.0 mg.66 At week 10, there was significant reduction in HbA1c in the exenatide once-weekly groups compared with placebo (Table 1). Similarly, FPG and PPG concentrations showed clinically relevant reductions in the exenatide once-weekly groups compared with placebo (Table 1).

The impact of exenatide once weekly seems to be sustainable up to 2 years following initiating treatment.58,69 In the open-label extension of the DURATION-1 trial, 258 patients entered the 22-week open-ended assessment phase (n = 128 exenatide once weekly only; n = 130 switched from daily to once weekly exenatide).68 Exenatide once weekly maintained the HbA1c improvements through the 52 weeks (−2.0% [−2.1% to −1.8%]). Patients switching from daily to weekly exenatide achieved further HbA1c improvements, but both groups had a mean HbA1c of 6.6% at study-end. At week 52, 71% and 54% of all patients achieved an A1c <7.0% and ≤6.5%, respectively.68 This glycemic improvement was achieved without any major hypoglycemia. A further open-label extension of the DURATION-1 trial involving 135 patients who have completed 2 years treatment with 2 mg exenatide once weekly showed that the initial improvements in HbA1c were maintained at 2 years with 66% and 42% of patients achieving an HbA1c ≤7.0% and ≤6.5%, respectively.69

Impact on weight
Similar to exenatide twice-daily treatment, exenatide once weekly results in significant weight loss (Table 1). In the study by Kim et al exenatide once weekly 2 mg resulted in a weight loss of 3.8 ± 1.4 kg (mean ± SE) from baseline by week 15 (P < 0.05, compared with the placebo).64 In the DURATION-1 trial, the weekly exenatide treatment group had a weight change of −3.7 kg (SE 0.5) at week 30, which was comparable to the twice-daily exenatide treatment (−3.6 kg [SE 0.5]).67

However, in the Japanese study, exenatide once weekly resulted in a weight neutral effect, while the placebo group lost 1.6 kg (Table 1).66 This effect was seen in earlier exenatide twice daily studies in Japanese subjects.70 The authors hypothesize that the leanness of the Japanese cohort could contribute to this apparent neutral effect on weight.

The impact of exenatide once weekly on weight seems to be sustainable. At 52 weeks, body weight was reduced by >4 kg.68 In the 2-year open-label extension of the DURATION-1 study, there was significant reduction in body weight from baseline (−3.6 ± 0.6 kg; 95% CI: −4.8 to −2.3 kg) by 2 years.69

Impact on cardiovascular risk factors
Exenatide once weekly resulted in significant reductions in lipids and blood pressure (Table 2).67

The 2-year open-label extension of the DURATION-1 study reported that exenatide once weekly improved serum lipids (triglycerides: −18%, 95% CI −24% to −12%; total cholesterol: −0.25 ± 0.09 mmol/L, 95% CI −0.42 to −0.07 mmol/L). These subjects were also able to maintain a significant reduction in systolic blood pressure (−3.2 ± 1.2 mmHg; 95% CI −5.5 to −0.8 mmHg) throughout the treatment period.69

Impact on glucagon and other incretin-related effects
Exenatide once weekly resulted in more glucagon suppression than exenatide twice daily.67 In the DURATION-1 study, glucagon levels changed by −18.0 (SE: 2.9) ng/L (from a baseline of 103 (3.1) ng/L) and −6.4 (2.9) ng/L (from a baseline of 99.0 (3.0) ng/L) for exenatide once weekly and exenatide twice daily respectively (P < 0.05).67 The impact of exenatide once weekly on satiety and gastric emptying has not been examined.
Adverse events

Hypoglycemia

There were no significant events of hypoglycemia reported with exenatide once weekly in the DURATION-1 study or its open-label extensions. In the Japanese study, patient-reported hypoglycemia was reported in 2 patients. Both of these patients were on concurrent sulfonylurea therapy.

In the earlier study by Kim et al, patient-reported hypoglycemia was reported in 25% with exenatide once weekly 0.8 mg, of which only one was confirmed with a blood glucose level of 3 mmol/L and all were mild, and 0% in the 2.0 mg group. Patients in the placebo arm had no hypoglycemia events.

Anti-exenatide antibodies

The development or presence of antibodies did not have any clinical effect on the incidence of hypoglycemia or change in HbA1c in any of the available studies. Kim et al reported that 67% of subjects receiving exenatide once weekly had anti-exenatide antibodies at week 15, but no association could be found with safety or efficacy in individual patient profiles. DURATION-1 reported that anti-exenatide antibody levels were higher with exenatide once a week compared with twice-daily exenatide (P = 0.0002); however, there were significant reductions in mean HbA1c, over 30 weeks in patients with exenatide once weekly.

