Bi-aryl Analogues of Salicylic Acids: Design, Synthesis and SAR Study to Ameliorate Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress

Ye Eun Kim^{1,*}Dong Hwan Kim^{1,*}Ami Choi^{1,*}Seoul Jang¹Kwiwan Jeong²Young-mi Kim¹Tae-gyu Nam¹

¹Department of Pharmacy and Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Hanyang University, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do, 15588, Republic of Korea; ²Gyeonggi Bio-Center, Gyeonggi-do Business & Science Accelerator, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, 16229, Republic of Korea

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Introduction: Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress condition is characterized as the accumulation of misfolded or unfolded proteins in lumen of ER. This condition has been implicated in various diseases and pathologies including β -cell apoptosis, Alzheimer's disease and atherosclerosis. We have reported that hydroxynaphthoic acids (HNA), naphthalene analogues of salicylic acid (SA), reduced ER stress. In this study, we explored structural modification to bi-aryl analogues of SA.

Methods: Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling was applied to synthesize bi-aryl analogues of SA. Anti-ER stress activity was monitored by using our cell-based assay system where ER stress is induced by tunicamycin. To monitor ER stress markers, ER stress was induced physiologically relevant palmitate system.

Results: Many analogues decreased ER stress signal induced by tunicamycin. Compounds creating dihedral angle between Ar group and SA moiety generally increased the activity but gave some cytotoxicity to indicate the crucial role of flat conformation of aromatic region. The best compound (16e) showed up to almost 6-fold and 90-fold better activity than 3-HNA and tauro-ursodeoxycholic acid, positive controls, respectively. ER stress markers such as p-PERK and p-JNK were accordingly decreased in Western blotting upon treatment of 16e under palmitate-induced condition.

Conclusion: Anti-ER stress activity and toxicity profile of bi-aryl analogues of SA could provide a novel platform for potential therapy for protein misfolding diseases.

Keywords: endoplasmic reticulum stress, aryl-substituted salicylate, protein misfolding, biaryl group

Introduction

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) plays a pivotal role in protein synthesis by accommodating proper folding to afford functionality of de novo synthesized proteins. ER can be placed under a stress condition when unfolded or misfolded peptides are accumulated inside (ER stress). Under this condition, a series of cellular events, known as unfolded protein responses (UPRs) or ER stress responses, are activated in order to manage the cellular damages.¹ They involve cellular defense mechanisms such as translational attenuation, transcriptional activation of chaperones and degradation factors, ER-associated degradation (ERAD), and apoptosis. ER stress is controlled through well-documented sensor molecules residing in ER: protein kinase RNA-activated (PKR)-like ER kinase (PERK), activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), and inositol-requiring enzyme 1 α (IRE1 α). They relay on complex

Correspondence: Young-mi Kim;
Tae-gyu Nam
Tel +82-31-400-5815; +82-31-400-5807
Fax +82-31-400-5958
Email ymikum12@hanyang.ac.kr;
tnam@hanyang.ac.kr

Received: 11 May 2021
Accepted: 5 August 2021
Published: 17 August 2021

Graphical Abstract

downstream signal cascade to decide either survival or apoptotic pathway.² ER stress has been implicated in various diseases and pathologies such as Alzheimer's disease, diabetic retinopathy, atherosclerosis, type 2 diabetes, β -cell apoptosis, and lung inflammation.³ It was also demonstrated to be strongly linked with autophagy and related pathologies.⁴

While chaperone molecules to ameliorate misfolded peptides/proteins are mostly peptides and proteins, there are chemical compounds that function as chaperone by reducing ER stress, known as chemical chaperones. Several chemical chaperones have been investigated as potential treatments for the ER stress-related pathologies. Most prominent examples include 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA),⁵ salubrinal,⁶ and tauro-ursodeoxycholic acid (t-UDCA).⁷ 4-PBA is a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor and exerted therapeutic values against several ER stress-related conditions.^{7,8} Salubrinal was found to protect cells from ER stress by hampering eIF2 α from dephosphorylation which is one of the hallmark events of UPR.⁶ t-UDCA showed anti-ER stress activity in various contexts including type2 diabetes, obesity and cell death.^{7,9,10}

From a more specific point of view, pharmacological chaperones could be separated from chemical chaperones although both are typically small molecules. In this classification, pharmacological chaperones target a specific protein in UPR signaling pathway or that is responsible for misfolded protein storage to inhibit ER stress while chemical chaperones exert the activity in a rather non-specific way and consist of osmolytes and hydrophobic molecules. Representative pharmacological chaperones include

iminosugars to bind to β -glucocerebrosidase as potential treatment for Gaucher disease^{11,12} and STF-083010 to treat atherosclerosis as an IRE1 inhibitor.¹³

In search of novel chemical chaperones, we have reported that hydroxynaphthoic acids (HNAs) showed about an order of magnitude lower EC₅₀ than known chemical chaperones such as salicylic acid¹⁴ and t-UDCA.¹⁵ HNAs were identified through a cell-based assay we have developed and decreased ER stress markers including GRP78 and phosphorylated PERK.^{14,16} Especially, 3-Hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid (3-HNA) showed excellent anti-diabetic effects including recovery of insulin sensitivity and glucose-lowering activity in ob/ob mouse when administered orally through ER stress-reducing activity.¹⁶

Structurally, 3-HNA has an extended phenyl group of salicylic acid. It is indicated that the addition of aromatic moiety to salicylic acid toward 3-HNA exerted beneficial effects on the activity. Therefore, our hypothesis was that the increased activity of 3-HNA is due to the naphthalene ring and modification of the aromatic ring of salicylic acid could further vary the activity of this scaffold (Figure 1).

