Effect of Low-Level Laser Irradiation on Accommodation and Visual Fatigue
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Purpose: This study used low-level laser irradiation on auricular points for the eyes of junior college teenagers with myopia to observe the changes in refractive errors and eye accommodation. According to the Sterner’s study, we used the relationship between the amplitude and subjective symptoms to assess the degree of improvement in visual fatigue caused by changes in accommodation.

Methods: Participants were 49 healthy junior college teenagers, with an average age of 16.04±0.80 years old, and the refractive errors of both eyes were between −1.50 D and −5.00 D. All participants underwent refractive error tests before and after class and after a 30-min break. In a 30-min break, all participants underwent two tests of with (experimental group) and without (control group) low-level laser irradiation on the auricular points. Then, refractive error tests were conducted to evaluate the participants’ eye accommodation.

Results: The results revealed that with treatment of the auricular points with and without low-level laser irradiation, the refractive error of the participants’ binocular left and right eyes decreased by −0.12 D (−0.18 D) in the experimental group and by −0.05 D (−0.02 D) in the control group. For the amplitudes of accommodation (AA) examination, the AA of the participants’ binocular in the experimental group became 7.98 ± 1.35 D (7.65 ± 1.37 D). The AA of participants’ binocular can recover to the level before the class.

Conclusion: The results indicated that low-level laser irradiation at auricular points restored the eye accommodation faster than did natural closing of the eyes.
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Introduction

A low-level laser has an output power less than 100 mW. This power is too low to destroy the bonding (hydrogen bond and van der Waals force) between molecules in tissues. Therefore, low-power irradiation does not change molecular structures or cause protein denaturation and apoptosis. Low-level lasers provide adequate energy to stimulate cells and induce or strengthen certain biological responses, such as promotion of local blood circulation, moderation of cellular functions, improvement of immune functions, and improvement of cellular metabolism and proliferation abilities. The Laser acupuncture (LA) uses laser beam instead of acupuncture needles to irradiate acupoints according to traditional Chinese medicine acupuncture principles. This method can improve or alleviate conditions and pain. Low-level laser irradiation is a type of phototherapy.1 A Hungarian scholar named Mester proposed in 1966 that low-level irradiation exerts biostimulation effects.2 Since then, laser technology has been progressively developed and widely used. Advanced laser technology has been widely applied in combination with traditional
acupuncture techniques. This has led to the invention of laser acupuncture (LA), which involves the use of low-power laser beams to directly irradiate or concentrate or expand the beams to irradiate the surface of or through acupuncture points, thereby effectively stimulating the acupuncture point. Subsequently, the meridians can be dredged, viscera accommodated, qi and blood invigorated, and yin and yang balanced to strengthen vital qi and eliminate pathogenic factors as well as treat diseases and maintain overall health. Body parts that are extremely sensitive to stimulation are usually chosen as acupuncture points. These points contain numerous sensors that are closely connected to surrounding muscle tissues, nerves, blood vessels, and lymph vessels. When a laser beam is applied to acupuncture points, it penetrates the skin surface with the needlestick characteristics. Approximately 40% of laser energy is absorbed by the skin and converted into heat, thus functioning as moxibustion. Therefore, laser stimulation can be used for both acupuncture and moxibustion.\(^3,4\) Compared with traditional acupuncture methods, LA is more advantageous because it is painless, sterile, safe, easy to control, and adjustable and provides therapeutic effects of acupuncture and moxibustion. Therefore, patients are readily willing to use such a therapy method. In addition to acupuncture and moxibustion effects, laser irradiation on acupuncture points presents multiple unique advantages. Therefore, the use of laser technology has attracted considerable academic attention and numerous clinical applications.\(^5,6\)

