

COVID-19 Prevention Practices and Associated Factors among Diabetes and HIV/AIDS Clients in South-Wollo Zone, Ethiopia: A Health Facility-Based Cross-Sectional Study

Ayechew Ademas¹ 
 Metadel Adane¹ 
 Awoke Keleb¹ 
 Gete Berihun¹ 
 Mistir Lingerew¹
 Tadesse Sisay¹
 Seada Hassen¹ 
 Melaku Getachew¹ 
 Getu Tesfaw²
 Dejen Getaneh Feleke³ 
 Elisabeth Addisu⁴ 
 Leykun Berhanu¹ 
 Masresha Abebe¹
 Adinew Gizeyatu¹
 Habtemariam Abate⁵
 Atimen Derso¹

¹Department of Environmental Health, College of Medicine and Health Science, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia;

²Department of Pharmacy, College of Health Science, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia; ³Department of Pediatrics and Child Health Nursing, College of Health Science, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia;

⁴Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Science, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia; ⁵Department of Environmental Health Regulatory Directorate, Addis Ababa Food Medicines and Health Care Control Authority, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Correspondence: Ayechew Ademas
 Email aayechev19@gmail.com

Background: Coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) is affecting many people. Chronic patients are highly vulnerable to contracting an infection. Most people recover within a week, but chronic patients can face severe illness or death. The increasing of cases, complications, and mortality demands compulsory preventive measures. Therefore, this study was designed to identify major preventive practices and associated factors.

Methods: A facility-based cross-sectional study was employed from November to December 2020 among diabetics and HIV/AIDS follow-up clients in Dessie referral hospital. Data were entered into EpiData manager 4.6.0 version and exported to Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 25.0 for data cleaning and analysis. Logistic regression analysis was done and an adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with its 95% confidence interval (CI) was used for determining the strength of association.

Results: Data were collected from 426 participants with a mean age of 46.54 years. The overall poor prevention practice rate of COVID-19 among diabetic and HIV/AIDS follow-up clients was 68.8% 95% CI (64.6–72.8%). Factors significantly associated with poor prevention practice were femaleness (AOR; 1.61; 95% CI; 1.03–2.51), illiterate [AOR; 2.59; 95% CI; 1.33–5.09], family size greater than four (AOR; 2.06; 95% CI; 1.32–3.23), absence of health professional in the household (AOR; 1.79; 95% CI; 1.13–2.84), not having health insurance (AOR; 1.85; 95% CI; 1.18–2.89) and urban residence (AOR; 0.38; 95% CI; 0.18–0.79).

Conclusion: The overall proportion of prevention practice towards COVID-19 among diabetic and HIV/AIDS follow-up clients was poor. Illiteracy, having a family size greater than four, not having health professionals in the household, not having health insurance and urban residency were associated with poor prevention practices. Therefore, continuous health educations about good preventive behavioral practice should be enhanced by the health professionals.

Keywords: behavioral practice, COVID-19, Ethiopia, prevention

Introduction

COVID-19 is an acute respiratory disease affecting people with signs and symptoms of headache fever or feeling feverish/chills, cough, fatigue (tiredness), sore throat, shortness of breath, or difficulty breathing muscle pain, runny or stuffy nose, and body aches.¹ Most people recover and improve from symptoms within one week without requiring medication. Nevertheless, it might cause severe illness or

death to high-risk groups of people. Illnesses in this group of people may range from mild-severe and also may result in death. Admission to hospitals and mortality mainly occur in these high-risk groups of the community.

COVID-19 spread mainly via small respiratory droplets that are released from an infected person while sneezing, coughing, and talking. A person who is in proximate distant places may inhale the droplets or become infected through physical contacts like hugging or handshaking, followed by touching their nose or mouth. Individuals do not necessarily show symptoms to be considered contagious since COVID-19 can be transmitted by presymptomatic to symptomatic patients. COVID-19 cases are increasing and there is a likelihood of community transmission. The WHO recently modified the COVID-19 suspect case definition to include severe acute respiratory infection and advises testing of all severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) cases to tackle by early identification of cases and to quarantine them.²

Globally, an estimated annual epidemics result in about three to five million cases of severe illness and 290,000–650,000 respiratory deaths.³ In addition, according to the worldometer as of April 4, 2021 coronavirus cases reach 131,472,605 and results in 2,861,373 deaths globally. When the case of COVID-19 is severe, causes respiratory failure, pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, heart stroke or attack, severe inflammation, multiple organ failure, sepsis, and also death.⁴

