Genetic and molecular mechanisms in multiple myeloma: a route to better understand disease pathogenesis and heterogeneity

Abstract: Multiple myeloma (MM) is a heterogeneous plasma cell neoplasm presenting with a wide range of clinical manifestations. In spite of the availability of very performing treatment modalities, survival is highly varying, ranging from a few months to several years. Underlying genetic and microenvironmental mechanisms are thought to be responsible for clinical heterogeneity. Disease etiology is unknown but progresses in the understanding of its pathogenesis have shown that MM precursor cell transformation into a malignant one occurs in a multistep process. Possibly during class switch recombination a primary genetic event takes place. With the occurrence of additional events and the support of bone marrow microenvironmental cells, neoplastic plasma cells actively proliferate and disease behavior may change. Recurrent translocations involving the IgH locus (11q13, 4p16, 16q23, 21q12, and 6p21), deletions of chromosome 13, trisomies of chromosomes 3, 5, 9, 11, 15, 19, and 21, and dysregulated expression of cyclin D genes, are considered initiating or primary events. Alterations related to further disease transformation and adverse prognosis are deletion of 17p13, c-myc translocations, and gains of chromosome 1q21. In relation to the underlying genetic defects, disease subgroups are recognized. Accordingly treatment effectiveness may differ among groups. Intense research is ongoing in this field.
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematological malignancy affecting terminally differentiated B-cells and characterized by bone marrow infiltration by plasma cells secreting a monoclonal compound (IgG, IgA, IgD, or light chains). The disease is heterogeneous with a wide range of clinical manifestations and differing outcomes driven by the genetic-biologic characteristics; survival consequently ranging from a few months to several years. Tremendous progress has been made in the understanding of MM pathogenesis over the past two decades. Biology-modulating approaches were made available and others are currently under development, giving hope for more efficient therapeutic strategies. However, complex disease mechanisms should still be decrypted or their interpretation, remaining controversial, is to be clarified.

Frequently, research on a particular item arises from observations and random findings, the value of which is subsequently established by analyzing their statistical impact in large series. In other instances, experiments are performed in disease-similar microsystems, ex vivo or in animal models, but may not exactly reflect the complex oncogenic processes that really take place and lead to veracity-like hypotheses. Accordingly, part of the enormous amount of knowledge acquired concerning MM genetics...
and molecular pathophysiology, should be further validated.

For the purposes of the present study, we will discuss the possible origin of the malignant plasma cell and the theoretical processes that sustain its proliferation, the heterogeneity of MM manifestations and its precursor condition monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and the main genetic alterations that have been described to take place during disease progression as well as their presumed clinical impact.

Hypotheses on the origin of the myeloma clone

During B-cell developmental evolution, the rearrangement of immunoglobulin (Ig), heavy (IgH) and light chain (IgL) genes (VH-N-DH-JH on chromosome 14 and VL-N-JL on chromosomes 2 and 22 for κ and λ light chain respectively), take place. The process starts in the early pre-B-cell and leads to a unique IgH and IgL rearrangement. If the B-cell enters the germinal center (GC), it will undergo somatic hypermutation (SHM) and isotype class switch recombination (CSR), thus being selected to recognize a given antigen.

Being a plasma cell, the MM cell precursor is considered a terminally differentiated B-lymphocyte that appears to have passed the normal steps, thus its immunoglobulin genes have undergone antigen selection, isotype switching, and SHM in a GC. The analysis of IgH gene sequences in MM showed extensive hypermutations without evidence of intraclonal variations confirming their GC provenance. The first genetic “event” of a multistep neoplastic process could take place at the time of CSR with the random occurrence of translocations to the IgH locus on chromosome 14q32. These translocations lead to the deregulation of an oncogene juxtaposed to them and result in immortalization of the clone. When leaving the GC, the precursor cell may either become an Ig-producing plasma cell or a memory B-lymphocyte. Studies on MM cell growth fraction have shown that the majority of neoplastic plasma cells are quiescent at least at diagnosis and that tumor growth is restricted to a specific cell subpopulation that is not fully documented, but could be memory cells. It was subsequently shown, using allele-specific oligonucleotide-based polymerase chain reactions that clonal cells expressing a B-cell rather than plasma cell phenotype are circulating in the blood and bone marrow (BM) of MM patients and it was suggested that these clonotypic cells were equivalent to memory B-cells with their normal self-renewal properties.

