Pain Prevalence, Management and Interference Among University Students in South Korea: An Exploratory Cross-Sectional Study

Hee Jun Kim¹
Sunjoo Boo¹
Timothy J Meeker²

¹Research Institute of Nursing Science, College of Nursing, Ajou University, Suwon, 16499, Gyeonggi, Republic of Korea; ²Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins Medical Institute, Baltimore, MD, 21287, USA

Background: Pain is a global health issue with a significant impact on young adults. Adverse effects caused by inappropriate pain management among university students are related to poor mental/physical health. This study aimed to explore pain prevalence, management, and interference among university students in South Korea.

Methods: Pain intensity, painful body areas, pain management, and pain interference were measured in a convenience sample of 404 students. Descriptive statistics are reported, and a multivariable binomial logistic regression was conducted to reveal factors associated with pain interference.

Results: The prevalence of acute and chronic pain was 73.5%, while 7.8% reported chronic pain (≥ 3 months). Half of university students who experienced pain reported at least four painful body areas. The average pain intensity during the past 6 months was 4.8/10. About 56% of university students who experienced pain used over-the-counter pain pills for pain management. Rest and massage were the most used non-pharmacological pain management strategies. Mood was the most reported pain interference complaint amongst university students. Greater pain interference was associated with longer pain duration, more painful body areas, and greater pain intensity.

Discussion: Pain is highly prevalent among South Korean university students. Pain management programs, including education about appropriate methods of pain relief, should be developed for university students. Attention should be given to university students with widespread acute and chronic pain of high intensity to mitigate the negative impacts caused by pain interference.

Keywords: pain, pain management, prevalence, young adults

Introduction

Individual classification of actually or potentially injurious events as painful is learned from injury-related experiences during early life that generate activity in peripheral nociceptive afferents.¹ These pain-related experiences differ throughout the lifetime of individuals as environmental, social, cultural, and biological factors that influence pain, and its subjective experience can change over time. Pain is subjective and variation in pain sensitivity is well documented at the individual level and at the level of race/ethnicity; for example, Asians tend to have higher pain sensitivity compared to non-Hispanic Whites.²

The negative impact of pain, including low quality of life, disability, and psychological distress, induces intangible costs both to the individual and to the

Correspondence: Sunjoo Boo
Research Institute of Nursing Science,
College of Nursing, Ajou University, 164,
World Cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon,
Gyeonggi, 16499, Republic of Korea
Tel +82-31-219-7032
Fax +82 31 219 7020
Email sjboo@ajou.ac.kr

society at large.³ These negative impacts are likely important in young adults because they face various stressors, life changes and challenges as they develop their adult lifestyle. In fact, previous studies reported that pain in young adults was associated with disability, lower quality of life, and reduced general work productivity.⁴ Further, pain complaints, especially among university students, were associated with opioid misuse and poorer mental health, including depression.^{5–7}

University students may have not yet developed effective coping strategies to manage their pain, which ultimately can exacerbate the negative impact of pain. Appropriate pain management in university students is important to reduce pain-associated long-term adverse effects. Individual beliefs developed from previous experiences, culturally learned traditions, and familial or peer group rituals likely influence university students' pain management. For example, a study found that university students preferred to self-manage their pain using over-the-counter (OTC) medications or self-determined non-pharmacological therapies, as they believe pain is a condition they can self-manage.^{8,9} However, pain self-management in young adults is associated with missed or delayed diagnosis, drug interactions, polypharmacy and medication overuse.^{10,11}

Understanding the aspects of life involved in pain interference and the level of pain interference experienced by university students is important to better manage pain and improve health outcomes. Life roles and activities of daily living affected by pain include general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work (eg, work outside the home or housework), relations with other people, sleep, and enjoyment of life.¹² In addition to these realms, university students with acute or chronic pain may experience interference with academic performance.

