Pouchitis: Clinical Features, Diagnosis, and Treatment
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Abstract: Procto-colectomy with an ileal pouch anal anastomosis is the procedure of choice for ulcerative colitis patients that require colectomy. Pouchitis is a non-specific inflammation of the ileal reservoir, and the most common, inflammatory and long-term, complication after pouch surgery for ulcerative colitis. The aetiology is still unknown, but many risk factors have been individuated. Pouchitis can be classified based on aetiology, duration, clinical course, and response to antibiotic therapy. Accurate diagnosis and classification is the key factor for an adequate management, and exclusion of secondary causes of pouchitis is pivotal. Most of the patients consistently respond to antibiotic therapy, but management of the subgroup of patients with chronic-antibiotic-resistant-pouchitis is still challenging, being this entity one of the major causes of pouch failure.
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Introduction

Procto-colectomy with an ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) is the procedure of choice for most ulcerative colitis (UC) patients that require colectomy. Complications are common, and for this reason, ileo-anal pouch surgery should be conducted in high-volume specialist institutions, which have lower complication rate and higher rates of pouch salvage following complications.

Surgical or mechanical complications are caused mainly by factors related to the surgery; these include anastomotic leaks, pelvic sepsis and abscess, pouch sinuses and fistulae, strictures (of the pouch body, pouch inlet, and pouch outlet), afferent limb syndrome and efferent limb syndrome, pouch prolapse. Inflammatory/infectious complications are also frequent and pouchitis, non-specific inflammation of the ileal reservoir, is the most frequent inflammatory complication after an IPAA for UC; reported incidence of pouchitis is largely variable because of differences in nature and duration of the follow-up, occurring in up to 50% of the patients 10 years after IPAA in large series from major referral centers. Most patients who develop acute pouchitis do so within the first year, but some may suffer their first attack some years following surgery.

The aetiology of pouchitis is still unknown, and is likely to be multifactorial; it is supposed that a close interaction between the host immune response and the microbiota play a substantial role.

There is evidence that the intestinal microbial community plays an important role in maintaining pouch health or driving pouch inflammation. In support of this...
hypothesis, pouchitis only occurs after restoration of the fecal stream through the pouch. In addition, a dysbiosis in pouchitis has been documented, and several genes associated with the innate immune response and microbial sensing and recognition have been associated with an increased risk for pouchitis, including the NOD2/CARD15 gene, IL-1 receptor antagonist gene, and Toll-like receptor genes.

Reported risk factors for pouchitis include, being a non-smoker extensive UC, backwash ileitis, extraintestinal manifestations (EIMs) especially primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and concomitant autoimmune disorders.

Pouch configuration with two (J), three (S), or four (W) loops of small intestine has been performed, and the J pouch has become the most commonly used one, being accepted as the preferred technique due to ease of construction and efficiency of evacuation.

**Clinical Features**

This syndrome is clinically characterized by variable symptoms, including increased stool frequency and fluidity, rectal bleeding, abdominal cramping, urgency, tenesmus, nighttime faecal seepage. Rectal bleeding, low-grade fever, EIMs and incontinence may also occur. These symptoms, however, are not specific of pouchitis, and may be caused by other conditions such as cuffitis, Crohn’s disease (CD) of the pouch, and irritable pouch syndrome.

Pouchitis can be classified based on disease duration and clinical course, and response to medical therapy. Pouchitis may then be divided into acute (≤4 weeks) or chronic (>4 weeks), depending on the symptom duration. Pouchitis recurs in more than 50% patients; patients with recurrent pouchitis can broadly be grouped into three categories: infrequent (<3 episodes/yr), with a relapsing course (1–3 episodes/yr) or with a continuous course. Pouchitis may further be termed, based on response to antibiotic monotherapy, in antibiotic-responsive, antibiotic-dependent (ie, requiring ongoing antibiotic therapy to keep disease in remission), and antibiotic-refractory (ie, not responding to a standard course of antibiotic therapy).

These classifications are obviously interrelated, and combination of the above terms and characteristics is used to define particular type of pouchitis, such as chronic antibiotic-refractory pouchitis (CARP), in which are included patients who do not respond to standard 2-week, antibiotic monotherapy for pouchitis, which management is very difficult.

In 20–25% of the cases, refractory pouchitis may be due to other conditions, and before a diagnosis of CARP is done, these conditions should be ruled out.

Secondary causes may include inflammatory pouch disorders (eg, CD, cuffitis, pouch anastomotic sinus, pouch fistula), infection with specific pathogens (eg, cytomegalovirus, *Clostridioides difficile*); vascular or mechanical factors (such as ischaemia, prolapse and pouch obstruction), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, autoimmune-associated (eg, IgG4-associated, PSC-associated).