This last hypothesis recently led to the concept of the MM stem cell. A possible genetic predisposition for the development of MM precursor cell is suggested by familial and population-based studies showing an increased risk, among relatives of MM and MGUS patients, to develop related malignancies.

The precursor memory clonotypic B-cell will selectively enter and bind to the BM milieu that actively contributes to its proliferation. A theoretical illustration of the evolution of the precursor cell to MM cell as well as its subsequent further evolution is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Hypothetic model of disease transformation. The MM precursor cell enters the GC and during CSR a primary genetic event occurs by error. The cell becomes a B-memory cell that carries its stigma and will further transform to an MGUS and ultimately MM cell with the occurrence of additional genetic events and the support of bone marrow microenvironmental cells.
The bone marrow microenvironment

The initial almost exclusive homing in the BM can be explained on one hand by the presence of adhesion molecules on plasma cell surfaces (very late antigen-4 [VLA-4], leukocyte function-associated antigen-1, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, VLA-5, syndecan-1) and on the other by the ability of the BM microenvironment to promote malignant cells’ proliferation.6,9 Triggered by plasma cells and stromal cells (SC) contact, the transcription factor, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activates and upregulates the expression of adhesion molecules such as intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 by both cell types, resulting in tighter binding. This stimulates, in turn, the production of a wide spectrum of cytokines that activate proliferative, antiapoptotic signalling pathways in MM cells and promote neo-angiogenesis and osteoclastogenesis.10,11 Within the BM milieu high levels of the complex interleukin-6 and its soluble receptor (IL-6/ sIL-6R) constitute the main plasma cell growth factor during the initial steps of myeloma transformation. Later on, the malignant cell is transformed further and may become IL-6- and BM-independent.

As already mentioned two important processes in MM pathogenesis are angiogenesis and osteoclastogenesis. Neovascularization is needed to support the metabolic requirements of the neoplastic cells. The process includes among others, the production of angiogenic cytokines (vascular endothelial growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, syndecan-1, angiopoietins, etc) that lead to the formation of new vessels. Bone destruction is mainly characterized by multiple osteolytic lesions caused by osteoclasts proliferating in bony areas adjacent to plasma cells; both cell types secrete soluble factors (osteoclast-activating factors including tumor necrosis factor-α [TNF-α], IL-1, IL-6, and macrophage inflammatory protein-1α) that sustain each other’s activity.9 However, the main stimulator of osteoclast formation is a member of the TNF family, namely the receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL).14

It is possible that during intense BM-supported plasma cell proliferation, myeloma cells or a subset of them further transform rendering the disease more aggressive. In addition, it is unknown whether the BM milieu per se presents some kind of malignant transformation. Recent gene expression profiling studies suggest that gene expression of bone microenvironment cells (endothelial, mesenchymal) are different in MM patients and controls.15,16

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance

The prevailing hypothesis is that the clinical condition of MGUS reflects the occurrence of the initials genetic “events” of the multi-step neoplastic process involving the switch regions of the heavy chain locus (IgH) at 14q32; these translocations are considered a frequent primary finding because they are evenly found in individuals with MGUS and patients with MM.17 It should be mentioned here that hyperdiploidy, associated with multiple trisomies of specific chromosomes, has also been almost equally found in MGUS and MM and represent the base of another pathway of evolution with an unknown mechanism to date.18,19

Because translocations involving the IgH locus occur in numerous other B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders, MGUS may also evolve to other B-cell entities including indolent lymphomas and chronic lymphocytic leukemia.20,21

MGUS is characterized by the laboratory finding of a serum or urinary monoclonal component in the absence of signs, symptoms, end organ damage, and with a BM plasma cell infiltration of less than 10%. By definition such a condition should be stable for at least six months.21,22

Patients are at increased risk of developing MM and related malignancies and the average risk of evolution is 1% per year. Furthermore, It was recently suggested that MGUS always precedes MM.23,24 Serial measurements of serum-free light chains (FLC) and their ratio during follow-up examination of MGUS patients are useful for predicting upcoming disease evolution.23–25 This finding is possibly due to the increased sensitivity of the FLC assay to detect very low FLC amounts,26 but may also implicate the reflection of an unknown mechanism with a role in disease evolution and linked to the inappropriate FLC secretion by malignant plasma cells. Deletions of chromosome 13 are found in 70% of myeloma patients with a documented pre-existing MGUS leading to the suspicion that this anomaly may constitute another crucial event of the multi-step process.27 It is possible that additional host factors, such as foreign and self antigens,28 contribute to the transformation process, perhaps by promoting BM reactive mechanisms leading to the secretion of cytokines and other factors that sustain plasma cell survival and growth.