The reported prevalence of self-reported acute pain in previous studies has ranged from 40% to over 90% among university students. Past studies of pain in university students have focused on specific conditions such as dysmenorrhea,^{8,13–17} orofacial pain¹⁸ and musculoskeletal pain.^{6,19–24} Other studies focused on a specific group of students such as health students²⁵ or music students.²⁶ In South Korea, previous studies of pain experience in university students have been limited to examining associations between pain experience and physical activity,²⁷ mobile phone use,^{28,29} or internet use.³⁰

University students appear vulnerable to a high prevalence of acute pain and have significant negative

consequences from pain experiences. Exploring pain prevalence, pain management methods, and pain interference among university students is important to develop appropriate programs to address the negative impact of pain. However, few studies have examined these factors in university students. The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of acute and chronic pain, pain management methods and their perceived effectiveness, and pain interference among university students in South Korea. We also aimed to explore factors associated with pain interference in this population.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Sample

We conducted an exploratory cross-sectional study using anonymous paper-based surveys. A convenience sample of university students was recruited from four universities in South Korea in March 2016. Subjects were eligible to participate in the study if they were students enrolled in the universities during the study period and agreed to participate in the study.

Data Collection Approach

Trained research assistants visited each university and approached potential participants personally while they were in campus communal spaces such as libraries. The potential participants were informed of the purpose of the research and the voluntary and anonymous nature of the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Ajou University Medical Center (AJIRB-SBR-SUR-15-469). All participants provided informed consent, and this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Measures

The survey includes self-report measures of pain (pain prevalence, painful body areas, pain intensity and duration), pain management methods (pharmacological and non-pharmacological), pain interference, and demographic characteristics.

Prevalence of Pain

To measure the prevalence of pain, participants were asked "Have you had any pain during the last 6 months?". If they answered yes to the question, then they were asked to report pain duration, painful body areas, intensity, and interference. For pain duration, a single item was used: "How long did the pain last?"; and the response categories

were as follows: less than one day, 1–7 days, 8–30 days, 1–3 months, or 3–6 months. Painful body areas were assessed using a graphic map showing 16 body areas (head, neck, shoulder, chest, stomach, abdomen, dysmenorrhea-related, arms, legs, wrist, ankle, knee, lower back, upper back, elbow, malleolus, and others). Participants were asked to indicate if they had pain in any of these areas, and if they had, they were asked to indicate their pain intensity. Pain intensity was measured using the numeric pain rating scale (0–10), where 0 corresponds to no pain, and 10 corresponds to pain as bad as you can imagine. Cronbach's alpha for pain intensity rating was 0.865 for the current study.

Pain Management

Pain management methods included in this study were divided into three parts: seeking help from a medical professional, pharmacological, and non-pharmacological. Participants were asked if they had consulted with a general practitioner, physiotherapist, chiropractor, bone setter, or traditional Chinese physician (yes/no). Five items were included in the pharmacological management section asking the participants to report if they had used any OTC medication, topical agents, pain relief patch, or pain relief pills to relieve pain (yes/no). Non-pharmacological management self-report items included were acupressure, acupuncture, cold/heat application, deep breathing, aromatherapy, exercise, massage, music, rest, or traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) including cupping, scraping, or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). Perceived effectiveness of each pain management method was also measured using a numeric rating scale (0 = no relief and 5 = complete relief).

Pain Interference

Pain interference indicates the degree to which pain interferes with aspects of a participant's life.¹² For the current study, a composite index including 8 items was used including items related to the degree of interference with academic performance, general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relations with other people, sleep, and enjoyment of life. Each item was assessed using a numeric rating scale (0 = no interference and 5 = interferes completely), averaged and converted to 0–10 scale for better interpretability. Cronbach's alpha was 0.835 for the current study.

Demographic variables included in the study were age, gender, year in school, area of study, and weekly

frequency of physical activity lasting more than 30 minutes. The original survey tool was developed by adopting and modifying from the Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form to make them more suitable for Asian university students.²⁴ For this study, the original English version was translated into Korean by two translators and differences reconciled. The reconciled version was translated back into English by an independent, bilingual translator. The new English version was compared with the original English version to check for any loss of meaning. Readability was tested by three university students.