To investigate our hypothesis, we explored an alternative approach to install extra aromaticity to salicylic acid. We have designed biphenyl analogues of salicylic acid instead of extending flatness from phenyl group in salicylic acid to naphthalene group in HNAs. In this study, the second Ar groups were tethered at the 4- or 5-position of salicylic acid to form biaryl moiety. It was expected that the biaryl system result in the expansion of π -electron in a sterically distinct manner from naphthalene system in

Figure 1 Outline of the present study.

HNAs and generate a some level of dihedral interaction between the two aromatic rings. Indeed, aryl substituted salicylates shown in this study showed better activity than the parent HNA shedding a new light on the ER stress-reducing scaffold.

Materials and Methods

Chemistry

Unless noted otherwise, materials were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Air- or moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under an inert gas atmosphere. Progress of reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel F₂₅₄ plates. Purification of the products was performed by flash column chromatography using silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh) or by Biotage “Isolera One” system with indicated solvents. Melting points were determined using a Kruss melting pointer meter and were not corrected. NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker spectrometer 400 MHz and for ¹H-NMR, 100 MHz for ¹³C-NMR, respectively. Chemical shifts (δ) were expressed in ppm using solvent as an internal standard and coupling constant (J) in hertz. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained using a Waters Micromass ZQ instrument and High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using an Agilent Technologies Accurate Mass QTOF LC/MS spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded in positive ion mode with an electrospray (ESI) source.

Ethyl 3-Hydroxy-2-Naphthoate (6)

H₂SO₄ (0.16 mL) was added to a solution of 3-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid (3-HNA, 565 mg, 3 mmol) in EtOH (30 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 1 day. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with EA, washed with NaHCO₃, water, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (5% EA in Hexanes) to give 6 as a yellow solid. (613 mg, 95%) ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.35 (s, 1H),

8.50 (s, 1H), 7.99 (dd, J = 8.2, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.3, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.33 (m, 2H), 4.44 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). R_f = 0.9 (10% EtOAc in Hex). MS (ESI) m/z 217 [M+H]⁺

3-Hydroxy-2-Naphthamide (7)

The mixture of 6 (648 mg, 3 mmol) and 28% NH₄OH (3 mL) was refluxed for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, acidified with HCl about pH 2, washed with water, brine, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (gradient, 1% to 2% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give 7 as a yellow solid. (103 mg, 55%) ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 12.50 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H). R_f = 0.7 (5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂). MS (ESI) m/z 188 [M+H]⁺

3-Hydroxy-2-Naphthonitrile (8)

Phosphoryl chloride (0.025mL, 0.26 mmol) was added to 7 (38 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) at rt. After stirred for 0.5 h, the mixture was stirred at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was washed with NaHCO₃, water, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (20% EA in Hexanes) to give 8 as a yellow solid (46mg, 100%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 11.11 (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H). R_f = 0.4 (2% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂). MS (ESI) m/z 170 [M+H]⁺

3-(1H-Tetrazol-2-Yl)naphthalen-2-Ol (1)

The mixture of 8 (44 mg, 0.26 mmol), sodium azide (26 mg, 0.4 mmol), trimethylamine-HCl and toluene was heated to 95 °C for overnight. After cooling, the product was extracted with water. The aqueous layer,

36% HCl was added dropwise to salt out the product tetrazole. After filtration, the solid was dried under reduced pressure to give 1 as a white solid (46 mg, 83%). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 16.17 (s, 1H), 11.16 (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 2H). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 152.17 (s), 151.63 (s), 135.48 (s), 129.97 (s), 128.55 (s), 128.01 (s), 127.20 (s), 125.90 (s), 123.87 (s), 113.41 (s), 109.90 (s), 40.09 (s), 39.88 (s), 39.67 (s), 39.46 (s), 39.25 (s), 39.04 (s), 38.83 (s). $R_f = 0.2$ (20% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). mp: 255–257 °C dec. MS (ESI) m/z 213 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$. HRMS [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_9\text{N}_4\text{O}$ calcd 213.0771 Found 213.0775.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 12 and 13

H_2SO_4 (0.5 mL) was added to a solution of 5-iodosalicylic acid 10 or 4-iodosalicylic acid 11 (4 mmol) in EtOH (17 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 1 day. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with ethyl acetate (EA), washed with NaHCO_3 , water, and dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 . The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (7%–10% EA in Hexanes) to give 12 or 13.

