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Purpose: To assess the burden of influenza transmission and care-seeking patterns over 3 influenza seasons among commercially insured households with a primary influenza infection.

Patients and Methods: This retrospective cohort study used commercial claims data from the US MarketScan® Commercial and Medicare Supplemental databases for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 influenza seasons. Patients with a billed diagnosis of influenza and with coverage for at least 1 household member under the same health plan policy were included. A secondary diagnosed case was defined as a diagnosis of influenza in a second household member occurring within 14 days of the index case in a household.

Results: Among 1,224,808 households with ≥2 members and a primary case of influenza, a secondary case of influenza was reported in 119,883 households (9.8%). A secondary diagnosed case of influenza occurred within 4 days of the primary diagnosis in 93,883 (78.3%) of those households. Both primary and secondary diagnosed influenza cases occurred most often among children (~60%). Household size was positively correlated to both the risk of a second case (6.4% of households with 2 or 3 members versus 12.6% of households with ≥4 members, P < 0.001) and the time to diagnosis of a second case (Spearman rank correlation coefficient = 0.09; P < 0.001).

Conclusion: Claims data for 3 influenza seasons (2014, 2015, 2016) showed that intrahousehold transmission of influenza occurs in approximately 10% of households with a primary case and poses a higher burden on larger households. Intrahousehold transmission of influenza represents a large healthcare resource use burden, with an unmet need for interventions that limit transmission.
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Introduction
Seasonal influenza is associated with a high disease and economic burden. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that influenza has resulted in 9.3 to 45 million illnesses, 140,000 to 960,000 hospitalizations, and 12,000 to 79,000 deaths annually in the US since 2010.1 An estimated 5% to 20% of patients in the US are infected with influenza each year;2 however, recent publications have estimated that only 2% of commercially insured patients have an influenza-related visit, including a billed diagnosis code of influenza, each year.3
Across 8 influenza seasons from 2001/2002 through 2008/2009, projected annual numbers of influenza-related healthcare encounters ranged from 11.3 to 25.6 million and healthcare costs ranged from $2.0 to $5.8 billion; the average cost across all seasons was $3.5 billion.

Healthy adults can transmit influenza virus from 24 hours before symptom onset and for up to 5 to 7 days after symptoms develop; children can remain infectious even longer, sometimes for more than 7 days. The typical incubation period for influenza is 1 to 4 days, with an average of 2 days; thus the virus may be transmitted before the appearance of symptoms and also during the symptomatic phase. Infected but asymptomatic individuals can also transmit the virus. Household transmission studies suggest that the risk of infection from household contact can be as high as 38%, with a 2- to 4-day median interval between symptom onset in the index cases and the secondary case.

Information on influenza transmission is important for informing decisions about control strategies. Since up to 30% of influenza virus transmission occurs among household members, studies of household transmission are of particular interest. The household provides a strategic setting for examining influenza transmission in a confined area. Understanding influenza transmission and care seeking among households can help quantify influenza burden and determine the effectiveness of control measures such as antiviral prophylaxis or treatment. In this study, real-world claims data were used to understand the care-seeking burden for a second case of influenza among households and the impact of household size on household influenza burden. A diagnosis code of influenza in a second member of the household was used as a proxy for intra-household transmission.

The study sample included patients with a billed diagnosis of influenza who also had coverage for at least 1 household member under the same health plan policy. The diagnostic codes used to identify influenza patients were ICD-9: 487.xx or 488.xx or ICD-10: J09.xx, J10.xx, or J11.xx. A secondary diagnosed case was defined as a diagnosis of influenza in a second household member occurring within 14 days of the index case in a household potentially including a visit on the same day (or even a concurrent visit billed for each patient); for these cases one patient was randomly designated primary and the other secondary. Patients were required to have continuous health plan coverage during each influenza episode.

The study used de-identified publicly available data and was exempt from Institutional Review Board review. The research was compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

### Outcomes and Statistical Analyses

The outcomes evaluated were the number of additional household members who sought care for influenza (secondary diagnosed case), the time elapsed between the index (primary case) and second case of influenza, impact of household size on secondary cases, and duration between influenza-related office visits. In addition, the characteristics of households and individual household members who sought care for influenza were determined. The association of household size with number of days between primary and secondary diagnosed cases was assessed using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, with a t-test used to determine statistical significance. Impact of household size (2 or 3 vs ≥4) on proportion of households with a secondary diagnosed case was analyzed with Fisher’s exact test.