According to a recent study, each year seasonal influenza results in the mortality of 650,000 people worldwide, and of these deaths, 72,000 occur in the WHO European countries.⁵ In Africa, about 16% of study subjects were positive out of 34,553 specimens tested for the influenza virus. Influenza type A virus was predominantly circulating, accounting for 62% of the positive sample specimens, of which influenza A(H3N2) subtype accounts 56%.⁶ A study conducted in Ethiopia using survey data which includes Severe Acute Respiratory Illness (SARI) and Influenza-like Illness (ILI) from 2012 to 2017 indicates that from a total of 5715 cases 20.4% of the survey has influenza viruses confirmed by the laboratory. Among SARI and ILI suspected case was 3% and 25% respectively.⁷ According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the disease of COVID-19 is more contagious in susceptible populations like people with chronic diseases and elder groups. The disease has also shown more super-spreading activity than the flu disease.⁴

In diabetic patients, higher blood sugar can create an environment where viruses are likely to thrive.⁸ This condition suppressed the immune system like that of HIV/AIDS and makes them less able to fight the coronavirus.⁹ Therefore, the increased impact of morbidity and mortality worldwide in chronic patients needs a compulsory preventive measure of COVID-19 since it is the cost-effective and main strategy to prevent the pandemic. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the proportion of prevention practices and associated factors among chronic patients of diabetics and HIV/AIDS.

Methods and Materials

Study Design, Period, and Setting

A health facility-based cross-sectional study design was conducted in Dessie referral hospital from November to December 2020. Dessie city is the Zonal Administration center of South Wollo Zone, having five hospitals (one government) and four governmental health centers, and twelve private clinics. It has a large catchment population other than the South Wollo zone including clients from Oromia special zone, North Wollo zone, and Afar region.

Source and Study Population

The source population for the study includes all diabetes mellitus and HIV/AIDS chronic patients, attending regular follow up at Dessie referral hospital. All chronic patients attending the follow-up ward during the time of data collection period were the study population.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All diabetics and HIV/AIDS clients who have a regular follow-up within the study period were included and those under the age of eighteen years old were excluded from the study.

Sample Size Estimation and Sampling Procedure

A total of 426 study participants were determined by using the single population proportion formula.¹⁰ By considering the following assumptions of, n = the required minimum sample size, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ value at 95% CI, which is 1.96, p is the percentage of prevention practice for COVID-19 (50%) and d is the margin of error 5%. Then, adding a 10% non-response rate we get an estimated final sample size of 426. Finally, a consecutive sampling method was used to reach study subjects.

Outcome Measurement and Explanatory Variable

The dependent variable was the rate of prevention practice of COVID-19 which was computed from behavioral factors. The behavioral factors were comprised of 20 questions that were adapted from different literature.^{11,12} Those who reported above the mean score value were considered to have good prevention practice towards COVID-19. Explanatory variables consisted of socio-demographic and behavioral factors of the study participants.

Data Collection and Quality Assurance

A pretested, structured questionnaire adapted from WHO COVID-19 assessment tools and different pieces of literature was used to collect the data.^{11,12} The questionnaire includes socio-demographic and behavioral factors. To ensure the consistency of the tool, it was crafted in English originally, and converted into Amharic and back into English by a language expert who is fluent both in English and Amharic. Data was collected by three female BSc nurses through a face-to-face interview. The data collection process was supervised by an environmental health professional. To ensure the acquisition of reliable data both data collectors and supervisors were trained by the principal investigator for one day. Pre-tested was done on 21 similar subjects in nearby Haik health Center and made adjustments to the tool as necessary. Daily checks were done by the supervisors and principal investigator for completeness immediately before the data entry.

Data Management and Statistical Analysis

After checking data, it was coded and entered into the EpiData manager version 4.6.0 software and then exported to SPSS version 25.0 for data cleaning, processing, and analysis. Descriptive statistics like proportions and frequencies were done. Both bivariate and multivariable logistic regressions were done to determine associated factors.

First bivariate analysis was performed and variables having a *p*-value less than 0.25 were retained as a candidate variable for adjusted multivariable analysis. A backward stepwise method was used to select associated factors. In the adjusted model, AOR values with a 95% CI, to determine the strength of association and a *p*-value < 0.05 were taken to identify statistically significant factors. The model fitness was checked using a *p*-value of 0.22 from the Hosmer and Lemeshow test, which suggests

a good model (if the *p*-value is >0.05). Multi-collinearity was checked using the standard error of the coefficient of the model with a value >2 and none of the variables was collinear.

