Current and emerging therapeutic options for the treatment of chronic chagasic cardiomyopathy
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Abstract: Chagas’ disease is an endemic disease in Latin America caused by a unicellular parasite, Trypanosoma cruzi, that affects almost 18 million people. This condition involves the heart, causing heart failure, arrhythmias, heart block, thromboembolism, stroke, and sudden death. In this article, we review the current and emerging treatment of Chagas’ cardiomyopathy focusing mostly on management of heart failure and arrhythmias. Heart failure therapeutical options including drugs, stem cells and heart transplantation are revised. Antiarrhythmic drugs, catheter ablation, and intracardiac devices are discussed as well. Finally, the evidence for a potential role of specific antiparasitic treatment for the prevention of cardiovascular disease is reviewed.
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Chagas’ disease is an endemic disease in Latin America caused by a unicellular parasite, Trypanosoma cruzi. Almost 18 million people are infected and almost 25% of them will develop chronic myocardial disease in the following years or decades. The intermediate phase of the disease, also known as “undetermined phase” (currently a term under review, given the fact that several physiopathological mechanisms occur during this phase) may last for two to three decades, and the only “detectable” manifestation of the disease is the immunological reaction and some degree of autonomic dysfunction. Approximately 30% of the infected patients will develop end organ disease (cardiac, gastrointestinal, and neurological).

Although a marked decrease in the incidence has been observed in the last decade it is still a major health problem in many countries of Latin America. In 2005, the genome sequence of Trypanosoma cruzi was finally discovered, initiating a new era of Chagas’ disease treatment based on targeting specific protein kinases and phosphatases. Chronically infected individuals may develop, after the asymptomatic period, chronic myocarditis (Figure 1, Panels A and B) and less frequently megacolon, megaesophagus, or neurological afflictions.

The main causes of death associated with chronic Chagas’ cardiomyopathy (CChC) are progressive congestive heart failure and sudden cardiac death. Although malignant ventricular arrhythmias are thought to be the main cause of sudden death, bradyarrhythmias, and thrombo-embolic events account for some of the sudden death as well. Chagas’ disease has become a worldwide problem, given the new patterns of immigration. Physicians around the world should become aware of its existence and how to recognize and treat it. This review is intended to revise the current therapeutical options for the treatment of heart failure and ventricular arrhythmias associated with CChC.
Methods

We reviewed the literature on the current epidemiologic data, pathophysiology, and classic and emerging therapeutic options for Chagas’ disease. Articles were selected from a computerized literature search in the Medline and SciElo databases using the keywords: “Chagas”, “Chagas’ disease”, “Chagas’ cardiomyopathy”, “Chagasic disease”, “heart failure”, “antiarrhythmic drugs”, “radiofrequency ablation”, “pacemakers”, “implantable cardioverter defibrillators”, “stem cells”, and “heart transplantation”; and all the possible combinations of the above. Two independent investigators (CM, AB) reviewed the abstracts and selected the ones considered of interest for the review. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Personal communications with experts were included as well as free access database data gained on the Internet. The initial search showed 10,393 articles. The combination of keywords narrowed this number down to 1,234. We selected 127 abstracts of which 76 were included in this review. The rest of the references were abstracts from proceeding books, book chapters, Internet databases, and personal communications.

Current options for the treatment of chronic chagasic cardiomyopathy
Congestive heart failure treatment

In CChC, the hemodynamic and neurohormonal responses do not differ from those in other cardiomyopathies; treatment of congestive heart failure does not differ either. Usual therapeutic strategies such as diuretics, beta blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and spironolactone are likely as important in Chagas’ disease as in other heart failure syndromes. Botoni et al found improvements in systolic and diastolic function as well as with the neurohormonal parameters using enalapril and spironolactone. This was consistent with results gained by Roberti et al. No impact on mortality was reported for patients with Chagas’ disease.

Beta blockers have been avoided in patients with CChC disease because of bradyarrhythmias and atrioventricular (AV) conduction defects. Botoni et al have shown in a double blind, placebo-controlled, and randomized trial including 42 patients with CChC that optimization of treatment with enalapril and spironolactone and subsequent addition of carvedilol were safe, hemodynamically well tolerated, and associated with an improvement in cardiac function and clinical status. In a recently published study, Issa et al examined the patients included in the REMADHE trial (prospective, randomized, single-center open parallel trial; designed to compare a disease management program versus control in patients with chronic heart failure). Patients were grouped according to the etiology of the cardiomyopathy (Chagas’ disease versus non-Chagas’ disease) and presence of beta blocker therapy. A total of 456 patients were included in the study. CChC was the etiology in 68 patients (14.9%). In chagasic patients beta blocker were used less frequently (35.8% versus 68%; $P < 0.001$). In patients treated with beta blockers the survival of patients with Chagas’ disease was similar to that of other
etiologies. Beta blockers (HR 0.37, 95% CI: 0.14 to 0.97; P < 0.044) were associated with better survival.

