ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Productivity Loss and Associated Costs Among Patients with Transfusion-Dependent Thalassemia in Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Shaikha Alshamsi ^b Samer Hamidi² Hacer Ozgen Narci³

 ¹School of Health and Environmental Studies, Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University, Dubai, United Arab Emirates;
 ²School of Health and Environment Studies, Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University, Dubai, United Arab Emirates;
 ³Department of Health Management, Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey **Objective:** The aim of this study was to assess the indirect burden of patients with transfusion-dependent thalassemia (TDT) in Dubai, the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Methods: Adult patients with TDT were enrolled during blood transfusion sessions. Productivity loss for patients in the preceding four weeks was reported using the validated iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire (iPCQ).

Results: A total of 161 adult patients with TDT were included in the study. The annual total productivity loss cost at paid work among 79 employed patients was AED 17,230 (USD 4691) (95% CI: 12,302–22,159), out of which absenteeism contributed AED 10,328 (USD 2812) and presenteeism contributed AED 6902 (USD 1879), whereas the annual total productivity loss cost at unpaid work was AED 4053 (USD 1104) (95% CI: 3471–4661). The annual mean total indirect cost for paid and unpaid work was AED 21,283 (USD 5795). Monthly income, nationality, and having two and more disease complications were the significant predictors of higher productivity loss costs. Having previously had a splenectomy was a negative and significant predictor of productivity loss costs.

Conclusion: TDT was associated with substantial productivity loss and indirect costs in the UAE. Health policies that aim to control transfusion-dependent thalassemia effectively are necessary and could result in cost savings for patients and employers.

Keywords: productivity loss, friction cost approach, transfusion-dependent thalassemia, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Introduction

Thalassemia has been recognized as a significant global and frequently-occurring monogenic disorder.¹ Over 330,000 infants with genetic disorders are born annually, 56,000 of which are born with transfusion-dependent thalassemia (TDT) – 30,000 TDT sufferers need lifelong regular blood transfusions to live, while 5500 others die during the perinatal period as a result of α -thalassemia.² Given this, the treatment of affected patients presents a considerable global disease burden.³

The most frequently observed hemoglobinopathy in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) was the α -thalassemia trait, with the highest carrier frequency worldwide (49%).⁴ The second-most frequent observed hemoglobinopathy was the HbA₂ β -thalassemia trait, which presented at a frequency of 4.56%.⁵ The high prevalence of thalassemia carriers within the country remains a significant health problem, given that there will be a 25% risk of having a baby with TDT if both parents are

Correspondence: Shaikha Alshamsi

853

© 2021 Alshansi et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission form Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, is ese paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University, Academic City, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Email Shaikha_Alshamsi@hmbsu.ac.ae

carriers.⁶ Thus, the burden of TDT is expected to further grow, not only weighing heavily on the healthcare system, but on work productivity as well.

To our knowledge, existing information on productivity losses associated with TDT around the world is very limited. Few studies cover the indirect costs associated with the TDT. These studies have been reported for India, Italy, Iran, and Thailand. In Italy, a 2006 study estimated that the indirect cost caused by TDT was €37/ patient/week (USD 47/patient/week).⁷ In Thailand, the average annual indirect cost was estimated as USD 229.60, representing nearly 24.2% of the total cost associated with thalassemia major in Thai children in 2005.8 In Iran, a 2015 study found that the average annual indirect cost amounted to USD 573.5.9 In India, a 2008 study calculated the indirect cost as either days lost from work or school - the study revealed that the average workerdays or school days lost for patients were 29.87 ± 18.5 and 19.07 ± 12.8 for those associated with sufferers of TDT.¹⁰ A large multinational study has also found that adults with TDT lose a mean of 2.1 paid working days monthly, while schoolchildren are reported to miss 2.8 days of school monthly.¹¹

Productivity losses, and the associated costs among patients with TDT, are not known in Dubai, UAE. Thus, the objective of our analysis was to assess productivity loss caused by TDT, its costs via the friction cost method, and to identify the factors that potentially affect the costs associated with TDT patients.

Materials and Methods Study Design

A retrospective cross-sectional study design was used to assess productivity loss and associated costs, and to identify the factors affecting the disease-related costs for patients with TDT. The productivity loss costs were estimated from the employer and patients' perspective.

Patient Recruitment

Patients were recruited from the Dubai Thalassemia Center – the first specialized center in Dubai – and which covers the city of Dubai and the Northern Emirates. Patients were recruited during blood transfusion sessions between 4 December, 2019 and 6 February, 2020. All adult patients (aged more than 18 years) living in the UAE, and who have been diagnosed with β thalassemia major, β -thalassemia intermedia, β - thalassemia /Hb E, and α -thalassemia intermedia (Hb H disease), and who have received regular blood transfusion for at least one year, were eligible for inclusion in the study. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University and the Dubai Scientific Research Ethics Committee (of the Dubai Health Authority). After explaining the study's design and objectives, informed consent was obtained from participants, ensuring them of their right to withdraw at any time during the study.

Sample Size Calculation

A total of 424 adult patients were registered at the Dubai Thalassemia Center during the study period. The study's eligible target population included 247 patients (after exclusions and pilot study). The sample size was calculated based on the following formula:¹²

$$n = \frac{\left(\frac{P[1-P]}{\frac{A^2}{Z^2} + \frac{P[1-P]}{N}}\right)}{R}$$

By using this formula, and based upon a 5% margin of error and a 95% confidence interval for continuous data,¹³ a minimum of 153 patients with TDT were needed in order to conduct the study. Considering that 5% of the sample would be excluded from the analysis because of possible non-responses due to respondents' health status, surveys were distributed via a randomized method among 161 patients during their blood transfusion sessions.