Results

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Subjects

The response rate was 100% (426) among diabetics and HIV/AIDS clients at Dessie referral hospital. The mean ages of the study participant were 46.54 years old and the majority 284 (66.7%) fall in the range of 36–55 years of age. Slightly more than half (52.1%) of the study respondents were female and the majority of 356 (83.6%) were from Amhara ethnicity. Regarding the educational level, 74 (17.4%) were illiterate and 144 (33.8%) had a primary level of education. Nearly half of the respondents 216 (50.7%) were residents of Dessie city and about 232 (54.5%) had a family member of four and above. In addition to this about 183 (43%) had health professionals in their household and 193 (45.3%) had health insurance (Table 1).

Preventive Practice Measurement Indicators of COVID-19

About one-third, 142 (33.3%) of the study participants avoid respiratory illness sick people, about 175 (41.1%) of the study participants use hand sanitizer and/or disinfectants to avoid spreading and catching COVID-19. Besides this, about 188 (44.1) of participants seek treatment as soon as they are becoming ill and nearly a quarter of 110 (25.8%) of them gets regular exercise. Similarly, 260 (61.0%) and 179 (42.0%) of participants listen to advice from health professionals and media and use alternative non-touching greetings to prevent catching and spreading of COVID-19 respectively (Table 2).

The Proportion of Prevention Practice Towards COVID-19

The overall mean prevention practice of COVID-19 was 8.69 with a standard deviation of 2.22. We also found that the overall proportion of poor prevention practice among diabetic and HIV/AIDS follow-up clients was 68.8% with 95% CI (64.6–72.8%) and the other 31.2% with 95% CI (27.2–35.4%) has good prevention practice towards COVID-19. Of those having good practices, females account for about 61.65% (Table 3).

Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Diabetic and HIV/AIDS Follow Up Clients in Dessie Referral Hospital from November to December 2020

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age		
≤35 years	55	12.9
36–55 years	284	66.7
>55 years	87	20.4
Gender		
Female	222	52.1
Male	204	47.9
Ethnicity		
Amhara	356	83.6
Tigre	62	14.6
Oromo	8	1.9
Religion		
Orthodox	232	54.5
Muslim	148	34.7
Protestant	46	10.8
Marital status		
Currently single	126	29.6
Married	300	70.4
Educational status		
Illiterate	74	17.4
Primary level	144	33.8
Secondary level	107	25.1
Diploma and above	101	23.7
Residence		
Rural	65	15.3
Semi-urban	145	34.0
Urban	216	50.7
Family size		
Four and above	232	54.5
Less than four	194	45.5
Have health professional in the house		
No	243	57
Yes	183	43
Have health insurance		
No	233	54.7
Yes	193	45.3

Associated Factors for COVID-19 Prevention Practice

In the adjusted logistic regression analysis, the result revealed that; femaleness (AOR; 1.61; 95% CI; 1.03–2.51), illiterate [AOR; 2.59; 95% CI; 1.33–5.09], family

Table 2 Behavioral Preventive Practice Towards COVID-19 Among Diabetic and HIV/AIDS Follow Up Clients in Dessie Referral Hospital from November to December 2020

Variables	No	Yes
	Frequency (Percentage)	Frequency (Percentage)
Washing hands often with soap and water	235 (55.2)	191 (44.8)
Avoid people sick with respiratory illness	284 (66.7)	142 (33.3)
Using hand sanitizer and/or disinfectants	251 (58.9)	175 (41.1)
Covering mouth and nose with mask	230 (54.0)	196 (46.0)
Getting regular exercise	316 (74.2)	110 (25.8)
Getting adequate rest	224 (52.6)	202 (47.4)
Drinking adequate fluids	249 (58.5)	177 (41.5)
Often drinking adequate hot drinks (tea, etc.)	148 (34.7)	278 (65.3)
Stay home	252 (59.2)	174 (40.8)
Avoid visits to public gatherings/ crowded places	228 (53.5)	198 (46.5)
Covering coughing and sneezing or into the bent elbow	120 (28.2)	306 (71.8)
Seeking treatment as soon as becoming ill	238 (55.9)	188 (44.1)
Use and properly dispose of tissues while coughing or sneezing	283 (66.4)	143 (33.6)
Did not touch openings of nose or mouth or eyes	273 (64.1)	153 (35.9)
Early isolate oneself from those having symptoms of COVID-19 like feverish, feeling unwell	285 (66.9)	141 (33.1)
Safe management of waste	225 (52.8)	201 (47.2)
Reduced frequent touching of objects	271 (63.6)	155 (36.4)
Disinfect/sanitize and/or clean frequently touched surfaces and objects	291 (68.3)	135 (31.7)
Listening to advice from health professionals and media	166 (39.0)	260 (61.0)
Use alternative non touching greeting	247 (58.0)	179 (42.0)

