Effective Studying Habits of High Performing Medical Students: To What Degree Does It Influence Exam Performance? [Letter]

Muhammad Yousuf Hayat ¹
Farah Bocus ²

¹Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK;

²Faculty of Medicine, St. George's University of London, London, UK

Dear editor

We read with great interest the article by Abdulrahman et al¹ on the topic of effective studying habits, and as senior medical students, we offer our perspectives in this letter.

It was intriguing to learn about the variety of study habits of highly effective medical students and the factors which motivated them to pursue their academic aspirations.

The findings of this study are supported by previous studies of a similar nature. A questionnaire-based study from King Abdulaziz University (which was one of the included institutions in this article) found that successful medical students abstained from distractions such as social media, had strong motivation and the majority preferred to study alone.²

Whilst we commend the authors for their work, we believe that there are further points to be considered.

This study did not account for medical students from potentially disadvantaged backgrounds. The authors mentioned that medical students who study effectively learnt from multiple sources and “invest in technology”. It is also noteworthy that the majority of the students lived in family privately rented houses as opposed to student dormitories. These findings may imply that financial wellbeing is also a factor that may influence performance of medical students as they cannot afford the same resources. Poorer financial wellbeing of medical students has been demonstrated to be negatively associated with academic performance.³

The authors mention that many Saudi medical schools have adopted modern variations of active studying such as integration of problem-based learning (PBL). Six medical colleges were included in this study and hence variations in the curriculum between medical schools could have influenced the findings of this study. This could have been remediated by carrying out subgroup analyses based on the style of teaching that is delivered to students by their medical school. For example, comparing study practices of students who have a PBL based curriculum to those that have didactic lectures.

From our own experiences as senior medical students, the study techniques we employ have varied with the style of teaching that was delivered. The literature has shown that curricular design influences learning strategies too.⁴

Correspondence: Muhammad Yousuf Hayat
Email m1606704@sgul.ac.uk

Farah Bocus
Email m1606642@sgul.ac.uk

Highly effective medical students were inexplicitly defined in this study as medical students who had a higher GPA (above 4.0). Despite this, the authors state that students would rely on resources that were more likely to allow them to score higher on their exam. We therefore ask ourselves, how much of the student's exam performance was influenced by effective studying techniques as opposed to the specific resources that students used such as lecture handouts.

A cross sectional design makes it difficult to establish this. We thus propose a prospective cohort study at the beginning of the academic year, with questionnaires throughout the academic year whilst accounting for student financial wellbeing, curricular design, and lecture attendance (as this is where students received handouts).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Abdulrahman KA, Khalaf AM, Abbas FB, Alanazi OT. Study habits of highly effective medical students. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2021;12:627. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S309535
2. Al Shawwa L, Abulaban AA, Abulaban AA, et al. Factors potentially influencing academic performance among medical students. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2015;6:65–75. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S69304
3. Pisaniello MS, Asahina AT, Bacchi S, et al. Effect of medical student debt on mental health, academic performance and specialty choice: a systematic review. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9:29980. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029980
4. Wijnen M, Loyens SMM, Smeets G, Kroeze M, van der Molen H. Comparing problem-based learning students to students in a lecture-based curriculum: learning strategies and the relation with self-study time. *Eur J Psychol Educ.* 2017;32(3):431–447. doi:10.1007/s10212-016-0296-7

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Advances in Medical Education and Practice 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Advances in Medical Education and Practice editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Advances in Medical Education and Practice

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Advances in Medical Education and Practice is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that aims to present and publish research on Medical Education covering medical, dental, nursing and allied health care professional education. The journal covers undergraduate education, postgraduate training and continuing medical education

including emerging trends and innovative models linking education, research, and health care services. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/advances-in-medical-education-and-practice-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S324904>