Statistical Analysis

Summary statistics are reported to provide a description of demographic characteristics, pain prevalence, body areas of pain, and pain intensity for participants who reported pain. Frequencies and percentages are reported for categorical variables, while median, interquartile range, mean, and standard deviation (SD) are reported for continuous variables. Independent *t*-tests were used to test group differences on demographic characteristics between the pain and without pain groups, and chi-square tests were utilized to measure the association between categorical demographic variables and pain prevalence. To assess factors associated with pain interference, a multivariable binomial logistic regression was conducted. The logistic regression analysis only included participants reporting pain in the past 6 months. Data normality was assessed using histograms, normal probability plots and measures of skewness or kurtosis. The composite index of pain interference was dummy coded as 0 = ≤ 3 and 1 = > 3 for logistic regression by the median-split method due to skewness. Demographic variables, pain duration, painful body area, average pain intensity, use of OTC medication, and use of non-pharmacological method were evaluated as bivariate factors associated with pain interference. Variables that showed trend-level effects ($p < 0.1$) in each bivariate analysis were entered into the multivariable model. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Demographic Characteristics

A total of 404 students participated in the study. Their mean age was 22.4 years (SD = 2.73) and ranged from 17 to 45 years old. Sixty-one percent of the participants

Table 1 General Characteristics of Participants (N = 404)

Characteristics	Pain (n = 297)	No Pain (n = 107)	Total (n = 404)	χ^2 or t	p
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
Age, mean (SD), range	22.7 (2.90)	21.8 (2.04)	22.43 (2.73), 17–45	3.04	0.003
Gender					
Male	98 (62.40)	59 (37.60)	157 (38.96)	16.04	<0.001
Female	198 (80.49)	48 (19.51)	246 (61.04)		
Year in school					
Freshman	67 (59.82)	45 (40.18)	112 (27.79)	24.65	<0.001
Sophomore	81 (71.05)	33 (29.95)	114 (28.29)		
Junior	40 (74.07)	14 (25.93)	54 (13.4)		
Senior	89 (86.41)	14 (13.59)	103 (25.56)		
Graduate school	19 (95.00)	1 (5.00)	20 (4.96)		
Major					
Health related	91 (81.25)	21 (18.75)	112 (28.28)	4.83	0.028
Not health related	200 (70.42)	84 (29.58)	284 (71.72)		
Frequency of physical activity lasting more than 30 minutes					
None	158 (75.24)	52 (24.76)	210 (52.63)	4.36	0.113
1–2 times/week	97 (76.98)	29 (23.02)	126 (31.58)		
3 or more/week	40 (63.49)	23 (36.51)	63 (15.79)		

were female, and 71.7% of the participants were not in a health-related major (eg, engineering) (Table 1). About half of the participants (53%) indicated that they did not exercise more than 30 minutes per week. The pain and no-pain groups were significantly different in age, gender, year in school, and area of study. Older age, being female, having more years in school, and being in a health-related area of study were all associated with a higher prevalence of pain ($p < 0.05$).

Pain Characteristics of the Sample

Among the university student sample, prevalence of pain was 73.5% (95% CI = [0.689, 0.776]) (Table 2). Among the 297 participants who reported experiencing pain in the past 6 months, the majority (54.2%) reported experiencing pain for 1–7 days. Twenty-two percent of participants reported experiencing pain less than one day, while 7.8% reported chronic pain lasting more than 3 months. The most commonly reported painful body area was the shoulders (55.2%), followed by head (45.8%) and neck (42.8%). Forty-seven percent of female participants reported dysmenorrhea. The average pain intensity was 4.8 (SD = 1.71) on a 10-point scale, and the median number of painful body areas was four.

