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Abstract: Glioblastoma multiforme WHO grade IV (GBM) is the most aggressive malignant glioma and the most frequent primary tumor of the central nervous system. The median survival of newly diagnosed GBM patients was between 9 to 12 months prior to treatment with temozolomide being introduced. Primary resection that is as complete as possible is recommended for malignant glioma. Conventional fractionated irradiation 55 to 60 gy with concomitant temozolomide followed by standard temozolomide 6 cycles (5/28) (EORTC/NCIC-regime published by R Stupp in 2005) is the standard of care for newly diagnosed GBM after surgery, independent of the methylation status of the MGMT gene promoter. Age is no contraindication for treatment with temozolomide, although comorbidity and performance status have to be considered. For temozolomide naive GBM and astrocytoma grade III patients with disease progression, temozolomide is still the treatment of choice outside of clinical studies. A general consensus regarding the schedule of choice has not yet been achieved; so far the 5 out of 28 days regimen (5/28) is the standard of care in most countries. Patients with disease progression after standard temozolomide (5/28) are candidates for clinical studies. Outside of clinical studies, dose-dense (7/7), prolonged (21/28), or metronomic (28/28) temozolomide, or alternatively a nitrosourea-based regimen can be an option. The excellent toxicity profile of temozolomide allows for various combinations with antitumor agents. None of these combinations, however, have been demonstrated to be statistically significantly superior compared to temozolomide alone. The role of lower dosed, dose-dense, or continuous regimen with or without drug combination and the role of temozolomide for newly diagnosed astrocytoma grade III and low grade glioma still has to be determined.
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Primary tumors of the central nervous system are classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines, which were updated in 2007. Glioblastoma multiforme WHO grade IV (GBM) is one of the most aggressive human malignant diseases and the most frequent primary tumor of the central nervous system with an incidence of 4–5 per 100,000 inhabitants per year in industrialized countries like Europe and the US. The median survival of newly diagnosed GBM patients ranged from 9 to 12 months prior to the introduction of treatment with temozolomide. About two thirds of all GBM cases arise as a primary tumor while one third develops as secondary GBM on basis of prior lower grade malignancies like astrocytoma grade III which shows clear malignant criteria in histopathology and astrocytoma grade II without clear malignant criteria. For confident histopathological diagnosis a representative specimen
of the tumor has to be analyzed, and reference pathology is recommended if diagnosis is not clear. Specimens obtained by stereotactical puncture might not be representative of the entire tumor and should be considered to be an exception. Oligodendrogliaoma grade III is a well-defined subtype of astrocytoma grade III and shows better sensitivity to chemotherapy, resulting in an improved median survival. These tumors more often show loss of heterogeneity of chromosome 1p and 19q (LOH 1p19q), which is predictive of a better response to alkylating drugs.14,15

Abnormal interactions between macroglia, microglia, a special type of immune competent intracerebral migrating and modulating cells and the microvascular structure appear to play a role in the development of primary brain tumors.5

It has been demonstrated that many growth factor receptor families, such as epithelial growth factor receptor (EGF-R), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGF-R), and vascular endothelium growth factor receptor (VEGF-R), and their mutations, are involved in pathogenesis of GBM.6–12 There is evidence that primary and secondary GBM have a different molecular-genetic basis, however, treatment has not been stratified by these findings so far.2,13–15

The methylation status of the O6-methylguanine-methyltransferases (MGM-T) gene promoter is important for upcoming trials and potentially for future treatment strategies. MGM-T is a genome repair enzyme able to repair DNA lesions caused by alkylating drugs. In about 50% of GBM the MGM-T gene promoter is methylated, resulting in silencing of the gene and lack of MGM-T capacity with an increased sensitivity to alkylating drugs compared to GBM with unmethylated MGM-T promoter and normal MGM-T level.16 This explains why patients presenting a GBM with a methylated MGM-T promoter respond better to chemotherapeutic agents and have a prolonged survival. Neurooncologists all over the world have discussed the impact of methylation of the MGM-T promoter on individualization of treatment, however, consensus recommendations are still missing.17 There is evidence in the literature that prolonged or continuous treatment with alkylating drugs could subsequently deplete MGM-T activity.18,19