Multiple myeloma: heterogeneity of clinical manifestations, disease behavior, and survival

Disease behavior in myeloma is highly variable. In many patients the disorder is indolent, symptom free and is...
called “smoldering or asymptomatic myeloma”. In others it presents a more aggressive form with a variety of clinical symptoms, the so called “symptomatic myeloma”; in these cases the disease is frequently accompanied with significant morbidity.29

As plasma cells proliferate in the BM and their mass expands, osteoclasts’ recruitment becomes active and bone resorption manifests by osseous pains, mainly back pain, followed in more advanced cases by compression or spontaneous fractures and even nerve paralysis. Moreover, the normal erythropoiesis is impaired, mostly by cytokines secreted upon plasma cells and stomal cells cross-talk, which leads to anemia, with patients complaining about fatigue, pallor, tachycardia and shortness of breath, and more rarely, thrombocytopenia with purpuric skin rash and leukocytopenia. The last, together with the malignant plasma cells producing their monoclonal paraprotein at the detriment of the normal plasma cell component leading to the decreased production of normal antibodies, cause immunosuppression, which is responsible for the recurrent infections that affect many patients. Another common presenting symptom is oliguria or anuria with swelling of extremities and vomiting due to renal insufficiency; these symptoms are mainly seen in light chain myeloma because the kidneys fail to metabolize or to reabsorb the increased FLC amounts excreted, so they may precipitate as casts and block the flow of urine.30 The previously mentioned bone destruction, along with renal failure, is responsible for hypercalcemia with symptoms ranging from constipation to even coma. Common MM presenting symptoms and their underlying mechanisms are shown in Figure 2. None of the above mentioned presenting symptoms is disease specific, neither will they present in all patients at the same time or sequence.

Symptomatic patients should be treated immediately before irreversible disease-related end-organ damage occurs. With conventional modalities the majority of patients enters some degree of response, but complete responses (CR) do not exceeded 5% while few patients are primary resistant, the median overall survival (OS) being three years. The addition of high-dose treatment (HDT) with autologous BM or stem cell rescue has increased the CR rate up to 30%–40%31 and median OS to 4–5 years. MM treatment armamentarium was considerably enriched over the past few years32,33 with the approval of new drugs such as thalidomide, lenalidomide, and bortezomib that produce, alone and especially in combination with other agents, impressively high response rates, even in relapsed or resistant patients.34 However, even with these modalities, response duration is varying among patients and frequently unsatisfactory.35 Once again the heterogeneity in responses is partly due to the underlying biologic variations. Furthermore, genetic factors associated with resistance to specific treatments have

---

**Figure 2** The malignant plasma cells, supported by the bone marrow microenvironment, are responsible for the clinical manifestations. 
**Abbreviations:** bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; FLC, free light chains; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; BM, bone marrow.
been found. Ideally, treatment decisions should be guided by prognostic factors.

**Genetic alterations**

In the BM the interactions between malignant plasma cells and their environment sustain plasma cell survival and proliferation. The oncogenic process continues given that MM cell is characterized by a profound genomic instability and subclones presenting additional genetic alterations appear. Chromosomal aberrations, numerical and structural, are a hallmark of MM and evolve over the course of the disease. Typically, previously treated and relapsed patients have a higher frequency of chromosome abnormalities compared with newly diagnosed ones. Although no single and pathognomonic genetic lesion has been identified for MM, the presence of recurrent abnormalities with clinical and prognostic impact renders their contribution to disease pathobiology obvious.