Pain Management Methods and Perceived Effectiveness

About one-third of participants who reported experiencing pain indicated they sought medical help with pain relief (Table 3). The most frequently consulted health-care professional was a general practitioner (20.2%), followed by physiotherapist (13.1%), and traditional Chinese physician (10.4%). Chiropractor had the highest mean score for the perceived effectiveness ($M = 3.33$, $SD = 1.75$). For pharmacological management methods, 45% of participants with pain used OTC medications (eg, Tylenol and ibuprofen). OTC pills were used by 56.2%, while pain relief patches were used by 32% of participants. More than half of participants (57.2%) responded they used non-pharmacological methods for pain management. The most frequently used non-pharmacological method was rest (36.7%), followed by massage (29.6%), acupuncture (23.2%) and exercise (18.2%). Rest was reported to have the highest mean perceived effectiveness of pain relief, followed by acupuncture ($M = 3.25$, $SD = 1.19$; $M = 3.08$, $SD = 1.16$).

Table 2 Pain Duration, Areas, and Intensity of University Students Who Experienced Pain in the Past 6 Months (N = 297)

Characteristics	n	%	95% CI	
Prevalence of pain	297	73.5	0.689, 0.776	
Duration of pain				
Less than one day	64	21.69	0.173, 0.287	
1–7 days	160	54.24	0.485, 0.598	
8–30 days	26	8.81	0.060, 0.126	
1–3 months	22	7.46	0.049, 0.111	
More than 3 months	23	7.79	0.052, 0.115	
Painful body area	Frequency		Pain intensity (0–10 scale)	
	n	%	M	SD
Shoulder	164	55.2	4.34	1.97
Head	136	45.8	4.74	1.96
Neck	127	42.8	4.42	2.07
Dysmenorrhea related	138	46.5	5.74	2.49
Abdomen	83	27.9	5.07	2.20
Stomach	75	25.3	4.60	2.40
Ankle	70	23.6	4.33	2.38
Wrists	68	22.9	3.82	1.88
Knee	68	22.9	4.57	2.22
Lower back	55	18.5	4.66	1.97
Legs	39	13.1	4.83	2.35
Chest	31	10.4	4.34	2.32
Upper back	31	10.4	4.34	2.10
Arms	20	6.7	4.48	1.57
Elbow	15	5.1	5.07	2.27
Malleolus	13	4.4	4.67	2.46
Others (eg, jaw, oral, ear, eyes, fingers, toes)	21	7.1	4.40	2.73

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range.

Pain Interference and Associated Factors

Mood showed the greatest interference level associated with pain (M = 2.57, SD = 1.62), followed by general activity (M = 2.25, SD = 1.50) (Table 4). Students indicated that pain interfered with normal work, walking ability, and sleep. Average interference scores ranged from 0 to 9, with a median of 3 (IQR: 1.5–4.5). Multivariable binomial logistic regression indicated that more painful body areas (OR = 2.98, $p = 0.003$), longer pain duration: 1–7 days and more than a week compared to less than

Table 3 Methods of Pain Management and Perceived Effectiveness Among University Students Who Reported Experiencing Pain in the Past 6 Months (N=297)

Pain Management Methods	Frequency		Perceived Effectiveness	
	n	%	Mean (0–5)	SD
Seeking medical help	98	33.2	–	–
General practitioner	60	20.2	2.68	1.59
Physiotherapist	39	13.1	2.18	1.31
Chiropractor	7	2.4	3.33	1.75
setter	3	1.0	–	–
Traditional Chinese physician	31	10.4	2.20	1.35
Pharmacological Management				
Use of any OTC medication	134	45.1	–	–
Pain relief pills (OTC)	167	56.2	3.28	1.17
Topical agents	57	19.2	2.59	1.33
Pain relief patch	95	31.9	2.37	1.28
Oral intake of Chinese Medicine	29	9.7	1.97	1.74
Non-pharmacological method				
Any non-pharmacological method	170	57.2	–	–
Rest	109	36.7	3.25	1.19
Massage	88	29.6	3.03	0.98
Acupressure	69	23.2	2.65	0.94
Exercise	54	18.2	2.76	1.13
Heat application	40	13.5	2.80	1.14
Music	37	12.5	2.44	0.94
Acupuncture	36	12.1	3.08	1.16
Deep breathing	35	11.8	2.17	0.96
TENS	27	9.1	2.67	1.11
Cold application	20	6.7	2.40	0.82
Aromatherapy	5	1.7	2.20	1.10
TCM (eg, Cupping therapy, Scarping)	4	1.3	2.00	1.41

