Therapeutic interventions and adjustments in the management of Parkinson disease: role of combined carbidopa/levodopa/entacapone (Stalevo®)
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Abstract: Parkinson disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by 3 cardinal motor symptoms: resting tremor, rigidity, and bradykinesia. Since its introduction 40 years ago, levodopa has represented the gold standard for dopaminergic stimulation therapy in patients with PD. Levodopa is routinely combined with a dopa-decarboxylase inhibitor (DDCI) to prevent the conversion of levodopa into dopamine in peripheral circulation. However, up to 80% of patients treated with continuous levodopa manifest the onset of disabling motor complications capable of producing an adverse effect on quality of life as the disease progresses. In recent years, a new, safe, and efficacious armamentarium of treatment options has been provided by the marketing of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitor, entacapone, a peripheral blocker of dopa to 3-O-methyldopa metabolism, which increments levodopa brain availability. When administered with levodopa, entacapone conjugates the rapid onset of levodopa-induced effects with a protracted efficiency, thus providing additional benefits to classic levodopa treatment by increasing “on” time in fluctuating PD patients, and theoretically providing a more continuous and physiological-like stimulation of dopamine receptors implying a reduced risk of motor complications. In this context, the use of a single administration of combined carbidopa/levodopa/entacapone (Stalevo®) in the treatment of PD affords clinical improvements similar to those obtained by 2 separate tablets (ie, levodopa/DDCI and entacapone), although the former produces a more positive effect on quality of life than the latter. Additionally, the STalevo Reduction In Dyskinesia Evaluation (STRIDE-PD) study was designed with the aim of demonstrating that the combination of levodopa, carbidopa, and entacapone, used as initial levodopa therapy, significantly delays the onset of dyskinesias compared with the conventional levodopa/carbidopa formulation. Unfortunately, STRIDE-PD failed to prove the benefit of continuous dopaminergic stimulation with triple therapy in a clinical setting. Recently, the effect of combined COMT inhibitor with levodopa administration in reducing homocysteine synthesis has been described. To this regard, clear evidence has been presented indicating homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular diseases, cognitive impairment, and dementia. Several studies have discussed the potential of entacapone as adjunct to levodopa/DDCI in reducing plasma homocysteine levels with contrasting results.
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Introduction

Parkinson disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by 3 cardinal motor symptoms: resting tremor, rigidity, and bradykinesia, affecting approximately 1% of subjects older than 60 years and representing the second most common neurodegenerative disorder (after Alzheimer disease) in this population.
Since its introduction 40 years ago, levodopa has constituted the most efficacious and well-tolerated symptomatic treatment for dopaminergic stimulation therapy in patients with PD.4 Levodopa is routinely combined with a dopa-decarboxylase inhibitor (DDCI; Figure 1), either carbidopa (Sinemet®) or benserazide (Madopar®), to prevent the conversion of levodopa into dopamine in the peripheral circulation, thereby allowing a greater quantity of levodopa to reach the brain after active transport across the blood–brain barrier.3 However, as the disease progresses, up to 80% of patients who continued to receive levodopa treatment experience the onset of disabling motor complications, such as “wearing-off” symptoms, dyskinesias, and “on–off” mobility patterns.6 Approximately 10% of PD patients per year develop motor complications after starting levodopa treatment, which increases to almost 100% of patients after 10 years of levodopa therapy.7,8 A retrospective analysis of the CALM-PD (Comparison of the agonist pramipexole with levodopa on motor complications of Parkinson’s disease) study estimated an incidence of motor fluctuations within a range of 12%–60% and an incidence of dyskinesias from 8% to 64% after 4–6 years of levodopa treatment.9 Although the pathogenesis of these motor fluctuations is not completely understood, these effects are presumably related to the short half-life of levodopa, perhaps as a function of a nonphysiological, pulsatile stimulation of dopamine receptors.10–12 These complications may become severely disabling, producing a profound effect on patients’ and caregivers’ quality of life and representing a major challenge in the long-term treatment of patients with PD. In order to delay or prevent levodopa-induced complications, several therapeutic strategies are commonly used to manage these symptoms,13 providing cumulating evidence that continuous nigrostriatal postsynaptic dopaminergic receptor stimulation may prevent the onset of these complications.14