Table 3 Bivariate Analysis of Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Diabetic and HIV/AIDS Follow Up Clients in Dessie Referral Hospital from November to December 2020

Variables	Frequency	Practice		COR (95% CI)	p-value
	n (%)	No	Yes		
Age					
≤35 years	55 (12.9)	34	21	1.621(0.79–3.328)	0.188
36–55 years	284 (66.7)	196	88	1.179(0.692–2.009)	0.546
>55 years	87 (20.4)	63	24	1	
Sex					
Female	222 (52.1)	140	82	1.757(1.157–2.669)	0.008
Male	204 (47.9)	153	51	1	
Ethnicity					
Amhara	356 (83.6)	236	120	3.559(0.433–29.264)	0.238
Tigre	62 (14.6)	50	12	1.680(0.188–14.981)	0.642
Oromo	8 (1.9)	7	1	1	
Religion					
Orthodox	232 (54.5)	157	75	1.354(0.663–2.762)	0.406
Muslim	148 (34.7)	102	46	1.278(0.607–2.690)	0.519
Protestant	46 (10.8)	34	12	1	
Marital status					
Currently Single	126 (29.6)	88	38	0.932(0.593–1.464)	0.759
Married	300 (70.4)	205	95	1	
Educational status					
Illiterate	74 (17.4)	41	33	2.206(1.168–4.166)	0.015
Primary level	144 (33.8)	101	43	1.167(0.662–2.057)	0.594
Secondary level	107 (25.1)	77	30	1.068(0.580–1.965)	0.833
Diploma and above	101 (23.7)	74	27	1	
Residence					
Rural	65 (15.3)	54	11	0.375(0.185–0.760)	0.007
Semi-urban	145 (34.0)	99	46	0.856(0.547–1.339)	0.496
Urban	216 (50.7)	140	76	1	
Family size					
Four and above	232 (54.5)	144	88	2.023(1.322–3.098)	0.001
Less than four	194 (45.5)	149	45	1	
Have health professional in the house					
No	243 (57)	156	87	1.661(1.086–2.540)	0.019
Yes	183 (43)	137	46	1	
Have health insurance					
No	233 (54.7)	147	86	1.817(1.191–2.774)	0.006
Yes	193 (45.3)	146	47	1	

size greater than four (AOR; 2.06; 95% CI; 1.32–3.23), not having health professional in the house (AOR: 1.79; 95% CI: 1.13–2.84), not having health insurance (AOR; 1.85; 95% CI; 1.18–2.89) and being in the rural area (AOR; 0.38; 95% CI; 0.183–0.79) were factors affecting good prevention practice towards

catching and spreading of COVID-19 infection (Table 4).

Discussion

Our study result showed that handwashing practice (44.8%) is much lower than the finding in US adults (83.2%) to

Table 4 Factors Associated with Poor Preventive Practice Among Diabetic and HIV/AIDS Follow Up Clients from Multivariable Logistic Regression

Variables	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Sex		
Female	1.757(1.157–2.669)	1.607(1.030–2.507)
Male		
Educational status		
Illiterate	2.206(1.168–4.166)	2.597(1.326–5.089)
Primary level	1.167(0.662–2.057)	1.351(0.745–2.451)
Secondary level	1.068(0.580–1.965)	1.290(0.680–2.449)
Diploma and above		
Residence		
Rural	0.375(0.185–0.760)	0.38(0.183–0.790)
Semi-urban	0.856(0.547–1.339)	0.851(0.529–1.369)
Urban		
Family size		
Four and above	2.023(1.322–3.098)	2.061(1.315–3.230)
Less than four		
Have health professional in the house		
No	1.661(1.086–2.540)	1.792(1.132–2.837)
Yes		
Have health insurance		
No	1.817(1.191–2.774)	1.845(1.177–2.893)
Yes		

remove spreading or catching influenza during the 2015 to 2016 influenza seasons. Similarly, about 71.8% of our study participants cover coughing and sneezing or into the bent elbow which was lower than a finding in the US (80.0%).¹² Also in this study 40.8%, stay home, 33.3% avoid respiratory illness sick people, and 44.1% seeking treatment as soon as becoming ill whereas in the US, 78.2% stay home if sick, 64.4% avoid respiratory illness sick people and 50.2% get treatment as soon as possible.¹² Similar to our finding, in Hong Kong 46.65% wash their hands with water and soap frequently. However, those who wore face masks (46%) were higher than a finding in Hong Kong (21.5%).¹³