Antiarrhythmic treatment in Chagas’ disease
Arrhythmias in Chagas’ disease
A wide spectrum of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias and conduction disturbances are frequently observed in patients with CChC.\(^2,15,16\) Sinus node and AV node dysfunction (binodal disease) are quite frequent. The most frequent manifestation is, by far, persistent sinus bradycardia (with or without AV dissociation). Sino-atrial block and sinus arrest are also observed as a manifestation of sick sinus syndrome. The association of sinus node dysfunction with malignant ventricular arrhythmia is very common. The use of antiarrhythmic drugs may aggravate the sinus node dysfunction unless a permanent pacemaker is implanted.

Ventricular premature contractions (VPCs) and intraventricular conduction disturbances are frequent and maybe predictors of early myocardial involvement (Figure 1, Panel A). VPCs can be demonstrated in about 10% of infected subjects without any other evidence of structural heart disease.\(^17\) However, the association of intraventricular conduction disturbances and abnormal ventriculogram without cardiac failure increases the risk of presenting VPCs in about 56% of cases. If cardiac failure is present, the prevalence of VPCs is about 85% and is common to detect more complex ventricular arrhythmias such as couplets, non-sustained, and sustained ventricular tachycardia.\(^17\)

Electrocardiographic monitoring shows multiform VPCs, ventricular parasystole, ventricular escapes, couplets or runs of ventricular tachycardia (VT), and R on T phenomenon. VPCs with multiple morphologies are the most consistent finding. This has been attributed to the widespread foci of myocardial damage and correlates with the high prevalence of late potentials when using signal-averaged electrocardiography.\(^18\)

Our group reported the initiation mode of spontaneous malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias in 179 episodes occurring in 15 patients with CChC who had an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD), through the analysis of stored intracardiac electrograms. A high prevalence of short-long-short sequences just before the initiation of the malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias was observed. This motivated us to speculate in the development of specific software of the ICD in order to reduce these short-long-short sequences.\(^19\)

Sudden cardiac death, usually due to ventricular fibrillation, is the most common cause of death, and its incidence is 51%–65% depending on the series.\(^20-22\) Malignant ventricular arrhythmias are the main cause of sudden cardiac death, followed by bradyarrhythmias (high-degree AV block) and cerebral emboli.\(^7,8,24\)

The presence of nonsustained VT detected during ambulatory Holter\(^25\) monitoring and particularly during stress testing\(^26\) is a strong predictor of sudden cardiac death. Left ventricular (LV) dysfunction is also a predictor of poor outcome, particularly if associated with ventricular arrhythmias.\(^8\)

Antiarrhythmic drugs in Chagas’ disease: past and present
Ventricular tachyarrhythmias in the setting of Chagas’ disease are the most serious complications and very difficult to treat. Ventricular arrhythmias are usually unsustained but they can degenerate into malignant forms. Drug therapy is frequently ineffective to control the arrhythmia.

Almost all of the widely used antiarrhythmic agents have been used in patients with Chagas’ disease.\(^17\) Unfortunately, these trials usually have been uncontrolled, noninvasively guided, or empiric, and with short-term follow-up. No drug has been shown to prolong survival in a randomized trial. In comparative studies using ambulatory electrocardiography, Haedo et al\(^27\) and Rosenbaum et al\(^28\) showed that amiodarone is the most effective of the antiarrhythmic agents and is relatively well tolerated. Patients with malignant arrhythmias treated with amiodarone and followed for 26 months with ambulatory ECG, had only few minor arrhythmic events.\(^29\) In another study\(^30\) there was a low risk of arrhythmia recurrence or death when the LV ejection fraction (EF) was above 30%, but there was a 100% recurrence rate and 80% mortality if patients had New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III–IV with an EF less than 30%.