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; TENS, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; OTC, over-the-counter drug; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine.

one day (OR = 3.01, $p = 0.013$; OR = 4.46, $p = 0.005$), and greater pain intensity (OR = 1.67, $p < 0.001$) were all associated with greater pain interference (Table 5). Female gender, being in a health-related area of study, and using OTC medication that had had significant associations with pain interference in bivariate analyses were not statistically significant in the final multivariable model.

Discussion

This study explored the prevalence of pain, frequency of specific painful body areas, pain intensity, pain management methods and their perceived effectiveness, and pain interference among university students in South Korea.

Table 4 Pain Interference Among the University Students Who Experienced Pain in the Past 6 Months (N = 297)

Characteristics	Mean (0–5)	SD
Academic performance	0.92	1.32
General activity	2.25	1.50
Mood	2.57	1.62
Walking ability	1.48	1.61
Normal work	1.68	1.55
Relations with others	0.90	1.30
Sleep	1.62	1.63
Enjoyment of life	1.47	1.59
Overall interference	Median (IQR): 3 (1.5–4.5)	Range: 0–9

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.

While high pain prevalence among the elderly and an increased burden related to pain among people in low socioeconomic status have been widely studied, this study expands evidence of the high prevalence of pain among a young adult population attending university. The prevalence of pain was 73.5% among university students in this study. This is higher compared to a previous study on university students in South Korea reporting 46.3% of pain prevalence.²⁷ This discrepancy may be due to the previous study specifically investigating joint or muscle pain, whereas the current study included pain complaints arising from any body area. The current study's results are comparable to the previously reported pain prevalence of 74.4% investigating musculoskeletal pain among university students in Pakistan.¹⁹ An additional

study reported pain prevalence of 92.7% in university students in Hong Kong.²⁴ In Norway, the prevalence of chronic pain lasting more than 3 months among university students was 54%,²⁰ whereas it was 7.8% in the current study. It is difficult to directly compare these results among studies since the specific criteria used to measure prevalence of pain are not consistent across the studies. Reported pain prevalence in previous studies varied greatly (40–90%) by participant characteristics and study locations. Preliminarily, these results may suggest that pain perception or self-report differs across ethnic and cultural groups even in young adults. Indeed, pain is influenced by many factors, and race/ethnicity and culture are among those infrequently investigated.³¹ Beliefs about pain developed within an environment of ethnicity and culture may influence pain management and treatment, and this may influence differences in the prevalence of pain across ethnic groups.³²

The most frequently reported painful body areas in this study among the university students were the shoulders and neck, consistent with previous reports.²⁴ This may be associated with mobile phone or extended computer use as technology use is common and frequent in university students. In fact, previous studies reported a significant positive correlation between the duration of mobile phone use and severity and duration of neck pain.^{21,28,29} Headache was also common (45.8%), and students indicated that one of the most associated factors with pain was stress in the current study (data not shown). Furthermore, the more advanced in school the student was, the greater the prevalence of self-reported pain in this study. These

Table 5 Factors Associated with Self-Reported Negative Impact of Pain Among University Students Who Reported Experiencing Pain During Last 6 Months (N = 297)