**Drugs used in the management of motor complications**

Many parkinsonologists recommend dopamine agonist (DA) drugs, such as bromocriptine, pergolide, cabergoline, lisuride, apomorphine, pramipexole, ropinirole, and rotigotine, as initial dopaminergic therapy,15 with the aim of improving motor response and decreasing “off” time, supposedly through direct stimulation of dopamine receptors. Based on a consistent body of evidence from randomised controlled trials, the DA drugs dihydroergocryptine, pergolide, pramipexole, ropinirole, and rotigotine, used as monotherapy, have all been shown to be an effective symptomatic treatment in the early stages of PD,16–20 delaying the introduction of levodopa by several months or years.21 Moreover, PD patients treated with DAs including pergolide,22 cabergoline,23 pramipexole,24,25 and ropinirole26 have been compared with patients treated with levodopa in large, double-blind, randomized trials, revealing a significantly reduced risk of motor complications, such as dyskinesias over

**Figure 1** Metabolic pathways of levodopa.
Abbreviations: COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; DDC, dopa-decarboxylase; DOPAC, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; MAO-B, monoamine oxidase type B.
follow-up periods of up to 5 years. Indeed, DAs are also used as first-line drugs in adjunct therapy in patients treated with levodopa presenting motor fluctuations. The efficacy of DAs in reducing motor complications is believed to be a result of their long half-lives, leading to increasingly constant plasma concentrations and hence a reduction in pulsatile striatal dopamine receptor stimulation. On the basis of preclinical studies highlighting the potential neuroprotective activity of DAs, these drugs have been investigated for their putative disease-modifying effects. To this regard, 2 double-blind randomized trials,27,28 with in vivo imaging using either F-dopa/positron emission tomoscopy (PET) or β-CIT/single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), have been performed to evaluate the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in patients with early PD. These 2 imaging studies, using ropinirole (REAL-PET) and pramipexole (CALM-PD CIT), have demonstrated that treatment with DAs was associated with a significant decrease in the rate of decline of putaminal F-dopa or striatal β-CIT uptake, markers of dopaminergic neuron degeneration, compared with that observed for levodopa. The findings of these trials indicated that treatment with ropinirole and pramipexole, compared with levodopa, may alter the rate of disease progression, although no conclusive findings were provided to determine whether the results reflect a neuroprotective action provided by DAs or an effect of levodopa-induced toxicity. Unfortunately, although effective, this class of medications is potentially associated with psychiatric adverse effects that limit their utility.29 Furthermore, ergot DAs may determine severe valvular heart disease30 and accordingly their use has been essentially discontinued in medical practice.21

In addition to dopaminergic drugs, other nondopaminergic drugs including the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonist amantadine31,32 and the monoamine oxidase type B (MAO-B) inhibitors,33 rasagiline and selegiline, are capable of affording satisfactory symptomatic relief in the early phases of anti-PD therapy. While amantadine, given in adjunct to levodopa, has been used in lowering dyskinesia scores and improving motor complications in patients with PD, the main use of MAO-B inhibitors has focused on their potential neuroprotective activity aimed at slowing down the course of the disease and preventing the onset of motor complications, with a demonstrated “disease-modifying” action in the case of rasagiline.34,35

