Impact of COVID-19 on Public Knowledge and Attitudes Toward Participating in Clinical Trials in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study

Abdulhadi M Alqahtani¹

Saja H Almazrou²

Randah M Alalweat³

Ziyad S Almaliki⁴

Basmah F Alqahtani⁵

Saleh AlGhamdi¹

¹Research Center, Clinical Research Department, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia; ²Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ³Preventive Health - General Department of Communicable Diseases, Ministry of Health, Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia; ⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ⁵Pharmacist, Inpatient Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Services Administration, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia

Objective: We aimed to evaluate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the knowledge and attitudes of patients among the Saudi population toward participating in clinical trials.

Methods: We conducted a descriptive, cross-sectional analysis using self-administered questionnaires for patients who attended the outpatient clinics at King Fahad Medical City and King Saud University Medical City in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The questionnaires included general questions about sociodemographic information, patient knowledge about clinical trials, and patient attitudes toward clinical trial participation. We used descriptive analysis to evaluate the impact of COVID-19 on patient knowledge and attitudes about clinical trials.

Results: From November 2019 to October 2020, 822 responses were collected from participants in two medical cities and included in the analysis. Most of the study participants (81%) were younger than age 42 years. Our findings showed no difference between participants who participated in clinical trials before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic ($P = 0.129$).

Conclusion: The Saudi population knows about clinical trials, but they lack knowledge about the role of the ethics committee and about informed consent. Also, most of them do not have the experience of participating in a clinical trial. Still, they have moderately positive attitudes toward clinical trials.

Keywords: knowledge, attitude, clinical trials, COVID-19, Saudi Arabia

Introduction

Clinical studies are medical experiments aimed at determining the results of patient health when they are participating in the study.¹ Depending on the type of study, patients in clinical trials can receive specific treatments. Clinical trial results may provide new information or techniques that can broaden medical knowledge for treatment or diagnostic purposes.²

Participation and enrollment of patients in clinical trials is one of the leading challenges faced by researchers. In 2020, Jin et al published a systematic review to investigate factors affecting people participation in clinical trials.³ The results included 63 studies from various countries and settings. The leading factor affecting participation is the level of trust in research entity and the researcher. Safety issues, include adverse drug reactions, were also perceived as an important factor. In addition, educational level might affect public attitude, studies have found that people with biomedical degrees are more willing to participate compared with other

Correspondence: Abdulhadi M Alqahtani
Research Center, Clinical Research
Department, King Fahad Medical City,
Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia
Email ph.abdahladi@gmail.com

groups. As for gender and age, young people and men are more willing to participate compared with older adults and women. Finally, having a previous good experience with clinical trials will enhance the chance of future participation.

From this systematic review, there is a wide array of factors that affect people attitude toward participation in clinical trials. Efforts to enhance the trust in research institutions and researcher and utilizing mass media to reflect on successful experiences might further enhance participation in clinical research.

A multicenter study conducted in some Middle Eastern countries, including Egypt, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and Jordan, showed that approximately 50% of study participants had positive attitudes toward participation in COVID-19 trials.⁴ The main factors that influenced public willingness to participate were the ethical conduct of the clinical trials and the desire to protect family members from COVID-19 from accelerating the return to normal life.

From this study, it was clear that people in the Middle East, including Saudi Arabia, are generally receptive to participation in clinical trials. However, the magnitude of change in perception compared with pre-pandemic levels was not measured.

Therefore, we aimed to update the literature about the main factors affecting public decisions to participate in a clinical trial. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we observed huge awareness campaigns through social media to increase knowledge about clinical trials and change public attitudes toward participating in clinical trials. Therefore, we assumed that knowledge and attitudes could change during the COVID-19 pandemic, and we assessed the impact of COVID-19 on the Saudi public's perception of clinical trials and knowledge about clinical trial participation.

Methods

Study Design/Setting

We conducted a descriptive, cross-sectional analysis using self-administered questionnaires in both Arabic and English languages. The study took place at KFMC and King Saud University Medical City (KSUMC) in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The data were collected between November 2019 and October 2020.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Using a convenience sampling approach, we invited patients who attended outpatient clinics to participate.