Treatment of glioblastoma multiforme in the pre-temozolomide era

The first treatment step after detection of an intracerebral tumor which provokes suspicion of a central nervous tumor is neurosurgical resection that is as complete as possible. Many researchers have indicated that the extent of resection is of prognostic value.20 Patients with complete macroscopic resection show a prolonged survival. Preoperative infusion of delta-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) marks malignant tissue in fluorescing light which is useful for determining the optimal resection margin intraoperatively. Another technique to improve extent of resection and to avoid neurological deficits is the so-called “awake resection”.21 A combination of ALA-technique and awake-resection is possible and seems to improve surgical approach in selected patients. Although resection techniques have improved it has become evident that even complete resection cannot cure a GBM patient due to disseminated malignant cells surrounding the detectable tumor being able to migrate along the intracerebral tracks and cords. Therefore median survival after resection alone remains at less than 12 months, indicating the clear need for additional treatment options to be developed.

In relation to this, it has been demonstrated that conventional fractionated radiotherapy with an equivalent dose of 54 to 60 Gy was able to prolong survival for a median of 3 months, resulting in a median overall survival of 12 months for GBM patients. Nearly all resected and irradiated GBM patients experience a local or distant progression within the central nervous system within 9 months after first surgery, however, metastases outside the central nervous system are rare (less than 1%). In the case of relapse, resurgery and/or reirradiation may be offered to the patient followed or replaced by local or systemic chemotherapy based on nitrosoureas such as lomustine, carmustine, or nimustine, or on topoisomerase inhibitors such as teniposide or etoposide.22–27 In the US treatment with irinotecan (CPT-11) is an option in this situation.28 Interestingly, only drugs administered in vivo are able to penetrate the intact blood–brain barrier and therefore show activity in primary brain tumors.

All of these local and systemic chemotherapeutic options achieved objective response rates of between 0 and 15%, with a median survival benefit of less than 3 months. Overall survival therefore was nearly unchanged at a median of approximately 12 months. Good performance status and younger age were predictive for better response.29

The administration of nitrosoureas after completion of irradiation without progression, called “adjuvant” by many authors, demonstrated a modest but consistent improvement of overall survival with the 2-year survival rate increasing from 15% to 20%.30,31,27 Treatment was given for 6 cycles spaced over 6 months. Continuation of the treatment until progression in the sense of a real maintenance treatment was not examined.
The low long-term survival prognosis of GBM made further investigation of new drugs necessary. Between 1990 and 2000 the most promising drug under investigation in progressive GBM was temozolomide, an oral alkylating drug with a moderate toxicity profile able to penetrate the intact blood-brain barrier.

Development of temozolomide for glioblastoma multiforme

Temozolomide was initially developed with the intent to treat patients with metastases to the brain for malignant melanoma but it also showed activity in relapsed GBM patients, encouraging further investigation.32–34 Temozolomide is characterized by rapid, nearly complete oral absorption. It converts spontaneously into MTIC (5-(3-methyltriazen-1-yl)imidazol-4-carboxamid), and the active metabolite shows a plasma peak concentration within 30 to 90 minutes after uptake, with a plasma half time of 2 hours.35 The cytotoxic activity of temozolomide is explained by O6-methylation of the guanine. O6-methylguanine is mutagenic and able to trigger apoptosis. MGM-T, a DNA-repair enzyme, is involved in removing temozolomide-induced methyl adducts at the same time as it depletes the metabolic capacity of this enzyme.16,36,37 Temozolomide was first investigated in an oral 5 day regimen with 250 mg/m² administered daily, repeated every 4 weeks for 6 cycles. Toxicity was moderate even though a more favorable toxicity profile could be demonstrated for continuous oral treatment at a dosage between 75 and 85 mg/m² of the body surface. With the exception of an increased risk for pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended in regimens with more than 100 mg daily continuous dosage. There is no documented influence of steroids or enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs on efficacy of temozolomide.