### Results

#### Study Population

Overall, 1,224,808 households with ≥2 members were identified with a primary diagnosis of influenza during the 2014, 2015, and 2016 seasons (Figure 1). Among households with ≥2 members and at least one influenza diagnosis, a second member sought care for influenza within 14 days of the initial influenza episode in 119,883 households (9.8%). A total of 15,759 (1.3%) of households of ≥2 members had multiple secondary diagnosed cases of influenza.

#### Demographics of Included Households

The median household size was 4 members. The distribution of households by household size was as

### Patients and Methods

#### Study Design

This was a retrospective analysis of US commercial claims data from the 2014, 2015, and 2016 influenza seasons (04/01/2014–05/30/2017). Data were extracted from the MarketScan® Commercial Claims and Encounters Database and the MarketScan® Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits Database (IBM Watson Health, Cambridge, MA). The databases include employees, dependents, and retirees with employer-sponsored commercial and Medicare insurance. The claims files capture inpatient and outpatient care, use of facilities and services, pharmacy, and payment information.
follows: 2 members, 21%; 3 members, 24%; 4 members, 33%; 5 members, 15%; and 6+ members, 7%. Among 138,308 secondary diagnosed cases of influenza, 35.5% (n = 49,041) sought care on the same day as the primary case and 64.5% (n = 89,267) sought care on subsequent days (≤14 days) following the primary case of influenza in the family (Figure 1). There were no differences in demographic characteristics (age, sex, health plan type, and region) between household members that were the primary or a secondary diagnosed case of influenza (Table 1). The highest proportion of either primary or secondary diagnosed cases was recorded among children (62.0%), followed by employees (20.7%) and spouses (17.3%). Larger household size was associated with both increased risk of having a secondary diagnosed case and the length of time to diagnosis of the secondary case. The number of members in a household was positively associated with having a secondary diagnosed case of influenza in the 14-day period after a primary infection (Figure 3A). In households with 2 or 3 members (n = 554,649), the frequency of a secondary diagnosed case of influenza was 6.43% (n = 35,637), whereas in households with >4 members (n = 670,159), the frequency of a secondary diagnosed case increased to 12.57% (n = 84,246). The days to diagnosis of influenza in another household member correlated positively with larger household size (Spearman rank correlation coefficient = 0.09; P < 0.001) (Figure 3B).

Characteristics of Secondary Diagnosed Cases

Among households with a secondary diagnosed case of influenza, the secondary case occurred within 4 days of the primary case in 93,883 households (78.3%) and within 7 days of the primary case in 108,551 households (90.5%) (Figure 2). It is notable that one-third of secondary diagnosed cases occurred on the same day as the primary diagnosis.

Discussion

Influenza has a large impact on the health care system, including payers, employers and above all patients. Understanding the transmissibility of influenza infection and associated burden, particularly within the most vulnerable settings for transmission, is crucial to understanding the epidemiology of influenza and for designing effective control measures. Although the current study did not have data on COVID-19 available for analysis, findings regarding household transmission of
influenza may be useful in informing underlying principles of respiratory virus transmission in the household setting. The present claims database study used the most recently available data for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 influenza seasons and included more than 1.2 million multimember households with a primary diagnosed case of influenza. Using a diagnostic claim for a second influenza episode among household members as a proxy for intrahousehold transmission, this analysis showed that approximately 10% of households with a primary diagnosed case of influenza had a second household member seek care for influenza. Both primary and secondary diagnosed cases were reported primarily in children, with approximately 80% of secondary cases occurring within 4 days of a primary diagnosis. Larger households experienced both a higher number of secondary diagnosed cases and a longer time until a secondary visit for influenza.

In this study, a second diagnosed case of influenza occurred in 10% of households with ≥2 members, which is broadly consistent with the estimated 7% to 8% probability of transmission from an infected individual to

| Table 1 Demographics of Household Members with a Diagnosed Case of Influenza (Household of ≥2 Members) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Any Household with a Primary Influenza Case** | **Households with a Secondary Diagnosed Case of Influenza** |
| **Any Diagnosed Case of Influenza in Household** | **Primary Case and Secondary Case Diagnosed on the Same Day** | **Secondary Case Diagnosed on Subsequent Days (≤14 days)** |
| (n = 1,362,862)* | (n = 168,924, 65.4%) | (n = 89,267, 34.6%) |
| **Mean age, y (SD)** | 25.3 (19.8) | 21.72 (18.87) | 23.85 (18.81) |
| **Age categories** | **Primary Case and Secondary Case Diagnosed on the Same Day** | **Secondary Case Diagnosed on Subsequent Days (≤14 days)** |
| <12 y | 640,334 (38.8%) | 74,202 (43.9%) | 33,979 (38.1%) |
| 12–18 y | 234,371 (17.2%) | 27,227 (16.0%) | 12,636 (14.2%) |
| 18–34 y | 205,768 (15.1%) | 16,685 (9.9%) | 10,882 (12.2%) |
| 35–44 y | 165,755 (12.2%) | 21,897 (13.0%) | 15,533 (17.4%) |
| 45–54 y | 154,484 (11.3%) | 15,300 (9.1%) | 10,370 (11.6%) |
| 55–64 y | 106,989 (7.9%) | 8206 (4.9%) | 4075 (4.6%) |
| 65–74 y | 22,459 (1.6%) | 1959 (1.2%) | 709 (0.8%) |
| 75–84 y | 9062 (0.7%) | 846 (0.5%) | 307 (0.3%) |
| 85+ y | 3078 (0.2%) | 287 (0.2%) | 104 (0.1%) |
| Unknown | 562 (<0.1%) | 2315 (1.4%) | 672 (0.8%) |
| **Male** | 654,648 (48.1%) | 83,991 (49.7%) | 42,692 (47.8%) |
| **Health plan type** | **Primary Case and Secondary Case Diagnosed on the Same Day** | **Secondary Case Diagnosed on Subsequent Days (≤14 days)** |
| HMO | 111,291 (8.2%) | 12,666 (7.5%) | 6694 (7.50%) |
| PPO | 917,059 (67.3%) | 115,377 (68.3%) | 61,217 (68.6%) |
| Other plans | 333,949 (24.5%) | 40,881 (24.2%) | 21,356 (23.9%) |
| **Region** | **Primary Case and Secondary Case Diagnosed on the Same Day** | **Secondary Case Diagnosed on Subsequent Days (≤14 days)** |
| Northeast | 198,303 (14.6%) | 22,154 (13.1%) | 11,697 (13.1%) |
| South | 823,019 (60.4%) | 108,185 (64.0%) | 60,070 (67.3%) |
| Northcentral | 201,932 (14.8%) | 22,608 (13.4%) | 10,481 (11.7%) |
| West | 122,166 (9.0%) | 13,569 (8.03%) | 5833 (6.5%) |
| Unknown | 16,879 (1.2%) | 2408 (1.43%) | 1186 (1.3%) |
| **Family member who had influenza** | **Primary Case and Secondary Case Diagnosed on the Same Day** | **Secondary Case Diagnosed on Subsequent Days (≤14 days)** |
| Child | 810,120 (59.5%) | 108,958 (64.5%) | 51,087 (57.2%) |
| Spouse | 228,697 (16.8%) | 27,094 (16.0%) | 17,528 (19.6%) |
| Employee | 323,189 (23.7%) | 32,858 (19.5%) | 20,644 (23.1%) |

Notes: *A total of 258,191 second cases were reported. Records for 563 patients were missing. Data are no. (%) unless indicated otherwise. Abbreviations: HMO, health maintenance organization; PPO, preferred provider organization; SD, standard deviation.
another household member obtained from household cohort studies.\textsuperscript{9,11} Our study likely underestimates this rate because secondarily infected patients may not seek care, especially if no intervention is offered, and thus would not be available in our data analysis. Published estimates of the intrahousehold secondary influenza infection risk range from 1\% to 38\%;\textsuperscript{7,8} this heterogeneity arises from differences in diagnostic methods for case ascertainment, host factors, and viral infectivity.

A secondary diagnosed case of influenza occurred within 2 days of the primary case in 60\% of households and within 4 days of the primary diagnosis in 78\% of households, suggesting rapid transmission among household members. In models of experimental infection and in naturally acquired infection, viral shedding was detected within 1 day after inoculation, with a shedding duration of almost 5 days.\textsuperscript{12,13} Approximately 10\% of secondary diagnosed cases in this study occurred 7 to 14 days after the primary diagnosis, but because of the longer interval between the 2 diagnoses, the possibility that these infections were acquired from the community cannot be discounted.

Both primary and secondary diagnosed cases in this study were reported predominantly in children, a finding that supports the major role of children in the dissemination of influenza in households.\textsuperscript{14–16} Children may be less likely than adults to have protective anti-influenza antibodies but are at greater risk of transmitting due to poor hygiene and higher and more prolonged viral shedding.\textsuperscript{17} Data on preseason antibody levels were not available for this study, but it has been shown that even after correcting for preseason hemagglutination inhibition titers, the risk of household infection remains higher in children than in adults.\textsuperscript{9}

The risk of a secondary diagnosed case of influenza increased significantly as the household size increased.
Although our study design differed from the design in previous studies, this finding concurs with results from some previous studies showing that household size and number of children were risk factors for household transmission; other studies however suggest that the risk of secondary transmission diminishes with increasing household size. Larger household size was also associated with a longer interval between the presentation of primary and secondary diagnosed cases, hypothetically leading to a longer total duration of illness burden for the household; this could potentially lead to a longer duration of absenteeism from work and increased loss of productivity.

Interventions that limit transmission may help alleviate the burden on households and the healthcare system. Early treatment of index cases with an antiviral agent may reduce the risk of infection in their household contacts, and additionally, post-exposure antiviral
prophylactic treatment of household contacts may provide some degree of protection.22-24

There are several limitations to our study methodology, including the method used to identify influenza patients. Identifying patients using a billed diagnosis for influenza provides the date a patient first interacted with the healthcare system, not necessarily the date of infection or symptom onset. While this can inform some aspects of influenza transmission, particularly from a healthcare resource utilization perspective, it is likely to be less accurate from a virological perspective. Additionally, many influenza patients, for various reasons, do not seek medical care and thus would not be included in our analysis, leading to an under-representation of the true burden of household transmission. There may also be reduced incentive to seek care for a second case of influenza within the household after one member was diagnosed, especially if no treatment was given. Additionally, our methods had inherent selection bias, favoring index cases that had severe enough symptoms to seek medical assistance from their healthcare provider. Many cases, especially those that are asymptomatic and subclinical, are less likely to be detected in claims database studies, but evidence of viral shedding in these individuals suggests their potential to transmit the virus to close contacts.5 Thus it is possible that households with asymptomatic cases were not enrolled or that an asymptomatic case preceded the assumed index diagnosis. Similarly, vaccination status was not available in the dataset and previous studies suggested that vaccination claims are likely to be underreported and thus vaccination could not be included in the analysis.25,26 Additionally, because we used a 14-day period after primary infection for identifying secondary cases, there is a possibility that some community-transmitted infections were classified as secondary cases. The database may not be representative of the US population since it reflects individuals enrolled in commercial healthcare plans and some supplemental Medicare plans; in addition, the geographic distribution was skewed toward cases from the South. Since laboratory testing status was not available, diagnosis of both primary and secondary cases of influenza could be based on clinical symptoms, leaving a possibility that cases with other viral illnesses could have been misidentified as influenza.

Conclusions
This real-world study used commercial claims data for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 influenza seasons and showed that approximately 10% of households with a primary influenza diagnosis had a second member seek care for influenza. Almost 80% of secondary diagnoses occurred within 4 days of the primary diagnosis. Children accounted for the majority of both primary and secondary diagnosed cases. Both the risk of having a second household case and the overall duration of illness within a household increased as the household size increased. Influenza transmission within households poses a large burden on the healthcare system, suggesting a need for treatment options that limit transmission.
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