Data Collection

Productivity loss costs were estimated using the friction cost method in terms of productivity loss due to absenteeism, presenteeism, and unpaid work as a result of TDT, using the iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire (iPCQ).¹⁴ This is a validated questionnaire, and which was completed by adult patients (>18 years). The questions referred to the respondents' preceding four weeks; to calculate the cost of productivity loss for one year, the observed patterns of measurement (four weeks) were extrapolated to one year.

The questionnaire consists of three modules:

- 1. Lost productivity at paid work due to absenteeism, which refers to the productivity losses of the respondent due to absence from work during the past four weeks.
- 2. Lost productivity at paid work due to presenteeism, which refers to the productivity losses of the

respondent who is not fully functioning in the workplace due to illness during the past four weeks.

 Lost productivity at unpaid work, which refers to the productivity losses of the respondent due to inability to do unpaid work during the past four weeks.

By using the iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire (iPCQ), the cost of productivity lost due to short-term absenteeism was calculated by multiplying the number of workdays missed during the past four weeks by the average number of hours worked per day and the cost price of productivity per hour (Equation 1). The annual cost of productivity lost due to short-term absenteeism was calculated by multiplying the result by 13 (52 weeks/year) (Equation 2).

The cost of short – term absenteeism/patient = numberof missed workdays during the last fourweeks \times number of the daily working hours \times hourly wage

(1)

(2)

(4)

The annual cost of productivity lost due to short term absenteeism/patient = the cost of short – term absenteeism \times 13

Presenteeism cost is calculated by multiplying the number of days worked while impaired by one minus the efficiency score, divided by 10, for the days via the standard cost price of productivity (Equation 3). The efficiency score ranged from 0 (unable to function) to 10 (do as much as would be able to do during a normal workday). The annual cost of productivity lost due to presenteeism was calculated by multiplying the result by 13 (Equation 4).

The cost of productivity lost due to presenteeism/ patient = number of workdays impaired $\times [1 - (efficiency \ score/10)] \times number \ of$ daily working hours
(3)

The annual cost of productivity lost due to presenteeism/ patient = the cost of productivity lost due to presenteeism \times 13

Unpaid productivity losses were determined by multiplying the number of days missed by the number of hours of help needed per day to make up for the work missed (Equation 5). The cost of unpaid productivity loss was calculated by multiplying the productivity loss by the standard hourly rate for household care (Equation 6). No official data were available regarding the standard hourly rate for household care, so average hourly wage data was used¹⁵ – the average hourly wage for housekeeping was AED 42.00 in 2019. The annual cost of unpaid productivity loss was calculated by multiplying the cost of unpaid productivity loss/patient by 13 (Equation 7).

Unpaid productivity lost/patient = number of missed days \times number of daily hours of help needed

(5)

The cost of unpaid productivity loss/patient = the unpaid productivity lost/patient \times the standard hourly rate for household care (AED 42)

(6)

The annual cost of unpaid productivity loss/patient
= the cost of unpaid productivity loss/ patient
$$\times$$
 13
(7)

As such, the total cost of productivity lost due to the disease per patient was calculated by adding the annual cost of productivity lost due to absenteeism per patient, the annual cost of productivity lost due to presenteeism/ patient, and the annual cost of unpaid productivity loss per patient.

The total annual cost of productivity lost/patient = (Eq.2) + (Eq. 4) + (Eq. 7)

Data Analysis

Descriptive analysis was done by using mean and standard deviation for continuous variables with normally distributed data, along with the median and interquartile range to determine skewed data. Categorical variables were reported with absolute and relative frequencies. To study the significance of the difference, a Mann–Whitney *U*-test and a Kruskal–Wallis *H*-test was used for the continuous variables and the chi-square, respectively, with a Fisher exact probability test used for the categorical variables. To estimate the predictors associated with indirect costs, a generalized linear model with log link functions and gamma distribution was used. All assumptions of regression – such as collinearity, independence of residuals, linearity, outliers, and homoscedasticity – were checked and adjusted in cases of violations. All inferential tests were two-sided and utilized a 95% significance level. A p-value threshold of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data was analyzed in Stata/IC 16 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas 77845 USA).

Results

Demographic, Socioeconomic and Clinical Characteristics of TDT Patients

A total of 161 patients were included in the study. As indicated in Table 1, most of the patients (80.1%) fell into the 19–35 age group. More than half of patients (62.7%) were non-UAE nationals, with 27.4% of patients falling into the lowest annual household income quartile. The major type of thalassemia disease was β -thalassemia major (91.3%); furthermore, 97.5% of the 161 patients had complications associated with the disease. Deferasirox was the most common iron chelation therapy used in TDT patients (69.6%), and more than half of adult patients (60.2%) had severe serum ferritin levels (\geq 2000 ng/mL).

Among the 161 adult patients, 82 (50.9%) were employed, and 49 (62.03%) of them belonged to the private sector, with the remainder working for government institutions. The mean salary per hour per employed patient was AED 68 ± 61 and the working hours per day per employed patient was reported as 7.99 ± 1.42 hours.

Absenteeism Costs Associated with TDT

The majority of employed patients (97.5%) missed work during the preceding four weeks. More than half of patients (73.4%) missed one working day during the preceding four weeks – the mean number of missed working days among those patients who reported short-term absenteeism from paid work was 1.53 ± 1.91 days. The mean cost of productivity loss due to short-term absenteeism per employed patient in the preceding four weeks was AED 795 (USD 215) (95% CI: 532–1057), and the annual mean absenteeism cost per employed patient was AED 10,328 (USD 2812) (95% CI: 6921–13,735) (Table 2).

Presenteeism Costs Associated with TDT

The mean number of days worked despite illness among the 79 employed patients who reported presenteeism at paid work in the preceding four weeks was 3.57 ± 2.63 . The mean number of hours of lost productivity due to presenteeism was 9.36 ± 10.26 , and the mean efficiency score of patients in the last four weeks was 6.84 ± 1.59 . The mean cost of presenteeism in the preceding four weeks was AED 531 (USD 143) (95% CI: 354–708), and the annual mean cost of presenteeism per employed patients was AED 6902 (USD 1879) (95% CI: 4601–9204) (Table 2).