All myelomas can be broadly divided into hyperdiploid and nonhyperdiploid. Patients with hyperdiploidy present with high chromosome number associated with trisomies of chromosomes 3, 5, 9, 11, 15, 19, and 21; they have a better overall survival. Hypodiploid myeloma is found in approximately 20% of the patients; pseudodiploid karyotypes in 15%, and subtetradiploid in 5%. Patients with nonhyperdiploid karyotypes present a high frequency of reciprocal translocations involving the IgH locus. These abnormalities deregulate juxtaposed genes; thus recurrent changes in the expression of a subset of genes may be partly responsible for disease heterogeneity, producing myeloma-specific unique clinical patterns. The main genetic findings recurrently found and that present clinical repercussions in MM are listed below and in Table 1. Recurrent trisomies or other findings with unknown implications are not discussed in the present context. It is interesting to observe that the abnormalities observed are not myeloma specific and may occur in B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders or even other neoplasias in which they may confer another phenotype than in MM, suggesting a role for additional factors.

**Technique mostly used for the detection of genetic abnormalities**

Chromosomal abnormalities are evaluated by conventional karyotype, the analysis of metaphase of dividing cells. This technique cannot detect aberrations in most cases because myeloma cells are characterized by low proliferation rates (Figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>TC group</th>
<th>Cyclin D dysregulation</th>
<th>Prog in MM</th>
<th>Specific manifestations</th>
<th>Sequence of event</th>
<th>Present in other diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperdiploidy</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>BCI</td>
<td>CCND1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypodiploidy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>t(11;14)(q13;q32)</td>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(4;14)(p16;q32)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FGFR3/MMSeT</td>
<td>FGFR3/MMSeT</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>PCL, MGUS, plasmacytoma</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(6;14)(p21;q32)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CCND3</td>
<td>CCND3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;16)(q32;q23)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>C-MAF/MAFA</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;20)(q32;q11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CeBPB/MAFB</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(8;14)(q24;q32)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>C-MYC</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B-ALL, NHL, Kaposis sarcoma, DBLCL, T-granular LL, FL, MGUS, MM, PCL, DLBCL, FL, B cell PCL, MGUS, MCL, SWLCL, NHL, WM, PCL, NHL, NHL, for plasma cell lymphoma.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PCL, plasma cell leukemia; MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; PCL, mantle cell leukemia; B-PLL, B-prolymphocytic leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; B-ALL, B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; FL, follicular lymphoma; MM, multiple myeloma; MCL, marginal zone lymphoma; SMZL, splenic margional zone lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; WM, Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia; PCL, plasma cell leukemia; MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; B-ALL, B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; B-PLL, B-prolymphocytic leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; B-ALL, B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia.
Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) is more sensitive for recognizing specific chromosomal changes in quiescent cells and increases the proportion of detection of chromosomal abnormalities in MM up to more than 90%.42

Polymerase chain reaction and single nucleotide polymorphism detect the expression of given gene alterations.

Gene expression profiling (GEP) is the measurement of the activity (expression) of thousands of genes at once, to create a global picture of cellular function. When the given involved genes are recognized, DNA microarrays can monitor their levels of expression in parallel.43 The microarray-GEP represent an excellent tool for studying MM because it does not only study the malignant plasma cell per se, but also its interactions with the BM microenvironment, a critical component of MM pathophysiology.44

**Primary events**

**Translocations involving the IgH locus and putative genes involved**

These translocations represent primary events and are considered by most investigators insufficient to give rise to full blown myeloma given that they are evenly distributed between MGUS, smoldering MM, and overt MM.41 By interface FISH analysis, IgH translocations are found in approximately 47% of MGUS patients, in 60% to 70% of patients with intramedullary MM, and in more than 80% of patients with plasma cell leukemia.45 The most frequent partners involving 14q32 are 11q13 (15%), 4p16 (15%), 16q23 (5%), 20q11 (2%), and 6p21 (5%); two additional partners are also found rarely 12p13 (<1%) and 8q24 (<1%).46 Thus seven recurrent oncogenes are involved in 14q32 translocations: CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, MAF, MAFB, MAFA, and FGFR3/MMSET.47 These are found in about 40% of myeloma patients, most of whose are nonhyperdiploid.48