Characteristics	Adjusted OR	Standard Error	p	95% CI
Gender (female)	1.17	0.504	0.713	0.504, 2.721
Major (health related)	1.49	0.559	0.281	0.719, 3.109
Painful body areas (>5)	2.98	1.106	0.003	1.442, 6.168
Pain duration*				
1–7 days	3.01	1.328	0.013	1.265, 7.146
More than a week	4.46	2.395	0.005	1.555, 12.774
Pain intensity	1.67	0.184	< 0.001	1.346, 2.073
Use of OTC medication	1.54	0.576	0.246	0.741, 3.207

Note: *Reference group: less than one day.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OTC, over the counter.

results suggest that the high prevalence of pain among university students may be associated with their lifestyles and habits, along with stress related to academic achievement.

Previous reports indicate the cultural tendency for Asian populations to believe pain is a sign of weakness, leading to a reluctance to report pain associated with stigmatization.^{5,33} Consistent with this hypothesis, a cross-cultural comparison study of experimental pain indicated that Asians had greater pain tolerance compared to non-Hispanic Whites.² Additional explanations of higher pain tolerance in Asians may be explained by Asians' predisposition to demonstrate inner strength by accepting pain and suffering for spiritual growth.³² However, as evidenced in the current study, these predispositions may not be true for young adults. The average pain intensity in this study was 4.8/10 and is similar to previous studies from the Middle East.²¹ Furthermore, a recent study of Asian students from Hong Kong reported an average pain intensity of 3.4/10.²⁴ This indicates that healthcare professionals should be aware of differences in the tendency to report pain across different ethnic groups, as well as intra-racial differences by age group. This may be especially relevant when younger caregivers and health care professionals are caring for older adults.

The university students in this study reported non-pharmacological pain management methods as the most frequently used method for pain control. Among subtypes of non-pharmacological methods, rest and massage were used most commonly and were perceived as the most effective among non-pharmacological methods used. Interestingly, the use of alternative medicine, including acupressure, acupuncture, or TCM, was not as frequently reported by South Korean university students. This is in contrast to previous research on the prevalence of these pain management methods among Asians when compared to other pain management methods, including OTC analgesics.⁵ In fact, more than half of the participants who experienced pain made use of OTC medications to manage their pain in this study. These findings suggest that the influence of culture on pain management differs by age group. Further studies exploring factors influencing pain management choice specifically comparing culture and age are warranted.

Pain experiences among university students have been associated with poor mental health outcomes, in the context of a population particularly vulnerable to mental health problems.³⁴ A recent study indicated that worry

and rumination induced by pain were associated with negative mood, including depression and anxiety among university students.⁷ Consistent with these findings, pain interference in this study was greatest for mood. Factors associated with greater pain interference in this study were longer duration of pain experience, more widespread bodily pain, and greater pain intensity.

While the current study revealed some novel findings and supported some previous research results concerning pain among university students, this study has several limitations. First, participants were recruited using a convenience sampling method; therefore, generalization of the findings may be limited. Second, the measures used for this study have not been previously used in the Korean population. However, these measures showed good reliability and validity when they were validated in Asians,²⁴ and results showed good internal consistency in the present study. Further studies comparing pain and associated factors across diverse ethnic groups are warranted using more extensive multi-dimensional pain measures. Future studies should include other factors (eg, psychological and socioeconomic status) known to influence pain and the presence of comorbid chronic conditions as well as social support factors that influence pain coping and interference. Further studies examining the effects of age and culture on the efficacy of specific pharmacological and non-pharmacological pain management methods are necessary to build evidence for individualized treatment regimes. Pain management is influenced by many factors, including origin of pain (musculoskeletal, neuropathic or visceral), pain duration, and patient understanding of pharmacological/non-pharmacological strategies. Studies investigating pain management strategies in university students are suggested for future studies to optimize pain management.