Eligible participants were at least 18 years old and knew the term “clinical trial.” Patients who had abnormal mental health, an acute psychiatric emergency, or a critical illness were excluded.

Questionnaire Development

Previous studies similar to ours were reviewed to identify possible factors affecting patient knowledge of and attitudes toward participating in clinical trials.^{5–7} Our questionnaire was then modified to fit the study's aim.

The questionnaire consisted of 43 questions, which were classified into three sections. The first section consisted of questions about sociodemographic characteristics, such as age, gender, marital status, residential area, number of children (if any), educational level, educational background, reason for the visit, level of health insurance (if any), and regular source of care (if any). The second section evaluated the patient's knowledge about clinical trials (six questions). Finally, the last section involved questions about the patient's attitude toward clinical trials (27 questions).

A pilot study was carried out among 10 patients at KFMC to ensure good reliability; Cronbach's alpha was 0.88, indicating the reliability of our tool, and no substantive changes were made to the questionnaire after the pilot study. We classified the knowledge question (ie, section 2) responses into “yes” and “no” groups. The attitude domain was assessed using a Likert scale (strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, and strongly agree).

Data Collection

The questionnaire was administered electronically using Google Forms to facilitate data collection, tracking, and validation. The questionnaire responses were collected by volunteers. The volunteers had medical backgrounds (eg, student or graduate student in medical fields), and four volunteers had access to the questionnaire and collected the data.

Sample Size

Our sample size was determined by the number of patients visiting outpatient clinics in KFMC and KSUMC. Overall, 14,736 people visited the outpatient clinics at these two locations. Using that number and the OpenEpi website,⁸ we calculated our necessary sample size to achieve a P value of 0.05 as 375 participants.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 25.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We used a descriptive-frequency test and the chi-square test to determine the intensity of the correlation between independent variables (age, gender, educational level, and marital status) and the major outcome variable of attention. To improve our analyses, we classified education levels into subgroups of uneducated, elementary school, middle/high school, bachelor's degree, master's degree, and doctorate degree.

Ethical Considerations Procedure

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Permission to conduct this

study was obtained from the institutional review board at KFMC (project No. 19-516) and the ethical committee at KSUMC (project No. E-194380). Electronic informed consent was provided by each participant before they answered the questionnaire. Participants did not receive any compensation for participation in the study.

Results

From November 2019 to October 2020, 822 responses from participants in two medical cities were reported and included in the analysis. We aimed to identify and determine the main barriers that affect patient participation in clinical trials in Saudi Arabia.

Table 1 Sociodemographic Information

		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Age	18–30	388	47.2	169	20.6	219	26.6	0.5
	31–42	286	34.8	130	15.8	156	19.0	
	43–54	104	12.7	53	6.4	51	6.20	
	>54	44	5.4	17	2.1	27	3.3	
Gender	Male	337	41.0	154	18.7	183	22.3	0.7
	Female	485	59.0	215	26.2	270	32.9	
Marital status	Single	310	37.7	135	16.4	175	21.3	0.6
	Married	512	62.3	234	28.5	278	33.8	
Having children	Yes	413	50.2	190	23.1	223	27.1	0.5
	No	409	49.8	179	21.8	230	28.0	
Residential area	Village	75	9.1	33	4.0	42	5.1	0.9
	City	747	90.9	336	40.9	411	50.0	
Educational level	Uneducated	3	8.2	1	2.7	2	5.5	0.3
	Elementary school	16	1.9	10	1.2	6	0.7	
	Middle/high school	227	27.6	93	11.3	134	16.3	
	Bachelor's degree	492	59.9	233	28.4	259	31.5	
	Master's degree	69	8.4	27	3.3	42	5.1	
	PhD's degree	15	1.8	5	0.6	10	1.2	
Educational background	Non- medical field	469	57.1	221	26.9	248	30.2	0.6
	Medical field	120	14.6	53	6.5	67	8.2	
Reason of the visit	Treatment	287	34.9	134	16.3	153	18.6	0.5
	Follow-up	535	65.1	235	28.6	300	36.5	
Regular source of care	Government hospitals	624	75.9	283	34.4	341	41.5	0.6
	Private hospitals	198	24.1	86	10.5	112	13.6	
Health insurance	Yes	224	27.3	99	12.0	125	15.2	0.8
	No	598	72.8	270	32.9	328	39.9	