Unpaid Work Associated with TDT

93.7% of the employed patients were unable to do unpaid work due to health problems. The mean number of days of help needed was 2.24 ± 1.05 , while the number of hours of help needed per day was 2.44 ± 1 . The mean cost of unpaid productivity loss per four weeks was AED 255 (USD 69) (95% CI: 213-296), and the annual mean cost of unpaid productivity loss per employed patient was AED 3311 (USD 894) (95% CI: 2770-3852). All unemployed adult patients (100%) were unable to do unpaid work due to health problems. The mean number of days of help needed was 3.65 ± 2.31 , and the number of hours of help needed per day to make up the work was 2.27 ± 1.48 . The mean cost of unpaid productivity loss per four weeks was AED 369 (USD 107) (95% CI: 289-448), and the annual mean cost of unpaid productivity loss per unemployed patient was AED 4794 (USD 1294) (95% CI: 3761-5828) (Table 2).

The total productivity loss costs at a paying job among the 79 employed patients was AED 17,230 (USD 4691) (95% CI: 12,302–22,159), whereby absenteeism contributed to AED 10,328 (USD 2812) and presenteeism contributed to AED 6902 (USD 1879), whereas the total productivity loss costs for unpaid work among the 161 patients was AED 4053 (USD 1104) (95% CI: 3471– 4661). The annual mean total of indirect costs for paid and unpaid work were AED 21,283 (USD 5795).

Relationship Between Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of TDT Patients and Indirect Costs

Bivariate analysis was used to study the association between productivity loss costs and TDT patients' demographic and clinical characteristics. The demographic characteristics variables were age, gender, nationality, educational level, monthly income, and marital status. The analysis revealed that all demographic characteristics of TDT, with the exceptions of age and gender, were significantly associated with the costs involved in productivity loss (Table 3).

Significant differences were found among the annual productivity loss costs distributed by patients' educational level (p < 0.001); the highest median value of productivity costs was observed in patients with a college-level