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-5-Iodobenzoate (12)

White solid. Yield: 66%; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.41 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.42 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). $R_f = 0.8$ (10% EtOAc in Hex). MS (ESI) m/z 292.9 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ [CAS 15125-84-5]

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-4-Iodobenzoate (13)

Yield: 62%; yellow liquid; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.77 (s, 1H), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.34 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). $R_f = 0.6$ (33% EtOAc in Hex). MS (ESI) m/z 292.9 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ [CAS 730977-57-8]

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 14a, 14c, 14d and 15a, 15c, 15d

Arylboronic acid or corresponding boronic ester (9) (0.3 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (0.01 ~ 0.02 mmol), and 2 N Na_2CO_3 (0.6 mL) were added to a solution of 12 or 13 (0.2 mmol) in EtOH (0.4 mL) and toluene (1.6 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 5 hr under argon. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, neutralized to pH 7–8 with sat. NH_4Cl ,

and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (5%–20% EA in Hexanes) to give 14a, 14c, 14d, 15a, 15c, 15d.

Ethyl 4-Hydroxy-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-Carboxylate (14a)

Yellow liquid. Yield: 74%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.02–7.98 (m, 1H), 7.63 (dd, $J = 8.6$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.27 (dt, $J = 9.3$, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.97 (m, 1H), 4.38 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.37 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). $R_f = 0.5$ (6.25% EtOAc in Hex). MS m/z 244 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ [CAS 17504-14-2]

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-5-(Pyridin-4-Yl)benzoate (14c)

Yellow solid. Yield: 39%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 11.30 (s, 1H), 8.70 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.83 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.45 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.42 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.29 (s), 160.64 (s), 150.23 (s), 145.66 (s), 133.63 (s), 128.14 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz), 120.58 (s), 118.40 (s), 114.19 (s), 61.53 (s), 14.00 (s). $R_f = 0.2$ (33% EtOAc in Hex); mp: 100–105 °C. MS (ESI) m/z 244 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$. HRMS [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 244.0968 found 244.0962.

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-5-(Pyridin-3-Yl)benzoate (14d)

Brown solid. Yield: 88%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 11.14 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, $J = 7.9$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, $J = 8.7$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.44 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.42 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.43 (s), 159.95 (s), 147.68 (s), 146.76 (s), 134.63 (s), 134.23 (s), 133.89 (s), 128.11 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz), 124.05 (s), 118.34 (s), 114.09 (s), 61.49 (s), 13.99 (s). $R_f = 0.3$ (33% EtOAc in Hex). mp: 84–86.5 °C. MS (ESI) m/z 244 [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$. HRMS [$\text{M} + \text{H}$] $^+$ $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 244.0968 found 244.0960.

Ethyl 3-Hydroxy-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-Carboxylate (15a)

Yellow solid. Yield: 82%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.84 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.54 (ddd, $J = 4.4$, 3.5, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.34 (dd, $J = 5.0$, 3.6

Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.37 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). $R_f = 0.5$ (6% EtOAc in Hex). MS m/z 243 $[M+H]^+$ [CAS 148066-43-7]

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-4-(Pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (15c)

Yellow solid. Yield: 88%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 11.00 (s, 1H), 8.79 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 2H), 8.04 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.42 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 168.46 (s), 160.45 (s), 150.12 (s), 145.71 (s), 143.84 (s), 130.83 (s), 121.50 (s), 117.88 (s), 115.51 (s), 113.61 (s), 61.50 (s), 13.98 (s). $R_f = 0.3$ (33% EtOAc in Hex). mp: 71~74 °C. MS (ESI) m/z 244 $[M+H]^+$; HRMS $[M+H]^+$ $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 244.0968 found 244.0963.

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-4-(Pyridin-3-yl)benzoate (15d)

Yellow solid. Yield: 78%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 11.00 (s, 1H), 8.97 (s, 1H), 8.75 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.03–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.39 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 168.65 (s), 160.55 (s), 149.08 (s), 147.40 (s), 143.80 (s), 134.98 (s), 134.20 (s), 130.73 (s), 124.11 (s), 117.99 (s), 115.39 (s), 112.60 (s), 61.45 (s), 13.99 (s); $R_f = 0.4$ (33% EtOAc in Hex). mp: 162~164 °C (dec.). MS (ESI) m/z 244 $[M+H]^+$. HRMS $[M+H]^+$ $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 244.0963 found 244.0960.

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-5-(Naphthalen-1-yl)benzoate (14b)

Naphthaleneboronic acid (301 mg, 1.75 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (67 mg, 0.06 mmol), aqueous 2 N Na_2CO_3 (4.7 mL) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-hydroxy-5-iodobenzoate (341 mg, 1.17 mmol) in EtOH (6 mL) and toluene (6 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 7 h under argon gas. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and acidified to pH 7–8 with NH_4Cl . The crude was extraction by EA. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (100% Hexanes) to give 14b as a white solid. (249 mg, y. 73%). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.96 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.92 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.60 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 2.3 Hz, 1H),

7.57–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.42 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.39 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 170.28 (s), 161.06 (s), 139.00 (s), 137.31 (s), 133.86 (s), 131.76 (d, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 131.06 (s), 128.40 (s), 127.79 (s), 127.03 (s), 126.22 (s), 125.82 (d, $J = 14.4$ Hz), 125.42 (s), 117.50 (s), 112.57 (s), 61.58 (s), 14.21 (s); $R_f = 0.3$ (100% Hex); mp: 79~82.5 °C; MS (ESI) m/z 293 $[M+H]^+$

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 16a, 16c, 16d or 17a~17d

Lithium hydroxide monohydrate (3 mmol) was added to a solution of ethyl ester 14a~14d or 15a, 15c, 15d (0.15 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 12 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with water, and washed with ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 2 with 5% HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. Combined organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) to give 16a~16d or 17a, 17c, 17d.