Most study participants (47.2%) were between 18 and 30 years old. There was no significant difference between participant ages before versus during the pandemic. In our sample, 59% of participants were women. Overall, 37.7% of participants were single and 62.3% were married; 50.2% of married participants had children. Most participants lived in the city (90.9%), had a bachelor's degree (59.9%), and had a nonmedical background (57.1%). In addition, 24.1% of patients were treated at a private hospital, but the majority (75.9%) received their regular care at governmental hospitals (75.9%); overall, 72.8% of participants had no health insurance (Table 1).

As shown in Table 2, no differences existed between the number of participants who participated in clinical trials before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic ($P = 0.129$). Also, regarding patient knowledge about clinical trials, most patients (67.2%) had poor knowledge about the ethics committee in general before the pandemic, but knowledge increased significantly ($P = 0.02$) during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Unfortunately, most patients (75.3%) had poor knowledge about whom to contact for problems or adverse events during the clinical trial. This knowledge increased during the pandemic by 4.9%. A significant difference existed in participant trust of physicians ($P = 0.08$); 88.1% of participants believed that their physicians could not start a clinical trial without professional approval to protect patients. (Table 2).

In Table 3 shown the participant attitudinal fear from participating in a clinical trial before and during the COVID19 pandemic. We found most responses were worried about they might not receive good health care when they join a clinical trial ($p=0.04$). Moreover, most of them were afraid about they might the are not able to find transportation to reach them to the clinical trial treatment center ($p=0.03$).

While most of them were willing to participate if they received benefits, risks, and full information about the trials ($p=0.004$). Also, most of them were willing to participate in a clinical trial if their physician explained it to them ($p=0.003$). The majority of them preferred to have more information from their physician about the trial ($p=0.007$).

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study, we found that the COVID-19 pandemic has had no impact on patient knowledge about clinical trials but has slightly affected patient attitudes about participating in clinical trials. Only 8% of the participants had previously participated in clinical trials. Generally, people had some basic knowledge about randomized, controlled trials, including awareness about the need for approval by the government. However, patients knew less about the requirements for reporting adverse events and the concept of informed consent. Knowledge

Table 2 The Knowledge About Participating in Clinical Trials

Survey Item		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Have you been involved in clinical trials before?	Yes	67	8.2	36	4.38	31	3.8	0.1
	No	755	91.9	333	40.5	422	51.3	
Do you think Clinical trials are only used as a last resort?	Yes	326	39.7	160	19.5	166	20.2	0.1
	No	496	60.3	209	25.4	287	35.0	
Do you know about the Ethics committee before?	Yes	270	32.9	105	12.8	165	20.1	0.02
	No	552	67.2	264	32.1	288	35.04	
Do you know whom to contact if you have a problem like an adverse event and serious adverse event in a clinical trial?	Yes	203	24.7	81	9.9	122	14.8	0.1
	No	619	75.3	288	35.04	331	40.3	
Do you think the physician can start a clinical trial without the approval of professionals who protect patient rights?	Yes	98	11.9	52	6.3	46	5.6	0.08
	No	724	88.1	317	38.6	407	49.5	
Do you know about "Informed consent"?	Yes	308	37.5	136	16.6	172	21.0	0.7
	No	514	62.5	233	28.4	281	34.2	

