Low-dose aspirin as treatment for central serous chorioretinopathy
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Purpose: To evaluate the effectiveness of low-dose aspirin for the treatment of central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR).

Patients and methods: Patients with classical or multifocal CSCR were treated with aspirin 100 mg per day orally for 1 month followed by 100 mg on alternate days for 5 months. Treated patients were compared with historic controls consisting of patients with classical or multifocal CSCR previously followed up at our institution.

Results: Mean visual acuity in the group treated with aspirin started to improve after the first week of therapy and continued to improve throughout the following 3 months. Visual recovery was slower in the untreated control group than in the treated group and achieved better visual acuity between the first and third month from the onset of the disease. There were no adverse events related to the administration of aspirin.

Conclusion: The results indicate that treatment with low-dose aspirin may result in more rapid visual rehabilitation with fewer recurrences in patient with CSCR compared with untreated historic controls. The effectiveness of treatment with aspirin supports our hypothesis regarding the role of impaired fibrinolysis and increased platelet aggregation in the choriocapillaris in the pathogenesis of CSCR.
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Introduction

Central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR) is characterized by serous detachment of the neurosensory retina due to focal leakage of choroidal interstitial fluid because of a breakdown of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). The neurosensory detachment is sometimes associated with a detachment of the RPE. A multifocal variant of CSCR is also recognized. This form is characterized by multiple points of leakage on fluorescein angiography (FA) secondary to widespread decompensation of the RPE and by a chronic course with a less favorable prognosis than classic CSCR.

While the clinical appearance of CSCR is well recognized, less is known about the underlying pathogenetic mechanism of the disease. Dysfunction of the RPE, hyperpermeability of the choroid, and choroidal ischemia have been suggested as the possible primary injury responsible for the disruption of the RPE barrier and consequent neurosensory detachment. Nevertheless, many reports indicate a focal occlusion of the choriocapillaris as a cause of the decompensation of the overlying RPE.\(^1-6\)

CSCR is reported to be associated with uncontrolled hypertension and pre-eclampsia, and is described in patients undergoing hemodialysis. It is classically...
described as affecting middle-aged people, with a male prevalence, and in personality types who exhibit a high stress response. 7-11

An enhanced response to stress with increased secretion of catecholamines and relative hypercortisolism secondary to hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis stimulation has been reported in patients with CSCR. 12-15 In addition, bone marrow and solid organ transplantation, 16,17 vasculitis, 18 lupus, 19 inflammatory bowel disease, 20,21 and Cushing’s syndrome 22 have also been associated with CSCR, as well as exposure to exogenous glucocorticoids. 23,24

All the factors associated with CSCR have as their common denominator increased hypercoagulability and increased platelet aggregation. Steroids can also induce hypercoagulability and increased platelet aggregation. Increased coagulation and platelet aggregation appear to explain the connection between use of steroids and onset of CSCR through a focal, transitory, or permanent occlusion of the choroidal vasculature. This chain of events appears to be linked to an increase in plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1). A previous study suggested the use of ibuprofen in the treatment of central serous chorioretinopathy, 25 and others have found elevated PAI-1 in patients with CSCR. 26,27 Aspirin possesses antiaggrerant effects and is effective in reducing serum levels of PAI-1.

Given the evidence of a role for PAI-1 in the pathophysiology of CSCR, we have started to treat patients with CSCR using low-dose aspirin. Our previously reported pilot study has shown that administration of aspirin does appear to influence the course of CSCR. 28 To define the effectiveness of this new treatment strategy, we performed a study comparing the results obtained in patients treated with aspirin with those in a historic control group consisting of patients with CSCR who were not taking low-dose aspirin.

Material and methods

We enrolled all patients with active classic or multifocal CSCR referred between January 2005 and February 2009 to the Retina Service of the Department of Ophthalmology, Hospital C Cantú, Abbiatragrosso, Milan. Exclusion criteria were other ocular or retinal disease, history of coagulation abnormalities or bleeding diathesis, previous laser retinal photocogulation, history of ulcerative gastric disease, pregnancy, or allergy to aspirin. Previous medical treatment for CSCR, stopped at least 15 days before enrollment, was not an exclusion criterion.

A complete ophthalmic examination was undertaken at admission in all patients, using FA and optical coherence tomography (OCT). FA and OCT were also performed at 1 week, 1 month, 3 and 6 months, and 1 and 2 years. Best correct visual acuity (BCVA, Snellen and logMar) was determined at every follow-up visit.

All patients were prescribed aspirin 100 mg once daily for the first month and on alternate days for the following 5 months. A full informed consent was obtained from each patient participating in the study. The study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The prospective case series undergoing treatment (Group A), was compared with an historic control group consisting of patients with either classic or multifocal CSCR identified from the files of the Retina Service from June 2001 to December 2004 (Group B) who fulfilled the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as Group A. Patients in Group B had complete ocular examinations, including FA and OCT, at the same intervals as Group A. Primary endpoints were BCVA and number of recurrences. Secondary endpoints were variation in leakage points observed on FA and the course of serous detachment on OCT.

The Student’s t-test was used to compare BCVA values between groups (P value ≤ 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant).