Invasively guided antiarrhythmic drug therapy seems to offer a good method for risk stratification and drug selection in patients with symptomatic sustained or non sustained VT. Sustained VT is inducible in more than 80% of patients with clinical sustained VT and in 50% of those presenting with syncope.\(^31\)

In another study, Sarabanda et al analyzed ventricular arrhythmia inducibility in patients with sustained and nonsustained VT in patients with Chagas’ disease. They found induction of sustained VT by programmed ventricular stimulation in 89% of patients with clinical presentation of sustained VT and in 7% in patients with non sustained VT.\(^32\)

Leite et al\(^33\) used electrophysiologic testing to evaluate 115 patients. After loading amiodarone in 115 patients; electrophysiologic testing identified three groups: nonsustained VT was inducible (Group 1, n = 23); only tolerated sustained monomorphic VT was inducible (Group 2, n = 45); and non-tolerated sustained monomorphic VT was inducible (Group 3,
Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators in Chagas’ disease

ICDs are the first line therapeutic option for primary and secondary prevention of sudden death in the setting of coronary artery disease or nonischemic disease with depressed LV function. Because of its frequent association with sudden cardiac death, Chagas’ disease has become an emerging indication for ICDs Figure 1, Panels C and D). However the efficacy and safety in treating patients with Chagas’ disease have been assessed in only few studies.39–41

Last year, we reported on the clinical impact of ICD therapy in patients with Chagas’ disease treated for prevention of sudden death.42 The Medtronic ICD Registry is an international registry containing data on patients with CChC implanted with an ICD in Latin America. This registry includes data from patients living in Puerto Rico, the Caribbean, Mexico, and South America. All patients were implanted with a Medtronic ICD. We analyzed data from 89 patients with CChC implanted with ICD, 91% of them due to secondary prevention. After a mean follow-up of 12 months, the total mortality was 6.7%. A total of 737 episodes of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in 38 patients were detected. ICD shocks were delivered in 35 episodes (4.8%), antitachycardia pacing in 554 (75.1%), and both in 107 (13.1%). Forty one episodes (5.6%) had spontaneous reversion. Appropriate ICD intervention rates were similar in patients presenting with sudden death (50%), VT with hemodynamic deterioration (50%) or without hemodynamic deterioration (47%), or unexplained syncope (50%).

This international registry confirmed that ICD therapy provided protection by effectively terminating life-threatening arrhythmias in patients with Chagas’ disease. This was especially so when patients were implanted due to secondary prevention purposes.

Cardinali-Neto et al43 recently reported the largest single center experience on ICD implantation in Chagas’ disease patients. They analyzed 90 patients receiving an ICD for secondary prevention. During a mean follow-up of 756 ± 581 days, 31 of 90 patients (34%) died. The total mortality rates were 18%, 27%, 40%, 50%, and 73%, after 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively. The number of shocks per patient by day 30 was found to be the only independent predictor of all-cause mortality (HR 1.86, 95% CI: 1.21 to 2.86; P = 0.005). In our experience, of over 148 chagasic patients with ICD we found that age older than 65 years old (HR 2.85, CI: 1.77–3.92; P = 0.041) and EF less than 30% (HR 2.68, CI: 1.57–3.79; P = 0.039) were independent predictors of all cause mortality at one year.43

Chagas’ disease patients receiving an ICD respond similarly to ischemic patients,39 although they tend to experience more shocks.44 Our group reported the time to occurrence of first appropriate ICD shock in 55 patients, 20 with CChC and 35 with ischemic disease. During the first 6 months of follow up, 35 of the 55 patients (66.6%) received at least one appropriate spontaneous ICD shock; 17/20 chagasic patients (85%) versus 18/35 ischemic patients (51%) received one ICD shock (RR: 1,65; P < 0.02).44 The cumulative incidence of shocks at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months post-implant in chagasic patients and ischemic patients was 55%, 10%, 10%, and 10% versus 14%, 11.5%, 8.5%, and 17%, respectively.

Permanent pacemakers in Chagas’ disease

Recommendations for permanent cardiac pacing in CChC are similar to other diseases and were previously published. Symptoms and probably the life expectancy of patients with Chagas’ disease are improved by permanent ventricular pacing.45 Recently, Vanegas reported that the main reasons for implanting a pacemaker in patients with Chagas’ disease were: sinus node dysfunction (52%); second and third degree AV block (26%), and atrial fibrillation with AV block or trifascicular block (21%).46

Tentori et al47 reported over 177 patients that the main causes for pacemaker implantation were: sick sinus syndrome (SSS) 32.2%, complete AV block 41.2%, 2:1 AV block 9.6%, trifascicular block 4.7%, AV block plus SSS 2.8%, and atrial fibrillation with low ventricular response 7.2%. Indication for pacing due to SSS was more prevalent in females (43.8%) versus males (15.9%); P < 0.001. Atrial fibrillation developed in 34 patients (21 with third degree AV block versus 13 with SSS, P = 0.45) at a mean follow-up of 86 months post pacemaker implant.