4-Hydroxy-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-Carboxylic Acid (16a)

Brown solid. Yield: 68%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 14.07 (s, 1H), 11.36 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.85 (dd, $J = 8.6$, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.67–7.61 (m, 4H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H). $R_f = 0.1$ (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). MS m/z 215 $[M+H]^+$ [CAS 323-87-5]

2-Hydroxy-5-(Naphthalen-1-yl)benzoic Acid (16b)

Brown solid. Yield: 81.2%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{MeOH-}d_4$) δ 7.97 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.85 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.54–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.45–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.38 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 171.71 (s), 160.47 (s), 138.22 (s), 136.87 (s), 133.46 (s), 131.19–130.68 (m), 128.41 (s), 127.63 (s), 126.92 (s), 126.46 (s), 125.95 (s), 125.59 (s), 124.98 (s), 117.33 (s), 113.08 (s); $R_f = 0.4$ (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). mp: 231~233.7 °C (dec.). MS (ESI) m/z 265 $[M+H]^+$. HRMS $[M+H]^+$ $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_3$ calcd for 265.0783 found 265.0790.

2-Hydroxy-5-(Pyridin-4-Yl)benzoic Acid (16c)

Yellow solid. Yield: 100%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 14.07 (s, 1H), 11.36 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 171.05 (s), 164.25 (s), 149.77 (s), 147.22 (s), 130.71 (s), 128.44 (s), 124.88 (s), 120.13 (s), 119.28 (s), 117.28 (s). R_f = 0.1 (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2); mp: 340–345 °C (dec.). MS (ESI) m/z 216 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$. HRMS $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 126.0655 found 126.0648.

2-Hydroxy-5-(Pyridin-3-Yl)benzoic Acid (16d)

Yellow solid. Yield: 99%; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 11.92 (s, 1H), 8.83–8.78 (m, 1H), 8.47 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.94 (m, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45–7.39 (m, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H). R_f = 0.1 (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). MS m/z 216 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ [CAS 23380-76-9].

3-Hydroxy-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-Carboxylic Acid (17a)

Brown solid. Yield: 54%; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 11.92 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 3.9, 2.5 Hz, 2H). R_f = 0.1 (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). MS m/z 215 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ [CAS 4482-27-3].

2-Hydroxy-4-(Pyridin-4-Yl)benzoic Acid (17c)

Yellow solid. Yield: 99%. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 12.01 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.10–7.00 (m, 2H). R_f = 0.2 (20% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). MS m/z 216 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ [CAS 222986-83-6].

2-Hydroxy-4-(Pyridin-3-Yl)benzoic Acid (17d)

White solid. Yield: 89%; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 11.97 (s, 1H), 8.89 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 8.12–8.02 (m, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.11–7.01 (m, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 171.63 (s), 161.52 (s), 149.36 (s), 147.74 (s), 143.90 (s), 134.56 (s), 134.25 (s), 131.03 (s), 123.96 (s), 117.72 (s),

115.07 (s), 112.69 (s). R_f = 0.1 (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). mp: 266.5–268.7 °C (dec.). MS (ESI) m/z 216 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$; HRMS $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_3$ calcd for 126.0655 found 126.0659.

2-Hydroxy-4-(Naphthalen-1-Yl)benzoic Acid (17b)

1-Naphthaleneboronic acid (52 mg, 0.3 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (12 mg, 0.01 mmol), aqueous 2 N Na_2CO_3 (0.8 mL) was added to a solution of 2-hydroxy-4-iodobenzoic acid (11, 53 mg, 0.2 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL), toluene (8 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 5 h under argon gas. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and acidified to pH 2 with 5% HCl. The crude was extraction by ethyl acetate. The residue was purified by using silica gel chromatography (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) to give 17b as a brown solid (41 mg, y. 77.5%). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 12.52 (s, 1H), 8.01 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.95–7.89 (m, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.47 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (td, J = 4.1, 1.6 Hz, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$) δ 171.73 (s), 161.08 (s), 147.14 (s), 138.28 (s), 133.36 (s), 130.32 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 128.34 (d, J = 14.7 Hz), 126.65 (d, J = 8.7 Hz), 126.08 (s), 125.50 (s), 125.00 (s), 120.82 (s), 118.04 (s), 112.52 (s); R_f = 0.3 (10% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2). mp: 177–181.7 °C (dec.). MS (ESI) m/z 265 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$. HRMS $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_3$ calcd for 265.2755 found 265.2729.

Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) cells and HepG2 cells, a human hepatoma-derived cell line, were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37°C.