Results

Demographic data for the patient and control groups are shown in Table 1. Groups A and B were comparable for demographics and disease characteristics. Mean follow-up was 18.44 ± 9.32 months for Group A and 18.93 ± 8.48 months for Group B (P = 0.702). BCVA is illustrated in Table 2 and Figure 1. As shown in Table 2, Group B had a better mean BCVA at the initial visit than Group A (20/31 ± 20/26 versus 20/39 ± 20/29, P < 0.0001), although in the same range (Group A 20/25–20/100 and Group B 20/25–20/100). After 1 week, the difference in BCVA between the groups was still evident and statistically significant (Group A 20/36 ± 20/31, Group B 20/32 ± 20/26, P = 0.0048). This reflects an improve-
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Table 2  Mean visual acuity at the initial visit and during follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of follow-up</th>
<th>Group A (range)</th>
<th>Group B (range)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial visit</td>
<td>+0.29 ± 0.16 (+0.1/0.7)</td>
<td>+0.19 ± 0.12 (+0.1/0.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/39 ± 20/29 (20/25–20/100)</td>
<td>20/31 ± 20/26 (20/25–20/100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One week</td>
<td>+0.25 ± 0.19 (+0.0/0.7)</td>
<td>+0.20 ± 0.12 (+0.1/0.8)</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/36 ± 20/31 (20/20–20/100)</td>
<td>20/32 ± 20/26 (20/25–20/125)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One month</td>
<td>+0.14 ± 0.20 (+0.0/0.7)</td>
<td>+0.21 ± 0.11 (+0.1/0.7)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/28 ± 20/32 (20/20–20/100)</td>
<td>20/32 ± 20/26 (20/25–20/100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three months</td>
<td>+0.08 ± 0.16 (+0.0/0.6)</td>
<td>+0.13 ± 0.12 (+0.0/0.6)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/24 ± 20/26 (20/20–20/80)</td>
<td>20/27 ± 20/26 (20/20–20/80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six months</td>
<td>+0.10 ± 0.17 (+0.0/0.7)</td>
<td>+0.13 ± 0.12 (+0.0/0.7)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/25 ± 20/30 (20/20–20/100)</td>
<td>20/27 ± 20/26 (20/20–20/100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year</td>
<td>+0.09 ± 0.17 (+0.0/0.6)</td>
<td>+0.16 ± 0.13 (+0.0/0.6)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/24 ± 20/30 (20/20–20/80)</td>
<td>20/26 ± 20/27 (20/20–20/80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two years</td>
<td>+0.07 ± 0.13 (+0.0/0.5)</td>
<td>+0.17 ± 0.13 (+0.0/0.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/23 ± 20/27 (20/20–20/63)</td>
<td>20/30 ± 20/27 (20/20–20/80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stabilization of visual acuity in Group A and further improvement in Group B was noted after 6 months, with no significant difference between the groups (Group A 20/25 ± 20/30, Group B 20/27 ± 20/26, P = 0.102).

However, at 1 year, BCVA in Group A was better than in Group B (20/24 ± 20/30 and 20/26 ± 20/27, respectively, P = 0.008). At the end of the follow-up period, Group A maintained a better BCVA than Group B (20/23 ± 20/27 versus 20/30 ± 20/27, P < 0.0001).

As shown in Table 3, 86% of Group A had no recurrences during follow-up; 6.5% experienced 1–3 relapses of disease and 7.5% showed a persistence of CSCR.

In Group B, 57% had a resolution of CSCR with no recurrences, 23% had 1–3 further episodes of CSCR, and 20% had persistence of disease. The numbers of leakage points

![Figure 1 Trend of mean visual acuity during follow-up.](https://www.dovepress.com/doi-image)
observed at FA and the course of serous detachment shown at OCT are illustrated in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Administration of aspirin enabled a more rapid recovery of the Snellen BCVA and a smaller percentage of recurrences in comparison with the control group. The number of recurrences in the group treated with aspirin was also lower in comparison with previous studies concerning the long follow-up term of CSCR.29–32 Patients affected with the multifocal form have shown a limited benefit of therapy, although improvement in visual acuity was found.

None of the patients manifested adverse reactions to aspirin. A gastrointestinal risk exists, but appears to be dose-dependent. Even at low dosage, an increased gastrointestinal risk has been found,31 but has been very low (<3%), consisting of bleeding ulcers. In our series this did not occur, probably because of the young age of our patients, their lack of history of ulcerative gastric disease, and the total number of patients included being too small to show such a rare complication.

Other treatment options for CSCR are reserved for persistent or chronic forms. Photodynamic therapy with verteporfin was introduced, but its clinical use remains controversial regarding the timing and the fluence of administration.34 More recently, intravitreal bevacizumab was suggested for the treatment of classic or chronic CSCR. However, we found only small case reports in the literature with no conclusive clinical outcome.35,36 Lim et al recently showed no positive effect of bevacizumab in classic CSCR patients compared with a control group.37 Another pharmacologic approach for treatment of CSCR consists of administration of systemic ketoconazole to decrease endogenous cortisol synthesis, but this has not been associated with an improved outcome in a preliminary study or in a later clinical trial.38,39

**Conclusion**

The results of this study seem to demonstrate the effectiveness of lower-dose aspirin in the treatment of CSCR but, due to limitations related to use of an historic group as a control, cannot be considered definitive. As demonstration of the self-limited nature of CSCR, we observed a spontaneous improvement of BCVA in the untreated group in the period between 1 and 3 months. Further studies will be needed to be able to individualize the dosage and duration of administration of aspirin and to define better the role of single factors in the coagulative cascade in the onset of this multifactorial illness.
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