Garcia Rincon et al48 reported that chagasic patients implanted with permanent pacemakers were younger (55 versus 68 years old; P < 0.001), with lower LVEF (55 versus 60%; P < 0.04) and with more frequent ventricular arrhythmias in Holter monitoring than implanted patients with no Chagas’ disease.48

Despite sick sinus syndrome being the most frequent reason for implantation, single-chamber ventricular pacing is frequently used to treat these patients.46,49 Some economical limitations may account for this medical decision but lack of official data makes this comment purely speculative.
The dyssynchrony induced by right apical ventricular pacing may contribute to the development of dilated cardiomyopathy in this predisposed group of patients. Dual chamber pacemakers with specific algorithms may help to minimize unnecessary ventricular pacing. Alternative sites of pacing have not been systematically studied yet.

Transcatheter ablation in patients with Chagas’ disease
 VT is common among patients with Chagas’ disease but the ultimate mechanisms are not completely understood. Slow conduction scarred areas are related to VT arrhythmogenesis in CChC; the LV inferolateral scar areas are the main source of sustained VT reentrant circuits.32

Chagasic patients tend to be younger and have higher LVEF than their counterparts with ischemic disease. It is assumed, therefore, that their prognosis is closely related to VT treatment rather than the progression of the myocardial damage caused by the disease itself.50 The VT recurrence rate, despite best possible treatment with amiodarone, remains high and usually poses a clinical challenge.

Radiofrequency (RF) ablation, both delivered in the endocardium and the epicardium have been demonstrated to reduce the recurrence of VT, as a single treatment or in combination with an ICD. Other sources than RF, like infrared laser, have been experimentally tested with promising results.50

The initial reports on successful VT RF ablation in chagasic patients were reported more than 10 years ago.51,52 Since then, the evolution of the mapping techniques as well as the approach from the epicardium has expanded this technique to a larger number of patients.

In a recent study both the endocardium and epicardium of patients with CChC and VT referred for electrophysiologic study and radiofrequency ablation have been characterized.55 Seventeen patients were prospectively evaluated using a simultaneous epicardial and endocardial electroanatomical substrate mapping. With a mean of 201 ± 94 epicardial and 169 ± 77 endocardial points, the epicardial voltage areas with less or equal to 0.5 mV were 56.8 ± 40.6 cm² as compared to 22.5 ± 15.8 cm² in the endocardium (P = 0.004). Analyzing the epicardial surface, there was a strong correlation between the bipolar voltage electrograms and the electrogram duration at the epicardium during sinus rhythm (r = 0.897; P < 0.0001). Acute success was obtained in 83.3% of patients.53

In a reported case, ablation of the mitral isthmus has also been referred to as a necessary approach to control of VT with two different morphologies that was using the isthmus as part of the circuit.54 Unfortunately, this patient presented a massive cerebral infarction that led to death. The prevention of this complication is of particular importance in chagasic patients given the higher prevalence of apical aneurysms and intracardiac thrombus.

A fairly constant finding in the reported cases is the multiple VT morphologies and cycle lengths, leading to long procedures, extensive ablations, and weaker endpoints. We speculate that as it happens in patients with ischemic VT, RF ablation in addition to an ICD may reduce the incidence of therapies delivered by the device.55

Resynchronization therapy in Chagas’ disease
 Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has become an established treatment for patients with moderate to severe heart failure, wide QRS complex, optimized heart failure treatment, and evidence of ventricular dyssynchrony. Randomized controlled clinical trials have shown that CRT improves NYHA functional class, exercise capacity, quality of life, and hemodynamics and reduces morbidity and mortality.56–59

Current heart failure treatment guidelines published jointly by the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association reflect these findings.60 They recommend CRT for patients with NYHA functional class III or ambulatory class IV heart failure who are refractory to optimal medical therapy and have sinus rhythm, a QRS duration >120 milliseconds, and a LVEF < 35%. Although these guidelines included the presence of sinus rhythm, data from European studies suggested that patients with atrial fibrillation may also benefit from CRT if the heart rate is properly controlled.61

Careful patient selection is vital to successful CRT results. The speculation of which patients may benefit from CRT is mostly based on the results of clinical trials. No patients with CChC were included in these large trials.