Table I Demographic, Socioeconomic, and Clinical Characteristics of TDT Patients

Age (years) 19-35 64 65 122 (80.1%) 19-35 15 17 32 (19.9%) Gender	Item	Employed (N=79)	Unemployed (N=82)	Total (N=161)
n-3 5 ' 64 65 129 (80.1%) 36-52 15 17 32 (19.7%) Gender	Age (years)			
36-52 15 17 32 (19 3%) Gender	19–35	64	65	129 (80.1%)
Cender Main 47 35 82 (50.9%) Female 32 47 77 (91.1%) Mationality 47 47 79 (91.1%) Wate 21 38 59 (36.6%) Non-UAE 53 48 101 (62.7%) Marital status 5 33 (22.9%) 57 Single 49 57 106 (65.8%) Divorced 1 1 2 (1.3%) Highest level of education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 35 53 88 (64.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 19 35 44 (27.4%) > 37.200-96.000 21 19 40 (4.8%) > 192.000 20 20 47 (4.7%) > 192.000 20 20 47 (4.7%) > 192.000 20 10 12 (12.8%) Presence of complications 3 <td>36–52</td> <td>15</td> <td>17</td> <td>32 (19.9%)</td>	36–52	15	17	32 (19.9%)
Gender Hale 47 55 52 (50 %) Female 32 47 77 (43 %) Nationality				
Phile 47 35 82 (90.7%) Fermale 32 47 79 (49.1%) Nationality 21 38 59 (36.5%) UAE 21 38 101 (62.7%) Married 21 38 101 (62.7%) Married 29 24 53 (10.9%) Divorced 1 1 2 (1.3%) Highest level of education 2 5 38 (64.7%) School-level 35 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 5 37.200 40 (24.8%) > 37.200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37.200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37.200 19 20 40 (24.8%) > 192.000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 192.000 20 20 40 (24.8%) u-chalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) j-thadassemia intermedia 2 </td <td>Gender</td> <td>47</td> <td>25</td> <td>00 (50 000)</td>	Gender	47	25	00 (50 000)
Prenaie 3.2 4.7 77 (49.1%) Nationality	Male	47	35	82 (50.9%)
Nationality UAE Image of the second se	remaie	32	4/	79 (49.1%)
UAE 21 38 59 (36.5%) Non-UAE 53 48 101 (62.7%) Marital status 29 24 53 (32.5%) Married 29 24 53 (32.5%) Divorced 1 2 (1.3%) 106 (65.8%) Highest level of education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 32 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile - - - 5 37,200 19 25 44 (27.4%) - 5 37,200 19 25 44 (27.4%) - - 5 37,200 19 18 37 (23.0%) - 92,000 - 40 (24.5%) 5 92,000 2,000 20 20 40 (24.5%) - - 9 (20.00 2,000 19 18 37 (23.0%) - - 9 (20.00 20 20 20 40 (24.5%) - - - - - - - -	Nationality			
Non-UAE 53 48 101 (62.7%) Marial status	UAE	21	38	59 (36.6%)
Marital status 49 57 106 (65.8%) Single 49 57 106 (65.8%) Married 29 24 53 (32.9%) Divorced 1 2 (1.3%) 5 Highest level of education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile - - \$ 37,200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37,200-66.00 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 74,000-192.000 19 18 37 (23.0%) > 19,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Hb Elychalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) B-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) B-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) I 3 4 (2.5%) 1 O 1 36 36 (42.5%) Presence of complications - - - No 1 3	Non-UAE	53	48	101 (62.7%)
Single Married 49 57 106 (65.8%) 35 (32.9%) Divorced 1 2 (1.3%) Highest level of education 2 5 7 (4.3%) No formal education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 35 53 88 (64.7%) Graduate-level 42 46 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 2 5 44 (27.4%) > 37.200-96.000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 56.000-192.000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 96.000-192.000 20 20 40 (24.8%) p-dhassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) p-chalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) Hb E/l-bulassemia intermedia 0 1 10.06%) Presence of complications 1 1 (0.6%) 1 No 1 3 4 (25%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 33 55 (34.2%) 30 (18.6%) ICT <	Marital status			
Married Dvorced 29 24 53 (32.9%) 2 (1.3%) Highest level of education No formal education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 35 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 5 53 44 (27.4%) > 37,200-96,000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Phetalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) β-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) U 1 1 (0.6%) 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications - - - No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 J 16 36 2 8 (8.0%) Presence of complications - - - No 1 3	Single	49	57	106 (65.8%)
Divorced I I 2 (13%) Highest level of education 2 5 7 (4.3%) No formal education 2 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 35 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile - - - ≤ 37,200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37,200-56,000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 54,000-192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 19,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) B-thalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) β-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) B-thalassemia intermedia 3 4 2 (4.4%) 0 1 10 (66%) 1 Presence of complications - - - No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 2 8 (80.7%) 2 33	Married	29	24	53 (32.9%)
Highest level of education No formal education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 35 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile \$ 37,200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37,200-96,000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 96,000-192,000 19 18 37 (23.0%) > 192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Type of Diseases β-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) β-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) Hb E/j-thalassemia intermedia 3 4 7 (4.4%) α-thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications No 1 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) Deferasirox 51 61	Divorced	I	I	2 (1.3%)
Progress tere of culculation 2 5 7 (4.3%) No formal education 2 5 7 (4.3%) School-level 35 53 38 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 5 37.200 66 (50.0%) s 37.200 19 25 44 (27.4%) > 37.200-96,000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 96,000-192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 96,000-192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 94,000-182,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 94,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 94,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 94,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) - - - - #-thalssemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) - - - - - No 1 3 4 (2.5%) - 1	Hisbart lavel of advertion			
Intermediation 1 3 1 (1.39) School-level 35 53 88 (54.7%) Graduate-level 42 24 66 (50.0%) Annual household income quartile 19 25 44 (27.4%) \$ 37,200 19 40 (24.8%) 37 (23.0%) > 96,000-192,000 19 18 37 (23.0%) > 912,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Presences	No formal education	2	5	7 (4 3%)
Distriction DS DS <thds< th=""> DS DS</thds<>	School-level	35	53	88 (54 7%)
Annual household income quartile Image: Constraint of the second s	Graduate-level	42	24	66 (50.0%)
Annual household income quartile If S \leq 37,200 -96,000 19 25 44 (27,4%) > 96,000-192,000 19 18 37 (23,0%) > 192,000 20 20 40 (24,8%) β-btalassemia major 74 73 147 (91,3%) β-thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3,7%) Hb E/β-thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0,6%) Presence of complications 1 1 (0,6%) 1 No 1 3 4 (27,4%) 2 22 24 4 6 (3,7%) 1 1 0 1 1 (0,6%) 1 1 (0,6%) Presence of complications 1 3 4 (25,%) 1 No 1 3 4 (25,%) 1 1 10.05%) ICT 15 15 30 (18,6%) 112 (69,6%) 112 (69,6%) 1 Deferprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) 1 17 (10,5%) 17 (10,5%) <				
≤ 37,200 19 25 44 (2,74%) > 37,200-96,000 21 19 40 (24.8%) > 96,000-192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) > 192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Type of Diseases	Annual household income quartile			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≤ 37,200	19	25	44 (27.4%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	> 37,200–96,000	21	19	40 (24.8%)
> 192,000 20 20 40 (24.8%) Type of Diseases $β$ -thalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) $β$ -thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) $β$ -thalassemia intermedia 2 4 7 (4.4%) a -thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications I 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 3 6 36 72 (44.7%) 2 33 5 (34.2%) 3 (36.6%) 30 (18.6%) ICT Defersionx 51 61 112 (69.6%) Defersionx 51 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Working hours	> 96,000–192,000	19	18	37 (23.0%)
Type of Diseases -74 73 -147 (91.3%) β -thalassemia major 74 73 -147 (91.3%) β -thalassemia intermedia 2 4 $-6(3.7\%)$ Hb E/β -thalassemia 3 4 $7(4.4\%)$ α -thalassemia intermedia 0 1 10.6% Presence of complications No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) Defersairox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferosamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥ 2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥ 2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥ 2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) NA 97 (60.2%)	> 192,000	20	20	40 (24.8%)
β -thalassemia major 74 73 147 (91.3%) β -thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) Hb E/ β -thalassemia 3 4 7 (4.4%) α -thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications 1 1 (0.6%) No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT 61 112 (69.6%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) NA 7 (60.2%) Working hours	Type of Diseases			
β -thalassemia intermedia 2 4 6 (3.7%) Hb E/β-thalassemia 3 4 7 (4.4%) α -thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications 1 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT Deferasinox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) 2 Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) 22000 ng/mL <2000 ng/mL	β -thalassemia major	74	73	147 (91.3%)
Hb E/β-thalassemia 3 4 7 (4.4%) α-thalassemia intermedia 0 1 1 (0.6%) Presence of complications 1 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 3 4 (2.5%) 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 33 55 (34.2%) 3 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT 2 33 55 (34.2%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) <2000 ng/mL	β -thalassemia intermedia	2	4	6 (3.7%)
a-thalassemia intermedia 0 I I (0.6%) Presence of complications I 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 3 3 (2.5%) I 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 Government 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 Salary & working hours </td <td>Hb E/β-thalassemia</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>7 (4.4%)</td>	Hb E/β-thalassemia	3	4	7 (4.4%)
Presence of complications I 3 4 (2.5%) No 1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces Government 30 (38.0%) N.A Private 49 (62.0%) N.A 1 Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A 1	α -thalassemia intermedia	0	I	I (0.6%)
No I 3 4 (2.5%) I 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferowamine 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) >2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 54 97 (60.2%) 97 (60.2%) Government 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 Private 49 (62.0%) N.A 97 Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A 97 Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42	Presence of complications			
1 36 36 72 (44.7%) 2 22 33 55 (34.2%) 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT 15 15 30 (18.6%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferome 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Salary & working hours 30 (38.0%) N.A 14 Salary per hours (AED) 68 ± 61 N.A 14 Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A 14	No	I	3	4 (2.5%)
2 33 $55 (34.2\%)$ 3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6\%) ICT 61 112 (69.6\%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6\%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0\%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9\%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5\%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A 10 Salary per hours (AED) 68 ± 61 N.A 10 Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A 10	1	36	36	72 (44.7%)
3 and more 15 15 30 (18.6%) ICT Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 Salary & working hours 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 Salary per hours (AED) 68 ± 61 N.A 97 Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A 97	2	22	33	55 (34.2%)
ICT 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferasirox 51 61 112 (69.6%) Deferiprone 6 2 8 (8.0%) Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 36 97 (60.2%) 97 (60.2%) Workplaces N.A N.A Salary & working hours 88 ± 61 N.A Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A Vorking hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A	3 and more	15	15	30 (18.6%)
Left Image: Constraint of the state	ICT			
Determine10.11112 (0.000)Deferiprone628 (8.0%)Deferoxamine15924 (14.9%)Combined therapy71017 (10.5%)Ferritin level (ng/mL)362864 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 362864 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 435497 (60.2%)Workplaces00 (38.0%)N.AGovernment30 (38.0%)N.APrivate49 (62.0%)N.ASalary & working hours68 ± 61N.AWorking hours per day (hour)7.99 ± 1.42N.A	Defenasiroy	51	61	112 (69.6%)
Deferoxamine 15 9 24 (14.9%) Combined therapy 7 10 17 (10.5%) Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) <2000 ng/mL	Deferiprope	6	2	8 (8 0%)
Dote StatisticInterventionInterventionCombined therapy71017 (10.5%)Ferritin level (ng/mL)362864 (39.8%) $\leq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 362864 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 435497 (60.2%)Workplaces30 (38.0%)N.AGovernment30 (38.0%)N.APrivate49 (62.0%)N.ASalary & working hours68 ± 61N.AWorking hours per day (hour)7.99 ± 1.42N.A	Deferoxamine	15	- 9	24 (14 9%)
Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 36 28 64 (39.8%) $\geq 2000 \text{ ng/mL}$ 43 54 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A N.A Private 49 (62.0%) N.A 1 Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A N.A Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A 1	Combined therapy	7	10	17 (10.5%)
Ferritin level (ng/mL) 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 36 28 64 (39.8%) ≥2000 ng/mL 43 54 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A N.A Private 49 (62.0%) N.A				()
<2000 ng/mL	Ferritin level (ng/mL)			
22000 ng/mL 43 54 97 (60.2%) Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Government 30 (38.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Private 49 (62.0%) N.A 97 (60.2%) Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A 97 (60.2%) Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A 97 (60.2%)	<2000 ng/mL	36	28	64 (39.8%)
Workplaces 30 (38.0%) N.A Government 30 (38.0%) N.A Private 49 (62.0%) N.A Salary & working hours Ka Ka Salary per hours (AED) 68 ± 61 N.A Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A	<2000 ng/mL	43	54	97 (60.2%)
Government 30 (38.0%) N.A Private 49 (62.0%) N.A Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A Vorking hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A	Workplaces			
Private 49 (62.0%) N.A Salary & working hours 68 ± 61 N.A Salary per hours (AED) 68 ± 61 N.A Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A	Government	30 (38.0%)	N.A	
Salary & working hours68 ± 61N.ASalary per hours (AED)68 ± 61N.AWorking hours per day (hour)7.99 ± 1.42N.A	Private	49 (62.0%)	N.A	
Salary per hours (AED)68 ± 61N.AWorking hours per day (hour)7.99 ± 1.42N.A	Salary & working hours			
Working hours per day (hour) 7.99 ± 1.42 N.A	Salary per hours (AED)	68 ± 61	N.A	
	Working hours per day (hour)	7.99 ± 1.42	N.A	