Other AEs

The common AEs are summarized in Table 3. Nausea was the most commonly reported side effect. Other AEs included vomiting, diarrhea, injection-site pruritus, and bruising. Elevated blood amylase levels were reported in 2 patients in the exenatide 0.8 mg once-weekly group, but these were not associated with any clinical symptoms of pancreatitis. Baseline to week 10 elevations in amylase levels did not reach significance in any of the groups, and no cases of pancreatitis were reported. There were no clinically relevant AEs relating to vital signs, ECG, or blood results. There were no withdrawals from the study due to AEs.

In the 2-year open-label extension of the DURATION-1 study, mild nausea diminished over time, occurring in only 8% of patients during the open-ended treatment period compared with 26.4% patients during the 30-week study period.

Overall, exenatide once weekly was well tolerated with no serious patient-reported AEs in any of the 3 published studies. Mild nausea and injection-site pruritus were commonly reported in exenatide once weekly but not associated with any clinical symptoms. No patient-reported AEs were related to viral signs, ECG, or blood results. There were no withdrawals from the study due to AEs.

Table 2: Comparison of cardiovascular parameters in exenatide once weekly (30-week original study and 2-year open-label extension) and twice daily

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Exenatide once a week (N = 148)</th>
<th>Exenatide twice a day (N = 147)</th>
<th>Exenatide once a week (N = 135)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (SE)</td>
<td>Change from baseline (SE)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (SE)</td>
<td>Change from baseline (SE)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (SE)</td>
<td>Change from baseline (SE)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.88 (0.10)</td>
<td>-15% (0.03)</td>
<td>-20 to -9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.49 (0.09)</td>
<td>-0.31 (0.06)</td>
<td>-0.42 to -0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.05 to -0.01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.37 (0.07)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.05)</td>
<td>-0.23 to -0.03 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>127.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>-4.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>-6.9 to -2.6 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>77.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>-1.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>-3.1 to -0.3 79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, HDL cholesterol; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol.
negative, low titer (1/25 to 1/125), and high titer (>1/625) antibodies in the exenatide once-weekly group compared with twice daily (Figure 2). Anti-exenatide antibodies were present in 60.0% (6/10) and 77.8% (7/9) of patients in the exenatide once-weekly 0.8 mg and exenatide once-weekly 2.0 mg groups, respectively, at any point during the Japanese study. But this did not have any clinical effect on hypoglycemia or HbA1c change (data not available).

Ongoing clinical trials

DURATION-2, a head-to-head comparative study of exenatide once weekly against sitagliptin or pioglitazone is a 26-week, double-blinded, phase 3, superiority study involving 491 patients whose diabetes was suboptimally controlled on metformin. Preliminary results were presented at the European Association for the Study of Diabetes annual meeting in 2008 and American Diabetes Association conference in 2008.
2009, yet to be published.\textsuperscript{71,72} HbA\textsubscript{1c}, reduction and weight loss was superior to the comparator arms; at 26 weeks the change in HbA\textsubscript{1c} was $-1.55\%$, $-0.92\%$ and $-1.23\%$ for exenatide once weekly, sitagliptin 100 mg daily and pioglitazone 45 mg daily, respectively. Weight change was $-2.7$ kg, $-0.9$ kg, and $+3.2$ kg respectively.\textsuperscript{71,72}

DURATION-3 was another phase 3, 26-week, open-label, superiority study involving 467 patients with T2DM who were suboptimally controlled on metformin and/or a sulfonylurea, comparing exenatide once weekly with insulin glargine. The results are still awaited, but a press release reports a greater reduction in HbA\textsubscript{1c}, weight loss, and fewer hypoglycemic episodes in the exenatide once-weekly group compared with insulin glargine.\textsuperscript{73}

DURATION-4 is currently in progress, comparing exenatide once weekly to metformin, sitagliptin, and pioglitazone; DURATION-5 is also ongoing, comparing exenatide once weekly to exenatide twice daily. Several other comparative trials are currently underway; details can be accessed from www.clinicaltrials.gov.\textsuperscript{74}

### Patient considerations

Diabetes imposes significantly on the patient’s and carer’s QOL, particularly considering the chronic and progressive nature of the disease, increasing treatment burden with time and multiple long-term complications and its attendant consequences, both financially and socially.\textsuperscript{7} Poor medication compliance is one of the major challenges for the success of treatment of conditions like diabetes and hypertension.\textsuperscript{75} Patient satisfaction and tolerability may have a decisive role in the use and choice of these new diabetes treatment options that will soon be available.\textsuperscript{76}