Palmitate Preparation

Fatty-acid-free bovine serum albumin (BSA) and sodium palmitate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium palmitate was conjugated to fatty-acid-free BSA. Briefly, sodium palmitate was dissolved in sterile phosphate buffered saline by heating and shaking till clear to make a stock solution of 10 mM palmitate. Palmitate stock solution was added to serum-free DMEM containing BSA to prepare a palmitate working solution, which consisted of 1 mM palmitate and 3.4%

BSA. This palmitate-conjugated BSA solution was filter-sterilized, warmed to 37 °C before use to ensure palmitate binding to BSA, and diluted to the final concentration (0.5 mM palmitate) in media. Cells in the control group were incubated in the serum-free DMEM containing 1.7% BSA.

Multiplex Luciferase Assay

The stable human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) cell line harboring a reporter gene expressed by human grp78 promoter was used as previously described.¹⁵ Synthesized human grp78 promoter (−137~+25) containing three ER stress response elements (ERSE) in tandem was cloned into pGL4.79 vector (Promega, Madison, USA). A stable human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) cell line harboring the reporter gene was selected under 400 µg/mL of G418 selection pressure. 5000 cells/well were seeded in white 384-well plate (Greiner, Solingen, Germany). After 24 h, Tm or DMSO was added (1 µg/mL final concentration). Following 22 h incubation, 60 µM of EnduRen™ live cell substrate (Promega, Madison, USA) was added to medium and, after 2 h incubation, *Renilla* luciferase (rLuc) activity was measured using EnVision® (Perkin-Elmer). To normalize the rLuc activity, total viable cells were counted using Celltiter-glo™ reagent (Promega). The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values for tested compounds were determined by non-linear regression analysis of log-dose/response curves using Prism® 5 software (GraphPad software Inc., CA, USA). Data from three independent experiments were expressed as the geometric mean IC₅₀ and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated.

Cytotoxicity

Cells were plated in new plates and treated with compounds at different concentration in a parallel manner to the reporter assay. After incubation, cells were treated with Celltiter-glo™ reagent (Promega), incubated for 30 min. Luminescence was measured using Envision (Perkin Elmer) reader. Cytotoxicity was expressed as the 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) values.

Statistical Analysis

Statistically significant differences were assessed by the Student's *t*-test. A probability value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Immunoblot Analysis

HepG2 cells in a 6-well plate at a density of 500,000 cells/well were incubated with 500 µM of palmitate in the

presence or absence of a test compound for 6 h. Fatty-acid-free BSA and DMSO were used as vehicle controls. Cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA). Proteins in whole cell lysates were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK). The membranes were blocked with 5% (w/v) skim milk in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.25% (v/v) tween-20 for 1 hour and subsequently incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4 °C and reacted with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). ECL chemiluminescence detection kit (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK) was used to develop the protein bands. Equal loading of proteins was confirmed by immunoblotting for β-actin. Antibodies against phospho-PERK and PERK were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-phospho-JNK and anti-JNK antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Anti-β-actin antibody was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

In order to test our hypothesis, we have prepared biphenyl versions of salicylic acid (compounds 16 and 17). Some direct analogues of 3-HNA (1–4, Figure 2) were also examined to compare the structure–activity relationship (SAR). Compound 5 (6-hydroxy-1-naphthoic acid) is a regioisomer of 3-HNA which showed several fold less activity than 3-HNA confirming it is the reasonable starting point of SAR study.

Compound 1 was prepared by replacing a carboxylic acid functional group of 3-HNA with a tetrazole ring. A tetrazole ring is a well-known bioisostere of carboxylic acid due to similar pKa and flat geometry between them.¹⁷

As shown Scheme 1, synthesis of 1 started with Fischer esterification on 3-HNA to afford the ethyl ester 6. It was then treated with ammonium hydroxide to afford an amide 7 in 55% yield which was consecutively converted to a nitrile compound 8 quantitatively under a dehydration condition using POCl₃. Reaction of 8 and sodium azide afforded the tetrazole compound 1 in 83% yield.¹⁸

Figure 2 Structure of 3-HNA analogues tested in this study.

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions (a) EtOH, H_2SO_4 , reflux, 1 d, 95% (b) NH_4OH , reflux, 1 h, 55% (c) $POCl_3$, DMF, r.t., 100% (d) NaN_3 , $Et_3N \cdot HCl$, toluene, 95 °C, o/n, 83%.

Synthesis of biaryl analogues (16 and 17) is described in [Scheme 2](#). Some aryl boronic acids (Ar = a and b) were esterified with 2,3-dimethylbutane-2,3-diol to afford the corresponding boronic esters **9** when necessary.¹⁹ 5-Iodosalicylic acid **10** and 4-iodosalicylic acid **11** were independently esterified to form the ethyl esters **12** and **13**, respectively. Reaction of **12** and **13** and boronic acid (Ar = a and b) or the corresponding boronic ester **9** (Ar = c and d) under Suzuki coupling conditions gave biaryl-substituted ethyl salicylates **14a–14d** and **15a–15d**, depending on Ar group.²⁰ Hydrolysis of the ethyl esters finally gave the corresponding acids, **16a–16d** and **17a–17d**.