The auricular points (Figure 1) are acupoints distributed on the auricle; they are also called trigger points or stimulation points. In visceral or somatic diseases, people often experience local reactions, such as tenderness and color changes, at specific parts on the auricle. This phenomenon can be used as a reference for disease diagnosis. In addition, stimulation of auricular points can have certain regulatory effects on corresponding viscera, maintain the body’s internal functional balance, alleviate ciliary muscle spasm, and improve accommodation of eyes. Some studies have mostly used auricular points to treat myopia.\(^7-14\) For example, Li,\(^13\) in 1988, used intradermal needle therapy on auricular points for myopia treatment in 416 patients, totaling 812 eyes. A total of 521 eyes significantly improved, 240 eyes nonsignificantly improved, and 48 eyes did not show improvement. The total effective rate was 94.1%. Liu,\(^14\) in 2012, used 140 cases (256 eyes) of adolescent patients with mild myopia and randomly divided them into a treatment group (70 cases, 126 eyes) and a control group (70 cases, 130 eyes). The treatment group used sticking ear points. The control group received compound topiramate eye drops once every night before going to bed. After 6 weeks, the visual acuity changes were observed with the international standard visual acuity chart. The result is that the visual acuity of the pressing ear acupoint group is significantly improved after treatment, and the curative effect is better than that of the control group. The total effective rates of the two groups were 83.33% and 62.07%, respectively. There was a significant difference between the two groups (P < 0.01). Therefore, Liu argued that auricular therapy can be effective through nerve conduction. During auricular acupressure, the nerves widely distributed around the auricle conduct upward stimulation through impulse and indirectly or directly modulate the refractive system of the eyes.

Asthenoopia refers to the eye fatigue caused by overuse of the eyes when engaged in close-gaze work or study.\(^15-18\) The
Clinical manifestations are mostly of view that the vision cannot be sustained, the vision of the long-sighted person is dim, and the eyeball or periorbital soreness is felt. Jaschinski Kruza\textsuperscript{17} pointed out that ciliary body adjustment ability is related to visual fatigue. The Satio\textsuperscript{18} study also pointed out that the cause of visual fatigue caused by visual work is the temporary change of accommodation. For young people, reading at school all day or using 3C products for a long time will cause young people to overuse their eyes, which will lead to an increase in myopia and eye-related diseases. From Bertils’ study,\textsuperscript{19} the amplitude of accommodation has the potential to assess the degree of visual fatigue ability. This study used low-level laser irradiation on acupuncture points to achieve the effect of acupuncture and moxibustion in traditional Chinese medicine. The auricle points for the eyes were irradiated to observe the changes in refractive errors and eye accommodation. At the same time, we hope to quickly relieve visual fatigue caused by adjustment changes.

**Experimental Design**

**Participants**

Participants were 49 healthy junior college teenagers. The male to female ratio was 20:29, and the mean age was 16.04 ± 0.80 years. The visual acuity of the left and right eyes of the participants was corrected to 1.0, with the refractive error between −1.50 D and −5.00 D. The anterior region and fundus of the participants’ eyes were examined to rule out organic diseases. Each participant was required to get ample sleep and avoid activities that may cause eye strain before the experiment. They were also required to avoid caffeine and alcohol 8 hours before the experiment. Individuals with a history of eye diseases within 6 months prior to the experiment were excluded. All participants understood the experimental procedure of the present study and consented to participate in the experiment.

**Experimental Environment**

To facilitate dioptic power examination before and after class and after a 30-min break, a bright room was selected as the experiment site. The room temperature was controlled at 25 ±2°C\textsuperscript{17} and room illumination at 200–400 lx. The experimental environment is shown in Figure 2.

**Experimental Equipment**

The laser equipment adopts a power of 30 mW, wavelength of 830 nm, and a frequency of 7 Hz. The irradiation time was set to be 30 min. The diameter of the laser beam was 0.1 cm\textsuperscript{2}. The laser equipment is shown in Figure 3. Optometry equipment adopts SHIN-NIPPON K5001 autorefractor.

**Experimental Method**

This experiment was divided into two runs and one week apart each time. In the beginning, the participants underwent dioptic power tests before class, after class, and after a 30-min break. This is the result of the control group. In the second run, the participants underwent dioptic power tests before class, after class, and after low-level laser irradiation on the auricular points for 30 min after class. This is the result of the experimental group. These two runs experimental procedures are shown in Figures 4 and 5. All participants are only active in school during the experiment.
Any time during the experiment, if a participant felt uneasy, had difficulty in breathing, exhibited paleness or experienced dizziness or vertigo because of the laser irradiation, or if the participant wanted to terminate the experiment, they were allowed to stop the experiment immediately.

**Statistical Analysis**
This variance of dioptric power was analyzed using SPSS. Paired-sample t-tests were conducted on the participants’ dioptric power data before and after class and with and without low-level laser irradiation. The level of significance was set to be 0.05.

