Uses and benefits of omega-3 ethyl esters in patients with cardiovascular disease
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Abstract: Much evidence on the favorable effects of omega-3 ethyl esters on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality has been obtained in studies performed in healthy subjects and in different clinical settings. Here the clinical effects of omega-3 ethyl ester administration in patients with previous myocardial infarction or heart failure are reviewed, together with a discussion of underlying mechanisms of action. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of omega-3 ethyl esters, as well as evidence concerning their safety and tolerability, are also reported.
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Introduction
Since the first evidence showing a protective effect against coronary artery disease (CAD), reported more than 30 years ago,1,2 several epidemiologic and clinical studies have indicated an inverse relationship between a diet rich in fish and cardiovascular (CV) morbidity and mortality.1-8 Two compounds of the omega-3 fatty acid class, namely eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), were presumed to be the active ingredients in fish.9 In the early 1980s, a large-scale method to purify EPA and DHA in the chemical form of an ethyl ester (EE) was developed for clinical use. Since then, several studies have investigated the role of isolated and combined EPA and DHA in the form of EE, especially with respect to CV disease prevention. Overall the results of these studies indicated a protective effect of omega-3 EE on total mortality and CV events.

In many European countries, oral omega-3 EE administration is indicated in adult patients for secondary prevention after myocardial infarction (MI) and for the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia. In the US, omega-3 EE prescription is indicated for the treatment of triglyceride (TG) levels ≥500 mg/dL.

The purpose of this article is to review the pharmacologic properties of oral omega-3 EE, describing briefly the evidence for omega-3 EE and hypertriglyceridemia, and focusing attention on their efficacy and tolerability in secondary prevention after MI and in patients with heart failure (HF).

Triglycerides and cardiovascular risk
Evidence from observational and experimental studies suggests a relationship between triglycerides (TG) and CV disease.10-13 In the female population of the Framingham study, there was an increase in CV event rates as TG levels increased. In men the correlation was not evident, although there was a 40% increase in incidence of coronary
events as TG increased up to 200 mg/dL, without a further increase of risk at higher levels.\textsuperscript{10}

Findings from the Münster Heart Study (PROCAM) showed that coronary event rates increased as TG levels increased from <200 mg/dL to 800 mg/dL, but decreased significantly above this level.\textsuperscript{11} When TG levels were evaluated as a continuous variable, their level was not correlated with event rates. This finding likely reflects different atherogenicity of TG-rich lipoproteins at different TG levels; at the highest TG levels (>800 mg/dL), less atherogenic chylomicrons predominate compared with the more atherogenic ones predominating in subjects with TG <800 mg/dL. Austin et al reported the existence of two low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) phenotypic distributions in relation to TG levels, ie, a less atherogenic phenotype A, characterized by large buoyant LDL particles that predominate with low TG levels, and a highly atherogenic phenotype B represented by small dense LDL particles that is prevalent with increased plasma levels of TG.\textsuperscript{14}

The Baltimore Coronary Observational Long-Term Study was a long-term (20-year) study that evaluated predictors of coronary events in individuals who had documented CAD.\textsuperscript{13} TG, as well as diabetes mellitus, were found to be important predictors, with a TG level >100 mg/dL increasing the risk for coronary events by 50% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.1–2.1). After exclusion of diabetic patients, TG remained a significant predictor of new CAD events (relative risk [RR] 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2–2.7).

The combined effect of baseline TG and lipoprotein cholesterol levels on the incidence of CV endpoints was studied in the Helsinki Heart Trial. After five years of follow-up, the combination of LDL-C to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC) ratio $>5$ with TG >200 mg/dL revealed a subgroup of subjects at high CV risk (RR 3.8, 95% CI: 2.2–6.6).\textsuperscript{15} Some clinical studies, but not all, reported a reduction of the risk of CV events by treatment with a fibric acid derivate in patients with high TG.

In patients with documented CAD, the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention trial (BIP) tested the effect of bezafibrate (400 mg/day) on a combined endpoint including death from CAD or nonfatal MI.\textsuperscript{16} The primary endpoint in BIP was not affected by fibrate therapy, although it induced a significant 15.4% increase in HDL-C, and a significant 6.2% decrease in LDL-C. However, when data from the subset of patients with baseline TG >200 mg/dL were analyzed, a significant 40% reduction in the primary endpoint was observed.\textsuperscript{16}

At variance with previous studies, the benefit reported in the Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Intervention trial (VA-HIT) did not appear to be correlated with TG reduction.\textsuperscript{17} In patients with CAD, there was an overall 22% reduction in the rates for fatal and nonfatal MI or death from CAD in subjects randomized to gemfibrozil. However, multiple regression analyses failed to find a consistent link between TG and CAD event rates for all levels of TG.\textsuperscript{17,18}

More recently, a post hoc analysis of PROVE IT-TIMI 22, a study which compared the effects of standard versus intensive statin therapy on the incidence of CV events in patients hospitalized for an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), evaluated the relationship at 30 days between on-treatment TG levels, LDL-C, and a composite endpoint of death, MI, and recurrent ACS.\textsuperscript{12} On-treatment TG $<150$ mg/dL was independently associated with a lower risk of recurrent CHD events (adjusted hazards ratio [HR] 0.80, 95% CI: 0.66–0.97, $P = 0.025$). For each 10 mg/dL decrement in TG the risk of the composite endpoint was lowered by 1.6% ($P < 0.001$) after adjustment for LDL-C. These results supported the concept that achieving low TG, beyond low LDL-C, may deserve additional consideration in patients after ACS.