The situation of relapse

Yung et al were the first to report the statistically significant superiority of a monotherapy regimen of temozolomide compared to procarbazine at first relapse in patients with GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma WHO grade III using a regimen of 200 to 250 mg temozolomide/m² body surface orally before breakfast on days 1 to 5 of a 28 days cycle.38,39 The objective response rate was about 30% with a moderate toxicity profile; nausea or vomiting usually was only present on days 1 to 3 of the 5 days of drug incorporation. It was found that 5-HT-3 antagonists were required in some cases, and additionally, grade III and IV hematotoxicity rates were low, with about 15% of patients affected, and treatment-related myelodysplasia or acute myeloid leukemia being very rare events.40 The progression-free survival rate at 6 months was about 40%. The results of Yung et al study were confirmed by other researchers, which led to replacement of nitrosoureas and topoisomerase inhibitor-based regimens for patients with GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma in first relapse.41 Temozolomide conventionally dosed with 200 mg/m² body surface on days 1 to 5 of a 28 day cycle became the most commonly used regimen for GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma patients at first relapse around the world. However, the optimal duration of treatment remains unclear. Some researchers recommend treatment until progression or intolerable toxicity occurs, but the majority of researchers tend to restrict treatment to a maximum of 6 cycles. The standard follow up for these patients includes an MRI scan of the brain every 3 months, however in the last 2 years more and more neurooncologists have been recommending MRI scans every 8 weeks.

In case of relapse after standard dosed temozolomide (5/28) treatment, options are limited. While nitrosourea-based regimens have not shown promising activity in this situation, with objective response rates of less than 5%, many researchers have investigated different dose- and time-modified temozolomide schedules after standard dosed temozolomide has been administered.42–47 Supported by the assumption that continuous treatment with alkylating drugs like temozolomide induces depletion of MGM-T activity, the favorable toxicity profile of temozolomide led to the development of dose-dense, prolonged, and metronomic temozolomide schedules, all of which showing moderate activity when administered after standard temozolomide.48,49

The dose-dense or one week on/one week off regimen with temozolomide 150 mg/m² body surface on days 1 to 7, repeated on day 15 (7/14) seems to be the most effective regimen, with a 48% progression-free survival rate at 6 months (PFS6).50,51 The prolonged regimen with 75 to 100 mg/m² body surface on days 1 to 21, repeated at day 29 (21/28), demonstrated a PFS6 of 35%. The metronomic regimen with daily temozolomide 50 mg/m² body surface on days 1 to 28, repeated on day 29 (28/28), showed a PFS6 of 30%. The metronomic regimen with daily temozolomide 50 mg/m² body surface on days 1 to 28, repeated on day 29 (28/28), demonstrated a PFS6 of 35%.52 Dose- and/or time-intensified temozolomide regimens cause an increased grade III and IV hematotoxicity of 20% to 40%, with an increased risk of pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and herpes virus infections varying from 0% to 2%. Antibiotic and antiviral prophylaxis should be considered in these cases. While many neurooncologists seem to prefer dose-dense...
or prolonged temozolomide regimens, there is no proof of
the significant superiority of one of these regimens over the
standard 5/28 temozolomide so far.

Although standard dosed and dose- and/or time-modified
regimens of temozolomide have been found to significantly
improve outcome of patients with malignant gliomas, the
prognosis of these patients remains unsatisfactory.

**Primary treatment situation**

**EORTC/NCIC-protocol**

Wedge and van Rijn collected preclinical data which led to
them suggesting a synergistic effect of temozolomide and
irradiation therapy. Stupp et al demonstrated the feasibility
of concomitant temozolomide and irradiation therapy in
newly diagnosed GBM patients.55,56 The data encouraged
Stupp to initiate a randomized phase III study for newly
diagnosed GBM patients in cooperation with the EORTC
and the NCIC.55,56 Stupp and colleagues compared irradiation
therapy with concomitant temozolomide followed by 6 cycles
of standard dose temozolomide (5/28) versus irradiation
therapy alone. This research became a landmark study for
the treatment of newly diagnosed GBM patients.