Ischemia is frequent, and it is characterized by asymmetric inflammation of the pouch with a clear limit with the non-inflamed pouch mucosa.

Risk factors include male gender and obesity; ischemic pouchitis can be very challenging to treat and most of the patients do not respond to antibiotic therapy. Patients may determine fibrotic or long stenosis and patients may develop pouch failure, leading to pouch excision.

Diagnosis CD of the pouch can occur at a distance from surgery with an increasing cumulative incidence over time. A recent study reported that cumulative incidence was 7.5% at 5 years postoperatively and gradually increased to 17.7% and 33.0% at 10 and 20 years. CD of the pouch can be diagnosed after IPAA intentionally performed in selected group of patients with CD, can be inadvertently found in colectomy specimens of patients with preoperative diagnosis of UC, in patients labelled as indeterminate colitis, and, more frequently, de novo CD can occur months or years after pouch surgery.

In the presence of perianal disease, a diagnosis of CD should be considered if fistulae or abscess develop greater than 6–12 months after IPAA, develop in area outside pouch-anal anastomosis or in case of concurrent small bowel disease.

*Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is more common cause of refractory pouchitis than cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection reaching 11%; CDI in patients who underwent pouch surgery is very often refractory or recurrent. The occurrence of post-surgical mechanical complications was a risk factor for refractory or recurrent CDI of the ileal pouch.

Use of NSAIDs after pouch surgery postoperatively, is associated with acute and chronic pouchitis. Furthermore, patients on regular NSAIDs and pouch-related disorders benefit from complete discontinuation of these drugs.
A subgroup of patients with pouchitis has concurrent immune-mediated conditions including primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), seropositivity for immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) and infiltration of IgG4-expressing plasma cells in the pouch mucosa.\(^{45}\)

Once secondary pouchitis is ruled-out a diagnosis of CARP is made.

## Diagnosis

Patients with pouchitis may have a variable clinical presentation; pouchitis should be suspected in patients with increased stool frequency and liquidity, urgency, abdominal cramps, night-time fecal seepage, pelvic pressure, tenesmus, or incontinence. Since these symptoms are not specific to pouchitis, it is also required the exclusion of other diagnoses by history, laboratory evaluation, endoscopy and biopsy with histology and, in some cases, abdominal/pelvic imaging. Accurate diagnosis and classification are important for appropriate management. The diagnosis of pouchitis should not be based only on symptoms assessment; endoscopic evaluation with biopsies of the mucosa of the pouch body and of the afferent limb is the most important tool for the diagnosis and differential diagnosis.\(^{38,45}\)

Pouchoscopy and pouch mucosal biopsy should be always done when symptoms compatible with pouchitis are present.\(^{46−47}\) A stricture may be present in patient with IPAA, and therefore a pediatric colonscope or a gastroscope is preferred for endoscopic evaluation. During pouchoscopy the afferent limb, inlet, tip of the J, proximal and distal pouch, anastomosis, rectal cuff, anal canal, and perianal area should be evaluated. Endoscopic findings are not specific and include diffuse erythema, which may be patchy, oedema, granularity, friability, spontaneous or contact bleeding, loss of vascular pattern, mucous exudates, haemorrhage, erosions and ulceration. Erosions and/or ulcers along the staple line should not be considered as diagnostic tool of pouchitis.\(^{5,46}\)

Inflammation of the rectal cuff is also frequent, and cuff biopsies are helpful to diagnose cuffitis, which is the inflammation of the retained rectal mucosa (columnar cuff) above the anal transitional zone (ATZ) after stapled anastomosis. Usually, patients have no symptoms, which may be due to complications (such as stenosis); anal irritation/discomfort, pouchitis-like symptoms, extra-intestinal manifestations, rectal bleeding and tenesmus are the most frequent.\(^{33}\)

There are several diagnostic indices to assess inflammation of the pouch. The most widely used is the 18-point pouchitis disease activity index (PDAI), which include symptom (0−6 points), endoscopy (0−6 points), and histology (0−6 points) subscores. A total PDAI score of ≥7 points is considered diagnostic for pouchitis,\(^ {47}\) but this score is not specific and irritable pouch syndrome or other inflammatory disorders of the pouch (eg, cuffitis, CD of the pouch) can also elevate the PDAI.\(^ {48}\)

A modified PDAI (mPDAI), which excludes histology score, has been proposed, with similar diagnostic accuracy when compared with the PDAI for the diagnosis of pouchitis with a score of ≥5.\(^ {39}\)