In addition to conventional levodopa formulations with DDCI, controlled-release (CR) levodopa formulations [levodopa/carbidopa, Madopar (Carbidopa/levodopa controlled release, Sinemet CR; levodopa/benserazide, Madopar PR) have been developed in an attempt to maintain a more stable plasma levodopa profile, and therefore reduce fluctuations for some patients. In clinical practice, however, this approach often leads to intermittent reemergence of symptoms due to the pulsatile nature of levodopa delivery, with associated phenomena of delayed “on” time with erratic absorption, a factor featuring an unpredictable bioavailability when compared with immediate-release conventional levodopa.36 In this scenario, conflicting results have been described in clinical trials with some studies reporting significant clinical advantages over standard preparations, while others report erratic absorption and lack of additional therapeutic benefits,37,38 although CR-levodopa/carbidopa may contribute towards alleviating disruptive nighttime symptoms.21

Furthermore, over the last few years, an innovative addition to the treatment options available has been provided by catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitors, which prevalently block the peripheral metabolism of levodopa to 3-O-methyl-dopa (3-OMD), reducing formation of 3-OMD and resulting in a measurable increase in the amount of levodopa entering the brain (Figure 1).

**Rationale for treatment with COMT inhibitors, entacapone, and tolcapone**

While the first COMT inhibitors described in the 1960s40 were unselective, nonpotent, and considerably toxic, the 2 currently marketed COMT-inhibitors, entacapone (Comtan®), the only peripheral-acting compound, and the central-acting tolcapone (Tasmar®), are new potent, selective, and reversible nitrocatheol-type COMT inhibitors.31

Therefore, these agents, given adjunctively with levodopa/DDCI, decrease levodopa turnover and increase its half-life, improving the area under the concentration (AUC) time–curve and delivery of levodopa to the brain. Moreover, these compounds reduce the frequency of both dose and administration of levodopa, decreasing “off” time and increasing “on” time which together result in an improved, prolonged clinical response to levodopa.42,43 For these reasons, the introduction of a COMT inhibitor combines with the rapid onset of levodopa to ensure protracted efficacy, an association theoretically providing a more continuous stimulation of dopamine receptors with reduced risk of motor complications.44 These advantages can be obtained simply by peripheral COMT inhibition.

Although more potent than entacapone, the COMT inhibitor tolcapone is not a first-line drug, as it requires strict monitoring due to potential hepatotoxicity. Indeed, in the past, descriptions of acute, fatal fulminant hepatitis, and potentially
Use of entacapone in PD

The first trials to evaluate the use of entacapone in patients with PD were 2 multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled 6-month studies conducted in North America and in the European Nordic countries. The studies were undertaken with the aim of demonstrating the clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability of entacapone combined with levodopa in the treatment of parkinsonian patients with an end-of-dose deterioration in response to levodopa (“the wearing-off” phenomenon). In these studies, entacapone 200 mg was administered in addition to each dose of levodopa/carbidopa or levodopa/benserazide, and both trials showed that entacapone was effective as an adjunct to levodopa. The duration of daily “on” time was increased by 1–1.2 hours and correspondingly the “off” times were decreased in patients with fluctuating PD. The effect of entacapone was more pronounced after the first dose, with drug benefits increasing throughout the day. Other end points in these pivotal trials indicated that entacapone with levodopa/DDCI significantly improved total activities of daily living (ADL) and motor function scores. In line with its effect on levodopa pharmacokinetics, entacapone led to a reduction in daily levodopa dosages, which were lowered from baseline by 11%–16% in patients with entacapone and levodopa/DDCI, compared with those receiving levodopa/DDCI alone. The beneficial effect was lost on withdrawal of entacapone. Entacapone was well tolerated. Adverse events with entacapone included diarrhea, urine discoloration, confusion, orthostatic hypotension, and increased dyskinesias, at times requiring a reduction in daily levodopa dosage.

Data concerning the efficacy and tolerability of entacapone over a 3-year period in PD patients were confirmed in a subsequent study. Moreover, further studies demonstrated that entacapone was well tolerated, providing additional antiparkinsonian benefits to levodopa therapy and in levodopa-treated PD patients experiencing wearing-off motor fluctuations despite adjunct DA therapy, and enhancing the pharmacokinetic and clinical response to CR levodopa formulations. Subsequently, further studies not only confirmed the finding of the excellent risk/benefit ratio seen in phase 3 controlled studies in daily neurological practice but also suggested that, independent of dosing frequency, the benefits of levodopa/DDCI therapy with entacapone were associated with a significant impact on patient quality of life, providing clinically relevant improvements in global status and quality of life in PD patients experiencing wearing-off on their current levodopa dosing frequency.