Abbreviation: NA, Not Applicable.

Table 2 Productivity Loss in TDT Patients Stratified According to Patients' Employment Stat	tus
---	-----

ltem	Employed (N=79)	Unemployed (N=82)	
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Absenteeism			
Missed working days/4 weeks (days)	1.53 ± 1.90	NA	
Mean cost of absenteeism/4 weeks (AED)	794 ± 1170 (95% CI: 532–1057)	NA	
Mean cost of absenteeism/year (AED)	10,328 (95% Cl: 6921–13,735)	NA	
Presenteeism			
Presenteeism days lost/4 weeks (days)	3.57 ± 2.63	NA	
Presenteeism hour lost/4 weeks (hour)	9.36 ± 10.26	NA	
Mean cost of presenteeism/4 weeks (AED)	531 ± 790 (95% CI: 354–708)	NA	
Mean cost of presenteeism/year (AED)	6902 (95% Cl: 4601–9204)	NA	
Unpaid Work			
Missed days/4 weeks (days)	2.24 ± 1.05	3.65 ± 2.31	
Hours of help needed/day (hours)	2.44 ± 1.24	2.27 ± 1.48	
Mean cost of unpaid work/4 weeks (AED)	255 ± 186 (95% CI: 213–296)	369 ± 362 (95% CI: 289-448)	
Mean cost of unpaid work/year (AED)	3311 (95% Cl: 2770–3852)	4794 (95% Cl: 3761–5828)	
Total unpaid work costs	AED 4053		
Total paid work costs	AED 17,230		
Total productivity loss costs	AED 21,283		

education (AED 9116), followed by patients who only have secondary or primary schooling (AED 5682); the least median productivity loss costs were associated with illiterate patients (AED 3276). UAE patients had a significantly higher median of annual productivity loss costs compared to non-UAE patients (AED 15,288 and AED 5684, respectively -p = 0.001). Productivity loss costs were significantly associated with annual income quartiles (p = 0.001). The highest productivity loss costs were associated with the highest annual income quartile group (> AED 192,000) AED 15,498. Married patients were significantly associated with higher productivity loss costs (AED 10,920) compared to single and divorced patients (AED 5460 and AED 8628, respectively -p <0.001). Moreover, employed patients in the government sector were associated with higher productivity loss costs than employed patients in the private sector (AED 24,314 and AED 9000, respectively -p < 0.001). No statisticallyrelevant differences were found between the productivity loss costs and the clinical characteristics of the respondents (such as disease types, iron chelation, history of splenectomy, serum ferritin level, or presence of complications - Table 3).

