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Dear editor

I read, with great interest, the article by Agago et al assessing interactive methods of communication skill acquisition among medical students. As a medical student, I have seen both methods utilised extensively for the betterment of communication skills (CS) throughout my time at medical school and commend the authors on their study.

The authors emphasise the utility of simulated patient (SP) based CS training for enhancing communication skills but did not address the limitations in using an SP-based Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) as their method of assessment. One key conclusion was that SP-based communication training is superior to case-based role play for communication skills acquisition. SP OSCE is a well-established and validated method of assessing clinical competency and CS in general. However, given that the method of assessment and the method of training in one arm of the study were both SP-based, this likely gave an inherent advantage to those participants in the SP-based communication arm, with useful prior experience. Colletti et al have demonstrated previously that prior experience even in an SP setting generates significant improvement in future experiences and assessment.

Importantly, the use of an OSCE setting for assessment also means that these results cannot be extrapolated to indicate acquisition of CS appropriate for clinical practice. A method of assessing communication skills in a real-world setting may provide relevant evaluation, and simultaneously allow students to apply these acquired skills to actual real-world scenarios. One such possible approach may be the mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise, an equally validated tool that can be used to assess the clinical skills of medical students and professionals in real patient interactions.

Further, Agago et al recommend the increased use of SP based teaching and assessment but appear not to consider case-based role play as an approach that should be promoted and incorporated into medical curricula on its own merits. One such merit is the potential benefit of experiencing the role of the patient. Assuming the role of the patient in clinical scenarios enables students to learn from a different perspective, enhancing ability to show empathy and sensitivity towards real and simulated patients, as well as allowing students to identify ineffective techniques from the viewpoint of the patient. Lim et al cite improvements not only in objective
CS performance, but also in self-perceived confidence due to dual role play. As such, studies have conversely identified case-based role play as the more effective approach for CS acquisition, and while SP-based training is effective in developing CS that are easily transferrable to clinical practice, it is important that the benefits of role play are not diminished.

In conclusion, the findings of Agago et al demonstrate the effectiveness of SP-based training in CS acquisition. While SP-based training may show more effective acquisition of communication skills in an SP-oriented assessment, it is beneficial to employ SP-based and role play based training together, given the unique learning opportunities that each can offer.
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