Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery in the treatment of patients with bullous emphysema
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Purpose: Surgical operation for bullous emphysema is indicated for patients with symptoms related to the compression of giant bullae (usually >30% of hemithorax) or other related complications, such as infection, rupture, or bleeding. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has been widely applied in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with intrathoracic diseases, including bullous emphysema.

Materials and methods: We retrospectively reviewed nine patients with symptomatic or complicated bullous emphysema, who were treated by bullectomy using VATS in the past two years. Eight males and one female were included, with ages ranging from 39 to 82 (median 62) years. Eight (89%) were heavy smokers. Pulmonary function tests were performed preoperatively in only three patients because of their compromised condition on admission.

Results: Bullae resection and pleurodesis was performed using VATS in the eight patients. The operating time ranged from 35 to 75 (median 50) minutes. Two patients had minor postoperative complications (one prolonged air leak for more than seven days and one wound infection), which recovered with conservative treatment. The chest tube was successfully removed 5–14 days postoperatively. Either symptomatic relief or improved pulmonary function was noted in these patients postoperatively. One patient complained of intermittent dyspnea during follow-up, but the imaging study was essentially normal.

Conclusion: Bullectomy for patients with bullous emphysema can be performed safely and effectively using VATS. Additional pleurodesis or suturing reinforcement can prevent the complication of air leak.
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Introduction
Bullous emphysema is defined as an expansion of the alveolar space with a diameter more than 1 cm and a wall thickness less than 1 mm.1,2 Surgical resection of bullous emphysema is indicated for patients with symptoms related to the compression of giant bullae (usually >30% of hemithorax) or related complications, including infection, rupture, or bleeding.2 Improvements in exercise tolerance and respiratory reserve, as well as resolution of related complications, have been reported when these patients undergo surgical intervention.3,4 A wide variety of surgical procedures has been used, including intracavity drainage, bullae resection or plication, or lobectomy.5–8 These procedures, traditionally performed via an open approach, such as thoracotomy or sternotomy,7 has gradually been replaced by video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS), a minimally invasive approach.9,10 In this study, our experience in the management of patients with giant or complicated bullous emphysema using VATS is
presented, as well as comparison with other reported series in the literature.

**Patients and methods**

From January 2008 to December 2009, nine patients with bullous emphysema and related symptoms (dyspnea, chest tightness) or complications (infection, bleeding, pneumothorax) were treated by bullectomy using VATS. There were eight males and one female, aged 39–82 (median 62) years. All but one 39-year-old male had a long-term history of heavy smoking (more than one pack per day for more than 20 years). All patients underwent a general (hemogram, liver and kidney function, blood sugar and electrolytes), respiratory (plain chest film and computed tomography, arterial blood gas analysis), and cardiac (electrocardiogram) preoperative assessment. However, only three patients completed their pulmonary function tests (PFTs) preoperatively, and the others were unfit for this evaluation (because of pneumothorax, noncompliance, or ventilator-dependency) on admission. Mixed moderate to severe obstructive and mild to moderate restrictive ventilatory defects were found in three patients. Seven patients (78%) had significant respiratory insufficiency (dyspnea more than Hugh-Jones Grade III). Bullous emphysema was classified according to DeVries and Wolfe (Figure 1A–C). Single large (Group I) and multiple (Group II) bullae without underlying pulmonary disease were identified in three
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**Figure 1** Computed tomography scan of various characteristics of bullous emphysema. A) Right single giant bullae with contralateral (left) blebs and pneumothorax. B) Bilateral bullae/blebs with left severe pneumothorax. C) Bilateral multiple bullae D) Left tension giant bullae.
and two of our patients, respectively. Bullae with underlying diffuse emphysema (Group III) or other lung diseases (Group IV) were identified in four patients and one patient, respectively. Locations of these bullae were on the right in four cases, on the left in three, and bilateral in two. Related complications were noted in six patients (67%), comprising pneumothorax in four, uncontrolled hemothysis in one, and pneumothorax with ventilator dependency in one patient. All of the patients were considered for surgery because symptoms or complications related to giant bullae had developed. The characteristics of these patients are listed in the Table 1.

VATS was performed with the patient under general anesthesia and double-lumen endotracheal intubation, and placed in the lateral decubitus position while leaving the operated side of lung unventilated. The first 10 mm port was placed in the prior tube thoracostomy wound or the fifth or sixth intercostal space in the middle or anterior axillary line. A 10 mm 30-degree end-viewing rigid telescope connected to the camera head and monitoring system was re-expanded on chest radiograph after clamping the tube for 24 hours.

Table 1 Patient characteristics, treatments and outcomes (n = 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years), range (median)</th>
<th>39–82 (62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:Female</td>
<td>8:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smokers</td>
<td>8 (89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side left:right:bilateral</td>
<td>4: 3: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of bullous emphysema</td>
<td>3, 2, 4, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I, II, III, IV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea (Hugh-Jones) Grade I/II, III, IV, V</td>
<td>2, 3, 3, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary function test (n = 3)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate obstructive + mild restrictive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe obstructive + moderate restrictive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated preoperative complications</td>
<td>6 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemothysis uncontrolled</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax + ventilator dependent</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VATS bulllectomy + pleurodesis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral procedures</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical pleurectomy</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement by suturing (resection line)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional partial pleurectomy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional postoperative chemical pleurodesis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time (minutes, range, median)</td>
<td>35–75 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of tube drainage (days, range, median)</td>
<td>5–14 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications (n = 2)</td>
<td>Prolonged air leak (&gt;7 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>5–15 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery.