Table 3 Attitudinal of Fear from Participating in a Clinical Trial

Survey Item		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
I am afraid of the side effects that I will have on a clinical trial.	Strongly agree	178	21.7	71	8.6	107	13.01	0.3
	Agree	315	38.3	155	18.9	160	19.5	
	Neutral	193	23.5	83	10.1	110	13.4	
	Disagree	84	10.2	36	4.4	48	5.8	
	Strongly disagree	52	6.3	24	2.9	28	3.4	
I am worried that the treatment I'd receive on a clinical trial would not work for me.	Strongly agree.	96	11.7	48	5.8	48	5.8	0.4
	Agree	273	33.2	121	14.7	152	18.5	
	Neutral	258	31.4	106	12.9	152	18.5	
	Disagree	133	16.2	62	7.5	71	8.6	
	Strongly disagree	62	7.5	32	3.9	30	3.6	
I would not ask about clinical trials unless my doctor brought them up first.	Strongly agree.	113	13.8	54	6.6	59	7.2	0.1
	Agree	365	44.4	179	21.8	186	22.6	
	Neutral	145	17.6	57	6.9	88	10.7	
	Disagree	134	16.3	50	6.1	84	10.2	
	Strongly disagree	65	7.9	29	3.5	36	4.4	
I do not like to try new treatments until they have been approved to be used.	Strongly agree.	279	33.9	128	15.6	151	18.4	0.6
	Agree	336	40.9	158	19.2	178	21.7	
	Neutral	132	16.1	53	6.5	79	9.6	
	Disagree	50	6.1	20	2.4	30	3.6	
	Strongly disagree	25	3.04	10	1.2	15	1.8	
I do not trust drug companies.	Strongly agree.	83	10.1	38	4.6	45	5.5	0.3
	Agree	160	19.5	76	9.2	84	10.2	
	Neutral	306	37.2	145	17.6	161	19.6	
	Disagree	207	25.2	79	9.6	128	15.6	
	Strongly disagree	66	8.02	31	3.8	35	4.3	
I am afraid I will be used as a test subject if I join in a clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	122	14.8	61	7.4	61	7.4	0.3
	Agree	296	36.01	137	16.7	159	19.3	
	Neutral	190	23.1	75	9.1	115	14	
	Disagree	153	18.6	65	7.9	88	10.7	
	Strongly disagree	61	7.4	31	3.8	30	3.7	
I am worried that going on a clinical trial would burden my family.	Strongly agree.	139	16.9	60	7.3	79	9.6	0.4
	Agree	290	35.3	122	14.8	168	20.4	
	Neutral	176	21.4	89	10.8	87	10.6	
	Disagree	166	20.2	72	8.8	94	11.4	
	Strongly disagree	51	6.2	26	3.2	25	3.04	
I am worried that my family would not allow me to participate in a clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	143	17.4	58	7.1	85	10.3	0.1
	Agree	275	33.5	123	15	152	18.5	
	Neutral	167	20.3	66	8.03	101	12.3	
	Disagree	168	20.4	88	10.7	80	9.7	
	Strongly disagree	69	8.4	34	4.1	35	4.3	