**Cardioprotective effects of omega-3 ethyl esters**

Since the first evidence of a protective effect on CAD, many studies of fish intake or daily administration of fish oils have reported an inverse relationship with CV morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{3–8,19}

In the early 1980s, EPA and DHA became available for clinical use in the purified chemical form of EE. Each 1000 mg capsule of omega-3 EE contains approximately 460 mg of EPA EE and 380 mg of DHA EE.\textsuperscript{20} Oral capsules of omega-3 EE, although providing fewer nutritional elements than fish, have the advantage of avoiding the possible negative effects of contaminants contained in fish (eg, dioxins, mercury, and polychlorinated biphenyls),\textsuperscript{21,22} the different action of various concentrations of EPA and DHA, and finally the effects of other fatty acids usually contained in fish oils. Since the commercialization of omega-3 EE, several studies have investigated isolated and combined preparations of EPA and DHA in the form of EE, especially with respect to CV prevention. Taken together, the results of the more recent studies confirmed that omega-3 fatty acids have cardioprotective effects,\textsuperscript{8,19,23–27} with an inverse correlation between risk of CAD events and quantity of DHA in plasma and cellular phospholipids, which is closely linked to the DHA content of the myocardium.\textsuperscript{28}

Oral omega-3 EE is able to improve the lipid profile principally by reducing TG levels. However, in the
major studies, changes in TC and HDL-C were generally not clinically significant, with a small net increase in LDL-C associated with a shift toward less atherogenic LDL subfractions.

In patients with previous MI, the addition to recommended treatment (eg, angiotensin-converting enzyme [ACE] inhibitors, antiplatelet agents, β-blockers, statins) of oral omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day was effective for secondary prevention, reducing the risk of the composite endpoint of death + nonfatal MI + nonfatal stroke as well as various other endpoints (including death, CV death, and sudden death).23-26 Post hoc results of analysis of individual events in the composite endpoint, as well as the time-course assessment of benefit of omega-3 EE, suggest that the reduction in the risk of fatal events following omega-3 EE contribute importantly to the significant reductions observed in the risk of the composite efficacy endpoints. The 45% reduction in the risk of sudden death was the major component in the reduction in risk of death shown in GISSI-Prevenzione.

The recent publication of the GISSI-HF trial results27 confirmed previous less consistent evidence28-31 about a possible benefit of omega-3 EE in patients with HF. After a median follow-up of 3.9 years, 850–882 mg daily of EPA and DHA as EE induced a statistically significant risk reduction in mortality and mortality + admission to hospital for a CV reason. Almost half of the absolute reduction of risk attributable to treatment was due to the reduction of ventricular arrhythmias, although the benefit in both mortality and hospitalization suggests that omega-3 EE might also positively affect the pathophysiologic mechanisms leading to the progression of HF.

Despite the results of many studies indicating cardioprotective effects of omega-3 fatty acids, a Cochrane review32 and a meta-analysis33 seem not to confirm these benefits. The Cochrane review,34 which included 89 studies (48 randomized controlled studies and 41 cohort studies), found that omega-3 EE did not induce a significant reduction in the risk of total mortality (RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.73–1.03) or combined CV events (RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.82–1.12). The choice of inclusion of the DART (Diet and Reinfarction Trial) II in the analysis has generated considerable debate.35-37 After excluding DART II from the Cochrane analysis, the reduction of total death became statistically significant (RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.75–0.91), but the risk of CV events did not change.34 We updated the metanalysis of Leon et al38 including two recent clinical trials, GISSI-HF and OMEGA trial. We found that Omega-3 EE was associated with a 14% (OR 0.86; 95% CI 0.79-0.94) significant risk reduction of death from cardiac cause and with barely not statistically significant risk reduction of all cause mortality (odds ratio [OR] 0.92; 95% CI: 0.83–1.03) (Figure 1), confirming findings reported by Leon et al.39 Of note, the beneficial effects on risk of CV
disease (CVD) appeared strong in the analysis of trials of secondary prevention, but not in those of the primary one.\textsuperscript{39} This finding should be considered against the efficacy of omega-3 fatty acids in primary CVD prevention. In fact, only 50\% of the causes of death are usually due to CVD in this clinical setting and are therefore potentially modifiable by omega-3 fatty acids. However, this finding, and the higher proportion of deaths due to CV causes in patients with CVD, translate into very different benefits on total mortality in patients with or without CVD.

\section*{Pharmacology}
\section*{Pharmacokinetic properties}
Oral administration of omega-3 EE to healthy volunteers\textsuperscript{40–42} and hypertriglyceridemic subjects\textsuperscript{2} is followed by EPA and DHA absorption. After a first complete hydrolysis of omega-3 EE, EPA and DHA are absorbed and incorporated into plasma phospholipids and cholesterol esters, as reported in animal studies.\textsuperscript{20} Omega-3 EE oral administration in healthy volunteers for 12 weeks determined significant dose-dependent increases in plasma phospholipid EPA and DHA content.\textsuperscript{43} The same increases in plasma/serum phospholipid EPA and DHA content were seen in various patient populations receiving oral omega-3 EE, such as those with combined hyperlipidemia,\textsuperscript{44} severe hypertriglyceridemia,\textsuperscript{45} CAD,\textsuperscript{46} essential hypertension,\textsuperscript{47} and hypertensive heart transplant recipients.\textsuperscript{48}

EPA and DHA concentrations in plasma phospholipids are related to the level of EPA and DHA incorporated into cell membranes.\textsuperscript{20} Omega-3 fatty acids are metabolized via three main pathways. Fatty acids are first transported to the liver for incorporation into lipoproteins, and then channeled to peripheral lipid stores. Secondly, cell membrane phospholipids are replaced by lipoprotein phospholipids, allowing EPA to act as a precursor for eicosanoids of the cyclo-oxygenase and lipoxygenase pathways.\textsuperscript{20,24,49} Finally, omega-3 fatty acids, like all other fatty acids, are oxidized to meet energy requirements.\textsuperscript{20,24} Uptake of EPA and DHA into serum phospholipids in recipients of omega-3 EE concentrate was independent of age (<49 versus ≥49 years), and tended to be greater in women than in men.\textsuperscript{42}