A significant and positive correlation exists between productivity loss costs and monthly income (ρ value = 0.717, p < 0.001), and a positive, but weak correlation exists between patients' age and the productivity loss costs (ρ value = 0.194, p = 0.014) (Table 4).

Identifying Predictors of Indirect Costs for TDT Patients

A generalized linear model with log link and gamma distribution was used to determine the outcome variables' predictors. The productivity loss cost was the dependent (continuous) variable in the regression model. The following independent variables were used in the model depending on the stepwise selection method: splenectomy, marital status, monthly income, working status, and age.

The following regression equation was constructed for the productivity loss costs according to the linear model with log link function and gamma distribution:

Log (productivity loss costs) = 4.36-0.63 (x₁) + 0.40 (x₂) + 0.49 log (x₃) + 0.05 (x₄)

Where x_1 is the splenectomy; x_2 is the marital status; x_3 is the log of monthly income; and x_4 is the patient's age.

The generalized linear model identified four statistically significant predictors for productivity loss costs. There were three significant positive predictors: marital status, monthly income, and the patient's age, whereas the splenectomy was the significant negative predictor (Table 5). According to the

Item	The Annual Productivity Loss Costs per Patient (Median)	P-value
Age 19-35 (N=129) 36-52 (N=32)	6522 7644	0.261*
Gender Male (N=82) Female (N=79)	5526 7644	0.127*
Nationality UAE (N=59) Non-UAE (N=102)	l 5,288 5684	0.001*
Educational level No formal education (N=7) School-level (N=88) College-level (N=66)	3276 5682 9116	0.006**
Annual income quartile (AED) ≤37,200 (N=44) >37,200–96,000 (N=40) >96,000–192,000 (N=37) >192,000 (N=40)	4182 6714 10,892 15,498	0.001**
Marital status Single (N=106) Married (N=53) Divorced (N=2)	5460 10,920 8628	<0.001**
Working place Government (N=30) Private (N=49)	24,314 9000	<0.001*
Disease types β-thalassemia major (N=147) β-thalassemia intermedia (N= 6) HbE/β-thalassemia (N=7) α-thalassemia intermedia (N=1)	6552 7371 4914 21,840	0.585**
ICT Deferasirox (N=112) Deferiprone (N=8) Deferoxamine (N=24) Combined therapy (N=17)	6552 11,733 8062 5468	0.193**
History of splenectomy No (N=141) Yes (N=20)	6564 5834	0.474*
Ferritin level <2000 ng/mL (N=64) ≥2000 ng/mL (N=97)	7260 6192	0.119*

Table 3 Distribution of Productivity Loss Costs Among Patients'Demographic and Clinical Characteristics (N=161)

(Continued)

Table 3	(Continued))
---------	-------------	---

ltem	The Annual Productivity Loss Costs per Patient (Median)	<i>P</i> -value
Presence of complications Yes (N=157) No (N= 4)	6552 4987	0.712*

Notes: *Mann Whitney U-test. **Kruskal Wallis test.

Table 4 The Relationship Between Indirect Costs and Patients'Age and Monthly Income

ltem	Spearman's Coefficient (ρ)	P-value
Indirect costs and patients' age	0.194	0.014
Indirect costs and monthly income	0.717	<0.001

regression equation, splenectomized patients were expected to decrease the rate of productivity loss cost by 63% compared to non-splenectomized patients. Married patients were associated with an expected increase in the productivity loss costs by 40% compared to single patients. A 1% increase in patients' monthly income would yield a 0.49% increase in productivity loss costs. Moreover, with every increase of one year in the patient's age, there is an increase of 5% in the productivity loss costs, while holding all other variables in the model constant (Table 5).

Discussion

This study estimated the productivity loss cost by using the friction cost method in patients with TDT. To our best of knowledge, no study has been conducted to estimate the productivity loss costs via the use of the friction method. In this study, most of the employed patients (97.5%) missed work during the preceding four weeks, and the mean number of missed workdays during the same period of time was 1.53 ± 1.91 days. This result is similar to other studies reporting that the annual average workdays lost for patients are 2.49 days per month,¹⁰ with a mean of 2.1 workdays in a month.¹¹ According to our study, all employed patients had to miss one to two days of work every month for blood transfusion sessions. The study showed that productivity loss due to presenteeism was greater than productivity loss due to absenteeism. The

Parameter	Coef.	Robust Std. Err	P > z	95% CI
Splenectomy Yes (ref. No)	-0.63	0.18	0.001	-0.99 - (-0.27)
Marital status Married (ref. single)	0.40	0.13	0.002	0.14–0.66
Monthly income (AED)	0.49	0.07	<0.001	0.35–0.63
Age (Years)	0.05	0.02	0.001	I.88–4.78

Table 5 Generalized Linear Model (GLM) Analysis (Gamma with Log Link Model) of the Productivity Loss Costs

mean number of days worked despite illness was 3.57 ± 2.63 , whereas the missed working days due to disease was 1.53 ± 1.90 . This finding could be explained by the weakness and fatigue that patients face due to low hemoglobin before their next blood transfusion session.