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

Survey Item		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
I am worried that my medical care will not be as good if I join a clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	89	10.8	34	4.1	55	6.7	0.04
	Agree	237	28.8	112	13.6	125	15.2	
	Neutral	192	23.4	81	9.9	111	13.5	
	Disagree	213	25.9	110	13.4	103	12.5	
	Strongly disagree	91	11.1	32	3.9	59	7.2	
I would not be able to find transportation to get me to my clinical trial treatment center.	Strongly agree.	67	8.2	28	3.41	39	4.7	0.03
	Agree	253	30.8	128	15.6	125	15.2	
	Neutral	268	32.6	104	12.6	164	20	
	Disagree	175	21.3	87	10.6	88	10.7	
	Strongly disagree	59	7.2	22	2.7	37	4.5	
I would not be able to keep up with the clinical trial treatment schedule.	Strongly agree.	71	8.6	32	3.9	39	4.7	0.5
	Agree	281	34.2	138	16.8	143	17.4	
	Neutral	225	27.4	96	11.7	129	15.7	
	Disagree	197	24	82	10	115	14	
	Strongly disagree	48	5.8	21	2.6	27	3.3	
I do not trust the medical system.	Strongly agree.	31	3.8	13	1.6	18	2.2	0.6
	Agree	73	8.9	33	4.01	40	4.9	
	Neutral	222	27.01	93	11.3	129	15.7	
	Disagree	285	34.7	139	16.9	146	17.8	
	Strongly disagree	211	25.7	91	11.1	120	14.6	
I do not have time to take part in a clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	166	20.2	74	9	92	11.2	0.8
	Agree	282	34.3	124	15.1	158	19.2	
	Neutral	211	25.7	92	11.2	119	14.5	
	Disagree	114	13.9	57	6.9	57	6.9	
	Strongly disagree	49	6	22	2.7	27	3.3	
Helping in developing new medications	Strongly agree.	144	17.5	62	7.5	82	10	0.1
	Agree	406	49.4	196	23.8	210	25.5	
	Neutral	153	18.6	55	6.7	98	11.9	
	Disagree	78	9.5	39	4.7	39	4.7	
	Strongly disagree	41	5	17	2.1	24	3	
Being part of scientific knowledge	Strongly agree.	121	14.7	55	6.7	66	8.03	0.6
	Agree	387	47.1	184	22.4	203	24.7	
	Neutral	182	22.1	74	9	108	13.1	
	Disagree	88	10.7	37	4.5	51	6.2	
	Strongly disagree	44	5.4	19	2.3	25	3.04	
Willing to participate if you were provided with a good consent form explaining the clinical trial's benefits and risks.	Strongly agree.	88	10.7	53	6.5	35	4.3	0.004
	Agree	334	40.6	160	19.5	174	21.2	
	Neutral	199	24.2	77	9.4	122	14.8	
	Disagree	129	15.7	49	6	80	9.7	
	Strongly disagree	72	8.8	30	3.7	42	5.1	

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

Survey Item		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Willing to participate in a clinical trial if you were explained in a completely private setting	Strongly agree.	68	8.3	38	4.6	30	3.7	0.1
	Agree	288	35.04	140	17.03	148	18	
	Neutral	207	25.2	86	10.5	121	14.7	
	Disagree	175	21.3	71	8.6	104	12.7	
	Strongly disagree	84	10.2	34	4.14	50	6.08	
Willing to participate in a clinical trial if your physician explained you	Strongly agree.	65	7.9	39	4.7	26	3.2	0.003
	Agree	295	35.9	148	18	147	17.9	
	Neutral	209	25.4	88	10.7	121	14.7	
	Disagree	167	20.3	63	7.7	104	12.7	
	Strongly disagree	86	10.5	31	3.7	55	6.7	
Having religious representatives in the clinical trial and an ethics committee will make you more likely to participate in the clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	70	8.5	31	3.8	39	4.7	0.9
	Agree	216	26.3	100	12.2	116	14.1	
	Neutral	258	31.4	114	13.9	144	17.5	
	Disagree	186	22.6	85	10.3	101	12.3	
	Strongly disagree	92	11.2	39	4.7	53	6.5	
A belief that by participating in a clinical trial, you will receive the best medical care	Strongly agree.	71	8.6	34	4.14	37	4.5	0.4
	Agree	312	38	152	18.5	160	19.5	
	Neutral	266	32.4	112	13.6	154	18.7	
	Disagree	125	15.2	50	6.1	75	9.1	
	Strongly disagree	48	5.8	21	2.6	27	3.3	
Willing to participate in a clinical trial if you were with a family member when a researcher explains clinical trial.	Strongly agree.	33	4.01	17	2.1	16	2	0.5
	Agree	239	29.1	114	13.9	125	15.2	
	Neutral	250	30.4	114	13.9	136	16.5	
	Disagree	220	26.8	93	11.3	127	15.5	
	Strongly disagree	80	9.7	31	3.8	49	6	
Willing to participate in a clinical trial if you had more time to think about it.	Strongly agree.	54	6.6	33	4.01	21	2.6	0.01
	Agree	299	36.4	148	18	151	18.4	
	Neutral	185	22.5	73	8.9	112	13.6	
	Disagree	195	23.7	81	9.9	114	13.9	
	Strongly disagree	89	10.8	34	4.14	55	6.7	
Willing to participate in a clinical trial if you could obtain information from your physician	Strongly agree.	58	7.1	37	4.5	21	2.6	0.007
	Agree	338	41.1	159	19.3	179	21.8	
	Neutral	187	22.7	82	9.9	105	12.8	
	Disagree	155	18.9	61	7.4	94	11.4	
	Strongly disagree	84	10.2	30	3.6	54	6.6	
I completely trust my doctor's recommendations about a clinical trial	Strongly agree.	69	8.4	33	4.01	36	4.4	0.4
	Agree	346	42.1	162	19.7	184	22.4	
	Neutral	247	30.1	108	13.1	139	17	
	Disagree	123	15	55	6.7	68	8.3	
	Strongly disagree	37	4.5	11	1.3	26	3.2	