In healthy volunteers, the concomitant administration of omega-3 EE concentrate did not alter the steady-state pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin (and its major active metabolites, 2-hydroxyatorvastatin and 4-hydroxyatorvastatin),\textsuperscript{50} rosuvastatin,\textsuperscript{51} and simvastatin (and its major active metabolite, β-hydroxyximvastatin).\textsuperscript{52} To support these findings, experimental studies showed that undetectable concentrations (<1 µmol/L) of the free forms of EPA and DHA are present in the circulation, so avoiding clinically significant inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes.\textsuperscript{45}

\section*{Pharmacodynamic properties}
Although the mechanism of action of omega-3 fatty acids, including omega-3 EE, is not fully understood,\textsuperscript{40–42} a number of effects of omega-3 EE that can positively interfere with the development or worsening of CV disease have been reported. Available evidence seems to suggest that EPA and DHA might differ in the mechanisms by which they exert their beneficial effect on the CV system. This hypothesis needs to be carefully assessed and confirmed.\textsuperscript{53} In this review we discuss mechanisms which are likely to contribute to the benefit of omega-3 EE in post-MI and HF patients, including effects on lipid levels, cardiac electrophysiology and hemodynamics, blood pressure, endothelial function, thrombosis/homeostasis, and atherogenesis and inflammation.

\section*{Lipid levels}
Omega-3 EE improved the lipid profile in patients with mixed dyslipidemia, familial combined hyperlipidemia,\textsuperscript{55} and hypertriglyceridemia.\textsuperscript{56–59} Significant reductions in TG, total cholesterol, non-HDL-C, very LDL-C (VLDL-C), VLDL-triglyceride and/or apolipoprotein-B levels, and significant elevation in plasma or serum HDL-C and/or LDL-C levels with omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day, alone\textsuperscript{56–59} or in combination with simvastatin 40 mg/day\textsuperscript{45} were evident.

Similar data were reported in a review that demonstrated a dose-dependent reduction in TG levels in clinical studies.\textsuperscript{50} In most studies, a 10\%–33\% reduction in TG levels was seen, that tended to be greater in studies of patients with higher TG levels at baseline. Total cholesterol and HDL-C did not significantly change, whilst a slight increase in LDL-C levels occurred.\textsuperscript{60}

Omega-3 EEs exert a triglyceride-lowering effect through two main mechanisms.\textsuperscript{49} Firstly omega-3 EE may reduce the hepatic synthesis of TG inhibiting acyl CoA:1,2-diacylglycerol acyltransferase,\textsuperscript{61} with reduced esterification and release of other fatty acids.\textsuperscript{20,61} Secondly, omega-3 EEs increase hepatic β-oxidation and upregulate fatty acid metabolism in the liver by stimulating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) subtypes.\textsuperscript{62–64} This in turn reduces the availability of free fatty acids for TG synthesis and reduces TG levels.\textsuperscript{20} Omega-3 EE also affects other nuclear receptors involved in the modulation
of TG levels, so they may increase the removal of TG from circulating VLDL via enzymatic upregulation.\textsuperscript{65}

The elevation in LDL-C levels observed with omega-3 EE seems to result from conversion of VLDL-C.\textsuperscript{66} In a study of 24 dyslipidemic obese patients, treatment with omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day significantly increased the mean conversion rate of VLDL-apolipoprotein B to intermediate density lipoprotein (IDL)-apolipoprotein B and LDL-apolipoprotein B, as well as the mean conversion rate of IDL-apolipoprotein B to LDL-apolipoprotein B.\textsuperscript{66} Of note, the observed increase in LDL-C levels typically reflects a shift to larger, less atherogenic LDL particles.\textsuperscript{66} In patients with hypertriglyceridemia, despite the treatment with simvastatin 40 mg/day, those randomized to receive omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day for eight weeks showed a significantly greater median LDL particle size increase than patients on placebo. The direction of the shift in LDL particle size seemed to depend on the TG level; median changes in LDL particle size from baseline were +0.60, +0.40, +0.15, and –0.20 nm in patients with on-treatment TG levels of <149, 149–192, 193–246, and $\geq$246 mg/dL, respectively. In the same subgroups of patients, LDL subfraction pattern B (ie, smaller particles) was present in 68%, 79%, 93%, and 73% of patients at endpoint.\textsuperscript{66} Similar results were observed in a study\textsuperscript{64} conducted in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia, ie, omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day + simvastatin 20 mg/day induced a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase from baseline in least-square mean LDL particle size compared with placebo + simvastatin 20 mg/day, with no between-group difference in LDL particle concentration. Significantly greater reductions from baseline in VLDL particle size and concentration were also observed between the two treatment groups. Both omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day and oral gemfibrozil 1200 mg/day improved the atherogenic LDL subfraction profile of patients with hypertriglyceridemia, elevating the cholesterol content of the more buoyant, less atherogenic LDL-1, LDL-2, and LDL-3 subfractions versus baseline. No significant differences in the LDL-4 and LDL-5 subfractions versus baseline and no between-group differences in the cholesterol levels of the LDL subfractions were observed.\textsuperscript{66} In patients with familial combined hyperlipidemia, omega-3 EE treatment increased the LDL-C/apolipoprotein-B ratio, indicating an elevation in the more buoyant and core-enriched LDL-1 and LDL-2 subfractions, although the average LDL particle size was unchanged.\textsuperscript{65}

\section*{Cardiac electrophysiology}

\subsection*{Heart rate}

According to the results of a meta-analysis,\textsuperscript{70} omega-3 fatty acids (median dosage 3500 mg/day), significantly reduced heart rate by 1.6 beats per minute compared with placebo. Subgroup analysis showed this effect to be influenced by baseline heart rate; in patients with a mean heart rate $\geq$69 beats per minute at baseline there was a significant ($P < 0.001$) reduction of 2.5 beats per minute, with no significant change in patients with a mean heart rate of $<69$ beats per minute at baseline.\textsuperscript{70}

\section*{Arrhythmias}

The antiarrhythmic effect is believed to be the main mechanism explaining the reduced risk of sudden cardiac death seen in patients with recent MI treated with omega-3 EE in the GISSI-Prevenzione study.\textsuperscript{23} This apparent antiarrhythmic effect may result from a number of mechanisms.