The productivity loss costs due to unpaid work per employed patients were lower than the productivity loss costs due to unpaid work per unemployed patients. This could be explained by the fact that most employed patients were in good physical health compared to unemployed patients, which affects their ability to do unpaid work. Moreover, our results showed that unpaid work losses of productivity contribute 19% of the total indirect costs. These are usually unaccounted for in estimations of disease costs and may cause policymakers to underestimate the problem.

The annual mean productivity loss costs for TDT patients was AED 21,283 (USD 5794, EUR 4768). This finding is inconsistent with other studies.^{7–9} Estimated indirect costs in Italy were EUR 37 per patient per week (EUR 1924 per year).⁷ In Thailand, the average annual productivity loss costs were USD 229.6.⁸ In Iran, the productivity loss costs were USD 573.5 per patient per year.⁹ These variations are attributable to the different methods used in estimating productivity loss costs and the different inclusion criteria of each study.

Bivariate analyses revealed that TDT patients' demographic characteristics included their nationality, educational level, monthly income, marital status, and working places – all of which are associated with costs of productivity loss as a result of TDT. UAE patients were associated with a degree higher productivity loss costs compared to expatriate patients. This could be explained by the higher socioeconomic level of UAE patients compared to expatriate patients. Patients with a college-level education had significantly higher productivity loss costs compared to illiterate patients or patients with school-level education. This is because of the higher earnings of those with high education level when compared to illiterate patients. Patients working in the government sector had significantly higher productivity loss costs compared to patients working in the private sector. Usually, the government sector in the UAE provides higher salaries than the private sector, which increases productivity loss due to TDT. Married patients had significantly higher productivity loss costs compared to single or divorced patients. This finding could be explained by the higher earnings of married compared to single patients. Furthermore, the socioeconomic characteristics of patients could explain these findings. UAE patients had a higher socioeconomic level than expatriate patients, and about 84.4% of them work in the government sector (which is distinguished by higher salaries than the private sector), and 44% of which also had higher educational level compared to 39% of expatriate patients - therefore, a higher degree of educational attainment is usually linked with higher income. All these factors were associated with higher productivity loss costs.16

In addition, correlation analyses revealed a significant positive correlation between productivity loss costs and monthly income. This could be explained by the fact that patients with a higher monthly income had a higher productivity loss cost. According to other studies on chronic disease,^{17–19} older age is a predictor of productivity loss, which is in agreement with our results, which show a weak positive correlation between productivity loss costs and patients' age. This could be explained by the fact that older people tend to have less workability, and that their performance capacity is decreased compared to young people.

Regression analysis revealed that the predictors of the productivity loss cost in this study included having had a splenectomy, marital status, monthly income, and patients' age. The splenectomy variable had a significant negative impact on productivity loss costs by (63.2%). This could be explained by the fact that splenectomized patients received fewer blood units than non-splenectomized patients,^{20,21} which means less weakness and fatigue which non-splenectomized patients face due to blood transfusion session and, therefore, less productivity loss costs compared to non-splenectomized patients. Married patients and those with higher monthly income were expected to have higher productivity loss costs compared to single patients. As mentioned earlier in this section, patients with higher incomes had higher losses in productivity costs, and this is referred to via the calculation of the productivity loss costs using the friction cost method (based on the patients' income per hour).²²

In agreement with previous studies focused on chronic diseases,^{17–19} older patients had more disease complications affecting their ability to work. Furthermore, aging plays a positive effect on working productivity, as the performance capacity decrease with age.

According to recommendations of the guidelines for the management of TDT, clinic and transfusion times should be flexible and take into consideration the patient's commitments, such as their education and work.²³ So, a collaboration between health policymakers and the Ministry of Human Resources and Emiratisation (MOHRE) could lead to creative solutions that address the low performance of TDT employed patients and the difficulty in finding suitable jobs for the unemployed TDT patients. Moreover, to avoid the absenteeism and presenteeism in TDT patients, Dubai thalassemia Center should reorganize its schedules for the blood transfusion on weekends or in the evening to overcome the absenteeism and presenteeism problems and therefore minimize the productivity lost in TDT patients.

Study Limitation

This study has several limitations that are mainly related to research design and lack of prior research. First, the literature search identified very few published studies assessing indirect costs associated with TDT patients. Hence, comparisons could not be accurate due to different tools used in estimation or via the lack of relevant literature.^{7,9} Second, there is no consensus on how to differentiate the severity of thalassemia; thus, different criteria to evaluate thalassemia's severity in different studies were found,^{24,25} which could, in turn, affect the quality of comparison between studies. Third, concerning estimating productivity loss, this study did not assess the productivity loss incurred by parents or caregivers, as most patients came to the thalassemia center with their parents or caregivers. Finally, there was a concern for selection bias as it only included thalassemia patients from a single center. However, since the Dubai Thalassemia Center has the largest number of thalassemia patients in Dubai, and covers about half of the thalassemia patients in the UAE,²⁶ we believe that the sample is representative of the thalassemia population in Dubai.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study estimates that the productivity loss costs for the patients with TDT could be substantial. Results of this study could be used to inform policies aiming to control transfusion-dependent thalassemia effectively by introducing interventions which could result in cost savings for employers and patients.

Data Sharing Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are extracted from patients' records. Due to restrictions around revealing patients' confidential information, data were used under license for the current study and are, thus, neither publicly available nor can be shared further.

Ethical Approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki Ethical principles and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University and the Dubai Scientific Research Ethics Committee (of the Dubai Health Authority).

Consent to Participate

Informed consent was obtained from the participants, or guardians of children aged <18, and ensured the participants that they had the right to withdraw at any time during the study. All documents and data were treated as confidential, and privacy was ensured during data collection.

Acknowledgments

The authors deeply acknowledge the Dubai Thalassemia Center for their assistance in this study. Special thanks to Dr. Tim A Kanters (Institute for Medical Technology Assessment, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands) for his assistance in the productivity cost estimation.