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

Survey Item		Total		Before COVID19 Pandemic		During COVID19 Pandemic		P-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
I am worried about the safety of participating in a clinical trial	Strongly agree.	131	15.9	64	7.8	67	8.2	0.02
	Agree	336	40.9	169	20.6	167	20.3	
	Neutral	236	28.7	94	11.4	142	17.3	
	Disagree	84	10.2	31	3.8	53	6.5	
	Strongly disagree	35	4.3	11	1.3	24	2.9	
I trust the information I read about a clinical trial on the internet	Strongly agree.	28	3.4	9	1.1	19	2.3	0.2
	Agree	138	16.8	59	7.16	79	9.6	
	Neutral	299	36.4	125	15.2	174	21.2	
	Disagree	253	30.8	124	15.1	129	15.7	
	Strongly disagree	104	12.7	52	6.3	52	6.3	
Clinical trial medications are safer than other medication	Strongly agree.	23	2.8	7	0.9	16	2	0.3
	Agree	96	11.7	39	4.7	57	6.9	
	Neutral	312	37.9	152	18.5	160	19.5	
	Disagree	256	31.1	114	13.9	142	17.3	
	Strongly disagree	135	16.4	57	6.9	78	9.5	

about the process of informed consent and about the role of the ethics committee increased during the pandemic.

Only a few studies in Saudi Arabia have been conducted to assess factors that affect participation in clinical trials.^{5,6} A study conducted by Al-Tannir et al found that 80% of the participants considered clinical trials essential for improving patient care and scientific knowledge.⁵ Regarding informed consent in that study, most participants reported awareness of confidentiality, expected risks, and benefits; however, knowledge about possible compensation, rights, and consequences of withdrawal was lower.⁵

Another study by Al-Rawashdeh et al found that the vast majority of participants were unaware of the institutional review board.⁶ Evidence from these studies cannot be extrapolated to the setting of our study. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of clinical trials has greatly increased.⁹ Therefore, public knowledge and attitudes toward enrollment in clinical trials are expected to increase simultaneously. In this study, participants were more receptive to the benefits of clinical trials during COVID-19. In addition, study participants demonstrated higher levels of trust in the health care system compared with previously reported levels. Abu-Farha et al assessed the public perception of clinical trials during COVID-19;¹⁰ 68% and 73% of the Jordanian public were willing to participate in COVID-19 clinical trials to find

treatment and return to normal life respectively. This high percentage reflects the increased societal responsibility of the general public toward COVID-19 trials.

This study was one of the few studies, to our knowledge, that assessed the general public's perception and knowledge of clinical trials during COVID-19.¹⁰ This study had some limitations. First, this study was conducted in two medical cities in Riyadh. Second, the vast majority of participants were relatively young and educated. Therefore, results cannot be generalizable to different age groups, educational levels, or settings. Moreover, the survey was self-administered, therefore the risk of social desirability bias might be introduced.¹¹ Finally, the research questionnaire was developed by the research team. Future studies should aim to develop a standardized tools which enable researcher to quantify the public attitude to clinical trials which in turn help in assessing the impact of policies and intervention that affect patients recruitment.