Recently, 12 panelists used a modified RAND appropriateness methodology to rate the appropriateness of items evaluating endoscopic pouchitis disease activity. Four central readers then evaluated 50 pouchoscopy videos in triplicate, in random order. Intra- and inter-rater reliability for each item was assessed. Substantial reliability was observed only for the endoscopic items of ulceration and ulcerated surface in the pouch body.\(^ {50}\)

In a study including consecutive asymptomatic patients after pouch surgery for UC, undergoing surveillance pouchoscopy, “incidental” abnormal endoscopic and/or histologic findings were common, suggesting the utility of endoscopic surveillance.\(^ {51}\) More recently, a study showed that ulcers and/or erosions were observed in about 20% of asymptomatic patients and were associated with an increased risk of acute pouchitis.\(^ {52}\)

In a retrospective paper, prepouch ileitis (PI) was present in 33/546 (6%) and in 57/1286 (4.4%) patients and most of these had concurrent pouchitis, with endoscopic findings characterized by erosions, ulcerations, erythema, friability. Of the patients with PI about 20% received no specific treatment, one-fourth responded to antibiotics, 50% required escalation in therapy to steroids/immunomodulators or anti-TNFα, with <20% of pouch failure.

Immunosuppressive/biological treatment was required more frequently in patients with PI than those with pouchitis alone.\(^ {53}\)

More recently, overall incidence of PI among 1286 patients was reported to be of 4.4%; symptoms included increased frequency (26.4%), outlet obstruction (21%), and bleeding (15.8%). Afferent limb stenosis affected 49.1% of the patients. CD, indeterminate colitis, and stenosis with outlet obstruction are risk factors for failure of treatment after diagnosis of PI.\(^ {54}\)

Biopsies should be taken from the mucosa of the pouch body and from the mucosa of the afferent limb above the pouch, and not along the stapled line.
Histological findings of pouchitis which include acute inflammation with polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration, crypt abscesses and ulceration, in association with a chronic inflammatory infiltrate, are also non-specific; moreover, there might be discrepancy between endoscopic and histologic findings in pouchitis.\(^{54,55}\) Although histology has a limited role in grading the degree of pouch inflammation, it can provide useful information on some specific pathogenetically relevant features, such as the presence of granulomas, viral inclusion bodies (characteristic of CMV), dysplasia or cancer, ischaemia or prolapse. We suggest to perform biopsies at every pouch endoscopy performed.

**Laboratory Findings**

Patients may have iron deficiency anemia due to insufficient iron absorption or utilization or blood loss. Low serum vitamin D levels may also be reported by patients with ileal pouches, even in the absence of concurrent mucosal inflammation. Patients with concurrent primary sclerosing cholangitis or IgG4-associated cholangiopathy may have an elevation of alkaline phosphatase and/or bilirubin. Antinuclear antibody, antimicrosomal antibodies, and IgG4 can also be assessed to rule out primary sclerosing cholangitis and IgG4 cholangitis.\(^{38,39,45}\)

Stool studies should include stool *C. difficile* toxin. For patients with systemic symptoms, such as fever, chills, night sweats, weight loss, and leukocytosis, stool cultures for other intestinal pathogens (eg, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Campylobacter*, *Yersinia*) and specific testing for *E. coli* O157:H7 should be performed.

In patients with antibiotic-refractory or antibiotic-dependent pouchitis, additional tests may be useful to rule out other etiologies and identify pouch-related complications (eg, CD, stricture, abscess, sinus, or fistula). When CD of the pouch is suspected, assessment of laboratory findings, together with clinical, endoscopic, histologic and imaging (ultrasound, MRI, CT scan) evaluation, as well as examination by a colorectal surgeon in case of suspected perianal disease (including anoscopy, anal ultrasound, and MRI) is advised.\(^{38,39,45}\)

Surgery-associated complications, such as pouch anastomotic leaks or sinus, pelvic abscess, and pouch ischemia, should be suspected in patients who experience symptoms suggestive of pouchitis rapidly after pouch construction and ileostomy closure, and do not respond to the antibiotic therapy; this condition can be differentiated from pouchitis based on history, pouchoscopy with biopsy, and imaging (water-soluble contrast enema and MRI/CT enterography).

If patients have, or if it is suspected, a concurrent PSC, abdominal imaging studies, such as ultrasonography or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography should be performed.\(^{38,39,45}\)

Pouch failure is defined as the need for permanent diversion, with or without pouch excision. The reported cumulative frequency ranged from 4% to 10%.\(^{4,56,57}\)

The most common causes are pelvic sepsis, CARP, CD of the pouch and pouch fistula or sinus.