The overall good prevention practice (31.2%) of COVID-19 was in line with a study finding in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.¹⁴ However, our study was different from a finding at Addis Zemen Hospital; Northwest Ethiopia which showed that 47.3% had poor preventive practice towards COVID-19.¹⁵ The difference may become due to

study subjects and the tools used for measuring preventive practices. In addition to this, a study conducted among diabetes mellitus and hypertensive clients attending public health facilities in Ambo shows that 10.4% of study subjects had good COVID-19 prevention practice measures which are very low as compared to our study finding.¹⁶ Besides this, a study in Debre Tabor General Hospital, Ethiopia, showed that about 49.0% have good prevention practice towards COVID-19 which is higher than the finding of this study.¹⁷ However, 60.8% of study subjects in Cameron showed that good preventive practice for COVID-19.¹⁸

In this study, the odds of females to prevent COVID-19 was 1.607 times more likely as compared to males. But our result was not similar to the finding from a study done in Cameron.¹⁸ The difference may be due to the difference in the underlying medical conditions and the socio-demographic attributes of study subjects. Our result indicates that illiterate participants were one determinant factor associated with the poor preventive practice. Again this was supported by the finding from a study done among Chronic Patients at Addis Zemen Hospital, Ethiopia.¹⁶ Similarly, a study conducted among diabetic and hypertensive clients visiting community health facilities in Ambo, Ethiopia indicates that those who do not have formal education were associated with poor prevention practice.¹⁶

However, our study revealed that the rural residence was preventive to poor practice than the urban community and this was different from the finding in Addis Zemen Hospital.¹⁵ The reason might be due to the negligence of the urban population. Similarly, this finding was contrary to the study finding at Debre Tabor General Hospital, Ethiopia which showed that urban residency was associated with good preventive practice.¹⁷

We found that the odds of those who have a health professional in their household to have good preventive practice towards catching and spreading of COVID-19 among diabetic and HIV/AIDS clients were 1.79 times higher as compared to those who did not have a health professional. Similarly, the odds of households having health insurance toward having good prevention practice were 1.85 times higher as compared to those who do not have health insurance. But the finding among students in Ghana revealed that there is no difference between those who have and do not have health insurance.¹⁹ Our result also revealed that those who have a family size of ≥ 4 were 2.06 more likely to have good preventive practice towards COVID-19 infection than those who had

a family size of less than four which was supported by finding in Southwest Ethiopia.²⁰ However as a limitation, in this study we estimated only socio-demographic factors and we might miss controlling other variables which may affect the result.

Conclusion

In this study, there is an overall poor preventive practice among diabetic and HIV/AIDS follow-up clients towards COVID-19. We found that illiteracy, having a family size greater than four, not having health professionals in the household, not having health insurance and urban residency were associated with poor practice towards catching and spreading COVID-19 infection. Therefore, continuous health educations about good preventive behavior practices are critical to reducing the spread of these diseases among the community. Proper and regular handwashing with soap and water, avoid people sick with respiratory illness and public gathering, using hand sanitizer and/or disinfectants, covering mouth and nose with a mask, getting regular exercise and adequate rest, drinking adequate fluids, stay home and isolate themselves, seek treatment as soon as becoming ill and follow advice from health professionals and media are recommended to chronic patients of HIV/AIDS and diabetics for further improvement of prevention practice towards COVID-19.

Abbreviations

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; COR, crude odds ratio; COVID-19, coronavirus- 2019; WHO, World Health Organization.

Data Sharing Statement

The data is available from the corresponding author upon request.

Ethics

All the study procedures and principles were conducted following the declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Review Committee of the College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University. The questions were proved not to affect the morale and personality of study participants. Informed verbal consent was taken for those who cannot read and write and written consent for those who can read and write after explaining why they were taking part in the research. Confidentiality was also ensured by using code numbers rather than names, data collectors interviewed people separately to retain the secrecy.

Health education and advice were given about the benefits of good prevention practices to study subjects during data collection.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge Wollo University and Dessie Referral Hospital for their permission and willingness to undertake the study. We also recognize and give much gratitude to study participants, data collectors as well as supervisors for their relentless participation and support.