Biological Activity and Structure–Activity Relationships

Anti-ER stress activity of the compounds, expressed as IC_{50} , was evaluated using our cell-based reporter assay based on multiplex renilla luciferase activity driven by GRP78 gene. Cytotoxicity was also measured using the

luciferase assay as described in Methods section,^{15,16} expressed as CC_{50} and displayed in [Table 1](#) along with IC_{50} .

As shown in [Table 1](#), compounds **2** (4-HNA), **3** (7-bromo-3-HNA) and **4** (6-methoxy-2-naphthoic acid) showed better activity than the parent compound, 3-HNA. Compound **2** showed the best activity among them, but it showed some level of cytotoxicity. Compound **1**, a bioisostere of 3-HNA, disappointingly lost quite a portion of the activity indicating carboxylic acid is the best option.

Most compounds showed comparable to better activities to reduce Tm-induced ER stress signal than the parent compound, 3-HNA. The activity was slightly decreased when a naphthalene group of 3-HNA is replaced with a biphenyl group (**16a** and **17a** vs 3-HNA). However, when the naphthalene group of 3-HNA is replaced with a phenylnaphthalene group (**16b** and **17b**), the activities increased by about twofold. When it comes to a pyridine substituent (**16c, d** and **17c, d**), the activities showed somewhat controversial SAR. When the Ar group is 3-pyridyl,

Scheme 2 Synthetic scheme for 5-arylsalicylic acid (16a~d) and 4-arylsalicylic acid (17a~d) reagents and conditions (a) MgSO₄, dioxane, reflux o/n, (b) H₂SO₄, EtOH, reflux for o/n (c) arylboronic acid or **9**, Pd(PPh₃)₄, Na₂CO₃, EtOH/toluene, 85 °C or dioxane, 90 °C, 4 h (d) LiOH, MeOH, reflux, 12 h.

16d and 17d showed better activity than 3-HNA whether the Ar group is located at the 4-position or 5-position. On the other hand, if the Ar group is 4-pyridyl, 16c containing it at the 5-position totally lost the activity whereas 17c that

has the same Ar group at the 4-position showed almost the same activity than 3-HNA. It seems that there is no general SAR regarding the position of Ar group throughout the analogues. When Ar = phenyl and 1-naphthyl, 16 (a and b) showed better activity than 17 (a and b), respectively, whereas if Ar = 4-pyridyl and 3-pyridyl, 16 (c and d) showed less activity than 17 (c and d).

Increased activities of 16b and 17b might be attributed to some level of conformational issues between an Ar (=naphthalene) group and salicylate group. A molecular dynamics calculation indicated about dihedral angle $\theta \cong 20$ suggesting different steric factors caused by Ar group than flat naphthalene moiety of 3-HNA. The activity of 16b, however, is hard to be rationalized in this regard, because it still has the flat conformation like 3-HNA barely generating significant dihedral angle between the Ar group and salicylate group.

As shown in Table 1, 16b and 17b with Ar being naphthalene, showed quite a toxicity to result in undesirable safety window (SI < 3). It indicates that bulky Ar group to generate some level of dihedral angle is not required to be the best analogues. On the other hand, 17d that has a flat conformation displayed the best activity and considerable toxicity profile to afford SI $\cong$ 40. It clearly

Table 1 Activity of 1~5, 16 and 17 Against Tunicamycin-Induced ER Stress and Cytotoxicity^a

Compounds	EC ₅₀ (μM)	CC ₅₀ (μM)	SI ^b
1	507.3 ± 57	>2000	>3.9
2	81.6 ± 16.1	50.4 ± 11	0.62
3	159.8 ± 27.3	782.5 ± 72	4.9
4	100.7 ± 6.7	1580 ± 201	15.7
5	1213 ± 37	3236 ± 427	2.67
16a	362.0 ± 55.3	>5000	>13.8
16b	151 ± 27.5	459 ± 21	3.06
16c	3419 ± 216	>5000	>1.46
16d	265.7 ± 77	>5000	>18.9
16e	58.3 ± 3.5	>5000	>85.7
17a	566.4 ± 97	>5000	>8.8
17b	196.1 ± 37	453 ± 19	2.31
17c	325 ± 59	>5000	>15.4
17d	132 ± 32	>5000	>37.9
3-HNA	328	2301	7.02
t-UDCA ¹⁵	5200		

Notes: ^aData represent mean of three experiments in duplicate. ^bSafety index is expressed as a ratio of CC₅₀/EC₅₀.

confirmed the crucial role of flat aromatic space in the activity. of the compounds shown in Figure 1, compound 1, a bioisostere of 3-HNA, and 5 showed less activity than 3-HNA while compounds 2, 3 and 4 showed better activity. However, 2 and 3 showed low level of safety index whereas 4 showed significant activity with acceptable SI.

