Treatment of Intracranial Infection Caused by Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* with Linezolid Following Poor Outcome of Vancomycin Therapy: A Case Report and Literature Review
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**Abstract:** The pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) parameter for evaluating the efficacy of vancomycin is now recommended to target an AUC/MIC (area under the curve, AUC; minimum inhibitory concentration, MIC) ratio of 400 to 600, and trough concentration should not be used as a substitute. We report a case of intracranial infection caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSE), which was sensitive to vancomycin (MIC=2µg/mL) and linezolid (MIC=4µg/mL). The trough concentration of vancomycin in serum was 18.3 µg/mL, and the vancomycin concentration in CSF was 5.0 µg/mL, all within normal range. However, the AUC/MIC ratio was calculated to be 125 mg·h·L<sup>−1</sup>, unable to reach target AUC/MIC. Vancomycin was replaced with linezolid after 36 days of treatment due to poor outcome, and the patient was eventually cured. Further, 23 cases of intracranial methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) or methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (MRCoNS) infections were reported, of which 1 case with MRSA had a vancomycin MIC of 1 µg/mL, while the remaining 22 cases had vancomycin MICs >1 µg/mL. The linezolid-containing regimen was used after drug susceptibility results or if the initial treatment failed, leading to recovery in 19 patients, microbial clearance in 3 patients, and treatment failure in 1 case. In conclusion, vancomycin dosing should be based on AUC-guided dosing and monitoring. When the vancomycin MIC of MRSA/MRCoNS is >1 µg/mL, the target AUC/MIC may not be achieved. In such cases, linezolid can effectively be considered as a good alternative to vancomycin.
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**Introduction**

In hospitalized patients, the integrity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) can be disrupted by several invasive procedures, such as craniotomy, the placement ventricular catheters, lumbar puncture, and intrathecal infusions. In such situations, specific microorganisms can invade the central nervous system (CNS) through BBB, leading to health care-associated meningitis or ventriculitis (HCAMV).<sup>1</sup>

The majority of HCAMV cases are caused by Gram-positive cocci, particularly coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*. Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines recommend vancomycin combined with anti-*Pseudomonas* β-lactam drugs as an empirical treatment for HCAMV. However, when the vancomycin MIC of MRSA or MRCoNS is greater than 1 µg/mL, linezolid, daptomycin, or...
sulfamethoxazole should be considered instead.² Herein, we report a patient with intracranial Staphylococcus epidermidis infection who recovered after vancomycin was replaced by linezolid due to poor outcome. To better investigate the sensitivity of vancomycin and the feasibility of linezolid-containing regimen, we further reviewed the available reported intracranial infections cases caused by MRSA or MRCoNS, and analyzed the efficacy of linezolid, which may hopefully help clinicians perform optimal antibiotic therapy for this kind of infection.

Case Presentation
A 67-year-old male patient was admitted to our hospital on March 20, 2020 with a complaint of sudden disturbance of consciousness. An emergency head CT scan showed 1. left basal ganglia haemorrhage with intraventricular extension, 2. bilateral lacunar infarctions at basal ganglia and senile brain degeneration, 3. mild bilateral inflammation of ethmoid sinuses. An emergency lung CT scan showed chronic bronchitis-emphysema, pulmonary bullae, and mild chronic inflammation of both lungs. Physical examination at admission showed a body temperature of 36.7°C, a pulse of 100 bpm, a respiratory rate of 21 breaths per minute, and a blood pressure of 156/92 mm Hg. The patient was in a light coma and showed no response to instructions or questions. Rough respiratory sounds were detected in both lungs, and no moist rale was detected in either of the lower lungs. Neurological examination showed eye opening and vocalization with strong pricking, binocular gaze to the left side, and pupils equal in size with a diameter of 3 mm, round, and reactive to light. No rigidity was detected in the neck and the patient could not cooperate in muscle strength examination. Voluntary movements of the left limbs were observed while no movement was observed in the right limbs. The patient could not cooperate during the examination of muscle tone or tendon reflexes. A positive Babinski sign was observed on the right side but negative on the left side, while a positive Kernig sign was present bilaterally.

Considering the clinical manifestations and examinations, a diagnosis of left basal ganglia cerebral hemorrhage and bilateral basal ganglia lacunar infarction were made. The symptomatic treatments were provided, including haemostasis, dehydration to decrease intracranial pressure, and blood pressure control. Bedside bilateral drill, craniotomy and ventricular drainage were performed. Bronchoscopy-guided nasotracheal intubation was performed. A repeat CT scan on March 21 showed that the right ventricular hematoma mostly resolved, and therefore the right ventricular drainage catheter was removed.