Experimental evidence suggests that n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) administered in the diet, added to culture media, or given intravenously, have direct effects on the trafficking of ion channels in cardiac membranes which may result in antiarrhythmic activity.\textsuperscript{71} The peculiarity of this action is that n-3 PUFA are likely to have a number of effects which could be pro- as well as antiarrhythmic. The sum of all these activities in modulating the electrical machinery of cardiac cells produces a positive “final” effect on life-threatening arrhythmias. The current hypothesis is that omega-3 EEs stabilize the electrical activity of myocytes by elevating the action potential threshold (ie, a stronger electrical stimulus is required to elicit an action potential), shortening the action potential duration, and prolonging the relative refractory time. The major contributors to the antiarrhythmic actions of omega-3 EE occur via inhibition of the voltage-dependent Na$^+$ currents, which initiate the action potential in excitable tissue. The beginning of the action potential may be also avoided, reducing delayed afterdepolarization through an inhibition of L-type Ca$^{++}$ currents which prevent Ca$^{++}$ overload. n-3 PUFA have also been reported to inhibit some potassium current components, such as the two repolarizing K$^+$ currents, the initial outward K$^+$ ($I_{\text{in}}$) current, and the delayed rectifier K$^+$ ($I_{\text{K}}$) current, as well as the transient outward current responsible for the early repolarization phase. n-3 PUFA have been found to have an inhibitory effect on the cardiac Na$^+$/Ca$^{++}$ exchange (NCX) current. NCX protein expression in its forward mode ($I_{\text{NCX}}$) provides a mechanism for extruding calcium from the cytosol and facilitates diastolic relaxation, and is often increased in patients with end-stage HF and with atrial fibrillation (AF).\textsuperscript{72} Decreased $I_{\text{NCX}}$ may therefore result in a decreased propensity to develop delayed after
depolarization and may contribute to the antiarrhythmic effects of n-3 PUFA.

The inhibition of intercellular communication may represent an additional potential antiarrhythmic mechanism of n-3 PUFA. Treatment with n-3 PUFA reduced vulnerability to induction of AF in a dog model by reducing atrial expression of connexin.70

Furthermore, a cell membrane shift in the balance of n-3 PUFA to n-6 PUFA might attenuate the production of n-6 PUFA-derived eicosanoids, including thromboxane A2 and prostaglandins, which have been suggested to promote arrhythmias.74,75

Finally, omega-3 EEs may reduce arrhythmic events modulating autonomic tone.25,76 This hypothesis seems to be corroborated by some studies on heart rate variability (HRV), a risk marker for sudden cardiac death. Omega-3 fatty acids content of cell membranes was positively correlated with HRV,77 and oral supplementation with 5200 mg/day of omega-3 fatty acids for three months in patients with recent MI significantly increased HRV.78 In patients with healed MI and left ventricular dysfunction, omega-3 fatty acids 1500 mg/day significantly improved HRV in the high-frequency band, compared with placebo, although there was no improvement in overall HRV.79 At variance with the previous evidence are the results of two studies showing that omega-3 EE at a daily dose of 1000 mg for three months in patients with mild hypertension80 and of 3000 mg for four months in post-MI patients,81 did not alter HRV indices to a significant extent.

Some recent studies in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) reported mixed results for an antiarrhythmic effect of omega-3 EE.82–84 Primary endpoints relating to the risk of ventricular arrhythmias ICD intervention for ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia82–84 or death82–83 were not improved by omega-3 EE to a significant extent. But when “probable” ventricular arrhythmic events were also considered, a significant 31% reduction in the primary endpoint was seen with omega-3 EE.83

It has been hypothesized that omega-3 fatty acids may have more favorable effects in certain subpopulations, in which the specific clinical setting and underlying arrhythmogenic mechanisms of initiation and propagation may be more susceptible to the antiarrhythmic effects of omega-3 EE. It should be noted, for example, that patients enrolled in ICD trials were different from those in GISSI-Prevenzione.23 The best evidence for the antiarrhythmic action of n-3 PUFA has been produced by experimental work performed in ischemia-mediated animal models and in clinical trials carried out in post-MI patients in which ischemia-triggered arrhythmias may be predominant.

In addition, chronic oral dosing of omega-3 fatty acids, compared with acute or intravenous administration, may have different effects on cardiac electrophysiology, as suggested by experimental data showing that incorporation of n-3 PUFA into membrane phospholipids shortened the action potential without altering calcium transients and diastolic calcium levels in myocytes isolated from pigs.72 It has been hypothesized that tissue levels of n-3 PUFA may influence the effects of oral administration of omega-3 EE; low basal tissue levels consequent to a daily intake <750 mg might amplify the antiarrhythmic effect of omega-3 EE supplements, with a first steep slope of the dose-response curve and a plateau thereafter, with no further beneficial effects on fatal arrhythmic events with higher doses.22 Such a hypothesis would explain the high protective effect of omega-3 EE against arrhythmic death in patients with low dietary intake of n-3 PUFA and HF or ischemic heart disease.23,29

Cardiac hemodynamics

Cardiac function

Evidence suggests an improvement of cardiac output with omega-3 EE supplements by means of a positive action on systolic and diastolic function. Experimental evidence of a faster rate of cardiac muscle contraction and relaxation by n-3 PUFA with a consequent positive inotropic effect has been reported.85 In addition, EPA and DHA may prevent the myosin heavy chain isoform α to β switch, a marker of HF and left ventricular hypertrophy.86