With the effect of flat aromaticity on the activity, we also tested 16e which as Ar = 2',4'-difluorophenyl group. 16e has a name Diflusal and is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) and used as an analgesic and anti-inflammatory drug.²¹ Beside anti-ER stress activity, it was reported that 16e and its derivatives showed considerable level of anti-amyloidosis effect²² suggesting the bi-aryl salicylate scaffold potentially have some intrinsic activity against misfolded protein-related pathologies. Structurally, it also has little dihedral angle to form a flat conformation and surprisingly showed the best anti-ER stress activity

(EC₅₀ = 58 μ M) and significant toxicity to result in remarkably high level of safety window (SI $\cong$ 90).

It is not clearly understood if NSAIDs generally induce or inhibit ER stress although inflammation and ER stress cross talk in many pathological conditions.²³ As mentioned above, salicylic acid was reported to be a chemical chaperone to ameliorate ER stress.^{24,25} However, there is a report that diclofenac, an NSAID, induced ER stress, demonstrated by up-regulation of BiP (binding immunoglobulin protein, a.k.a., GRP78).²⁶ In this study, we report Diflusal, an NSAID, clearly down-regulated GRP78-driven ER stress signal and related markers (Table 1 and Figure 3).

Next, we investigated the effects of the best compound (16e) on ER stress markers. Compound 16e was treated at various concentrations along with palmitate to HepG2 cells and the changes of some UPR markers were analyzed by Western blot (Figure 3). When sensing ER stress,

Figure 3 Western blot (A) and densitometry (B) of ER stress markers upon treatment of 16e. Immunoblotting was performed in HepG2 cells incubated with palmitate (500 μ M) in the presence of increasing concentrations of compound 16e for 6 h. Bands were quantified by densitometric scanning. The expression levels of phosphorylated PERK and JNK were normalized to those of each total form. Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.D. of three separate experiments. ** $P < 0.01$ (compared with the BSA control); # $P < 0.05$ (compared with the group treated with palmitate alone).

GRP78 which normally binds to PERK is released from the complex, which is followed by the oligomerization and transphosphorylation of PERK. Compound 16e successfully diminished the phosphorylated PERK induced by palmitate treatment. Level of phosphorylated c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) was also attenuated in line with p-PERK and is usually implicated in apoptosis. The degree of those changes was in concert with the results of our reporter assay, which in turn demonstrated the robustness of our reporter assay.

Although we have shown here that representative ER stress markers are modulated upon treatment of 16e, mechanism of action (MOA) by which the compound decrease ER stress or misfolding load still requires good amount of investigations. Chemical chaperones can be categorized into several groups.^{12,27} The most common type is osmolytes consisting of polar or charged compounds, such as glycerol, trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) and proline. They limit free movement of proteins by elevating the density of the solvent, thus preventing aggregation of unfolded proteins. The second group is hydrophobic or detergent-like compounds, eg, sodium salt of PBA, lipids, and detergents. Hydrophobic moiety of them binds to the hydrophobic surface of unfolded proteins to protect them from aggregation. Another category can be pharmacological chaperones such as enzyme inhibitors and receptor ligands. They possibly bind to a target protein to promote proper folding or to transport the misfolded protein. They are supposed to bind the native conformation of the target protein, stabilizing its conformation to push the balance toward the native state. In this regard, our compounds should bind to a target protein in a specific manner toward which our future investigation of MOA and novel compounds will be directed.

In conclusion, we have designed and synthesized aryl-substituted salicylic acid analogues which feature altered aromatic arrangement than the parent compound, 3-HNA. Analogues with naphthalene Ar group showed increased activity but their cytotoxicity also became worse to demonstrate the crucial role of flat aromatic steric effect. The best compound, 16e (Diflusinal), showed almost 6 ~ 90-fold better activity than positive controls. Moreover, it reduced representative ER stress markers such as p-PERK and p-JNK in Western blot under more physiologically relevant palmitate-induced ER stress condition. It is suggested that this scaffold could be a platform for further investigation to identify novel chemical chaperones.

Supplementary Materials

¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectrum of unknown compounds are available in [Supplementary Information](#).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the research fund of Hanyang University (HY-2014-N).