Translocations involving the expression of cyclin D and consequently of cell cycle regulation seem to play an important role during primary transformation. It was initially found by FISH studies that the t(11;14) is present in about 15%–20% of patients45,49 and is also common in MGUS and primary systemic amyloidosis. It results in upregulation of cyclin D1. It is the same translocation as in mantle cell lymphoma but IgH breakpoints are different (IgHS vs IgHJ). The t(11;14) is peculiar in MM because, in contrast to other IgH translocation, it may involve the VDJ and switch regions suggesting that it might be a very early event, possibly an initiating one. Patients with t(11;14) are more likely to have a pseudodiploid karyotype; they present less frequent bone lesions and a better OS and response to treatment.50 The morphology of BM infiltrating cells is usually mature or lymphoplasmacytic. The t(6;14)(p21;q32) translocation results in dysregulation of cyclin D3 and is detected in 3%–5% of MM cases exclusively by FISH.51 It leads to dysregulation of the c-maf proto oncogene and is usually associated with 13q deletion. In spite of the fact that translocations t(11;14) and t(6;14) are encountered in about 30% by FISH, genomic profiling in a large cohort of patients with newly diagnosed disease revealed that almost all myeloma plasma cells exhibited increased and/or deregulated expression of either CCND1, CCND2, or CCND3, but this was not the case of plasma cells from the BM of healthy donors.40 Thus, cyclin D (D1, D2, or D3) deregulated expression is considered a generalized phenomenon linked to pathogenetic mechanisms and prognosis.

The other common IgH translocations is t(4;14) (p16.3;q32), found in approximately in 15% of MM patients using FISH.44 It is an unfavorable prognostic factor for patients treated with either conventional or high dose chemotherapy. Conventional karyotyping cannot detect this translocation because of its telomeric location. Tyrosine
kinase receptor (FGFR3) and novel gene homologous to a Drosophila dysmorphoy gene (MMSET) are in opposite transscriptional orientation at 4p16.52 Both are involved in t(4;14). The translocation generates two fusion genes, IGH-MMSET on der(4) and FGFR3-IGH on der(14).

Other translocations involving the IgH locus have been observed at lower frequencies. t(14;20)(q32;q11) is present in 2% of the patients with MM. The gene involved is MAFB that is a leuken zipper transcription factor. The t(9;14)(p13;q32) translocation regulates the PAX-5 gene at 9p13 and has been found very rarely in MM patients in contrast to other plasma cell dyscrasias.20,53

13q deletion
The role of 13q deletion in the progression of MM is still a matter of debate. A high incidence of 13q deletions has been reported in patients with MM with a preceding history of MGUS, suggesting that it may be involved in the transformation of MGUS into myeloma.17,27 Del13 was originally detected in approximatively 50% of patients with abnormal karyotypes,54 and has been associated with adverse prognosis. With the use of interphase FISH, it was shown that del13 may occur in all stages of the plasma cell neoplasms including MGUS and smoldering MM,27 the reported prevalence of monosomy or partial deletion of chromosome 13 in MM being of about 50%.55 The aforementioned aberration involves the gene RB1. Resulting retinoblastoma protein (pRB) suppresses the G1 → S transition in the cell cycle. It acts by inhibiting the E2F mediated transactivation of genes involved in DNA synthesis initiation (c-myc, b-myb, cdc2, dihydrololate reductase, and thymidine kinase). pRB function depends on phosphorylation: hypophosphorylated pRB induces growth arrest while phosphorylated pRB cannot bind E2F. Myeloma cells exhibit strong expression of phosphorylated pRB and it was suggested that IL-6 promotes MM cell proliferation by enhancing phosphorylation of pRB.56 However, 13q14.3 deletions have been observed without RB1 loss, which means that RB1 is not the only critical locus of 13q14.3 subband.57 It seems that the adverse prognostic effect of 13q deletions, as detected by FISH, is related to other associated abnormalities, such as t(4;14) translocation and a partial deletion on chromosome 17p;24,27 consequently, patients who have only a chromosome 13 deletion have the same prognosis as those who do not have this abnormality.

Secondary genetic events
During the course of the disease, numerous events may take place contributing to the transformation of MM plasmacyte into a more aggressive one. Here, we will briefly present the three main disease progression aberrations.