Actually, only few papers with small numbers of patients have been published to date. Alves Fagundes et al52 recently reported their experience on CRT implantation in Chagas’ disease patients. They analyzed 19 patients within a mean follow up of 24.7 ± 20 months. The LVEF improved from 28% ± 5% to 32.2% ± 11% and the NYHA functional class decreased from 3.5 ± 0.5 to 2.5 ± 0.8. No differences were found when compared with ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy patients.

Despite the lack of larger series, CRT is also a promising therapy for patients with CChC and refractory heart failure.

Cell therapy in patients with Chagas’ disease
 Heart transplantation is the only available option for patients with heart failure that failed optimum pharmacological and
electrical treatment. There are several limitations to performing heart transplantation in patients with Chagas’ disease, not only because its high costs and the scarcity of donated organs, but also because the need of immunosuppressive agents after transplantation that may reactivate latent infections.

The discovery of stem cells capable of differentiating into specialized cell types has opened new avenues for the treatment of heart failure due to CChC. This therapy is able to ameliorate heart disease caused by chronic infection with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, repairing the heart tissue damaged by the pathological process using the patient’s own cells.

Vilas-Boas et al63 published the first report on this topic in 2006. The efficacy of the therapy was evaluated in 28 patients in whom 50 mL of bone marrow aspirate was collected from each patient by multiple punctures of the two iliac crests. A significant improvement in several parameters during a 60 day follow-up also suggested a potential benefit of the therapy. These included improvements in NYHA functional class (3.1 ± 0.3 to 1.8 ± 0.5; P < 0.0001), the Minnesota quality of life questionnaire (50.9 ± 11.7 to 21.8 ± 13.4; P < 0.0001), the distance walked in six minutes (355 ± 136 m to 443 ± 110 m; P = 0.003), and the LVEF (20.1 ± 6.8% to 23.0 ± 9.0%, P = 0.02).61

Trainini et al64 showed their experience in five patients with cell therapy in chagasic patients with heart failure NYHA III/IV. At 17.2 ± 8.8 months, 4 patients were alive and with NYHA I (P < 0.005). One patient died suddenly after 17 months of follow up. An increase of the ejection fraction was observed (27.6% ± 5.9% to 36.6% ± 2.3%; P < 0.05). During the follow up no adverse events were observed in none of the patients referred for cellular implantation.

A phase III clinical trial sponsored by the Brazilian Ministry of Health is being concluded. This protocol is a double-blind placebo controlled randomized clinical trial aimed at evaluating the efficacy of bone marrow derived stem cell implants in 300 chronic chagasic Brazilian patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure NYHA III or IV. The primary endpoint of this study is to evaluate the effect of the autologous bone marrow stem cell implant in the increment of the LVEF in comparison with a control group, under optimized therapy for dilated cardiomyopathy. Secondary endpoints will evaluate the changes in NYHA functional class, mortality rate, physical capacity (by ergoespirometry), quality of life (Minnesota questionnaire), and pulmonary congestion.65

**Antiparasitic treatment in the “undetermined” phase: possible cardiovascular implications**

Benznidazole, a nitroimidazole derivative, has been recommended for the treatment of acute and congenital *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection.66 Recent data indicates that parasite persistence plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of chronic CChC.67 More recently, it has been demonstrated that the reduction of the parasite in the body may prevent the development of cardiomyopathy.68 However, the efficacy of trypanocidal therapy in preventing clinical complications in patients with preexisting cardiac disease is unknown. BENEFIT is a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial intended to recruit 3,000 patients with CChC in Latin America.69 BENEFIT will clarify the role of trypanocidal therapy in preventing cardiac disease progression and death. Patients will be randomized to receive benznidazole (5 mg/kg per day) or matched placebo, for 60 days. The primary outcome will be the composite of death; resuscitated cardiac arrest; sustained VT; insertion of pacemaker or ICD; cardiac transplantation; and development of new heart failure, stroke, or systemic or pulmonary thromboembolic events. The average follow-up time will be 5 years, and the trial has a 90% power to detect a 25% relative risk reduction. Recruitment started in November 2004; so far, 1,916 patients have been enrolled [Argentina (423), Brazil (987), Bolivia (191), and Colombia (315)].70 El Salvador and Spain (Chagas’ disease, as a consequence of changes in immigration patterns during the last decade, has been expanded outside South America),9 have been invited to participate and the trial is being revised by the correspondent health bodies. About 90% of the randomized patients presented heart failure NYHA class I–II and 63% had a positive basal (before treatment) PCR. A total enrollment of 2,700 patients is expected by the end of 2010.