Results of the Cardiovascular Health Study87 seem to confirm in older adults the findings previously shown in nonhuman primates88 of an association between fish intake and incremental stroke volume due to improvement of early and, possibly, late diastolic filling. Recently, chronic n-3 PUFA administration in athletes has been reported to reduce heart rate and oxygen consumption during exercise without a decrement in performance, in part due to improved energy production and utilization by cardiac myocytes.89

Experimental data showed an increase in efficiency of oxygen use by the heart and skeletal muscles after n-3 PUFA supplementation, possibly through improvement in mitochondrial function and efficiency of ATP generation.90,91

EPA and DHA was shown to be able to modify mitochondrial membrane properties, activate PPAR, and increase expression of metabolic enzymes, such as those involved in fatty acid oxidation and energy transduction, so
preventing the increase in left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes in a rat model of pressure overload.86

**Blood pressure**

According to the results of a meta-regression analysis, omega-3 fatty acid administration at a mean dosage of 4100 mg/day was able to decrease mean blood pressure (BP) by 2.3/1.5 mmHg.92 Subgroup analyses demonstrated that BP was reduced to a greater extent in older (age >45 years) versus younger participants and in hypertensive versus normotensive participants.

The findings of this meta-regression analysis were supported by studies in patients with essential hypertension,57,95 hypertriglyceridemia,97 or combined hyperlipidemia,44,94 patients who had undergone heart transplantation,95 or hypertensive heart transplant recipients,96 showing significant reductions in systolic and/or diastolic BP with omega-3 EE given at 2000–6000 mg/day. The reduction of BP may be due to effects on the arterial vascular bed. Improvement of endothelial dysfunction,96 reduction of systemic vascular resistance87 possibly by induction of nitric oxide production,97 reduction of vasoconstrictive response to norepinephrine and angiotensin II,98 improvement of arterial wall compliance,99 and enhancement of vasodilatory responses99 have been reported.

On the other side, some studies reported no evidence of effects of omega-3 EE on BP at doses of 1000 mg/day in post-MI patients,100 3000 mg/day in patients with mild hypertension,81 or 4000 mg/day of omega-3 EE in healthy volunteers.100

**Endothelial function**

A critical balance between endothelium-derived relaxing and contracting factors maintains vascular homeostasis and vascular smooth muscle tone. The endothelium, by releasing nitric oxide (NO), promotes vasodilatation and inhibits inflammation, thrombosis, and vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation. In addition, NO opposes the actions of potent endothelium-derived contracting factors such as angiotensin-II and endothelin-1 (ET-1). Endothelial dysfunction is a pathologic state in which there is an imbalance in the relative contribution of endothelium-derived relaxing and contracting factors (such as ET-1, angiotensin, and oxidants). Endothelial dysfunction plays an important role in the clinical course of atherosclerosis. Common conditions predisposing to atherosclerosis, such as hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, diabetes, and smoking, are associated with endothelial dysfunction.

A small number of studies found endothelial function to be improved after fish oil ingestion by humans.8 In patients with hypercholesterolemia, baseline endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilatation increased to a significantly greater extent with omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day than with placebo without affecting endothelium-independent dilation.101 In a six-week, randomized crossover trial, 20 children with familial (combined) hyperlipidemia received DHA 1200 mg/day. Endothelial function improved in this study, although levels of total cholesterol, LDL, and HDL increased, compared with subjects receiving the National Cholesterol Education Panel (NCEP)-II diet during the control period.102 In a randomized, double-blind study comparing 4000 mg/day EPA with 4000 mg/day DHA and with 4000 mg/day olive oil (control) in 59 overweight, mildly hyperlipidemic men, DHA, but not EPA, improved the response to acetylcholine. DHA, however, improved the response to sodium nitroprusside and attenuated the constrictive response to norepinephrine, whilst olive oil had no effect.98 More recently, improvements in endothelial function have been reported in patients receiving omega-3 fatty acids.84 Thus the available evidence indicates that EPA-DHA improves endothelial function in patients with CV disorders. When EPA and DHA were compared, only DHA, but not EPA, was found to be effective.

**Thrombosis and hemostasis**

*In vitro* studies have shown that omega-3 fatty acids have inhibitory effects on thrombosis through reduction of platelet aggregation,103–105 and platelet thromboxane B2 response,105 thus suggesting a potential cardioprotective effect through antithrombotic mechanisms.21 In healthy volunteers receiving omega-3 EE 1000–4000 mg/day, EPA was incorporated into platelets in a dose-dependent manner.43

Platelet-activating factor (an inducer of platelet aggregation) was reduced by 900 mg/day EPA given for eight weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, the effect being more pronounced after doubling the daily dose.106 In a six-week randomized, double-blind study, 4000 mg/day of EPA and 4000 mg/day DHA were compared with olive oil in 59 hypertensive type 2 diabetics. DHA, but not EPA, reduced platelet response to collagen and associated thromboxane A2 formation, without differences in other parameters such as fibrinolytic activity.107 In patients with CAD, fibrinolytic activity, as assessed by plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 activity levels, was found to be increased by 1800 mg/day of EPA,108 and by EPA–DHA 3400 mg/day.109 A three-month randomized study comparing 850 mg/EPA-DHA with usual care in 77 post-MI patients showed no differences in levels of
fibrinogen or D-dimer, although von Willebrand factor was increased. Across clinical studies, omega-3 fatty acids did not show a consistent effect on hemostatic variables including levels of fibrinogen, factor VII or VIII or von Willebrand factor. Moreover, changes in levels of fibrinogen, factor VII or VIII, or von Willebrand factor generally did not significantly differ between recipients of 1000–6000 mg/day omega-3 EE and controls in healthy volunteers, post-MI patients, patients with mild hypertension, patients with combined hyperlipidemia or patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. Bleeding time was prolonged in 40 post-MI patients after four weeks of 3400 mg/day EPA-DHA, without significant alteration of other hemostatic parameters, and increased in one study in CAD patients. At variance with previous studies, omega-3 EE 6000 mg/day did not affect bleeding time at rest in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia, or in patients undergoing CABG surgery. In addition, the incidence of bleeding episodes did not significantly differ between omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day and placebo recipients in a large study in patients undergoing CABG surgery who were also receiving warfarin or aspirin. This finding is reassuring with regard to the current clinical relevance of the concern raised by older studies testing very high omega-3 fatty acid doses about a potential increased risk of bleeding due to the antithrombotic effect of omega-3 fatty acids.

