Atherogenic dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus: what’s new in the management arena?
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Abstract: When compared with the general population, the diabetic population is at higher risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), as predicted by the Framingham Risk Score calculations (10-year risk 20%). For this reason diabetes is considered a “coronary disease equivalent” condition, as classified by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP-ATP) III. Furthermore, patients with diabetes who experience a myocardial infarction have a poorer prognosis than nondiabetic patients, which contributes to their overall higher mortality. Dyslipidemia is a major underlying risk factor contributing to the excess CVD risk, and is usually more atherogenic in the presence of diabetes. It is uniquely manifested by raised levels of triglycerides, low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and smaller, denser, and more atherogenic low-density lipoprotein particles. Recent trials have suggested the need for more aggressive treatment of dyslipidemia in this subpopulation than the current recommendations by the NCEP-ATP III. This review addresses the newer developments in the diabetes arena in terms of our current understanding of atherogenic dyslipidemia in diabetes and data from the latest randomized trials addressing its management.
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Introduction
The World Health Organization (WHO) defines cardiovascular disease (CVD) as “A group of disorders of the heart and blood vessels and includes coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral artery disease, rheumatic heart disease, congenital heart disease, deep vein thrombosis, and pulmonary embolism”. Furthermore, WHO estimates show that mortality from CVD accounts for 29% of all deaths worldwide.1 In the US, death from CVD continues to be the leading cause of mortality.2 More specifically, coronary heart disease (CHD) is the largest component of death related to CVD, both worldwide and within the US.1,2 Risk factors for CVD, both major and minor, include high blood pressure, cigarette smoking, high serum cholesterol, low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), diabetes, obesity (especially central obesity), left ventricular hypertrophy, family history of premature CVD, and estrogen replacement.3

Pathophysiology
CHD is caused by atherosclerosis, ie, hardening of an artery due to atheromatous plaque.4 The formation of an atheromatous plaque, known as atherogenesis, is a complex process involving both cellular and acellular elements.5 Although there is a growing body of evidence implicating inflammatory processes and the cells involved
in those processes, the attention of bench and clinical researchers, the pharmaceutical industry, and treatment providers has been focused on acellular elements for several decades. The major acellular elements are cholesterol, cholesterol esters, and phospholipids. Whereas the human body produces the majority of its daily requirement of cholesterol, most Americans now exceed that requirement with additional intake through their diet.

Because cholesterol is insoluble when it enters the circulatory system it is transported as a lipoprotein. The major lipoprotein entities in a fasting individual are LDL-C, HDL-C, and very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C). Measuring serum fasting lipid levels assists in evaluating and treating excessive serum lipid levels which are associated with increased morbidity and mortality from CHD. A fasting serum lipid panel consists of total cholesterol (TC), LDL-C, HDL-C, and triglyceride concentrations.

While each of the aforementioned parameters have been shown to be independent risk factors for developing CHD, further analysis has shown that the aim of treatment should be reduction of the concentration of LDL-C particles because these are highly atherogenic and constitute 60%–70% of TC. In fact, the atherogenic nature of LDL-C, even when considered low-risk for developing CHD, has the potential to raise CHD risk, especially when combined with other elements. This overall combination, borderline high-risk LDL-C (130–159 mg/dL), high-normal triglycerides (150–250 mg/dL), presence of small LDL-C particles, and low HDL-C (<35 mg/dL) is what defines “atherogenic dyslipidemia”. However, as per the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program – Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP-ATP) III, atherogenic dyslipidemia is defined as the triad of elevated triglycerides, low HDL-C, and small LDL-C particles. This triad is most commonly found in individuals with an atherogenic phenotype and/or type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), and treatment involves lowering triglycerides and increasing HDL-C by diet modification, increased exercise, and pharmacologic therapy with fibrates or nicotinic acid.

**Scope of the problem**

The risk of developing atherosclerotic disease is increased two- to three-fold in people with diabetes. The WHO estimate of worldwide diabetic prevalence of 171 million in 2000 is expected to grow substantially to 366 million by 2030. In the US alone, the WHO estimates that the prevalence will increase from 17,702,000 in 2000 to 30,312,000 by 2030. For the year 2007, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that the prevalence of diagnosed DM in individuals of all ages in the US was 23.6 million, which is close to the WHO 2030 estimate. DM is categorized as type 1, type 2, gestational, and other, with type 2 being the most frequently diagnosed category. In terms of financial costs, Huang and Basu et al projected that annual spending on DM over the next 25 years will grow to $336 billion per year, with Medicare slightly exceeding 50% of its total yearly expenditure on the disease by 2034. It is clear that aggressive medical and financial management is needed for type 2 DM and atherogenic dyslipidemia.

**Management strategies**

As evidenced by the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S), Cholesterol And Recurrent Events (CARE), and Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease (LIPID) secondary prevention trials, as well as the primary and secondary trials Heart Protection Study (HPS), Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT), and Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcome Trial-Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA), and the most recent and only primary prevention study, the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDs), the use of statins in type 2 diabetics has been shown to decrease CVD outcomes significantly (see Figure 1). Furthermore, a meta-analysis of 18,686 diabetics on a statin in the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists’ (CTT) collaborators study found that, after a mean follow-up of 4.3 years there was a proportional 9% decrease in all-cause mortality per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, and a proportional 21% reduction per mmol/L decrease in LDL-C in major vascular events, compared with nondiabetics, indicating that all diabetics should start an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor if they are at high risk for vascular events.