Chromosome 1 anomalies
The short arm of chromosome 1 is involved in deletions, while the long arm in gains. Gains of the q arm of chromosome 1 are one of the most common genetic abnormalities in MM and are frequently associated with disease progression. 1q21 amplifications were linked to inferior survival,58 although it was not an independent factor in another study.59 It was suggested that the increased proliferation observed in the presence of 1q21 sequences is due to the increased expression of CKS1B gene.60

Secondary IgH translocations
The t(8;14)(q24;q32) translocation involves the proto oncogene c-myc which controls proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of neoplastic cells. C-myc rearrangements have been detected with FISH in about 15% of myeloma patients and 55% of myeloma cell lines.61 These abnormalities include unusual and complex translocations and insertions that often juxtapose myc with an IgH or IgL locus.62 It seems to be a late event during the progression of MM.

p53 inactivation and chromosome 17 loss
p53 inactivation by either deletion or mutation is a rare event in MM at diagnosis but the frequency increased during disease course.63 p53 is contained within the deleted region on 17p13 but questions remain regarding it being the critical gene.41 Deletions of 17p13 are detectable in 8%–10% of patients and have been associated with stage III disease and significantly shorter survival.64

Genetic findings related to treatment
Effective treatment modalities are now available but their potency greatly varies among patients and genetic alterations have been related to theses discrepancies. Unsatisfactory response duration was observed after high dose treatment and BM transplantation or stem cell rescue in patients with partial or complete deletions of chromosome 13 by conventional cytogenetics,65 t(4;14)(p16;q32), t(14;16)(q32;q23) and 17p13 deletions,42,50 deletions and gains of chromosome 1p21,66 or amplification of its associated gene CKS1B.67

Patients with the aforementioned genetic alterations also present a worse event-free and overall survival when treated with thalidomide; however the last is controversial and the drug can reverse the poor prognosis associated with cyclin-D1
negativity and fibroblast growth factor-3 positivity. With regard to lenalidomide, that was relatively recently (early 2008) approved in Europe for the treatment of relapse/refractory MM patients, fewer studies on its effectiveness in the presence of cytogenetic findings conferring adverse prognosis are available. A recent study on 100 newly diagnosed patients that received front-line lenalidomide showed that the 16 displaying poor prognosis cytogenetic features had a shorter progression-free survival.

Bortezomib is a boronic acid dipeptide that reversibly and selectively inhibits the proteasome and degrades primarily ubiquitinated proteins. Many important target proteins of the proteasome, implicated in MM biology, have been identified, including cyclins A, B, D, and E, the tumor suppressor protein p53, pro-apoptotic protein Bax, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27, and the NF-kB inhibitor I-kB. Indeed, studies have shown that bortezomib may overcome the adverse effect of deleterious genetic aberrations 13q deletions, t(4;14), amplification CKS1B, but although outcomes are better than with other modalities, patients ultimately relapse.

Other drugs with a targeted mode of action will be released or approved soon (eg, mTOR inhibitors for the subset of patients with cyclin D1 dysregulation) and the therapeutic impact in MM is expected with interest.

**Additional genetic factors regulating MM oncogenesis**

**Additional genes involved**

Many other genes besides cyclin D, p53, c-myc, and CKS1B, as stated before, play a possible role in MM pathogenesis and will not be further analyzed in the present context. The main are N- and K-Ras mutations and genes involved in NFkB constitutive activation. N- and K-Ras mutations are found in a considerable percentage in newly diagnosed patients (about 40%). On the contrary they are rarely found in MGUS suggesting that they may contribute to or reflect a transforming process. The genetic background that produces constitutive activation of NFkB, an important process in MM, is a matter of ongoing research.