However, hemocoagulatory tests should be performed to monitor adequately patients receiving anticoagulant therapy and the anticoagulant dosage adjusted as necessary.

Atherogenesis and inflammation

Many effects of n-3 PUFA may positively interfere with atherosclerotic processes. Other than improvement in lipid levels, the effects on cell adhesion molecules, receptor scavenger expression, and vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation may reduce development of atherosclerotic lesions. Although treatment for six months with omega-3 EE 6000 mg/day did not reduce the incidence of restenosis in patients who had undergone coronary angioplasty, two meta-analyses suggested that omega-3 fatty acids may indeed prevent restenosis following this procedure. In patients with planned carotid endarterectomy, administration of 2000 mg/day of omega-3 EE significantly increased plaque phospholipid EPA content compared with placebo. The potential improvement of plaque stability consequent to the increase of EPA and DHA was based on the finding that plaques from patients receiving omega-3 EE expressed significantly lower mRNA levels for matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-7, MMP-9, MMP-12, and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 than did plaque from placebo recipients. Omega-3 EE 4000 mg/day after six weeks’ therapy increased soluble E-selectin levels from baseline to a significantly greater extent than did placebo in patients with hypertriglyceridemia. However, after more than six months’ treatment, significant decreases in soluble E-selectin levels and in soluble ICAM-1 levels were observed. Gene expression of platelet-derived growth factors A and B was downregulated in mononuclear cells from healthy volunteers who received omega-3 EE 7000 mg/day for six weeks. Endotoxin-stimulated tumor necrosis factor-α production in peripheral blood mononuclear cells was significantly reduced from baseline in patients with severe HF who received omega-3 fatty acids 8000 mg/day for 18 weeks. It has been recently reported that the beneficial effects of n-3 fatty acid supplementation on inflammatory processes may be due to an increase in EPA-derived eicosanoids, PGE, and LTβ, which are less active in the inflammatory response than AA-derived eicosanoids (PGE and LTβ).

At variance with the above, some evidence did not report an improvement of inflammatory markers. C-reactive protein levels were not affected by treatment with omega-3 fatty acids. Compared with olive oil-treated controls, C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α were unaltered in 59 hypertensive Type 2 diabetics treated for six weeks with 4000 mg/day of EPA or DHA. In post-MI patients treated with omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day, interleukin-6 levels were not modified to a significant extent compared with controls. Finally, the effect of n-3 PUFA on oxidative stress remains controversial.

Clinical efficacy

After myocardial infarction

GISSI-Prevenzione was a multicenter, randomized clinical trial that assessed the comparative efficacy of omega-3 EE, as monotherapy or in combination with tocopherol, versus tocopherol monotherapy or control (no treatment) as secondary prevention for post-MI patients. From October 1993 to September 1998, 11,323 patients who had suffered an MI within the previous three months (median 16 days) were randomized to receive omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day (n = 2835), omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day + tocopherol 300 mg/day (n = 2830), tocopherol 300 mg/day (n = 2830), or control (n = 2828) for 42 months. The patients’ mean age was 59.4 years, with 16% aged >70 years, and 85.3% were male.
At baseline, an ejection fraction of ≤30% and 0.31–0.40 was present in 2.6% and 11.1% of patients, respectively; 42.4% of patients were smokers, 35.6% were diagnosed with arterial hypertension, 4.4% had claudication, 14.8% had diabetes mellitus, 19.3% had ventricular arrhythmias, and 28.9% had a positive exercise stress test. Mediterranean dietary advice were given to all patients. Investigators were required to prescribe post-MI evidence-based therapy at baseline as well during the course of the study. At baseline, ACE inhibitors, antiplatelet agents, β-blockers, and lipid-lowering drugs were prescribed to 46.9%, 91.7%, 44.3%, and 4.7% of patients, respectively. The proportion of patients receiving the aforementioned medical treatments did not change much during the course of the study, but lipid-lowering treatments were prescribed to more than 30% of patients after six months and, at the end of the study, approximately 50% of patients was treated with statins.

The primary combined efficacy endpoints for the GISSI-Prevenzione study were the cumulative rate of all-cause death, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke, and the cumulative rates of CV death, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke. Secondary endpoints included the individual components of the primary endpoints and the major causes of death.

The efficacy of the experimental treatments was compared by two prespecified intention-to-treat analyses over 42 months of follow-up. A four-way analysis of data compared the efficacy of omega-3 EE monotherapy, tocopherol monotherapy, and omega-3 EE + tocopherol with that of the control over the same time period; the efficacy of omega-3 EE + tocopherol was also compared with omega-3 EE monotherapy and tocopherol monotherapy. A two-way factorial analysis compared omega-3 EE-based therapy (omega-3 EE monotherapy and omega-3 EE + tocopherol groups) with that of non-omega-3 EE-based therapy (tocopherol monotherapy and control groups) and the efficacy of tocopherol-based therapy (tocopherol monotherapy and omega-3 EE + tocopherol groups) versus non-tocopherol-based therapy (omega-3 EE monotherapy and control).

The results of GISSI-Prevenzione showed that omega-3 EE had a secondary preventive effect in patients with recent MI. The full efficacy profile of omega-3 EE is summarized in Table 1.