Clinical Implications

Based on the findings of high prevalence of pain among university students and its evident and potential negative impact in this particular age group, we suggest clinicians who care for young adult patients should include pain assessment in their practice. Providing education programs to enhance knowledge about pain and its impact on mental health is necessary for this group. Appropriate pharmacological pain management programs with assessment of pain medication-related knowledge for this young adult population are necessary as they prefer using medications for pain control to avoid opioid misuse and OTC-medication overuse. Rest and massage were found to be

favorable non-pharmacological methods of pain control in this study. Providing student common rooms with safe equipment (eg, massage chairs) and providing counseling services for mood disturbance in university students may be beneficial for pain management, ultimately leading to better mental and physical health.

Conclusion

Given the high prevalence of pain in university students, early detection by pain assessment as well as appropriate educational programs targeting pain management is necessary to reduce the potential negative impact of pain. University students reporting multiple painful body areas with long-term and high-intensity pain should receive effective care to prevent long-term negative effects of high pain interference, including disability and poor mental health. When developing pain management programs, cross-cultural as well as intra-ethnic differences should be considered.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, et al. The revised international association for the study of pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain*. 2020;161(9):1976–1982. doi:10.1097/i.pain.0000000000001939
- Kim HJ, Yang GS, Greenspan JD, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in experimental pain sensitivity: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain*. 2017;158(2):194–211. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000731
- GBD Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1789–1858. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(18)32203-7
- Bostrom M, Dellve L, Thomee S, Hagberg M. Risk factors for generally reduced productivity – a prospective cohort study of young adults with neck or upper-extremity musculoskeletal symptoms. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2008;34(2):120–132. doi:10.5271/sjweh.1218
- Carteret M. Cultural aspects of pain management. Available from: <https://www.dimensionsofculture.com/2010/11/cultural-aspects-of-pain-management/>. Accessed January 8, 2021.
- Jahre H, Grotle M, Smedbråten K, Dunn KM, Øiestad BE. Risk factors for non-specific neck pain in young adults. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2020;21(366):1–12. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-03379-y
- Rogers AH, Bakhshaie J, Ditte JW, et al. Worry and rumination: explanatory roles in the relation between pain and anxiety and depressive symptoms among college students with pain. *J Am Coll Health*. 2019;67(3):275–282. doi:10.1080/07448481.2018.1481071
- Potur DC, Bilgin NC, Komurcu N. Prevalence of dysmenorrhea in university students in Turkey: effect on daily activities and evaluation of different pain management methods. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2014;15(4):768–777. doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2013.07.012
- O'Connell K, Davis AR, Westhoff C. Self-treatment patterns among adolescent girls with dysmenorrhea. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2006;19(4):285–289. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2006.05.004
- Mitka M. When teens self-treat headaches, OTC drug misuse is frequent result. *JAMA*. 2004;292(4):424–425. doi:10.1001/jama.292.4.424
- Pereira FS, Bucarechi F, Stephan C, Cordeiro R. Self-medication in children and adolescents. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2007;83(5):453–458. doi:10.2223/JPED.1703
- Cleeland CS. The brief pain inventory, user guide. Available from: https://www.mdanderson.org/documents/Departments-and-Divisions/Symptom-Research/BPI_UserGuide.pdf. Accessed January 14, 2021.
- Uçar T, Timur Taşhan S, Aksoy Derya Y, Nacar G. An analysis of dysmenorrhea and depressive symptoms in university students: a case-control study. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2018;24(5):e12678. doi:10.1111/ijn.12678
- Hu Z, Tang L, Chen L, Kaminga AC, Xu H. Prevalence and risk factors associated with primary dysmenorrhea among Chinese female university students: a cross-sectional study. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2020;33(1):15–22. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2019.09.004
- Fernández-Martínez E, Onieva-Zafra MD, Parra-Fernández ML. Lifestyle and prevalence of dysmenorrhea among Spanish female university students. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(8):e0201894. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0201894
- Orhan C, Çelenay ŞT, Demirtürk F, Özgül S, Üzelpasacı E, Akbayrak T. Effects of menstrual pain on the academic performance and participation in sports and social activities in Turkish university students with primary dysmenorrhea: a case control study. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2018;44(11):2101–2109. doi:10.1111/jog.13768
- Abu Helwa HA, Mitaeb AA, Al-Hamshri S, Sweileh WM. Prevalence of dysmenorrhea and predictors of its pain intensity among Palestinian female university students. *BMC Womens Health*. 2018;18(1):1–11. doi:10.1186/s12905-018-0516-1
- Smiljic S, Savic S, Stevanovic J, Kostic M. Prevalence and characteristics of orofacial pain in university students. *J Oral Sci*. 2016;58(1):7–13. doi:10.2334/josnuds.58.7
- Haroon H, Mehmood S, Imtiaz F, Ali SA, Sarfraz M. Musculoskeletal pain and its associated risk factors among medical students of a public sector University in Karachi, Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2018;68(4):682–688.
- Grasdalsmoen M, Engdahl B, Fjeld MK, et al. Physical exercise and chronic pain in university students. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(6):e0235419. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0235419
- Al-Hadidi F, Bsisum I, AlRyalat SA, et al. Association between mobile phone use and neck pain in university students: a cross-sectional study using numeric rating scale for evaluation of neck pain. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0217231. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217231
- Osama M, Ali S, Malik RJ. Posture related musculoskeletal discomfort and its association with computer use among university students. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2018;68(4):639–641.
- Kędra A, Kolwicz-Gańko A, Sitarski D, Ewertowska P, Czaprowski D. Low back pain and everyday functioning of students. *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil*. 2016;18(1):31–39. doi:10.5604/15093492.1198840
- Tse MMY, Tang A, Budnick A, Ng SSM, Yeung SSY. Pain and pain management among university students: online survey and web-based education. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2017;20(5):305–313. doi:10.1089/cyber.2016.0580
- Morais BX, Dalmolin GL, Andolhe R, Dullius AIS, Rocha LP. Musculoskeletal pain in undergraduate health students: prevalence and associated factors. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2019;15:e03444. doi:10.1590/S1980-22X2018011403444
- Wristen BG, Fountain SE. Relationships between depression, anxiety, and pain in a group of university music students. *Med Probl Perform Art*. 2013;28(3):152–158. doi:10.21091/mpa.2013.3030