The DURATION-1 study examined treatment satisfaction using Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (DTSQ). The researchers found that patients with treated with exenatide once weekly reported a significant increase in treatment satisfaction from baseline compared with exenatide twice daily, despite having similar compliance in the 2 groups (injections received/injections planned (98\%)).\textsuperscript{67}

The treatment satisfaction and weight-related QOL in patients treated with exenatide once weekly was assessed in a randomized, multicenter, open-label study and involved 295 patients randomized to exenatide once weekly or exenatide twice daily for 30 weeks.\textsuperscript{76} At study-end, patients receiving exenatide twice daily were switched to exenatide once weekly for a further 22 weeks. DTSQ and Impact of Weight on Quality of Life – Lite (IWQOL-Lite) were assessed at baseline, 30 weeks, and 52 weeks. Both groups showed statistically significant improvements in DTSQ measures from baseline to week 30. At week 30, between-group differences from baseline in DTSQs total scores were not statistically significant ($5.17 \pm 0.54$ versus $3.97 \pm 0.53$; $P = 0.09$), but treatment satisfaction did improve more in the exenatide once-weekly arm for perceived hypoglycemia frequency (from $1.86 \pm 0.15$ to $1.42 \pm 0.15$; $P = 0.03$) and willingness to continue current treatment (from $1.43 \pm 0.13$ to $0.99 \pm 0.12$; $P = 0.01$).\textsuperscript{76}

In the group who switched from exenatide twice daily to exenatide once-weekly at 30 weeks, DTSQ total score improved significantly ($1.16 \pm 6.1$; $P = 0.037$), as did treatment convenience ($0.42 \pm 1.6$; $P = 0.003$), treatment flexibility ($0.39 \pm 1.7$; $P = 0.012$) and satisfaction with continuing treatment ($0.24 \pm 1.3$; $P = 0.048$) by week 52.

There were no statistically significant differences in weight-related QOL (IWQOL-Lite) between the 2 treatment arms at week 30. Treatment satisfaction and QOL improved significantly from weeks 30 to 52 in those who switched the regimen at week 30, reporting further significant improvement in physical function ($2.13 \pm 11.5$; $P = 0.04$) and public distress ($5.04 \pm 11.2$; $P < 0.001$) domains. Patients who continued on once-weekly treatment improved significantly from week 30 to week 52 for public distress ($6.96 \pm 13.2$; $P < 0.001$).\textsuperscript{76}

This study has several important highlights. Both exenatide twice daily and once weekly improved treatment satisfaction and weight-related QOL significantly. The fact that the effect was maintained over 52 weeks is encouraging and suggests that these effects may be durable and patients could benefit from the sustained clinical effects of the drug. There is some evidence that exenatide once-weekly therapy resulted in greater treatment satisfaction compared with exenatide twice daily, and patients who were on exenatide once weekly were more willing to continue treatment. This suggests that acceptance of exenatide once weekly may be more than for exenatide twice daily. This might be due to the ease of use and reduced frequency of injections.\textsuperscript{76} Furthermore, the efficacy of exenatide once weekly compared to exenatide twice daily and the reduction in the perceived frequency of hyperglycemia could also have contributed to the impact on treatment satisfaction.\textsuperscript{67}

In the DURATION-2 study (described above), weight-related QOL, psychological general wellbeing, diabetes treatment satisfaction, and general health status were assessed using the IWQOL-Lite, Psychological General Well-being (PGWB) index, DTSQ, and EQ-5D at baseline and week 26.\textsuperscript{77} The exenatide once-weekly group experienced significant improvement in physical function, self-esteem, sexual life, public distress, work, and IWQOL total score compared with pioglitazone; however, there were no significant differences
between exenatide once weekly and sitagliptin. Overall treatment satisfaction improved significantly in the exenatide once-weekly group versus sitagliptin. Both exenatide once-weekly and sitagliptin groups experienced significant improvements in overall health status.

It is relevant to note that the recognised AEs of exenatide, both twice daily and once weekly, nausea and injection-site reactions did not deter patients from continuing with treatment, nor did it affect their QOL. This suggests that these issues may not be significant obstacles to improving patient acceptance of this new modality of treatment.

**Conclusion**

Exenatide once weekly is a new agent for the treatment of patients with T2DM and is currently going through phase 3 trials. Exenatide once weekly produce significant reduction in weight and glycemic parameters when compared with placebo or exenatide twice daily. These improvements were achieved with low risk of hypoglycemia and were sustainable up to 2 years in extension trials. Furthermore, exenatide once-weekly treatment has been shown to be associated with improvements in patient satisfaction and QOL, which might have a positive impact on patient adherence and compliance with treatment, which needs further testing in real-world situations. The results of the other phase 3 trials are awaited with interest.
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