In the four-way analysis there were significant reductions of both coprimary endpoints, ie, a 16% relative risk decrease for the combined endpoint of death, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke (95% CI 3–27, P = 0.006). Analyses of the individual components of the main endpoint were instrumental in speculation as to the mechanism of action of omega-3 EE. Almost all the benefit obtained with omega-3 EE in the combined endpoint was due to the decrease in fatal events (−21% of total deaths, −30% of CV deaths, and −44% of sudden deaths), suggesting that antiarrhythmic effect is the probable mechanism of action of omega-3 EE. With regard to nonfatal CV events, there was no significant difference between the treatment groups, and the results for the combined treatment compared with controls on the primary combined endpoint and on total mortality were consistent with those obtained with omega-3 EE alone. No increased benefit was apparent when the rate of the combined endpoint of death, nonfatal MI and nonfatal stroke among patients receiving omega-3 EE + vitamin E was compared with that of the group receiving omega-3 EE alone or with that of patients treated with vitamin E alone. Patients receiving vitamin E and controls did not differ significantly when data were analyzed for the combined endpoint as well as for its individual components. Treatment with omega-3 EE alone significantly lowered the risk of total CHD events (0.78 [0.65–0.94], P = 0.008), whereas the reduction of risk observed with vitamin E was not statistically significant. No change in fatal + nonfatal stroke was found for any tested treatment. The results of the two-way analysis adjusted for interaction between the treatments were exactly the same as the results of the four-way analyses, with the interaction being statistically significant for CV, cardiac, and sudden death, and statistically relevant (P < 0.10) for the second main endpoint and all CHD events (Table 1).

At six months, a significant reduction in TG levels was observed, but no clinically relevant change from baseline was shown for total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C levels, fibrinogen, and glycemia.

A post hoc analysis of GISSI-Prevenzione assessed the time course of the benefit of omega-3 EE on mortality and supported the hypothesis of an antiarrhythmic effect of omega-3 EE. Survival curves for n-3 PUFA treatment diverged early after randomization, and total mortality was significantly lowered after only three months of treatment (RR 0.59; 95% CI 0.36–0.97; P = 0.037). The reduction in risk of sudden death was specifically relevant and statistically significant already at four months (RR 0.47; 95% CI 0.219–0.995; P = 0.048). A similarly significant, although delayed, pattern after six to eight months of treatment was observed for CV, cardiac, and coronary deaths.
Table 1 GISSI-Prevenzione. Overall efficacy profile of n-3 PUFA, vitamin E, and n-3 PUFA + vitamin E treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate of events</th>
<th>Four-way analysis</th>
<th>Two-way analysis with interaction term</th>
<th>Two-way analysis without interaction term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omega-3 EE</td>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>Omega-3 EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relative risk</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE (n = 2835)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (n = 2830)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE + vitamin E (n = 2830)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 2828)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.84 (0.73–0.97)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78–1.03)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.74–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E relative risk</td>
<td>0.84 (0.73–0.97)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78–1.03)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.74–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE + vitamin E relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main endpoints

Death, nonfatal MI and nonfatal stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate of events</th>
<th>Four-way analysis</th>
<th>Two-way analysis with interaction term</th>
<th>Two-way analysis without interaction term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omega-3 EE</td>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>Omega-3 EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relative risk</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE (n = 2835)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (n = 2830)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE + vitamin E (n = 2830)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 2828)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.67–0.94)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.72–1.00)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.67–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E relative risk</td>
<td>0.79 (0.67–0.94)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.72–1.00)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.67–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE + vitamin E relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.80 (0.68–0.94)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.03)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 EE relative risk</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.81–0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary analyses

All fatal events

CVD death

Cardiac death

Coronary death

Sudden death

Other death

Unknown cause

Non-CVD death

Nonfatal CVD events

Nonfatal MI

Nonfatal stroke

Subsidiary analyses

CHD death and nonfatal MI

Fatal and nonfatal stroke

PTCA or CABG

Patients with two or more events of different types appear more than once in columns but only once in rows. *P value for interaction. **P < 0.10; ***P < 0.05. Relative risk, calculated by Cox regression analysis.

Abbreviations: CVD, cardiovascular disease; MI, myocardial infarction; CHD, coronary heart disease; PTCA, coronary angioplasty; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.
Another post hoc analysis\(^{31}\) of the GISSI-Prevenzione study reported that treatment with omega-3 EE was effective both in patients with and without left ventricular dysfunction (LVEF >50\%) with an increase of benefit in those with left ventricular dysfunction, in whom omega-EE appeared more effective in reducing sudden death.

More recently, the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter OMEGA study evaluated the effect of omega-3 EE 1 g/day on the rate of sudden cardiac death in patients with MI in the previous 3–14 days, with a 12-month follow-up. Secondary endpoints included total mortality, reinfarction, revascularization, rhythm abnormalities in Holter monitoring, and stroke.\(^{31,130}\) A total of 3851 patients were included in the study, 74.4\% were male and 25.6\% female; 24.1\% and 75.9\% had a LVEF <0.45 and \(\geq 0.45\), respectively; 27.0\% were diabetics and 1.8\% had renal failure. With regard to pharmacologic treatments at baseline, 69.5\% of patients were receiving an ACE inhibitor, <94\% an antiplatelet agent, 85.7\% a \(\beta\)-blocker, and 81.5\% were receiving statins. Data were reported for per protocol populations. Preliminary data showed no significant difference in the incidence of sudden cardiac death between omega-3 EE (1.5\%) and placebo (1.5\%) groups, neither with regard to the secondary endpoints, including total mortality (4.6\% versus 3.7\%), reinfarction (4.5\% versus 4.1\%), revascularization (27.7\% versus 29.1\%), arrhythmic events (1.1\% versus 0.7\%), and stroke (1.4 versus 0.7\%). However the OMEGA study was designed assuming a sudden cardiac death rate of 1.9\% in omega-3 EE recipients and 3.5\% in placebo recipients, whilst the observed incidence was definitely lower (1.5\% in both groups), thus making the trial heavily underpowered.\(^{130}\)

## Heart failure

Several observational and clinical studies have reported an association between fish consumption and reduced risk of HF\(^{30,32,33,131}\). As already reported above, a post hoc analysis\(^{31}\) of the GISSI-Prevenzione study\(^{23}\) indicated that treatment with 1 g/day of omega-3 EE had similar beneficial effects on total mortality in patients with (LVEF \(\leq 50\%\), RR 0.76; 0.60–0.96, \(P = 0.02\)) and without left ventricular dysfunction (LVEF >50\%, RR 0.81; 0.59–1.10, \(P = 0.17\)). Moreover, the treatment appeared more effective in reducing sudden cardiac death in patients than those without left ventricular dysfunction.