**Heart transplantation**

Indications for heart transplantation in patients with chronic heart failure secondary to CChC is debatable and somewhat difficult to implement, in comparison to non-Chagas’ disease patients.71,72 There have been many concerns with regard to the usefulness of heart transplantation in Chagas’ disease patients due to the lack of proper indications for the procedure, the pathogenesis of the disease, the adequate immunosuppressive protocol, *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection reactivation, and long-term results.

Survival rates from studies following patients with severe chronic heart failure due to several etiologies indicated that patients with CChC and severe heart failure have a 1 year survival rate of 40% to 70%, depending on the series.73–76 Another study showed 1-year survival probability is 20% in patients with NYHA IV and a LVEF < 30%.77

Recently, Dib et al78 found that patients with Chagas’ disease heart failure listed for heart transplantation on inotropic support have an annual probability of mortality of 100%.
Bocchi and Fiorelli reported a multicentric study. They included 792 patients that underwent orthotopic heart transplantation in 16 centers in Brazil. The etiology was idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy in 407 patients, ischemic cardiomyopathy in 196 patients, CChC in 117 patients, and others in 72 patients. This study showed that the probability of survival after heart transplantation in patients with CChC was at 1 year, 2 years, 6 years, and 10 years follow-up 71%, 62%, 55%, and 46%, respectively. Survival of chagasic recipients was significantly better in comparison with idiopathic and ischemic cardiomyopathy ($P < 0.027$). The small sample size did not allow to take definitive conclusions and these results should be confirmed with larger studies. Trypanosoma cruzi reactivations may occur after transplantation, leading to higher morbidity and graft dysfunction. Trypanosoma cruzi reactivations occurred between 27% and 39% of Chagas’ disease patients. Bocchi et al surprisingly showed a low incidence of reactivation of Trypanosoma cruzi infection manifested as myocarditis and meningoencephalitis as cause of death (0.3%). Other series with less number of patients confirmed the results obtained in this study.

Heart transplantation remains controversial as a useful therapeutic option in patients with Chagas’ disease.

**Future directions**

Chagas’ disease poses a unique challenge in current medicine. The epidemiology, pathophysiology, vectors, and urgent medical interventions have been clearly identified by decades. However, only few advances in the total eradication of this disease have been made in the last years.

Why? It is a very complex, intricate problem and multifactorial in nature. Political decisions and public health policies are needed. International collaboration including economical support from developed countries may be needed to correct one of the major problems associated with this disease: poverty.

In the meantime, investigators from all around the world are contributing to the better understanding of the disease. Studies in the field of genetic interventions are ongoing. The BENEFIT study will shed light on the usefulness of antiparasitic treatment for the prevention of chronic cardiac forms.

Major advances in the comprehension and treatment of associated cardiac arrhythmias are being carried out; it is difficult to predict where we are going to be 10 years from now. We advocate for a major investment in improving housing conditions and developing public health strategies that will have a necessary impact in the current inadmissible high prevalence of Chagas’ disease.

**Conclusions**

Chagas’ disease is a serious public health problem in Central and South America. Major efforts are being implemented to control this endemic disease. Public health policies and house improving are necessary components of the changes being put into practice.

Cardiac involvement is the most frequent and serious clinical manifestation of the disease. As a result of changes in the immigration pattern, CChC is now encountered outside of the endemic countries, especially in the United States and Spain. Rapid recognition of this condition as well as knowing the available therapeutic options is of utmost importance for the cardiologist.

The manifestations of CChC are the result of progressive damage to the myocardium, extracellular matrix, cardiac autonomic innervation, and possibly the coronary microvessels. CChC often mimics ischemic heart disease and the commonly used noninvasive tests cannot reliably distinguish them. Prognosis depends largely on the extent of myocardial damage and is particularly poor when left ventricular dysfunction, aneurysms, or both are present. Ventricular arrhythmias in these patients are exceptionally malignant. RF ablation and ICDs became therapeutic alternatives for the treatment of these patients.

Implementing public health policies and continuing understanding the complex pathophysiology of the disease hold the promise that this fascinating and deadly disease can be controlled.
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