Social media has a major role in educating the public about clinical trials and COVID-19.^{12,13}

Simple, jargon-free language can explain the various aspects of clinical trials, including the potential benefits and risks. In addition, encouraging clinical trial participants to share their experiences could help recruit future eligible participants. These interactions should be

regulated by entities that manage patient and public involvement in clinical trials. The involvement of patients and the public in improving awareness about clinical trials represents a substantial role in bridging the gap between researchers and the public.¹⁴ Efforts to reach patients can involve reviewing study documents to assess their appropriateness and clarity for the study participants, evaluating patient experiences, and disseminating study results to the public. In addition, the National Committee of Bioethics, the Ministry of Health, or research centers must conduct several awareness campaigns about the role of ethics committees and introduce patients who were enrolled in clinical trials before the community to transfer their experience about clinical trials.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has had no impact on patient knowledge about clinical trials; however, the pandemic did have a slight impact on patient attitudes toward participating in clinical trials. The Saudi population knows about clinical trials but lacks knowledge about the role of the ethics committee and about informed consent. Also, most people do not have the experience of participating in a clinical trial. Still, they maintain moderately positive attitudes toward clinical trials. The conclusion needs to be interpreted with caution due to small sample and the high representation of young, educated subjects in comparison with other population groups.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest for this work.

References

1. World health organization (WHO). Clinical trials [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/clinical-trials/#tab=tab_1. Accessed June 29, 2021.

2. U.S. National Institutes of Health. Learn about clinical studies - ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. ClinicalTrials.gov. 2012. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/about-studies/learn>. Accessed June 29, 2021.
3. Jin H, Cui M, Liu J. Factors affecting people's attitude toward participation in medical research: a systematic review. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2020;36(7):1137–1143. doi:10.1080/03007995.2020.1760807
4. Samir Abdelhafiz A, Elhafeez S, Khalil M, et al. Factors influencing participation in COVID-19 clinical trials: a Multi-National Study. *Front Med.* 2021;8.
5. Al-Tannir MA, El-Bakri N, Abu-Shaheen AK. Knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of saudis towards participating in clinical trials. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(2):e0143893. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143893
6. Al-Rawashdeh N, Damsees R, Al-Jeraisy M, Al Qasim E, Deeb AM. Knowledge of and attitudes toward clinical trials in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(10):e031305. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031305
7. Schmotzer GL. Barriers and facilitators to participation of minorities in clinical trials. *Ethn Dis.* 2012;22(2):226–230.
8. Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM OpenEpi: open source statistics for public health, Version 3.01.; 2013. Available from: www.OpenEpi.com. Accessed June 29, 2021.
9. Gianola S, Jesus TS, Barger S, Castellini G. Characteristics of academic publications, preprints, and registered clinical trials on the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(10):e0240123. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0240123
10. Abu-Farha RK, Alzoubi KH, Khabour OF. Public willingness to participate in COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials: a study from Jordan. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2020;14:2451–2458. doi:10.2147/PPA.S284385
11. Marcano Belisario JS, Jamsek J, Huckvale K, O'Donoghue J, Morrison CP, Car J. Comparison of self-administered survey questionnaire responses collected using mobile apps versus other methods. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;2015(7):MR000042.
12. Thompson MA. Social Media in Clinical Trials. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ B.* 2014;34:e101–e105. doi:10.14694/EdBook_AM.2014.34.e101
13. Adella Halim D, Kurniawan A, Agung FH, et al. Understanding of young people about COVID-19 during early outbreak in Indonesia. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* 2020;32(6–7):363–365. doi:10.1177/1010539520940933
14. Sacristán JA, Aguarón A, Avendaño-Solá C, et al. Patient involvement in clinical research: why, when, and how. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2016;631. doi:10.2147/PPA.S104259

International Journal of General Medicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>

across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.