Concomitant temozolomide was dosed at 75 mg/m² body
surface each day, including weekends, during irradiation
followed by 6 cycles temozolomide 200 mg/m² on days
1 to 5, repeated on day 29 (5/28-regimen). Patients received
conventionally fractionated irradiation in both arms with a
total dose of 55 to 60 gy.

The toxicity of temozolomide was moderate with
grade III and IV hematoxicity of 7% in the concomitant
phase and 14% in the standard dose phase.

Radiotherapy plus temozolomide was statistically sig-
nificantly superior to radiotherapy alone according to all
endpoints, with a consistent difference reported over time.
This became the new treatment standard for newly diagnosed
GBM patients.

The addition of temozolomide improved patients’
median survival (15.6 months versus 12.1 months), 2 year
overall survival (27.2% versus 10.9%), 3 year overall
survival (16.0% versus 4.4%), and 5 year overall survival
(9.8% versus 1.9%). The superiority of the temozolomide
arm continuously improved over time.57 In a correlational
study on health-related quality of life no negative impact of
concomitant temozolomide was found. Additional analysis
of the data confirmed good performance status and younger
age as predictors for better response to treatment.

Methylation status according to MGM-T gene promoter
in both treatment arms, as expected, with combined median overall survival in the entire study
population of 18.2 years for methylated MGM-T promoter
versus 12.2 years for unmethylated MGM-T promoter.
According to the treatment arms, the differences became more
impressive. Patients with methylated MGM-T promoter had
a median survival rate of 21.7 months with combined treat-
ment versus 15.3 months with radiotherapy alone. The 2 year
survival rates were 46% versus 22.7% respectively, while
patients with unmethylated MGM-T promoter had a median
survival of 12.7 months with combined treatment versus
11.8 months with radiotherapy alone, and 2 years survival
rates were 13.8% versus <2% respectively.58,59 As expected,
the data indicate that patients with methylated MGM-T gene
promoters clearly benefit more from temozolomide but even
patients with unmethylated MGM-T gene promoters benefit
from temozolomide, especially with long-term survival being
possible only in the combined treatment arm.

In the temozolomide era MGM-T gene promoter status
again is a clear prognostic factor but still does not have an
impact on individualization of treatment. Although there are
arguments for the use of a prolonged temozolomide regimen
for unmethylated MGM-T gene promoter status, there is no
consensus about this overall in the literature.

In a recently published randomized phase II study
irradiation plus concomitant temozolomide followed by either
dose-dense or metronomic temozolomide was compared in
newly diagnosed GBM patients. Toxicity was moderate in
both arms but there was no evidence of the superiority of
one of the tested arms compared to the historical data of
the original EORTC-protocol so far. In this study the prog-
nosis of patients with unmethylated MGM-T gene promoter
was better than expected, however the number of patients was
too small to reach statistical significance. Further investiga-
tion of prolonged temozolomide regimen for patients with
unmethylated MGM-T promoter is recommended.59

There is evidence in the literature that elderly patients sub-
stantially benefit from treatment with temozolomide and despite
the side effects, especially an increased rate of neutropenia and
thrombocytopenia temozolomide, it should be offered to elderly
patients with good performance status as well, especially in the
form of a lower dosed continuous regimen.60–63

**Temozolomide regimen**

1. **Standard (5/28):**
   - 150 to 200 mg/m² on days 1 to 5, repeated on day 29.
2. **Dose-dense (7/7):**
   - 150 mg/m² on days 1 to 7, repeated on day 8.
3. Prolonged (21/28):
   75 to 100 mg/m² on days 1 to 21, repeated on day 29.