**Management of Pouchitis**

The disease course of pouchitis is different among different patients. About one-third of patients may have only a single episode of acute pouchitis.\(^{26}\) However, two-thirds of patients will have a recurrent pouchitis, of which approximately one-third will develop CARP.\(^{58}\)

**Treatment of Acute Pouchitis**

Accurate diagnosis and classification are important for appropriate management. Treatment of pouchitis is largely empiric and only few small placebo-controlled trials have been conducted.

The awareness of the crucial importance that fecal stasis and the bacterial overgrowth in the pathogenesis of acute pouchitis has led the clinicians to treat patients with antibiotics, which have become the mainstay of treatment, in the absence of controlled trials. Usually, metronidazole and ciprofloxacin are the most common initial therapy, with good response in the majority of cases, although the optimum modality of treatment is not clearly defined.\(^{5,31,38}\)

Metronidazole and ciprofloxacin have been compared in a small randomized trial; seven patients received ciprofloxacin 1 g/day and nine patients metronidazole 20 mg/kg/day for a period of 2 weeks. Ciprofloxacin lowered the PDAI score from 10.1 ± 2.3 to 3.3 ± 1.7 (p = 0.0001), whereas metronidazole reduced the PDAI score from 9.7 ± 2.3 to 5.8 ± 1.7 (p = 0.0002). There was a significantly greater benefit with ciprofloxacin than with metronidazole in terms of the total PDAI (p = 0.002), symptom score (p = 0.03) and endoscopic score (p = 0.03), as well as fewer adverse events (33% of metronidazole-treated patients reported side effects, but none on ciprofloxacin).\(^{59}\) A small controlled trial of 2 mg budesonide enemas showed efficacy similar to oral metronidazole 500 mg b.d.s. However, 57% of metronidazole-treated patients had adverse events vs only
25% in the budesonide group. Neither rifaximin nor Lactobacillus plantarum GG were more effective than placebo in two controlled trials. 

In a non-randomised, non-controlled, open-label trial, a highly concentrated probiotic preparation (De Simone formulation) was shown to be effective in the treatment of mildly active pouchitis when used at very high-dose.

**Treatment of Secondary Pouchitis**

The most important approach is the elimination of causing factor, such as NSAID use, eradication of infection, correction of mechanical complications.

CDI is a common cause of secondary pouchitis. The treatment of CDI in IPAA is largely empiric, in fact there are no published prospective trials. Oral vancomycin should be considered first line in the management of pouch CDI. Recommended dose of oral vancomycin is 500–1000mg/day for 2–4 weeks.

Patients with concurrent inflammatory or mechanical conditions of the pouch, such as pouch outlet stricture, cuffitis and CD of the pouch, should be treated either with endoscopic dilation, or topical anti-inflammatory agents, immunomodulators and/or biological therapy.

Ischemia may determine fibrotic or long stenosis and patients may develop pouch failure, leading to pouch excision.

**Primary and Secondary Prophylaxis**

The probiotic preparation “De Simone Formulation” has been shown to prevent pouchitis onset within the first year after surgery in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Forty consecutive patients who underwent ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis were randomized to receive either the probiotic formulation (2 packets containing 450 billion bacteria of different 8 strains each/day) (n = 20) or an identical placebo (n = 20) immediately after ileostomy closure for 1 year. The patients were assessed clinically, endoscopically, and histologically after 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. Two of the 20 patients (10%) treated with the probiotic formulation had an episode of acute pouchitis compared with 8 of the 20 patients (40%) treated with placebo (Log rank test, z = 2.273; P < 0.05), and experienced a significant improvement in their quality of life.

In an open, retrospective study, Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG seemed to have some efficacy for delaying the first episode of pouchitis.

Tinidazole showed some benefit in small, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, published only as an abstract, in prevention of onset of pouchitis; 81% (21/26) of tinidazole patients had no episodes of pouchitis compared to 58% (7/12) of placebo patients during the first year after ileostomy closure.

Patients with antibiotic-responsive pouchitis could develop frequent relapses and require maintenance therapy. Two double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies have shown the efficacy of “De Simone Formulation” to maintain remission in patients with chronic pouchitis who obtained remission after 1 month of therapy with antibiotic combination.