On March 23 (d3), the patient was still in a light coma, and moist rales in both lower lungs increased, along with an elevated white blood cell (WBC) count (15.36×10⁹/L) and an elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) level (59.21 mg/L). Pulmonary infection was diagnosed, and intravenous infusion of piperacillin-tazobactam (4.5 g every 8 h) was used as an anti-infection treatment. A repeat head CT scan on March 25 showed a significant decrease in the volume of intraventricular hematoma. In order to avoid infection after long-term retention, the drainage catheter of the left ventricle was removed.

On March 30 (d10), the patient developed fever and was in the twilight state of consciousness with aggravation of neck rigidity. The WBC count increased to 17.17×10⁹/L, and the first routine and biochemical tests of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) showed significant increases in cell count, proportion of multinucleated cells, protein level (Figures 1 and 2), and slight decrease in sugar level (Figure 2), leading to a diagnosis of possible intracranial bacterial infection. Piperacillin-tazobactam was discontinued, and the anti-infective therapy of intravenous infusion of vancomycin (1 g every 12 h) combined with ceftriaxone (2 g every 12 h) was administered with lumbar cistern drainage performed concurrently.

The vancomycin trough serum concentration on April 3 was 18.3 µg/mL. On April 8 (d19), the patient still had fever with aggravated neck rigidity, accompanied by cough and sputum expectoration. Therefore, the combined anti-infective treatment was considered ineffective, and ceftriaxone was discontinued. A combination of vancomycin with continuous 2-hour intravenous infusion of meropenem (2 g every 8 h) was administrated.

On April 12 (d23), the patient was in the twilight state of consciousness with no fever. The volume of lumbar cisternal drainage was 150 mL with unobstructed CSF drainage, and the drainage fluid was light yellow and turbid. On April 13 (d24), the number of CSF WBC was 2.325×10⁹/L, the concentration of protein in CSF was 760 mg/L, and the concentration of sugar in CSF was 3.00 mmol/L. Blood routine and CRP tests showed decreased WBC count and CRP. CSF routine and biochemical tests showed that cell count and protein level decreased significantly compared with previous results (Figures 1 and 2). The CSF biochemical tests showed that sugar level elevated to normal (Figure 2). On April 18, the results of the first two CSF cultures revealed the presence of Staphylococcus epidermidis, which was resistant to
oxacillin and sensitive to vancomycin (MIC = 2 µg/mL) and linezolid (MIC = 4 µg/mL). Both the MIC values of vancomycin and linezolid were determined by broth microdilution (BMD). The current anti-infective therapy was deemed effective, and the treatment of vancomycin combined with meropenem continued.

On April 27 (d38), patient’s neck rigidity improved, the number of CSF WBC was $0.079 \times 10^9$/L, the concentration of protein in CSF was 737 mg/L, and the concentration of sugar in CSF was 3.43 mmol/L. CSF routine and biochemical tests showed that cell count, proportion of multinucleated cells and protein level further decreased (Figures 1 and 2).

**Figure 1** Treatment regimens and CSF cell counts.

**Figure 2** Treatment regimens and concentration of protein and sugar in CSF.

**Abbreviations:** TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; VAN, vancomycin; CRO, ceftriaxone; MEM, meropenem; LZD, linezolid (discontinued from May 10 to May 11); CAZ, ceftazidime.
and 2). The lumbar cisternal drainage catheter was removed. On April 29, the CSF metagenomic sequencing revealed *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (read count 1541), and the treatment of vancomycin combined with meropenem continued.

On May 6 (d47), the patient developed fever again, with a maximum body temperature of 38.4°C. The number of platelet count was 203×10⁹/L. The number of CSF WBC was 0.569×10⁹/L, the concentration of protein in CSF was 1089 mg/L, and the concentration of sugar in CSF was 5.06 mmol/L. CSF examination showed turbid CSF with elevated WBC count (Figure 1), increased proportion of multinucleated cells, and elevated protein level compared with previous tests (Figures 1 and 2), leading to the conclusion that the infection aggravated again. The CSF concentration of vancomycin was 5.0 µg/mL. The anti-infective regimen was adjusted to 0.6 g linezolid every 12 h combined with 2 g ceftazidime every 8 h.