4. Metronomic (28/28):
   50 mg/m² continuously on days 1 to 28, repeated day 29.

5. Concomitant to irradiation (days 1 to 42/49):
   75 mg/m² per day for 42 to 49 days during irradiation period.

Further developments and questions
A. Best temozolomide schedule
Which temozolomide regimen is the optimal treatment option in which situation remains an unanswered question. Different researchers promote dose-dense, prolonged, or even continuous strategies, especially in case of unmethylated MGM-T promoter status, so an overall consensus regarding which treatment is recommended has not yet been reached.64

B. EORTC/NCIC regimen for newly diagnosed astrocytoma WHO grade III
Many neurooncologists recommend the EORTC/NCIC regimen for newly diagnosed astrocytoma WHO grade III analogue to GBM. Histopathology and clinical characteristics of astrocytoma WHO grade III seem to support this strategy. As phase III studies do not include analyses of treatment of newly diagnosed astrocytoma WHO grade III with temozolomide, these are not included in the current research.

C. Combination regimen
The excellent toxicity profile of temozolomide allows for various combinations with antitumor agents. Many studies have been carried out with the purpose of investigating combination therapy of temozolomide with other chemotherapeutic drugs, monoclonal antibodies, and thyrosine kinase inhibitors. None of these combinations, however, have demonstrated statistically significant superiority compared to temozolomide alone. Research must therefore be continued in this area.

Combinations under investigation
These combinations are under investigation in the first line and relapsed situation (list incomplete):

Temozolomide plus
A. Chemotherapy65–70
   – CCNU
   – Cisplatinum

– Hydroxyurea
– Pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin
– Procarbacin
– Etoposid
– Topotican
– Paclitaxel
– Fotemustine
– Topic BCNU (wafer)

B. Thyrosinekinase-inhibitors (TKI)71–73
   – Erlotinib
   – Gefitinib
   – Cediranib
   – Cilengitide
   – Sunitinib
   – Lapatinib

C. Monoclonal antibodies1
   – Bevacizumab
   – Cetuximab
   – Panitumumab

D. Further antitumor/metabolic agents74–76
   – Tamoxifen
   – Thalidomide
   – Celecoxib

Compared to temozolomide monotherapy, there is currently no evidence that combination with the investigated drugs might be superior. Therefore none of the combination regimens have reached admission status so far.

Summary
The EORTC/NCIC-regime published by R Stupp in 2005 still is the standard of care for newly diagnosed GBM patients, independent of the methylation status of the MGM-T gene promoter. Age is not a contraindication for treatment with temozolomide, although co-morbidity and performance status have to be considered. Many new treatment options including targeted therapies are evolving and will sooner or later optimize the treatment for patients with malignant brain tumors. For temozolomide-naive GBM and astrocytoma grade III patients with disease progression, temozolomide is still the treatment of choice outside of clinical studies. An overall consensus on a recommendation for the schedule of choice has not yet been achieved, although so far the 5 out of 28 days regimen (5/28) is the standard of care in most countries.

Patients with disease progression after standard temozolomide (5/28) are candidates for clinical studies. Outside of clinical studies dose-dense (7/7), prolonged (21/28), or metronomic (28/28) temozolomide, or alternatively
nitrosourea-based regimens can be an option. Individualized treatment options including resurgery, reirradiation, or a combination of drugs should be discussed with the patient based on individual characteristics and relevant literature.

As long as potentially effective treatment alternatives are available, 6-weekly (or 8 at the most) MRI scans are recommended to detect tumor progression in time to be able to change treatment before deterioration of performance status makes further treatment impossible.

Well designed fast track studies (endpoint PFS6 or shorter, ie, PFS3) are needed to identify treatment strategies with real treatment potential within the growing offer of old, new, and up-coming drugs and their combinations. These studies should be followed by slow track studies (endpoint PFS12 or survival) to define the role of these strategies within the established treatment options.

Although patent protection of temozolomide ran out in 2009 investigation of temozolomide as a substantial part of the treatment of malignant brain tumors should be continued. In particular, the role of lower dosed continuous regimen with or without drug combinations and the role of temozolomide for newly diagnosed astrocytoma grade III and low grade glioma needs to be determined.
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