Although only fluctuating patients were recruited in the earlier clinical studies, the efficacy of entacapone in patients with stable treated PD devoid of motor fluctuations had also been assessed in trials such as the Celomen study, with the aim of obtaining more experience on the use of entacapone in patients with less advanced disease. This 6-month, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study conducted at centers throughout Germany and Austria showed how the addition of entacapone to levodopa and carbidopa in the subset of PD patients without clear motor fluctuations modestly improved motor and ADL scores (respectively improving ADL scores from 11.3 to 10.3, and motor scores from 28.0 to 25.7) and allowed a reduction in levodopa by 24 ± 75 mg in the entacapone group compared with an increase of 2 ± 75 mg with placebo.

However, despite the observation of a beneficial trend in nonfluctuating patients treated with entacapone, the differences between the groups were not statistically significant due to small sample size (25 patients for entacapone and 16 for placebo). An additional study performed at 29 sites in the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland, confirming the ability of entacapone to provide additional benefits to levodopa treatment in increasing “on” time in fluctuating PD patients, suggesting that the benefits afforded by combining...
levodopa with entacapone could be extended to patients who were not experiencing motor fluctuations, as evidenced by improved ADL scores and a relatively reduced levodopa requirement.58

To this regard, however, a subsequent double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study of 750 patients taking levodopa and carbidopa without motor fluctuations demonstrated no improvement in Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) motor or ADL scores during entacapone,59 although several quality of life scales, as well as investigator and subject Clinical Global Assessment scores, indicated a considerable improvement.

**Use of combined carbidopa/levodopa/entacapone (Stalevo®) in PD**

In June 2003, the FDA approved triple combination tablets (Stalevo; Novartis) containing carbidopa, levodopa, and entacapone for the treatment of patients with idiopathic PD experiencing signs and symptoms of end-of-dose “wearing-off.” In a randomized, crossover study of 132 healthy subjects, the levodopa AUC was essentially the same when used in the triple combination versus single administration, indicating equivalent pharmacokinetics.47,48

These data were confirmed by a randomized, parallel-group, multinational European clinical trial investigating the use of Stalevo in the treatment of PD.60 This study found that Stalevo was well tolerated by patients diagnosed with idiopathic PD experiencing motor fluctuations, providing similar clinical improvements to those obtained with 2 separate tablets (ie, levodopa/DDCI and entacapone). Furthermore, the majority of PD patients included in this trial stated that they preferred treatment with Stalevo compared with taking separate levodopa/DDCI and entacapone, resulting in a better quality of life for patients than adjunct entacapone.

In this regard, an open-label study in PD patients experiencing wearing-off assessed the impact of switching from traditional levodopa/DDCI therapies with adjunctive entacapone therapy to Stalevo, revealing how the majority of patients treated with levodopa/DDCI plus entacapone can be easily and successfully switched to Stalevo.61 This observation has been confirmed by a more recent multicenter, open-label, 6-week study,62 based on the patient- and investigator-assessed Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGI), change from baseline UPDRS, motor/nonmotor wearing-off symptoms, and quality of life-visual analog scale, suggesting that switching from levodopa/carbidopa to Stalevo provided a significant benefit in PD patients with wearing-off.