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Walter P, Ron D. The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. *Science*. 2011;334(6059):1081–1086. doi:10.1126/science.1209038
- Xu C, Bailly-Maitre B, Reed JC. Endoplasmic reticulum stress: cell life and death decisions. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115(10):2656–2664. doi:10.1172/jci126373
- Hetz C, Chevet E, Harding HP. Targeting the unfolded protein response in disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2013;12(9):703–719. doi:10.1038/nrd3976
- Lee WS, Yoo WH, Chae HJ. ER stress and autophagy. *Curr Mol Med*. 2015;15(8):735–745. doi:10.2174/1566524015666150921105453
- Iannitti T, Palmieri B. Clinical and experimental applications of sodium phenylbutyrate. *Drugs R D*. 2011;11(3):227–249. doi:10.2165/11591280-000000000-00000
- Boyce M, Bryant KF, Jousse C, et al. A selective inhibitor of eIF2α dephosphorylation protects cells from ER stress. *Science*. 2005;307(5711):935–939. doi:10.1126/science.1101902
- Ozcan U, Yilmaz E, Ozcan L, et al. Chemical chaperones reduce ER stress and restore glucose homeostasis in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes. *Science*. 2006;313(5790):1137–1140. doi:10.1126/science.1128294
- Kong FJ, Wu JH, Sun SY, Zhou JQ. The endoplasmic reticulum stress/autophagy pathways is involved in cholesterol-induced pancreatic beta-cell injury. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):44746. doi:10.1038/srep44746
- Park S, Aintablian A, Coupe B, Bouret SG. The endoplasmic reticulum stress-autophagy pathway controls hypothalamic development and energy balance regulation in leptin-deficient neonates. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):1914. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15624-y
- Uppala JK, Gani AR, Ramaiah KVA. Chemical Chaperone, TUDCA unlike PBA, mitigates protein aggregation efficiently and resists ER and non-ER stress induced HepG2 cell death. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):3831. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03940-1
- Goddard-Borger ED, Tropak MB, Yonekawa S, et al. Rapid assembly of a library of lipophilic immunosugars via the thiol-ene reaction yields promising pharmacological chaperones for the treatment of Gaucher disease. *J Med Chem*. 2012;55(6):2737–2745. doi:10.1021/jm201633y
- Pereira DM, Valentao P, Andrade PB. Tuning protein folding in lysosomal storage diseases: the chemistry behind pharmacological chaperones. *Chem Sci*. 2018;9(7):1740–1752. doi:10.1039/c7sc04712f
- Tufanli S, Akkilar PT, Acosta-Alvear D, et al. Targeting IRE1 with small molecules counteracts progression of atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114(8):e1395–e1404. doi:10.1073/pnas.1621188114
- Jeong KW, Ku JM, Park MW, et al. Hydroxynaphthoic acids identified in a high throughput screening potentially ameliorate endoplasmic reticulum stress as novel chemical chaperones. *Chem Pharm Bull*. 2013;61(7):740–746. doi:10.1248/cpb.c13-00251

15. Choi H, Yun W, Lee JH, et al. Synthesis and anti-endoplasmic reticulum stress activity of N-substituted-2-arylcarbonylhydrazinecarbothioamides. *Med Chem Res*. 2019;28(12):2142–2152. doi:10.1007/s00044-019-02442-1
16. Park SM, Choi J, Nam TG, et al. Anti-diabetic effect of 3-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid, an endoplasmic reticulum stress-reducing chemical chaperone. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2016;779:157–167. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.03.023
17. Tagad HD, Hamada Y, Nguyen JT, et al. Design of pentapeptidic BACE1 inhibitors with carboxylic acid bioisosteres at P1' and P4 positions. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2010;18(9):3175–3186. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2010.03.032
18. Goguro K, Oga T, Mitsui S, Orita R. Novel synthesis of 5-substituted tetrazoles from nitriles. *Synthesis*. 1998;6:910–914. doi:10.1055/s-1998-2081
19. Song T, Rao X, Cui Y, Yang Y, Qian G. Synthesis and luminescent properties of color-tunable lanthanide complexes with 5-(pyridin-4-yl)isophthalic acid. *J Alloys Compd*. 2013;555:22–27. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.12.030
20. Mendieta MAEP, Negri M, Jagusch C, et al. Synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular modeling of abiraterone analogues: novel CYP17 inhibitors for the treatment of prostate cancer. *J Med Chem*. 2008;51(16):5009–5018. doi:10.1021/jm800355c
21. Maria V, Joan N, Parra L, et al. Tuning transthyretin amyloidosis inhibition properties of iododiflusal by combinatorial engineering of the nonsalicylic ring substitutions. *ACS Comb. Sci*. 2015;17(1):32–38. doi:10.1021/co5001234
22. Adamski-Werner SL, Palaninathan SK, Sacchettini JC, et al. Diflusal analogues stabilize the native state of transthyretin. Potent inhibition of amyloidogenesis. *J Med Chem*. 2004;47(2):355–374. doi:10.1021/jm030347n
23. Dandekar A, Mendez R, Zhang K. Cross talk between ER stress, oxidative stress, and inflammation in health and disease. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2015;1295:205–214. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-2522-3_15
24. Alhusaini S, McGee K, Schisano B, et al. Lipopolysaccharide, high glucose and saturated fatty acids induce endoplasmic reticulum stress in cultured primary human adipocytes: salicylate alleviates this stress. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010;397(3):472–478. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.05.138
25. Silva AM, Wang D, Komar A, et al. Salicylates trigger protein synthesis inhibition in a protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase-dependent manner. *J Biol Chem*. 2017;282(14):10164–10171. doi:10.1074/jbc.M609996200
26. Niu X, Graaf IAM, Bij HA, Groothuis GMM. Precision cut intestinal slices are an appropriate ex vivo model to study NSAID-induced intestinal toxicity in rats. *Toxicol in Vitro*. 2014;28(7):1296–1305. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2014.06.010
27. Papp E, Csermely P. Chemical chaperones: mechanisms of action and potential use. In: Starke K, Gaestel M, editors. *Molecular Chaperones in Health and Disease. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*. Vol. 172. Heidelberg: Springer; 2006: 405–416. doi:10.1007/3-540-29717-0_16.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also

been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>