**Results**

**Refractive Error Examination**
The results of the control group and experimental group in the refractive error examination are shown in Table 1. In control group, the refractive error variations in the left and right eyes of the participants before and after class and after the 30-min break are shown in Figure 6. The refractive error in both eyes after class increased compared with those before class, suggesting that the teenagers’ eyes presented visual fatigue with increased refractive error after they attended class for 9 h. After the 30-min break after class during which time the students closed and rested their eyes,

![Figure 4 Experimental flow of control group.](image1)

![Figure 5 Experimental flow of experimental group.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Refractive Error of the Control and Experimental Group</th>
<th>Unit: D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>Before Class</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye refractive error</td>
<td>2.78±1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye refractive error</td>
<td>2.25±2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>Before Class</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye refractive error</td>
<td>−2.82±1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye refractive error</td>
<td>−2.44±1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the refractive error in their eyes decreased compared with the values immediately after class. These results indicated that the participants’ eye refractive error decreased after a 30-min rest with eyes closed.

In the experimental group, the refractive error changes in the left and right eyes of the participants before and after class and after low-level laser irradiation on the auricular points for 30 min after class are shown in

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6** Refractive error before and after class and after a 30-min break in the control group.

![Figure 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

**Figure 7** Refractive error before and after class and after 30 min of low-level laser irradiation in the experimental group.
The refractive error in both eyes increased, indicating that the participants presented visual fatigue in both eyes with increases in the refractive error after attending class for 9 h. The refractive error in both eyes after low-level laser irradiation on the auricular points for 30 min after class decreased compared with those immediately after class.

From Table 1, the left and right eye refractive error of the control group decreased by $-0.08 \pm 0.03 \text{ D}$ and $-0.02 \pm 0.07 \text{ D}$, respectively, compared with the values immediately after class. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test indicated no significant difference ($P > 0.05$). In the experimental group, the refractive error of both eyes significantly decreased (by $-0.12 \pm 0.08 \text{ D}$ in the left eye and by $-0.18 \pm 0.01 \text{ D}$ in the right eye) compared with the values before laser irradiation. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test indicated a significant difference ($P < 0.05$).

### Table 2 AA of the Control and Experimental Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Before Class</th>
<th>After Class</th>
<th>After a 30-min Rest with Eyes Closed</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right eye AA</td>
<td>7.63±1.33</td>
<td>5.53±1.34</td>
<td>6.58±1.38</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye AA</td>
<td>8.12±1.69</td>
<td>5.77±1.31</td>
<td>6.83±1.32</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye AA</td>
<td>7.60±1.37</td>
<td>5.53±1.39</td>
<td>7.65±1.37</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye AA</td>
<td>7.93±1.31</td>
<td>5.84±1.43</td>
<td>7.98±1.35</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Eye Accommodation Examination**

In Table 2, we have shown the results of the control group and experimental group in the amplitudes of accommodation (AA) examination. The AA in the control group (without low-level laser irradiation) before and after class and after the 30-min break with eyes closed is shown in Figure 8. The mean AA of the left and right eyes before class decreased by $2.35 \pm 0.38 \text{ D}$ and $2.1 \pm 0.01 \text{ D}$, respectively, compared with the values immediately after class. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test indicated a significant difference ($P < 0.05$). The results suggested that the AA of the participants’ eyes decreased after the participants attended class for 9 h. Moreover, the mean AA of the left and right eyes after the 30-min break with eyes closed was, respectively, $1.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ D}$ and $1.05 \pm 0.04 \text{ D}$ higher than their AAs immediately after class. Results of the paired sample $t$-test indicated a significant difference ($P < 0.05$). The results suggested

---

**Figure 7.** The refractive error in both eyes increased, indicating that the participants presented visual fatigue in both eyes with increases in the refractive error after attending class for 9 h.

**Figure 8.** AA before and after class and after a 30-min break.
that the participants’ eye AA increased after the 30-min break with eyes closed after they attended class for 9 h.

However, even after the 30-min break after class with their eyes closed, the participants’ mean AA in both eyes was lower than that before class. The differences in the AA between the left and right eyes were 1.29 ± 0.37 D and 1.05 ± 0.05 D, respectively. These results indicated that after attending 9 hours of class, the participants experienced decreased AA even after the 30-min break with eyes closed.