**miRNAs**

Despite recent advances in oncogenomics and MM cell-stroma interactions, further studies are needed to identify critical players in MM pathogenesis. It seems that small noncoding RNAs, previously thought to be unimportant, play an important role in the regulation of oncogenes that, in turn, participate to disease transformation. These, termed microRNAs (miRNAs) are noncoding RNAs of 19 to 25 nucleotides in length that regulate gene expression by inducing translational inhibition and degradation of their target mRNAs through base pairing to partially or fully complementary sites. miRNAs are involved in critical biological processes, including development, cell differentiation, stress response, apoptosis and proliferation. Recently, specific miRNA expression patterns have been linked to hematopoiesis and cancer. It was shown that in MM, miRNAs contribute to the malignant transformation of plasma cells. Upregulation of 60 and downregulation of 96 miRNAs was observed in CD138+ cells from MM patients and cell lines compared to healthy controls. Several of the aforementioned upregulated miRNAs targeted the p300-CBP-associated factor, a positive regulator of p53. In addition, miR-19a and miR-19b that specifically target SOCS-1, a negative regulator of the IL-6R/STAT-3 pathway, were upregulated by 100-fold in patients’ samples and by more than 2000-fold in MM cell lines while they were almost always absent in normal plasma cells and MGFUS cells. The miRNAs may also disable disease progression. miR-15a and -16 were found to negatively regulate the proliferation and growth of MM cells in vitro and in vivo by inhibiting various kinase pathways. Moreover, miRNAs-15a and -16 exerted anti-MM activity even in the presence of BM microenvironent, thus representing potential therapeutic factors. As well as miRNAs may modulate gene expression, the opposite may also be true. The Argonaute-2 gene, a regulator of miRNAs maturation and B-cell development, was recently identified as a uniformly over-expressed factor in all high risk MM plasma cell samples.

**Prognostic genetic classifications**

Since Durie and Salmon classification, many other prognostic systems has been developed in an attempt to predict disease behavior and consequently to treat in the best way. Among them, numerous ones included the described above adverse genetic findings, namely hypodiploidy, deletion 13 by conventional karyotype, t(4;14), t(14;16), and del17p13 by FISH. An international consensus genetic classification was recently published that provides recommendations for genetic testing in clinical practice.

The evolution of technology GEP-derived classifications have emerged, the two main of which are briefly described below.

Based on the knowledge that primary events are mainly the five recurrent IgH translocations, specific trisomies and the almost uniform expression of cyclin D genes, a classification...
scheme, based on the GEP spikes, was developed (referred to as TC classification). Reducing the complexity of the microarray from over 5000 probes to less than 30 genes, eight groups were identified: four with the primary translocations (4p16, 11q13, 6p21, Maf), three with CCND1 and CCND2 over-expression (D1, D1 & D2, D2), and the last with the rare cases that do not over-express any cyclin D genes (‘none’). Significant differences in global GEP profiles and clinical features were observed among the different groups.19

Complementing this supervised approach, unsupervised hierarchical clustering allows samples to self organize based on underlying correlations in gene expression patterns. Seven different and reproducible biologic-prognostic groups were recognized.80 These molecular groups are the MS, MF, CD-1, CD-2, HY, LB, and PR (referred to as UAMS classification). They present some similarities with the TC groups. Four of them (MF, MS, CD-1, and CD-2) are characterized by hyper-elevated expression that results from recurrent chromosomal translocations present in approximately 40% of MM, which occur as a result of errors in switch recombination and/or SHMs. The HY group is characterized by low level ectopic expression of CCND1 and over-expression of genes mapping – numbered to odd chromosomes that typically exhibit trisomy in MM. The LB (low-bone) group is characterized by a low incidence of magnetic resonance imaging-defined bone lesions and expresses high levels of CCND2 and a unique gene set, including endothelin-1. The last group, PR (proliferation) is not related to a primary genetic lesion but to a high expression level of proliferation genes and has the highest yield of metaphase cytogenetic abnormalities of all groups. Differences in clinical and laboratory findings as well as in survival were noted between the subgroups. The survival of the CD-1, CD-2, LB, and HY groups was better than the others.

Subsequently, many other models based on gene expression patterns have been developed, built with the purpose to better differentiate patients and to administer the most adequate treatment. However to date, there is still no model showing definitely clear cut results.

Conclusion

Progress has been made in the understanding of myeloma molecular pathogenesis. Recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities were found to contribute to the prognostication of disease behavior, survival and response to treatment. New drugs, such as bortezomib, were shown able to reverse the adverse impact of genetic alterations, with regard to response to treatment. However, patients almost always relapse, and the ultimate goal to use genetic findings to develop targeted therapies and cure MM, has not been reached yet.

Indeed, if it is true that MM precursor cell is a long-lived B-cell memory cell, then modalities against it should be envisaged. Further studies are needed to clarify this possibility.
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