On May 10, the patient’s liver function test showed 528 U/L alanine transaminase (ALT) and 323 U/L aspartate transaminase (AST). Considering possible linezolid-induced liver injury, linezolid was discontinued. On May 11 (d52), the patient had no fever and showed improved neck rigidity. The results of CSF routine and biochemical tests showed significant improvement (Figures 1 and 2), and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was isolated from the CSF culture. On May 12, given the isolation of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* from several CSF cultures and the poor outcome of vancomycin treatment, anti-infective treatment with linezolid was resumed, combined with entecavir (0.5 mg once a day P.O.) for anti-HBV (hepatitis B virus) treatment. A repeat blood biochemical test on May 16 showed 58 U/L AST and 125 U/L ALT. On May 21, the number of platelet count was 182×10⁹/L. On May 24 (d64), routine CSF tests showed normal results (Figure 1). On May 25, repeat head and lung CT scans showed left thalamic post-haemorrhagic encephalomalacia, while the rest were similar to previous results.

On May 26, the patient was in the twilight state of consciousness. After tracheotomy, the patient exhibited smooth spontaneous breathing and was supported by liquid diet. The patient had no fever, and there was a slight improvement of neck rigidity. The antibacterial drugs, including linezolid and ceftazidime, were discontinued. On June 1, the patient regained consciousness and was transferred to the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine for comprehensive rehabilitation. On June 16, the number of platelet count was 269×10⁹/L. On June 28, the recovery was satisfactory and the patient was discharged from hospital. The treatment regimens and CSF cell counts, proportion of multinucleated cells during hospitalization in Department of Neurosurgery are shown in Figure 1. The trends of concentration of protein and sugar in CSF are shown in Figure 2.

**Discussion**

For the treatment of intracranial infections caused by MRSA/MRCoNS, guidelines of ESCMID (European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases) and the IDSA all recommend vancomycin as a first-line therapeutic agent.²,³ Previously, trough concentration is recommended as PK/PD parameter for evaluating efficacy of vancomycin, and for complicated infections, trough concentration should be maintained at 15–20 µg/mL.⁴ The 2020 Chinese Update vancomycin guideline still recommend trough concentration as a target PK/PD value to evaluate vancomycin efficacy.⁵ However, the updated 2020 IDSA guideline indicates that the reliability of utilizing trough concentration to evaluate the efficacy of vancomycin could be affected by various PK parameters such as MIC, apparent distribution volume, age, gender, weight, height.⁶ Therefore, the 2020 version of IDSA guideline recommends AUC-guided dosing and monitoring as a basis for optimal management of vancomycin. For serious MRSA infections, it is recommended to target an AUC/MIC ratio of 400 to 600 (assuming a vancomycin MIC of 1 mg/L), and trough concentration of 15–20 µg/mL is no longer recommended as a target value alone.

In our case, the vancomycin trough serum concentration was 18.3 µg/mL, within the range of 15–20 µg/mL, and the vancomycin concentration in CSF was 5.0 µg/mL. The plasma AUC was calculated to be 250 h•µg/mL using nonlinear mixed-effect model software program (NONMEM, version 7.2), so the AUC/MIC ratio was 125 (MIC = 2 µg/mL), unable to reach the target AUC/MIC. There is no relationship between CSF-to-serum ratios of vancomycin and clinical cure in CNS infections.⁷ In our case, the CSF-to-serum ratio of vancomycin is 0.27, the therapeutic effect was unsatisfactory. Our results further verify that AUC/MIC is a more appropriate PK/PD parameter for evaluating the efficacy of vancomycin.

Moreover, if the MIC of vancomycin against MRSA/MRCoNS is greater than 1 µg/mL, vancomycin can be
replaced with linezolid, daptomycin, or sulfamethoxazole.\textsuperscript{1} Linezolid has been widely and successfully used in patients with CSF shunt infection.\textsuperscript{8–10} The patient in our case was considered to be hospital-acquired intracranial infection due to ventricular drainage. The patient was treated with vancomycin for 36 days although no improvement was observed. Eventually, the patient was cured by switching vancomycin to linezolid. We further searched the available literature reporting linezolid regimen for treating intracranial infections caused by MRSA/ MRCoNS. The clinical characteristics of patients reported in these literature, including vancomycin/ linezolid MIC values of \textit{Staphylococcus}, were shown in Table 1. Among the 23 cases in Table 1, 14 were caused by MRSA, 8 by MRCoNS, and 1 by VISA. Nineteen patients recovered fully, 3 patients achieved microbiological clearance but ultimately died of comorbidities, and treatment failed in 1 patient. One case had a vancomycin MIC of 1 µg/mL against MRSA/MRCoNS, 22 cases had an MIC > 1 µg/mL, so linezolid can achieve a good therapeutic effect when the vancomycin MIC > 1 µg/mL.