The AAs of the participants in the experimental group before and after class and after low-level laser irradiation for 30 min are shown in Figure 9. According to Table 2, the mean AAs of the left and right eyes before class were, respectively, 2.35 ± 0.38 D and 2.1 ± 0.01 D lower than those after class. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test revealed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$), suggesting that the participants’ AA in both eyes decreased after 9 h of class. Moreover, the mean AAs of the left and right eyes after low-level laser irradiation for 30 min were, respectively, 2.14 ± 0.08 D and 2.12 ± 0.02 D higher than those immediately after class. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test indicated significant difference ($P < 0.05$). The results revealed that the participants’ eye AA increased after low-level irradiation for 30 min conducted after 9 h of class.

From Table 2, we can note that the mean AAs of the left and right eyes after low-level laser irradiation for 30 min after class were, respectively, 0.05 ± 0.04 D and 0.05 D higher than those before class. Results of the paired-sample $t$-test revealed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$). The AAs before class and after low-level irradiation for 30 min did not differ considerably, implying that low-level laser irradiation for 30 min could help recover the AA to a level comparable to that before class.

**Discussion**

In the refractive error test, we observed that both the control group and the experimental group of teenagers showed an increase in negative refractive error in both eyes after 9 hours of class, which means that the distance of the farsightedness of the teenagers became shorter after 9 hours of class. As a result, the AA will be lowered. Obviously, we can see that both the control group and the experimental group of teenagers show a decrease in their adjustment range after 9 hours of class. In addition, regardless of the refractive error of the left (right) eye of the control group after 30 minutes of rest with eyes closed, or the refractive error of both eyes of the experimental group after light exposure, the negative refractive error of both eyes decreased, so AA can be expected to increase accordingly.

Finally, in the control group (without laser irradiation), the mean AA of the participants’ right and left eyes recovered by 50.0% and 45.1%, respectively, after the 30-min break. In the laser irradiation group, the mean AA of the participants’ right and left eyes recovered by the level before the class, after low-level laser irradiation. Therefore,
the second-stage comparison of groups treated with and without low-level laser irradiation on auricular points indicated that the AA of the participants who underwent low-level laser irradiation increased, obviously. The effectiveness of the 30-min low-level laser irradiation in AA recovery was higher than that of the 30-min break. According to the description by Sterner et al.,\textsuperscript{19} we compared the Hofstetter’s curve of the AA. For teenagers aged 16–18 with myopia after class, our AA results are reduced by about 8D. Therefore, we believe that the participants did reach the level of visual fatigue after 9 hours of class. However, the participants who received low-intensity laser irradiation at auricular points around the eyes. Furthermore, acupuncture therapy for patients who are unwilling to undergo acupuncture and moxibustion.

**Conclusion**

This study compared the effects of 30-min low-level laser irradiation at auricular points with that of a 30-min break comprising closing of eyes on eye accommodation. Low-level laser irradiation at auricular points restored the eye accommodation faster than did natural closing of the eyes. The results indicated that the effectiveness of low-level laser irradiation was comparable to that of traditional Chinese medicine and thus can be used as an alternative therapy for patients who are unwilling to undergo acupuncture and moxibustion.

The following conclusions were drawn:

1. Teenagers read books or use consumer electronics for prolonged periods in school environments. Such behavior reduces their eye accommodation, thereby causing visual fatigue and increasing the dioptric power.

2. Low-level laser irradiation at auricular points and resting with eyes closed can relax visual fatigue caused by changes in accommodation and help regain the original dioptric power. Low-level laser irradiation at auricular points recovered the visual acuity faster than rest with eyes closed over the same duration.

3. Participants who received low-level laser irradiation at auricular points reported no piercing pain of needlesticks.

In summary, low-level laser irradiation at auricular points can greatly benefit people who are afraid of undergoing traditional acupuncture. Low-level laser irradiation at auricular points for eyes can rapidly reverse temporary myopia. Further research can explore acupuncture points around the eyes. Furthermore, acupuncture therapy is a safe and effective therapeutic method for treating myopia with no adverse effects. Some studies\textsuperscript{20–22} have proposed that stimulation at auricular points is beneficial for comprehensive adjustment functions of various tissues and organs of the body. Therefore, auricular therapy is suitable for clinical use because of its safety, reliability, nontoxicity, lack of side effects, and ease of use.
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