Funding

There is no specific funding for this study.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest for this work.

References

1. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19)_ similarities and differences with influenza [Internet]; 2021 [cited March 27, 2021]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-similarities-and-differences-with-influenza>. Accessed July 27, 2021.
2. WHO. WHO COVID-19 Case definition; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Surveillance_Case_Definition-2020.2.
3. WHO. Influenza (Seasonal) [Internet]; 2020. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs211/en/>. Accessed July 22, 2021.
4. AMS. COVID-19 and the Flu [Internet]. American Society For Microbiology; 2020. Available from: <https://asm.org/Articles/2020/July/COVID-19-and-the-Flu>. Accessed July 22, 2021.
5. WHO. WHO_Europe _ burden of influenza [Internet]; 2021. [cited Mar 29, 2021]. Available from: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/communicable-diseases/influenza/seasonal-influenza/burden-of-influenza>. Accessed July 27, 2021.
6. WHO. Influenza Surveillance In the WHO African Region [Internet]; 2017. Available from: <http://www.afro.who.int/en/integrated-disease-surveillance/publications/4792-protocol-for-national-influenza-sentinel-surveillance.html>. Accessed July 27, 2021.
7. Tadesse M, Mengesha M, Tayachew A, et al. Burden and seasonality of medically attended influenza-like illness (ILI) in Ethiopia, 2012 to 2017. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020;20(1):1–13. doi:10.1186/s12879-020-4827-0
8. WHO. COVID-19: vulnerable and high-risk groups [Internet]; 2020. [cited February 10, 2020]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19/information/high-risk-groups>. Accessed July 27, 2021.
9. CDC. Treating Influenza (Flu). US Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]; 2016. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pdf/freeresources/updated/treating-influenza.pdf>. Accessed July 22, 2021.
10. Kelsey JL, Whittemore AS, Evans AS. *Methods in Observational Epidemiology*. 2nd edition. Oxford University Press; 1996. doi:10.1016/j.envppl.2016.06.041.

11. Srivastav A, Santibanez TA, Lu P, et al. Preventive behaviors adults report using to avoid catching or spreading influenza, United States, 2015–2016 influenza season. *PLoS One*. 2018;2:1–16.
12. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public [Internet]; 2020 [cited Jun 23, 2020]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public>. Accessed July 27, 2021.
13. Lau JTF, Griffiths S, Choi KC, Lin C. Prevalence of preventive behaviors and associated factors during the early phase of the H1N1 influenza epidemic. *Am J Infect Control*. 2010;38(5):374–380. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2010.03.002
14. Tadesse T, Alemu T, Amogne G, Endazzenaw G, Mamo E. Predictors of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) prevention practices using health belief model among employees in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2020. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2020;13:3751–3761. doi:10.2147/IDR.S275933
15. Akalu Y, Ayelign B, Molla MD. Knowledge, attitude, and practice towards COVID-19 among chronic disease patients at Addis Zemen hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2020;13:1949–1960. doi:10.2147/IDR.S258736
16. Taye GM, Bose L, Beressa TB, et al. COVID-19 knowledge, attitudes, and prevention practices among people with hypertension and diabetes mellitus attending public health facilities in Ambo, Ethiopia. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2020;13:4203–4214. doi:10.2147/IDR.S283999
17. Tigabu Desie E, Tekalign Amera B, Sheganew Fetene T, Abraham Tsedalu A, Nigusie Selomon T, Chalie Marew T. Assessment of knowledge, practice and associated factors towards prevention of novel coronavirus among clients attending at Debre Tabor General Hospital, Debre Tabor Town, North West Ethiopia, 2020: institutional based cross-sectional study. *J Community Med Heal Solut*. 2020;1(1):26–34. doi:10.29328/journal.jcmhs.1001004
18. Id AN, Nkengazong L, Ambe LA, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, practices towards COVID 19 preventive measures and symptoms: a cross-sectional study during the exponential rise of the outbreak in Cameroon. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020;24:1–15.
19. Apanga PA, Lettor IBK, Akunvane R. Practice of COVID-19 preventive measures and its associated factors among students in Ghana. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2021;104(2):526–531.
20. Wondimu W, Ayenew AEM, Kidnau AW, et al. Factors associated with coronavirus disease 2019 prevention practices in three zones of southwest Ethiopia: community-based cross-sectional study. *Int J Gen Med*. 2020;13:1603–1611. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S288067

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal

covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal>