Exploration of the Associations of IncRNA Expression Patterns with Tumor Mutation Burden and Prognosis in Colon Cancer
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Background: Tumor mutation burden (TMB) is emerging as a new biomarker to monitor the response of cancer patients to immunotherapy. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are critical in regulating gene expression and play a significant role in cancer-associated immune responses. However, the association between IncRNA expression patterns and TMB levels and survival outcomes remains unknown in colon cancer.

Methods: In colon cancer patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA), a multi-lncRNAs based classifier for predicting TMB levels was established using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) method. The association between classifier index and immune-related characteristics of patients was also investigated. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was used to verify the expression levels of these lncRNAs in normal and CRC cell lines.

Results: The multi-lncRNAs based classifier had ability to predict TMB level of patients with accuracy (AUC=0.70), and the general applicability of this classifier was proved in the validation set (AUC=0.71) and the pooled set (AUC=0.70). The classifier index was related to three immune checkpoints (PD1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4), the infiltration level of immune cells, and immune response-related score (IFN-γ score, gene expression profiles (GEP) score, cytolytic activity (CYT) score and MHC score). A nomogram, which integrates classifier and some common clinical information, was able to predict the overall survival of colon cancer patients accurately.

Conclusion: lncRNA expression patterns are associated with TMB, which may serve as a classifier to predict the TMB in colon cancer patients. The nomogram could potentially evaluate survival outcomes and provide a reference to better manage colon cancer patients.
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Introduction
Colon cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors in humans. Its incidence and mortality are fourth and second respectively among 36 cancer types worldwide.1 The incidence of colon cancer is highest in developed countries, but rising in other parts of the world.2 Early colonoscopy screening and treatment improves the outcome of patients, but the mortality and recurrence rates of colon cancer are not significantly reduced. Indeed, 25–40% of patients who have undergone surgery and chemoradiotherapy experience tumor recurrence with an unsatisfactory prognosis.3 Studies have illustrated that dysregulation of the immune
system can promote the development of cancer. Immunotherapy is rapidly attracting attention in cancer-associated treatment, because it has shown efficacy in some advanced and aggressive cancers.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\) At present, tumor-cell PD-L1 expression\(^7\) and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes\(^8\) have been identified as biomarkers to assist in predicting the response of immunotherapy. However, not all patients show a good response to immunotherapy, with some having no response.\(^9\) It is essential to understand the mechanism of immunotherapy and further explore the new biomarkers for predicting the treatment of immunotherapy better. TMB has emerged as a new promising biomarker for immunotherapy.\(^10\) It has been confirmed that TMB can predict the clinical efficacy of immunotherapy, as a biomarker, in several types of cancers.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) For colon cancer, Schrock et al.\(^13\) showed that TMB may be an important biomarker for predicting responsiveness of colon cancer patients to immunotherapy; measuring the TMB using whole-exome sequencing (WES) or targeted sequencing panels is a mainstream approach.\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^16\) The traditional assessment of TMB requires adequate amounts of tumor DNA; it is difficult to obtain a sufficient quantity of tumor DNA using a fine needle biopsy.\(^17\) High TMB of tumor patients leads to the generation of neo-epitopes by modifying the proteins encoded with mutated genes, and the immune system recognizes neo-epitopes as “non-self” then activates host anti-cancer immune responses.\(^18\)

LncRNAs are non-coding RNAs with > 200 nt in length, and they are short of coding capacity but can encode some small peptides.\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\) LncRNAs are regarded as transcriptional noise, but are currently thought to play a significant role in regulating diverse biological processes.\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\) Arunoday Bhan et al. showed that a large number of lncRNAs are involved in the development of some types of cancers with their tumor-suppressive or tumor-promoting functions.\(^23\) LncRNAs show promise as new biomarkers and targets for cancer treatment due to the genome-wide expression pattern in different tissues and tissue-specific expression characteristics of LncRNAs. In terms of immunotherapy, LncRNAs seem to regulate tumor immunity by directly regulating genes that are related to immune suppression or activation.\(^24\) For example, LINK-A, one of the oncogenic lncRNAs, plays an important role in downregulating cancer cell antigenicity and intrinsic tumor suppression.\(^25\) NKILA lncRNA, an NF-kB-interacting LncRNA, promotes tumor immune evasion by regulating T cell sensitivity to activation-induced cell death (AICD).\(^26\) In addition, some LncRNAs, known as immune-related lncRNAs, may play a crucial role in immunotherapy resistance by regulating the expression of immune cell-specific genes that mediate immune processes.\(^27\) Therefore, this study hypothesized that the expression pattern of LncRNAs could reflect different TMB levels and was related to the survival outcomes of colon cancer patients. There are no studies that have evaluated the association of lncRNA expression patterns with TMB level and overall survival (OS) in colon cancer.

To validate this assumption, the datasets of colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) were acquired from the TCGA database, which integrates RNA sequencing data, mutation annotation format, and corresponding clinical information. Differentially expressed LncRNAs were identified between low- and high-TMB groups. Then, LASSO method was applied to select significant candidate LncRNAs for construction of 14-LncRNA based classifier, which could predict TMB levels and prognosis of colon patients. Based on the classifier, we can also explore the different immune-related characteristics of each colon cancer patient. Hence, this multi-LncRNAs based classifier would have very clinical value for colon cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

Data Processing

The RNA sequencing data of 473 colon cancer and 41 normal colon tissue samples with HTSeq-FPKM workflow type from the TCGA database, were downloaded (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). The corresponding clinical information was also downloaded from the database via the GDC data portal; it included clinical information, such as overall survival time, survival state, age, gender, pathological stage, and AJCC-TNM stages. The LncRNA sequencing data was selected from RNA sequencing data via Perl scripts based on the JAVA8 platform. Meanwhile, mutation annotation format (MAF) of 399 colon cancer patients with Masked Somatic Mutation type processed using Mutect2 software from the TCGA database was obtained. Mutation profiles were processed using the “maftools” R package, which could display the results of analysis modules in the visualization. Tumor mutational burden was defined as the number of somatic variants per million bases of the genome. Somatic variants included coding errors in somatic genes, base substitutions, insertions, or deletions. Finally, 390 samples had intact information of LncRNA sequencing, somatic
mutational data, and clinical variants. There were 390 samples randomly assigned to the training set (50%) and validation set (50%) using the “caret” package in R.

Relationship of TMB with Clinical Variants and CIBERSORT Results

The Perl scripts based on the JAVA8 platform was utilized to calculate the TMB level (the number of variants per million base-pairs). All colon samples were classified into the low- and high-TMB groups according to the median value of TMB. Samples that had full information of both TMB data and corresponding survival information were selected. The “limma” and “survival” packages in R were utilized to compare the survival difference in low- and high-TMB groups. The relationship between TMB level and clinical characteristics was also determined. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Kruskal–Wallis (K-W) test were utilized for comparisons between two and > three groups respectively. The RNA sequencing profiles from TCGA and utilized “limma” R script was extracted to normalize the profiles. The processed data was analyzed using the CIBERSORT algorithm (R script v1.03), based on a known reference set that includes gene expression features of 22 leukocyte subtypes-LM22, to estimate the percentage of immune cells in each patient. The different infiltration levels of immune cells between the low- and high-TMB groups were determined using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and were displayed via the “vioplot” R package.

Differentially Expressed IncRNAs Between Low- and High-TMB Group

For the training set, the colon samples were divided into the low- and high-TMB groups according to the median value of TMB. The “limma” package in R was utilized to identify the differentially expressed IncRNAs between low TMB and high TMB groups. The IncRNAs with \[\log_{2}FC\] >0.263 and False Discovery Rate (FDR) <0.05 were considered significant. Then, the “heatmap” package was utilized to display differentially expressed IncRNAs in a heat map.

Establishment of a Multi-IncRNAs Based Classifier to Predicting TMB

Total expression values of differentially expressed IncRNAs for each colon sample in the training set were extracted. Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) is a regression analysis method that performs both variable selection and regularization to enhance the prediction accuracy and interpretability of the statistical model it produces. The differentially expressed IncRNAs were screened using the LASSO method using the “glmnet” package in R to select the optimal IncRNAs to predict TMB level.

Then, IncRNAs with nonzero regression coefficients were utilized to construct a multi-IncRNAs based classifier for predicting the TMB level. The index of each sample was calculated using the expression values of optimal differentially expressed IncRNAs multiplied using nonzero regression coefficients from the LASSO method. The formula was as follows:

\[ \text{Index} = \text{Coef1} \times \text{ExplIncRNA1} + \text{Coef2} \times \text{ExplIncRNA2} + \text{Coef3} \times \text{ExplIncRNA3} + \text{Coef4} \times \text{ExplIncRNA4} + \ldots \]

The “Coef” represents nonzero regression coefficients from the LASSO method, and “ExplIncRNA” is the expression values of optimal differentially expressed IncRNAs. The accuracy, robustness, and transferability of multi-IncRNAs were validated based on classifiers in the validation and pooled sets. Finally, the “pROC” package in R was utilized to plot the ROC curves, and area under the ROC curve (AUC) to evaluate the effectiveness of the classifier. The effectiveness of the classifier was compared with some clinical characteristics through the Online ROC Curves (http://www.houshixu.cn:3838/sample-apps/ROC/).

Relationships of the Multi-IncRNAs Based Classifier Index with TMB, the Infiltration Level of Immune Cells, ICIs and Immune Response-Related Score

The above mentioned TMB and the immune cells with significantly different infiltration levels were selected to explore the relationships with the classifier index. We extracted the expression level of \(PD1, PD-L1\), and \(CTLA-4\) genes from RNA sequencing data with HTSeq-FPKM workflow type, then assessed the relationships of the multi-IncRNAs-based classifier index with TMB and three immune checkpoints \((PD1, PD-L1, CTLA-4)\). Beyond that, the immune response-related score (IFN-γ score, GEP score, CYT score, and MHC score) was downloaded from previous studies,\(^{28-30}\) and the association between the classifier and the immune response-related score was also explored.
Correlation Between Multi-IncRNAs Based Classifier Index and Prognosis

The classifier index was merged with the corresponding survival information using the ID number of samples. The X-tile software was used to search the optimal cut off value of the index for predicting prognosis of patients, then we divided patients into low- and high-index groups using cut off value. Kaplan-Meier analysis was utilized to access the survival difference between the low- and high-index groups. The P of Log rank test was then calculated. The differences in the infiltration level of immune cells and the immune response-related score between the low- and high-index groups were also explored. Finally, the results were visually displayed through the “survival” and “vioplot” R scripts.

A Nomogram for Predicting OS in Colon Cancer

In the pooled set, we utilized the “rms” package in R to establish a nomogram that contained age, gender, pathological stages, AJCC-TNM stages, CEA, and multi-IncRNAs based classifier index for predicting the OS of patients. The calibration curve was plotted to estimate the uniformity of the nomogram to predict OS possibility and actual OS. Meanwhile, C-index was calculated. To examine whether the classifier index is independent of other clinical factors, multivariate Cox regression analysis was applied.

Cell Lines Culture and Real-Time Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) Analysis

All cell lines HIEC, DLD1, SW480, HCT116 were purchased from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China).

Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 or DMEM (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Total IncRNA was extracted from cell lines using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol reverse-transcribed to cDNA with a Reverse Transcription Kit (Takara, Japan) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-qPCR was performed using the Light Cycler 480 system (Roche, USA) using SYBR Green Master Mix (Vazyme, USA). The primer sequences are shown in Table 1. The relative intensity of target IncRNAs were normalized to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IncRNA</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC004865.2</td>
<td>5'-ACTCGTCCCTGGAAGAATCACT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC017074.1</td>
<td>5'-ACTCGTCCCTGGAAGAATCACT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRLET7BH</td>
<td>5'-TGAGCAGGAGAATGGCGTG-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC147067.2</td>
<td>5'-TGAGCAGGAGAATGGCGTG-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL662890.1</td>
<td>5'-CAGGCTGGTCAGCGCTGTAAT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC02446</td>
<td>5'-CAGGCTGGTCAGCGCTGTAAT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUSC8</td>
<td>5'-GCTTCCGGCCAGAGATCACTG-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL138756.1</td>
<td>5'-ACTCCGGCTCACCACACT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC02418</td>
<td>5'-ACTCCGGCTCACCACACT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDRKHA51</td>
<td>5'-ACTCCGGCTCACCACACT-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC02443.4</td>
<td>5'-GCTTCACCTCGTCCCATC-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC206979.2</td>
<td>5'-GCTTCACCTCGTCCCATC-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC02441</td>
<td>5'-GCTTCACCTCGTCCCATC-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IncRNA</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-AACCCATCAGCAGCAAGCACTC-3</td>
<td>5-TGAGACAGCAGACCCACACTTC-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC100861.1</td>
<td>Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-CAGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAT-3</td>
<td>S-GCTGGAGTACAGTGCCACAACC-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

expression of GAPDH and calculated based on \(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\) method. All reactions were performed in triplicate.

Statistical Analysis

The LASSO regression analysis model was processed using the “glmnet” package in R. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied to compare the difference between the two groups, whereas the Kruskal–Wallis test was performed to compare three or more groups. Kaplan-Meier analysis was utilized to evaluate the survival differences between low- and high-groups. The ROC curve was plotted to access the effectiveness of the classifier. All the statistical analysis was conducted in R 4.0.0 (P < 0.05).

Results

An Overview of Mutation in Colon Samples

The Mutation Annotation Format (MAF) of 399 colon cancer samples with Masked Somatic Mutation type processed by Mutect2 software were downloaded from the TCGA database. The “maftools” package in R was used to display the result of mutation data. The waterfall plot was used to display the mutation expression of each gene in all samples and different patterns of the mutation were represented by corresponding colors at the bottom of the picture (Figure 1A). The mutation data were further classified according to different classified categories in the summary plot. Missense mutation was the most common variant (Figure 1B), single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) showed larger proportion than insertion or deletion (Figure 1C), and C>T occurred most frequently in the single nucleotide variants (SNV) (Figure 1D). The number of variants per sample was calculated and visualized the variant classification with corresponding colors in the box plot (Figure 1E and F). The top 10 mutated genes were: TTN (53%), APC (74%), MUC16 (31%), SYNE1 (30%), TP53 (54%), FAT4 (26%), KRAS (41%), RYR2 (23%), OBSCN (22%), and PIK3CA (29%) (Figure 1G). The coincident and exclusive relationships of mutated genes were reported, where the co-occurrence and the mutually exclusive relationships were represented in green and red, respectively (Figure 1H). The Gene Cloud plot displayed the mutated gene frequencies (Supplement Figure S1).

Moreover, the clinical information of the training set and the validation set was shown in Table 2.

TMB Correlated with Prognosis, Age and AJCC-TNM Stages

The TMB was calculated as the number of mutation variants per million bases in 399 colon cancer patients. The patients were divided into the low- and high-TMB groups using the median TMB value. Patients in the high TMB group had a worse prognosis than those in the low group (\(P = 0.007\), Figure 2A). This was opposite to another study that reported that higher TMB promotes the immune response and prolongs the survival period. High TMB levels were found to be related to early pathological stages (Figure 2B). In terms of the AJCC-TNM stage, there was no significant difference in TMB level between T1-2 and T3-4 (Figure 2C), while patients in N0, M0 stages had higher TMB levels than patients in N1-2, M1 stages (Figure 2D and E). In addition, patients > 65 year-old had higher TMB levels than ≤ 65-year-old patients (Supplement Figure 2A). However, there was no significant difference in gender and CEA levels (Supplement Figure S2B and C).

Different Infiltration Levels of Immune Cells Between Low- and High-TMB Groups

The TMB level was related to the survival outcomes of colon cancer patients and a biomarker for immunotherapy response. The infiltration level of immune cells in the low- and high-TMB groups was compared. The specific percentage of 22 immune cells in each colon cancer patient was reported in a bar plot (Figure 3A). Then, we compared the different infiltration levels of immune cells in the low- and high-TMB groups using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (\(P < 0.05\)). As shown in Figure 3B, the infiltration levels of CD8 + T cell, CD4+ memory activated T cell, follicular helper T cell, M1 macrophage, and activated NK cell were higher in the high-TMB group (marked with red color). However, the infiltration levels of regulatory T cell, M0 macrophage was higher in the low-TMB group (marked with blue color).
Figure 1 Landscape of mutational profiles in colon cancer patients. (A) Mutation information of each gene in each patient is shown in the waterfall plot; various colors with annotations on the right represent different mutation types. The barplot exhibited the mutation burden. (B) Classification of mutation types according to different categories; missense mutation accounts for the most fraction. (C) Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) showing a larger proportion than insertion or deletion. (D) In single nucleotide variants (SNV), C>T occurred most frequently. (E and F) Tumor mutation burden in samples. (G) The top 10 mutated genes in colon cancer. (H) The coincident and exclusive relationships of mutated genes.
Table 2 Clinical Baseline of 390 Colon Cancer Patients in Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Training Set</th>
<th>Validation Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤65 year</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>40.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65 year</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>58.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>56.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>42.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>40.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>67.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis/Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>61.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>71.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different Expression of IncRNAs Between Low- and High-TMB Groups

The patients were classified into the low- and high-TMB groups in the training set, according to the median value of TMB. Then, 195 differently expressed IncRNAs were screened out with FDR < 0.05 and \(| \log_{2} FC|>0.263. There were 119 down-regulated and 76 up-regulated IncRNAs in the high-TMB group. A heat map visualized the results of the top 20 down- and up-regulated IncRNAs (Figure 4A); the blue bar presented low expression and the red bar presented high expression. The low- and high-TMB groups had a different expression pattern of differently expressed IncRNAs.

Establishment of LASSO Regression Model

The LASSO method was utilized to screen out 14 IncRNAs with nonzero regression coefficients (Figure 4B and C) as optimal features from 195 differently expressed IncRNAs and \(\lambda_{\text{min}}= 0.1359374). The multi-IncRNAs based classifier index was calculated as the following formula:

\[
\text{Index} = AC004865.2 * (-0.09133) + AC017074.1 * 0.019747 + MIRLET7BH6G * (-0.02642) + AC147067.2 * (-0.12924) + AL662890.1 * (-0.22917) + LINC02446 * (-0.08308) + TUSC8 * 0.005121 + AL138756.1 * 0.010298 + LINC02418 * 0.046515 + TDKHA1S1 * 0.221224 + AC008443.4 * (-0.00195) + AC026979.2 * (-0.00408) + LINC02441 * 0.024832 + AC100861.1 * (-0.02021)
\]

The ROC analysis was performed to access the multi-IncRNAs based classifier in the training, validation, and pooled sets, respectively, to validate the accuracy, robustness, and transferability of the multi-IncRNAs based classifier. The AUC was 0.70 in the training set, 0.71 in the validation set, and 0.70 in the pooled set (Figure 4D–F). The AUC of ROC demonstrated that a multi-IncRNAs-based classifier presented a superior ability to predict TMB level than clinical characteristics, such as age and pathological stages (Figure 4G).

Relationships of Multi-IncRNAs Based Classifier Index with TMB, the Infiltration Level of Immune Cells, ICIs and Immune Response-Related Score

We calculated the multi-IncRNAs based classifier index of all patients using the above formula. The relationships of the classifier index with TMB, the infiltration level of immune cells, ICIs, and immune response-related scores were evaluated. The index is highly correlated with TMB with \(\text{Pearson } R = -0.47, P = 2.9e-22 \) (Figure 4H); it indicated that classifier could predict for TMB effectively. For immune cells with significantly different infiltration, the classifier index was negatively related to the infiltration levels of CD8+ T cell, M1 macrophage, activated NK cell, whereas it was positively related to the infiltration levels of CD4+ memory activated T cell, and M0 macrophage. However, follicular helper and regulatory T cells had no significant association with the classifier index (Supplement Figure S3A–E). The classifier index showed
a high relationship with ICIs. The result was as follows: PD1 with Pearson $R = -0.42$, $P = 1.5e-17$, PD-L1 with Pearson $R = -0.46$, $P = 1.4e-21$, CTLA-4 with Pearson $R = -0.38$, $P = 1.1e-14$ (Figure 5A–C). In addition, the classifier index had negatively association with CYT score (Pearson $R = -0.50$, $P = 2.5e-25$) (Figure 5D), INF-$\gamma$ score (Pearson $R = -0.50$, $P = 2.5e-25$) (Figure 5E), GEP score (Pearson $R = -0.51$, $P = 5.8e-26$) (Figure 5F) as well as MHC score (Pearson $R = -0.36$, $P = 4.3e-13$) (Figure 5G). These results indicate that colon cancer patients with high TMB may have better responses to immunotherapy compared to patients with low TMB.

Multi-IncRNAs Based Classifier Index Predict for Prognosis

All patients were divided into the low- and high-index groups using the cut off value, $-0.26$, using the X-tile software. Patients in the high-index group had a better prognosis than those in the low-index group ($P=0.042$, Figure 6A). The index of colon cancer patients was ranked, and the patients divided into the low- and high-index groups based on the cut-off value calculated above (Figure 6B).

The survival status and the corresponding index were plotted (Figure 6C). The different expression heat map of 14 IncRNAs, between the low- and high-index groups, was reported (Figure 6D); the blue bar indicates low expression and the red bar high expression. The patients with a high index had better survival status. As for immune response-related score, IFN-$\gamma$ score, GEP score, CYT score, as well as MHC score were all higher in low-index group compared with high-index group (Figure 6E). The different infiltration levels of immune cells between low- and high-index groups were explored (Figure 6F). The CD8+ T, CD4+ memory
activated T, follicular helper T, activated NK cells and M1 macrophage were higher in the low-index group compared to the high-index group, whereas the CD4+ memory resting T cells and activated mast cells were higher in the high-index group. These results illustrate that the multi-lncRNAs based classifier effectively predicts the TMB level of patients and may reveal the prognosis of patients.

Development a Nomogram for Predicting OS in Colon Cancer Patients

A nomogram, which integrated the classifier index, age, gender, pathological stages, AJCC-TNM stages, and CEA level, was developed to predict the overall survival of colon cancer patients (Figure 7A). A vertical line was drawn from each prognosis axis to the point axis, and then the total points are calculated by adding up all the points. A 1–3 year survival probability of patients was estimated using total points. Calibration plots were generated to validate the conformance between the predicted and actual survival outcomes (Figure 7B–D), which displayed good conformity. The C-index for OS was found to be 0.762. All of these revealed that our nomogram had a robust accuracy for predicting OS probability in colon cancer patients. What is more, the result of multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that classifier index could act as an independent indicator for postoperative survival outcomes (Figure 8).
Figure 4 Development of a multi-lncRNAs based classifier. (A) The expression heat map of the top 20 down- and up-regulated differently expressed lncRNAs between low- and high-TMB groups. (B and C) Optimal lncRNAs selection in the LASSO regression model. (D–F) Receiver operating characteristic analyses in the training, validation, and pooled set. (G) Receiver operating characteristic analyses of multi-lncRNAs based classifier index and clinical characteristics. (H) The correlation between the classifier index and TMB.
Figure 5 The association between the classifier index and immune features. (A–G) The correlation of the classifier index with PD1, PD-L1, CTLA-4, CYT score, IFN-γ score, GEP score, as well as MHC score.
Figure 6 OS-related multi-lncRNAs based classifier index of colon cancer patients. (A) A higher index correlated with good survival outcomes ($P=0.042$). (B) The classifier index distribution of colon cancer patients. (C) The overall survival of patients. (D) Heat map showing the different expression of 14 lncRNAs in low- and high-index groups. (E) IFN-γ score, GEP score, CYT score, and MHC score were all higher in the low-index group. Low-index group was marked with blue, high-index group was marked with red. (F) Wilcoxon rank-sum test revealed that the infiltration levels of CD8+ T cell, CD4+ memory activated T cell, follicular helper T cell, activated NK cell and M1 macrophage were higher in the low-index group, and the infiltration levels of CD4+ memory resting T cell, activated mast cell was higher in the high-index group. Green represented low-index group, red represented high-index group.
The Differential Expression of lncRNAs of Classifier Between Normal and CRC Cell Lines

For further validation of classifier in clinical use, we quantified the expression level of 14 lncRNAs to determine whether there was a difference between normal and CRC cell lines using RT-qPCR. Compared with HIEC cell line, the expression of most lncRNAs was significantly different in CRC cell lines (P<0.05; Figure 9). In addition, there were also differences in lncRNAs expression between different CRC cell lines.
Colon adenocarcinoma is the most common pathological type of colon cancer. Immunotherapy has rapidly been regarded as an essential treatment for different kinds of solid tumors, including colon cancer. It is different from other conventional treatments by having long-term responses. However, only a portion of patients can benefit from immunotherapy while the rest have limited or no response. Therefore, it is important to identify patients who are sensitive to immunotherapy before treatment.

Biomarkers play an indispensable role in the diagnosis and treatment of colon cancer. The Tumor mutational burden (TMB) has been considered as one of the biomarkers for predicting responsiveness of patients to immunotherapy. For example, among Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer patients receiving anti-PD-1/L1 treatment, patients with high TMB were associated with longer PFS than those with low TMB. TMB usually translates into a higher neoantigen load. Therefore, antigens that can stimulate the immune response are more likely to be expressed on the surface of tumor cells and recognized by cytotoxic T cells. Therefore, patients who respond to immunotherapy can be selected through the assessment of TMB levels. Measuring TMB using whole-exome
sequencing (WES) or targeted sequencing panels is the current mainstream approaches; some studies have shown that TMB levels can be effectively measured using liquid biopsies/blood.\(^{37-39}\) Although liquid biopsy is less invasive and more easily repeatable than whole-exome sequencing (WES) or targeted sequencing panels, circulating DNA of tumor cells contributes a small proportion of circulating cell-free DNA.\(^{40}\) Some lncRNAs, associated with tumor immune infiltration, have been identified to improve prognosis and immunotherapy response of patients in non-small cell lung cancer and bladder cancer.\(^{41,42}\) In the view of the role that lncRNAs play in predicting responses to immunotherapy and survival outcomes, a multi-lncRNAs-based classifier was established that could identify a subgroup of colon cancer patients. The relationship of lncRNA expression patterns with TMB levels and survival outcomes was not previously studied. This study revealed that there were different expression patterns of lncRNAs between low-TMB and high-TMB patients, which could be used to distinguish between low- and high-TMB patients. Therefore, 14 lncRNAs were selected using the LASSO method to construct the classifier in the training set and were validated in the validation and the pooled sets. The ROC analysis was utilized to verify the robustness of the classifier and it showed that AUC was 0.70 in the training set, 0.71 in the validation set, and 0.70 in the pooled set. These results indicate that the classifier is practical in TMB prediction. In addition, classifier index is correlated with the expression of three ICIs, the immune cells infiltration, and immune response-related score in the pooled set. It may provide a novel insight into the selection of colon cancer patients for immunotherapy. To further validate the performance of the classifier, we performed RT-qPCR analysis for these lncRNAs in normal and three different types of CRC cell lines. LncRNAs expression was significantly different between normal and CRC cell lines. As for CRC cell lines, LINC02441, TUSC8, AL138756.1, LINC02418, as well as TDRKH.AS1 were higher expressed in SW480 cell line and LINC02446 were higher expressed in DLD1 or HCT 116 cell lines. In a previous study, Berg et al classified SW480 into microsatellite stability (MSS) and classified DLD1, HCT 116 into microsatellite instability (MSI).\(^{43}\) And Zhao et al.\(^{44}\) found that there was a significantly positive correlation between TMB and MSI in patients with colorectal cancer. Hence, this result further improves the feasibility of the multi-lncRNAs based classifier in clinical application.

Some studies have explored whether lncRNAs are related to the initiation and prognosis of cancer.\(^{45}\) CAI et al demonstrated that lncRNAs can predict the overall survival of cancer patients.\(^{46}\) However, single lncRNA may not accurately predict the prognosis of patients. Wu et al demonstrated that multi-lncRNAs combination could enhance the accuracy of predictions.\(^{47}\) Clinical characteristics were closely related to the prognosis of colon cancer patients; age, gender, pathological stages, AJCC-TNM stages, CEA level, and vascular/lymph-vessel invasion are likely to serve as indicators of postoperative survival outcomes. However, cancer patients with the same clinical characteristics frequently show significantly different responses to uniform therapy and present diverse survival outcomes due to the heterogeneity of tumors. This study attempted to establish a nomogram that integrates age, gender, pathological stages, AJCC-TNM stages, classifier index, and CEA levels, to accurately predict the overall survival of colon cancer patients in the pooled set. The nomogram demonstrated a satisfactorily predictive ability to evaluate OS for colon cancer patients; it can be used as a tool to improve the management of colon cancer patients.

This study provides a multi-lncRNAs-based classifier to predict TMB levels of patients and a nomogram that integrates the classifier and clinical characteristics for the assessment of overall survival probability in colon cancer patients. However, there are some limitations to this study. First, it is a retrospective study based on the analysis of the TCGA database, which lacks validation in prospective clinical trials and other larger independent cohorts of patients. Second, the cut-off value that distinguishes the TMB levels may vary depending on the method, so study validation using other methods may be required. Third, several clinical-pathological characteristics, such as pathological grading and differentiation of tumor cells, were not complete, which may cause fluctuations of veracity. Also, the molecular mechanisms underlying these 14 lncRNAs in cancer-associated immune microenvironment and the prediction of survival outcomes in colon cancer patients are not clear.

**Conclusion**

Different expression levels of TMB were correlated with survival outcomes in colon cancer patients. We established and validated a multi-lncRNAs-based classifier that integrates the 14 lncRNAs, which could serve as biomarkers, to predict the TMB levels in colon cancer.
patients. The nomogram, including the classifier and several clinical characteristics, plays an important role in evaluating the postoperative overall survival in colon cancer patients. This nomogram may be used as a complement to the screening criteria for high-risk patients who require reinforced follow-up and active therapeutic intervention.
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