27. Ahn S-H, Um Y-J, Kim Y-J, et al. Association between physical activity levels and physical symptoms or illness among university students in Korea. *Korean J Fam Med*. 2016;37(5):279–286. doi:10.4082/kjfm.2016.37.5.279
28. Chu MK, Song HG, Kim C, Lee BC. Clinical features of headache associated with mobile phone use: a cross-sectional study in university students. *BMC Neurol*. 2011;11(1):1–7. doi:10.1186/1471-2377-11-115
29. Kim H-J, Kim J-S. The relationship between smartphone use and subjective musculoskeletal symptoms and university students. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2015;27(3):575–579. doi:10.1589/jpts.27.575
30. Dol KS. Fatigue and pain related to Internet usage among university students. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2016;28(4):1233–1237. doi:10.1589/jpts.28.1233
31. Miller ET, Abu-Alhaija DM. Cultural influences on pain perception and management. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2019;20(3):183–184. doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2019.04.006
32. Kawi J, Reyes AT, Arenas RA. Exploring pain management among Asian immigrants with chronic pain: self-management and resilience. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2019;21(5):1123–1136. doi:10.1007/s10903-018-0820-8
33. Dhatt G, Simpson P, MacDonald V. Self-management of osteoarthritis: a culturally-specific chronic care model for South Asians. *Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs*. 2012;16(3):21–127. doi:10.1016/j.ijotn.2012.01.001
34. Borgman S, Ericsson I, Clausson EK, Garmy P. The relationship between reported pain and depressive symptoms among adolescents. *J Sch Nurs*. 2020;36(2):87–93. doi:10.1177/105984051878007

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript

management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>