In the first study 40 patients who went into clinical and endoscopic remission after 1 month of combined antibiotic treatment (rifaximin 2 g/day + ciprofloxacin 1 g/day) were randomized to receive either the highly concentrated probiotic preparation, 4 packets/day (18 × 10^11 bacteria/day), or placebo for 9 months. All 20 patients who received placebo relapsed, while 17/20 patients (85%) treated with the probiotic formulation remained in clinical and endoscopic remission at the end of the study. Interestingly, all 17 patients relapsed within 4 months of stopping probiotics. In the second study, 36 patients with chronic, refractory pouchitis who achieved remission (PDAI = 0) after 1 month of combined antibiotic treatment (metronidazole + ciprofloxacin) received 4 packets a day of “De Simone formulation” or placebo for 1 year. Remission rates at 1 year were 85% in the probiotic group and 6% in the placebo group (p < 0.001).

Alternative therapeutic options for patients with antibiotic-dependent pouchitis who do not respond to probiotic maintenance therapy include long-term, low-dose antibiotic treatment. However, long-term use of antibiotic may be associated with antibiotic-resistance, adverse effects and possible opportunistic infection. In an observational study, chronic antibiotic-dependent pouchitis had been maintained on antibiotics continuously for at least 1 year; remission was achieved in 21% of the patients over a median follow-up of 102 (range 9–125). The most common antibiotics used were ciprofloxacin (30%), metronidazole (19%) or a combination of both (11%). The other antibiotics included colistin, rifaximin and cepalexin. We suggest in some patients the use of antibiotic for 1 week per month alternating antibiotics. Pouch failure occurred in 18%, side effects of long-term antibiotic use occurred in 28% of the patients, with resistance to antibiotics from at
least one stool sample occurring in 78% patients. Redo-pouch can be attempted in some cases. 

Treatment of CARP

Treatment of CARP is challenging and this form of pouchitis is one of the most common causes of pouch failure. A combination of two antibiotics can be effective, such as ciprofloxacin (1 g per day) with either rifaximin (2 g per day) metronidazole (1 g per day) or tinidazole (1–1.5 g per day) for 4 weeks. 

Oral budesonide for 8 weeks determined 75% of remission; oral beclomethasone dipropionate and topical tacrolimus are effective alternatives.

In case of failure in inducing remission, systemic corticosteroids, immunosuppressants and anti-TNF drugs including infliximab and adalimumab can be used.

A recent meta-analysis evaluating the efficacy of anti-TNF therapy on chronic refractory pouchitis have shown that the rates of short-term and long-term clinical remission were 0.50 (95% CI [0.37–0.63]; I2 = 0.57) and 0.52 (95% CI [0.39–0.65]; I2 = 0.59), respectively.

More recently, also vedolizumab and ustekinumab have been tested in the treatment of CARP.

Efficacy of vedolizumab was evaluated in a retrospective, multicenter cohort study at five academic referral centers in the United States. Eighty-three patients were treated with vedolizumab and the median follow-up was 1.3 years; the proportion of patients that achieved at least a clinical response was 71.1%, with 19.3% achieving clinical remission; the rate of endoscopic response and mucosal healing was 54.1% and 17.6%, respectively. Patients who developed pouchitis symptoms less than 1 year after undergoing IPAA were less likely to respond to vedolizumab.

In a single-center, retrospective study, patients with CARP who received vedolizumab were evaluated; of 19 patients treated 10 (53%) had anti-TNFs after pouch surgery. Six (32%) patients had improvement in the mPDAI symptom subscores and 14 (74%) had improvement in both endoscopic and total mPDAI scores, and four (21%) required surgery for CARP.

In a retrospective single-center study, 24 patients with CARP, received ustekinumab with standard CD dosing. Twelve patients (50%) had a clinical response with the median number of bowel movements within 24 h decreasing from 8 (IQR, 5–12) to 6 (IQR, 5–8) P = 0.002. Pouchoscopy was available only in 13 patients, and the median endoscopic subscore of the PDAI decreased from 5 (IQR, 3–6) to 4 (IQR, 2–5), P = 0.016.

Conclusions

Pouch surgery is a complex surgery and should be performed by experienced surgeon in a high-volume center. Pouchitis is the most common long-term complication of IPAA, and is a syndrome including many different diseases. Etiology in unknown but dysbiosis has a key role in the disease initiation and development. Risk factors include NOD2/CARD15 mutation, being a non-smoker, extensive UC, backwash ileitis, extraintestinal manifestations (EIM) especially PSC, regular use of NSAIDs, and concomitant autoimmune disorders. Diagnosis and classification should be accurate for appropriate management; pouch endoscopy is the most effective technique for diagnosis and differential diagnosis. Most of the patients with pouchitis respond rapidly to antibiotic therapy, but management of CARP is still challenging, being one of the most frequent causes of pouch failure. Secondary causes should be ruled out before a diagnosis of CARP is made, and this can improve prognosis.
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