This is consistent with current treatment recommendations from the NCEP-ATP III, which addresses the management of atherogenic dyslipidemia, and makes recommendations for weight reduction, increased exercise, and lipid-lowering therapies (ie, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, fibrates, and nicotinic acid). In terms of atherogenic dyslipidemia and DM, specifically those with type 2 DM, the NCEP-ATP III and the American Diabetes Association (ADA) both recommend lifestyle modification and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor therapy regardless of baseline LDL-C for those with overt CVD and those without CVD who are age >40 years with one or more CVD risk factors. For those who do not have overt CVD or age <40 years, statin therapy should be considered, along with lifestyle modification if LDL-C is >100 mg/dL or the patient has multiple CVD risk factors. Treatment goals for LDL-C...
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are LDL-C <100 mg/dL for those without overt CVD, and LDL-C <70 mg/dL for those with overt CVD.6,21

Non-HDL-C (calculated as total cholesterol – HDL cholesterol) is a secondary target for therapy in the NCEP-ATP III recommendations. The ADA and the American College of Cardiology recommend that non-HDL-C target goals for diabetics with overt CVD should be <130 mg/dL and apolipoprotein-B <80 mg/dL. Those diabetics without overt CVD are recommended to have non-HDL-C <130 mg/dL and apolipoprotein-B <90 mg/dL.22 This is due to the fact that LDL-C is not usually raised in diabetics, thus leaving the components that make up non-HDL-C, especially in the presence of hypertriglyceridemia, more representative and a more reliable measure of atherogenicity, such that there is discussion to advance non-HDL-C as a better predictor of CVD in diabetics.6,23,35

Reaching both these current LDL-C and non-HDL-C targets raises the issues of dosage requirements for lipid-lowering drugs, patient tolerability, and side effects either as single or combination treatment.24–26 Regardless, in the event that a single statin even at maximal dose is unable to achieve recommended goals, an alternative therapeutic goal is to lower LDL-C by approximately 30%–40% of the baseline value. Should that prove unattainable, consideration should be given to treatment with a combination of medication classes (ie, statin + fibrate).6,21 As aforementioned, should the patient also be at high risk for developing vascular events, starting an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor is beneficial, whilst considering the risks and side effects. Another avenue of diabetic lipid management involves the emerging science behind combining pharmacology and gene regulation.

**Newer findings**

Researchers studying adipose tissue as an endocrine organ have identified a number of lipid metabolism regulators. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) is a
nuclear receptor that, when activated, works at the genetic level by regulating lipid and glucose metabolism as well as inflammation.\textsuperscript{26} There are three types of PPARs, ie, PPAR\textgreek{a}, PPAR\textgreek{\delta}, and PPAR\textgreek{\gamma}, all of which are found in organs throughout the body. Fibric acid derivatives (ie, gemfibrozil and fenofibrate) have been used in the treatment of hyperlipidemia. They have also been shown to produce their effects through PPAR\textgreek{a}.\textsuperscript{26–29} It should be noted that in the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study that, compared with placebo, fenofibrates failed to reach statistical significance in reducing the primary study outcome, ie, CHD or nonfatal myocardial infarction, but did show a statistically significant reduction in total cardiovascular events. However there are questions related to the use of statins in the placebo arm.\textsuperscript{37}

Thiazolidinediones, which are oral antidiabetic agents, also activate PPAR\textgreek{\gamma} and thereby increase adiponectin levels.\textsuperscript{26,33} Increasing adiponectin levels has been shown in animal trials to reduce weight in mice given high-fat diets.\textsuperscript{30} Furthermore, adiponectin has been shown to activate the adenosine monophosphate-protein kinase (AMPK) cascade which regulates energy homeostasis in the body.\textsuperscript{31} The AMPK cascade is also a target for metformin, another oral antidiabetic medication.\textsuperscript{32} Despite these advances in knowledge about the pathophysiology of diabetes, translating this knowledge into clinical practice has been difficult due to drug side effects and increased morbidity.\textsuperscript{26,33}

It is also worth mentioning that the recent Bypass Angioplasty Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) study found that in 2368 type 2 diabetic patients with heart disease, those with stable ischemic heart disease who received optimal medical management showed no significant disadvantage in terms of the primary endpoint of death or the composite endpoint of death, myocardial infarction, or stroke, compared with those undergoing prompt revascularization only or those with stable ischemic heart disease who underwent delayed revascularization.\textsuperscript{34} These findings have strong implications with respect to cardiac revascularization in type 2 diabetics. However, in terms of primary care, this study shows that optimal medical management of a patient with type 2 diabetes has significant cost benefits without increasing morbidity or mortality, and may become the standard of care in this patient population.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the current status, associated cardiovascular risk, expected increase in prevalence, and projected monetary costs associated with DM and atherogenic dyslipidemia are well documented, along with the long-term cardiovascular morbidity and mortality risk. Despite ongoing research, technological advances, and newer medications that work increasingly at the genetic level, the best and most cost-effective treatment remains prevention. Preventing the development of type 2 DM and its associated cardiometabolic disturbance involves early adoption of a healthy lifestyle that incorporates diet modification, increased exercise, and regular health care maintenance visits with a primary care physician. Once diagnosed, the management involves decreasing the atherogenic components by adherence to the NCEP-ATP III and ADA recommendations, so that the patient and the health system may forestall the ensuing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, as well as its associated financial burden.
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