Funding

No funding was received to conduct this study.

Disclosure

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests for this work to disclose.

References

- Weatherall D, Clegg J. Thalassemia-a global health problem. Nat Med. 1996;2(8):847–849. doi:10.1038/nm0896-847
- Modell B, Darlison M. Global epidemiology of haemoglobin disorders and derived service indicators. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2008;86:480–487. doi:10.2471/BLT.06.036673
- De Sanctis V, Kattamis C, Canatan D, et al. β-thalassemia distribution in the old world: an ancient disease seen from a historical standpoint. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis.* 2017;9(1):e2017018. doi:10.4084/ mjhid.2017.018
- El-Kalla S, Baysal E. α-thalassemia in the United Arab Emirates. Acta Haematol. 1998;100(1):49–53. doi:10.3109/03630269.2011.634698
- Belhoul KM, Abdulrahman M, Alraei RF. Hemoglobinopathy carrier prevalence in the United Arab Emirates: first analysis of the Dubai Health Authority premarital screening program results. *Hemoglobin*. 2013;37(4):359–368. doi:10.3109/03630269.2013.791627
- Kim S, Tridane A, Grosso M. Thalassemia in the United Arab Emirates: why it can be prevented but not eradicated. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(1):e0170485. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170485
- Mantovani LG, Scalone L, Ravera S, Krol M, Uyl-de Groot C, Cappellini MD. Direct and Indirect Cost of Beta Thalassemia Major: resuts from the ITHACA Study. *Blood*. 2006;108(11):3343. doi:10.1182/blood.V108.11.3343.3343
- Riewpaiboon A, Nuchprayoon I, Torcharus K, Indaratna K, Thavorncharoensap M, Ubol B-O. Economic burden of beta-thalassemia/Hb E and beta-thalassemia major in Thai children. *BMC Res Notes*. 2010;3(1):29. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-3-29
- Esmaeilzadeh F, Azarkeivan A, Emamgholipour S, et al. Economic burden of thalassemia major in Iran, 2015. *J Res Health Sci.* 2016;16 (3):111–115.
- Mallik S, Chatterjee C, Mandal PK, Sardar JC, Ghosh P, Manna N. Expenditure to treat thalassaemia: an experience at a tertiary care hospital in India. *Iran J Public Health*. 2010;39(1):78.
- Caro JJ, Ward A, Green TC, et al. Impact of thalassemia major on patients and their families. *Acta Haematol.* 2002;107(3):150–157. doi:10.1159/000057633
- Watson J How to determine a sample size: tipsheet# 60. University Park, PA: Penn State Cooperative Extension; 2001. Available from: https://ucanr.edu/sites/CEprogramevaluation/files/143304.pdf. Accessed September 18, 2021.

- Krejcie RV, Morgan DW. Determining sample size for research activities. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 1970;30(3):607–610. doi:10.1177/ 001316447003000308
- Bouwmans C, Krol M, Severens H, Koopmanschap M, Brouwer W, Roijen LH-V. The iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire: a standardized instrument for measuring and valuing health-related productivity losses. *Value Health*. 2015;18(6):753–758. doi:10.1016/ j.jval.2015.05.009
- 15. Salary Explorer. Cleaning and housekeeping average salaries in Dubai 2020; 2020. Available from: http://www.salaryexplorer.com/ salary-survey.php?loc=2426&loctype=3&job=16&jobtype=1#:~: text=The%20average%20hourly%20wage%20(pay,in%20Dubai% 20is%2042%20AED. Accessed July 22, 2020.
- De Azevedo AB, Ferraz MB, Ciconelli RM. Indirect costs of rheumatoid arthritis in Brazil. *Value Health*. 2008;11(5):869–877. doi:10. 1111/j.1524-4733.2008.00332.x
- Puolakka K, Kautiainen H, Möttönen T, et al. Predictors of productivity loss in early rheumatoid arthritis: a 5 year follow up study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005;64(1):130–133. doi:10.1136/ard.2003.019034
- Chan G, Tan V, Koh D. Ageing and fitness to work. Occup Med. 2000;50(7):483–491. doi:10.1093/occmed/50.7.483
- Kuiper S, van Gestel AM, Swinkels HL, de Boo TM, Da Silva J, Van Riel P. Influence of sex, age, and menopausal state on the course of early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2001;28(8): 1809–1816.
- Saleh H, Alkhateep Y, Mohammed A. Role of splenectomy in thalassemic patients. *Menoufia Med J.* 2018;31(1):118–125. doi:10.41 03/1110-2098.234219
- Easow Mathew M, Sharma A, Aravindakshan R. Splenectomy for people with thalassaemia major or intermedia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;6. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010517. pub2
- 22. Pike J, Grosse SD. Friction cost estimates of productivity costs in cost-of-illness studies in comparison with human capital estimates: a Review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2018;16(6):765–778. doi:10.1007/s40258-018-0416-4
- Cappellini M-D, Cohen A, Eleftheriou A, Piga A, Porter J, Taher A Guidelines for the clinical management of thalassaemia; 2013.
- 24. Sripichai O, Makarasara W, Munkongdee T, et al. A scoring system for the classification of beta-thalassemia/Hb E disease severity. *Am J Hematol.* 2008;83(6):482–484. doi:10.1002/ajh.21130
- 25. Phadke SR, Agarwal S. Phenotype score to grade the severity of thalassemia intermedia. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2003;70(6):477–481. doi:10.1007/bf02723137
- 26. Nuwais SA UAE records new blood disorder cases after hopes of eradicating condition. *The National*. Health; September 16, 2019.

ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research

Publish your work in this journal

ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal focusing on Health Technology Assessment, Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research in the areas of diagnosis, medical devices, and clinical, surgical and pharmacological intervention. The economic impact of health policy and health systems **Dove**press

organization also constitute important areas of coverage. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinicoeconomics-and-outcomes-research-journal