The antimicrobial physicochemical properties (such as molecular weight, hydrophilicity, and plasma protein binding rate), the pathophysiology of the peripheral disorders of CNS (such as BBB disruption and blood–brain inflammation), the PK/PD of antimicrobials (time-dependence or concentration-dependence), and bacterial susceptibility should all be considered in the selection of antimicrobials for CNS infections.\textsuperscript{11} The molecular weight of linezolid is 337.35, which is much smaller than vancomycin and teicoplanin, and its plasma protein binding ratio is 31%. After oral intake of 600 mg, the steady-state peak serum concentration (\(C_{\text{max}}\)) of linezolid is 15–27 µg/mL with a high tissue concentration.\textsuperscript{12} The CSF/plasma AUC ratio of linezolid is 0.5 to 0.9 when there is no inflammation of the meninges, and 0.7 when there is inflammation of the meninges.\textsuperscript{11} The concentration of linezolid in CSF can exceed the MIC against Gram-positive bacteria that cause most intracranial infections. Although linezolid is a bacteriostatic agent, there are several case reports of its use in the management of severe Gram-positive bacterial infection, such as meningitis and endocarditis, where bactericidal activity is traditionally considered to be necessary.\textsuperscript{13}

The linezolid MIC of MRSA/MRCoNS was reported in 15 cases, of which one case of MRSA had a linezolid MIC of 4 µg/mL. The patient was treated with linezolid combined with daptomycin and rifampicin and was cured. One case of MRCoNS had a linezolid MIC of 1.5 µg/mL, and the patient was cured by linezolid combination therapy with rifampicin. The remaining cases of MRSA/MRCoNS all had linezolid MIC ≤ 1 µg/mL, and all patients were cured after treatment with linezolid monotherapy. In our case, the linezolid MIC of MRSE was 4 µg/mL, and the patient was eventually cured after treatment with linezolid monotherapy. Linezolid is a time-dependent antibacterial drug, AUC/MIC and %T\(_{\text{MIC}}\) are the PK/PD parameters to determine its efficacy.\textsuperscript{14} A Monte Carlo simulation study of linezolid in plasma/CSF, linezolid was routinely administered at a dose of 0.6 g every 12 h.\textsuperscript{15} When AUC\(_{0-24}\)/MIC ≥59.1 was applied as a target PK/PD index, the probability of target attainment (PTA) for linezolid in plasma was ≥90% for pathogens only with a MIC of ≤2 µg/mL, whereas the PTA ≥90% in CSF could be reached with a MIC of ≤1 µg/mL.\textsuperscript{15} When %T\(_{\text{MIC}}\) ≥ 40% was applied as a target PK/PD index, the PTA of linezolid in plasma/CSF was excellent when the MIC was ≤4 µg/mL.\textsuperscript{15} In the study reported by Beer et al, when the linezolid MICs were 2 µg/mL and 4 µg/mL, the %T\(_{\text{MIC}}\) in CSF were 99.8% and 57.2%, respectively.\textsuperscript{16} Therefore, the conventional dose of linezolid can achieve good therapeutic effect when the linezolid MIC is ≤2 µg/mL. When the linezolid MIC is ≤4 µg/mL or the patient is critically ill, it may be necessary to increase the dose, dosing frequency, or the duration of intravenous drip to improve the therapeutic effect.\textsuperscript{15} Monitoring drug concentration will facilitate the adjustment of dosing to achieve better treatment effect.\textsuperscript{15} In addition, combination therapy may help to control and eradicate pathogens in time. In the study reported by Kelesidis et al, the triple combination of linezolid, daptomycin and rifampicin had synergistic bactericidal effect in vitro.\textsuperscript{17} This regimen may be attempted for salvage treatment. Moreover, the combination of linezolid with rifampicin and the combination of linezolid with vancomycin also revealed synergistic effects in vitro, with case reports on successful clinical applications.\textsuperscript{18} However, combination therapy do not always get favourable outcomes, and further studies are necessary to confirm the efficacy of combination drug regimens.

Hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatitis B e antibody and hepatitis B core antibody were all positive in the patient, with positive HBV DNA (95×10\(^{8}\) IU/mL), leading to the diagnosis of HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. Transaminases were elevated in the patient before linezolid therapy. Linezolid therapy was initiated on May 6 and had been only used for 4 days when a progressive
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No. (Reference)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>CSF Leukocytes;</th>
<th>Previous Treatment</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>MIC (mg/L) Vancomycin/Linezolid</th>
<th>Treatment and Duration</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1[17]</td>
<td>62 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>Linezolid + daptomycin+ rifampicin 3 weeks</td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3[25]</td>
<td>43 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>4/1.5</td>
<td>Linezolid + rifampicin 12 weeks</td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4[13]</td>
<td>80 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>&gt;1×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 28 days; piperacillin/ tazobactam (4.5 g ×3) after 5 days of linezolid, lasting 3 weeks</td>
<td>Microbiologically cured, but died 3 months later due to gastric bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5[13]</td>
<td>72 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>&gt;1×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 21 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6[13]</td>
<td>36 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.25×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 21 days</td>
<td>Microbiologically cured, but died due to intracranial haematoma 2 months later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7[13]</td>
<td>69 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>&gt;1×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 10 days</td>
<td>Microbiological failure; died despite addition of daptomycin on day 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8[13]</td>
<td>65 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.3×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 21 days</td>
<td>Microbiologically cured, but died 1 month later due to <em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em> meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9[13]</td>
<td>34 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.7×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 21 days</td>
<td>Microbiologically cured; survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10[13]</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.35×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>2/NA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 21 days</td>
<td>Microbiologically cured; survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11[26]</td>
<td>34 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16.32×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone, imipenem, vancomycin, Levofloxacin</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>1.5/0.25</td>
<td>Linezolid+ Levofloxacin, 59 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12[27]</td>
<td>22 days</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.18×10⁹/L</td>
<td>Ampicillin, cefotaxime, vancomycin</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>Linezolid, 40 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>White Blood Count (×10^9/L)</td>
<td>Antibiotics Used</td>
<td>Sepsis Isolates</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11 months</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Vancomycin, MRCoNS</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>Linezolid, 57 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>51 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>26 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>23 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>38 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>47 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>58 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRCoNS</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>49 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>67 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 28 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>71 years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 42 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>58 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Vancomycin, cefotaxime</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Linezolid (600 mg×2), 14 days</td>
<td>Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increase in transaminases was observed. The possibility of linezolid-induced liver injury seem not to be ruled out. However, previous studies demonstrated that drug-induced liver injury (DILI) with fulminant liver failure and lactic acidosis were probably related to prolonged linezolid exposure.\(^{19}\) In our case, the Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM) yielded a score of 0. Moreover, the liver function gradually improved with subsequent treatment with linezolid and hepatoprotective. Therefore, the liver injury in our case was not considered to be associated with linezolid.

In our case, linezolid was administrated in normal dose. No significant adverse reactions were detected, and the patient was eventually cured. From this report, the dosage of linezolid do not appear to be related to clinical cure of CNS infections, and if the subsequent treatment is satisfactory, the initial normal dose does not necessarily need to be increased.

The common side effects of linezolid include thrombocytopenia, anemia, neutropenia, gastrointestinal disorders, liver dysfunction, lactic acidosis.\(^{20}\) Specially, linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia can increase risk of gastrointestinal and intracranial haemorrhage.\(^{21,22}\) In our report, no significant decrease in platelet count could be observed during the entire course of linezolid therapy at normal dosage. Besides, no serious adverse reactions occurred during linezolid therapy in any of the 23 patients reported in literature. However, the patients with intracranial infection often require linezolid prolonged administration, high attention also need be paid to the adverse reactions of linezolid. Close platelet count monitoring is required for the patients who receive linezolid therapy longer than a week, and have impaired renal function or low baseline platelet counts.\(^{23}\)

**Conclusion**

Vancomycin dosing should be based on AUC-guided dosing and monitoring. The best predictor of vancomycin efficacy is AUC/MIC, and trough concentration should not be used as a substitute. For patients with serious MRSA/MRCoNS infections, it is recommended to target an AUC/MIC ratio of 400 to 600 to achieve clinical efficacy. When the vancomycin MIC of MRSA/MRCoNS is greater than 1 µg/mL, the target AUC/MIC may not be achieved. In such cases, linezolid can be used to obtain good therapeutic effect.

**Abbreviations**

PK/PD, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic; AUC, area under the curve; MIC, Minimum Inhibitory Concentration; MRSE, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MRCoNS, methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*; BBB, blood–brain barrier; CNS, central nervous system; IDSA, Infectious Diseases Society of America; HCAMV, health care-associated meningitis or ventriculitis; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; BMD, broth microdilution; PTA, probability of target attainment; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; PTA, probability of target attainment; DILI, drug-induced liver injury.
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