A further trial, the FIRST-STEP study, was designed to demonstrate the enhanced efficacy provided by the added component.63 This 39-week, randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial, compared the efficacy and tolerability of the currently available triple combination tablets (Stalevo) containing carbidopa, levodopa, and entacapone with immediate-release levodopa/carbidopa in patients with early PD. This study additionally aimed to demonstrate that Stalevo was safe in early PD patients with a need for levodopa therapy. Results of this trial confirmed the greater symptomatic benefit provided by the use of Stalevo compared with levodopa/carbidopa alone, demonstrating a significant difference in total UPDRS observed after 4 weeks, which was maintained throughout the 39-week observation period, with the greatest difference occurring at week 26, without concomitant increase of the development of motor complications. Moreover, interestingly, the subjects’ CGI scores were significantly improved in the Stalevo compared with the levodopa/carbidopa alone cohort, although the investigator CGI remained unchanged. However, two important limitations should be taken into account in evaluating these results. First, changes in Hoehn-Yahr, UPDRS motor scores, PDQ-39, and PDQ-8 scores did not differ significantly across groups. As expressed by the same authors, these data might potentially reflect a relative insensitivity to change of these measures in early PD, together with the fact that the study was not powered to evaluate these measures, indicating that subjects are better able to recall their baseline status and provide a more accurate assessment of change than the investigator.63 The second limitation in the design of this study, as suggested by Müller,64 was the lack of comparisons with placebo and treatment with DAs.

In view of the concept that avoidance of troughs in plasma concentration of levodopa and more continuous nigrostriatal postsynaptic dopaminergic receptor stimulation could delay the occurrence and lead to a lower incidence of motor complications in PD patients, some trials65–70 were addressed to evaluate whether therapy with levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone was more effective compared with standard levodopa/DDCI in reducing the onset of motor fluctuations when used from the beginning. This observation was postulated on theoretical grounds and from experimental research in animal models. A previous double-blind study with CR levodopa demonstrated how this formulation did not avoid low plasma levodopa trough levels and provided no continuous nigrostriatal postsynaptic dopaminergic receptor stimulation, mainly due to its erratic absorption.71 On the contrary, as previously cited, entacapone provides for...
more stable plasma levodopa levels with tonic stimulation of dopamine receptors in the striatum and, thus, might decrease the risk of developing motor complications.

On the basis of the observations outlined here, post hoc analyses of pooled data from 3 randomized, double-blind, clinical trials and open-label extensions49,50,57 in PD patients with motor complications were performed to determine whether early enhancement of levodopa therapy could lead to better long-term outcomes than delayed entacapone treatment.72 Although this study was limited by the lack of important outcome records, final data obtained suggested that early rather than delayed addition of entacapone to levodopa/DDCI in PD patients with wearing-off provided a modest clinical benefit over levodopa/DDCI maintained for up to 5 years. In this context, a further confirmatory trial (the STalevo Reduction in Dyskinesia Evaluation [STRIDE-PD] study) was designed with the aim of demonstrating that levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone, when used as initial levodopa therapy, significantly delayed the time to onset of dyskinesia compared with the conventional levodopa/carbidopa formulation. Unfortunately, the STRIDE-PD trial failed to prove the benefit of continuous dopaminergic stimulation with triple therapy in clinical settings.73

Recently, studies describing the effects of combined COMT inhibition with levodopa administration in reducing homocysteine synthesis have been published. In this regard, clear evidence has been provided implicating homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular diseases, cognitive impairment, and dementia. It is an acknowledged fact that cerebrovascular diseases and cognitive impairment worsen the prognosis of PD patients. Increased homocysteine in plasma has been reported in PD patients treated with levodopa applied only with a DDCI.74 This finding supports the onset of atherothrombotic disease in PD patients, as reported by epidemiological studies.75,76 Several works have discussed the potential benefits of peripheral COMT inhibition afforded by entacapone as adjunct to levodopa/DDCI in reducing plasma homocysteine levels. Although this hypothesis was not confirmed by several trials,77,78 other observations have demonstrated how treatment with levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone lowers homocysteine generation, reducing O-methylation of levodopa. In turn, the latter effects may positively influence the homocysteine-related progression of PD,74,79–81 while concomitantly decreasing the risk of cerebrovascular disease and cognitive impairment in parkinsonian patients.
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