Results

The treatment methods and outcomes for our patients are shown in the Table. All nine patients underwent bullectomy and mechanical pleurodesis through VATS. Bilateral procedures were performed in one patient. Three patients required reinforcement of the resection line by suturing. Additional partial pleurectomy was performed in one patient to facilitate pleurodesis, due to minor leakage on the surface of the lung being noted intraoperatively. Utility incision (2.5–4 cm in length) was used, without applying a spreader, in three patients (33%) for removal of larger resected bullae. No conversion to open thoracotomy was required in these patients. There was no mortality or major morbidity intra- or postoperatively. The operating time ranged from 35 to 75 (median 50) minutes. Prolonged air leak (> seven days) and wound infection was noted in one patient each, who recovered after conservative treatment. For the patient with ventilator dependency preoperatively, weaning from mechanical ventilation was performed successfully. The chest tube(s) were successfully removed from 5–14 days postoperatively. The postoperative duration of hospital stay ranged from five to 15 (median seven) days. During a follow-up period of three months to two years,
eight (89%) of the patients reported marked symptomatic relief and downstaging of the Sadou dyspnea index after treatment, and three patients with preoperative PFTs had marked improvement in FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC and FVC (more than 10% improvement) postoperatively. One patient complained of intermittent dyspnea during follow-up, but an imaging study was essentially normal.

Discussion
Various surgical procedures have been developed for the treatment of bullous emphysema since the 1930s, including plication, excision, resection, lobectomy, or even lung transplantation. Resection of bullae for patients with bullous emphysema is indicated if complications appear, such as pneumothorax, bleeding, or infection. Resection for patients with uncomplicated bullous emphysema is indicated when it is symptomatic, large in size (usually >30% area of hemithorax), progressively growing in size, or functional in the adjacent compressed lung. The resection of giant bullae could be viewed as a special case of lung volume reduction in emphysema. Hence removal of the bullae can re-expand the compressed functional lung to achieve better ventilation and gas exchange, as well as improve chest mechanics by remodeling of the thoracic cage and diaphragm. Surgical resection is contraindicated in patients with large bullae accompanied with hypercapnia or diffuse emphysema. Some alternative minimally invasive techniques, such as laser ablation, intracavity drainage, or introduction of OK-432 or fibrin glue into the cavity, can be used for these patients. These techniques have also been used in our group for limited cases. However, elevated risks of bronchopneumonia and prolonged air leak were still reported for these procedures.

Resection of giant bullae was traditionally approached through open thoracotomy. The development of VATS in the 1990s has changed the timing and indication of surgical intervention for this disease, because it is minimally invasive and as effective as open procedures. Our results show that VATS is a safe, effective, and minimally invasive
technique in the treatment of patients with symptomatic or complicated bullous emphysema.

Preoperative assessment is very important in the selection of VATS for patients with symptomatic or complicated bullous emphysema. Computed tomography of the chest can provide detailed information not only on location, size, and number of bullae, but also about adjacent pleural, mediastinal, and underlying pulmonary changes. Pulmonary function is also very important to assess prior to surgical intervention. Spirometry can be used to evaluate pulmonary ventilation volume-flow relationships. However, patients suffering from ruptured bullous emphysema with persistent air leak are unfit to undergo this assessment. Other evaluations, such as dyspnea index, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide, blood gas analysis, six-minute walking test, or pulmonary perfusion-ventilation radionuclide scanning can be used to assess pulmonary function. These parameters are crucial in selection of the surgical procedure as well as evaluation of clinical improvement following surgery.

Choice of VATS procedure for patients with bullous emphysema include endo-GIA stapling/resection, endoloop ligation, drainage, instillation of sclerosing agents, or fibrin glue into the bullae. Endo-GIA stapling/resection is our preferred method of bullae resection, and we only use endoloop ligation in a very small number of patients with primary spontaneous pneumothorax manifesting as multiple smaller blebs intraoperatively. Prolonged air leak from the bullae resection margins is the most common complication postoperatively for patients with bullous emphysema. Hence various methods have been developed to prevent this complication, including mechanical pleurodesis by abrasion, chemical instillation, or pleurectomy, or reinforcement of the resection line by suturing or Peri-Strip wrapping. In our practice, because too extensive pleurectomy might result in severe pain and significant hemorrhage, pleurodesis is performed by routine mechanical abrasion, with additional partial pleurectomy or chemical instillation in selective cases. A new method of pleurodesis, ie, low-energy argon plasma spray mode cautery, has recently been developed by our group for selective cases. Peri-Strip reinforcement of the bullae resection line was used by our group several years ago. However, this is expensive so its use is limited clinically in our country. This problem has also been reported by Divisi et al, who used low-cost polytetrafluoroethylene as an alternative for suture line reinforcement. At present, we favor suturing only for reinforcement of the bullae resection line, and this procedure combined with pleurodesis can also prevent air leak and achieve satisfactory results.

In conclusion, bullectomy for patients with bullous emphysema can be safely and effectively performed using VATS. Pleurodesis with suturing over the resection-stapling edges for selective cases can prevent the complication of air leak.
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