Recently, the results of the GISSI-HF study, a randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial investigating the efficacy of omega-3 EE in chronic HF were published.\(^{26}\) A total of 6975 patients aged 18 years or older, with clinical evidence of HF of any cause and New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II–IV were randomized to 850–882 mg EPA-DHA daily as EE or matching placebo, and followed for a median of 3.9 years. Healthy lifestyle advice and evidence-based medical therapy for HF were positively recommended to all participants. The mean age of the patients was 67 years (SD \(\pm 11\)), 2947 (42\%) were older than 70 years, and 1516 (22\%) were women. At baseline, 4425 (63\%), 2365 (34\%), and 185 (3\%) were in NYHA Class II, III, and IV, respectively; 653 (9\%) had a LVEF >40\%; 987 (14\%) were smokers, 3809 (55\%) had hypertension, 1974 (28\%) diabetes mellitus, 610 (9\%) peripheral vascular disease, and 256 (4\%) neoplasia. With regard to medical history, 2909 (42\%) had an MI, 2137 (31\%) a coronary revascularization, and 497 (4\%) an ICD. Cause of HF was ischemic in 3467 (50\%) patients, dilative in 2025 (29\%), hypertensive in 1036 (15\%), and other or unknown in 447 (6\%). At study admission, recommended medical therapy for HF was widely used: 6520 (93\%) patients were being treated with blockers of the renin-angiotensin system, 4522 (65\%) with \(\beta\)-blockers, 2740 (39\%) with spironolactone, 6260 (90\%) with diuretics, and 4074 (58\%) with antiplatelet agents.

Statistically significant risk reductions in the two coprimary endpoints were observed in patients treated with omega-3 EE, ie, 9\% and 8\% for mortality and mortality + admission to hospital for a CV reason, respectively (Table 2). Main study results were consistent with those of the secondary analyses which were predefined in the study protocol, namely baseline characteristics, secondary outcomes, and per protocol analysis in the 4994 who were compliant with experimental treatments (Table 2). Worsening of HF and presumed arrhythmic death accounted for 62\% of all deaths and were lower in the omega-3 EE group than in the placebo group. Almost half of the absolute reduction of risk that was attributable to treatment was due to the reduction of ventricular arrhythmias (mortality, 0.9\% of 1.8\%; first CV hospitalization, 1.0\% of 1.7\%). Because of the high mortality and hospitalization rates in HF patients, the apparently modest relative risk reduction due to omega-3 EE can be translated into an absolute benefit of 18 avoided deaths and 17 prevented CV hospitalizations for every 1000 patients treated for 3.9 years with omega-3 EE. In addition, the benefit in both coprimary endpoints (mortality and hospitalization) suggests that omega-3 EE might also positively affect the pathophysiologic mechanisms leading to the progression of HF.
In general, omega-3 EE is safe and well tolerated. In hypertriglyceridemic patients receiving omega-3 EE, either alone or in combination with simvastatin, levels of hematocrit, hemoglobin, fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, creatinine, alanine transferase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), creatine phosphokinase, fibrinogen, fructosamine, homocysteine, and uric acid were not altered to a clinically significant extent.45,46,132 However, in recipients of omega-3 EE + simvastatin 40 mg/day, a mild clinically insignificant elevation in ALT, AST, and fasting glucose levels occurred more frequently than in placebo + simvastatin 40 mg/day recipients.132

Safety and tolerability
In general, omega-3 EE is safe and well tolerated. In hypertriglyceridemic patients receiving omega-3 EE, either alone or in combination with simvastatin, levels of hematocrit, hemoglobin, fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, creatinine, alanine transferase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), creatine phosphokinase, fibrinogen, fructosamine, homocysteine, and uric acid were not altered to a clinically significant extent.45,46,132

However, in recipients of omega-3 EE + simvastatin 40 mg/day, a mild clinically insignificant elevation in ALT, AST, and fasting glucose levels occurred more frequently than in placebo + simvastatin 40 mg/day recipients.132

With regard to safety and tolerability in post-MI patients, data from GISSI-Prevenzione23,133 are reported here (the results from OMEGA trial are not yet fully available). In 4.9% and 1.4% of patients receiving omega-3 EE (1000 mg/day)-based therapy and in 2.9% and 0.4% of patients receiving tocopherol (300 mg/day)-based therapy, treatment-emergent gastrointestinal disturbances and nausea were observed. Cancer developed in 2.7% of patients receiving omega-3 EE alone, 2.3% of those receiving omega-3 EE + tocopherol, 2.6% of those receiving both, and 2.2% of control group; 1.5%, 0.9%, 1.2%, and 1.2% of patients, respectively, developed nonfatal cancer. Therapy was discontinued by 11.6% of patients receiving omega-3 EE versus 7.3% of patients receiving tocopherol at 12 months while, at 42 months 28.5% versus 26.2% of patients discontinued therapy, respectively. Adverse events leading to permanent discontinuation of therapy occurred in 3.8% of patients receiving omega-3 EE and 2.1% of patients receiving tocopherol.

Amongst the 6975 HF patients in the GISSI-HF trial, 28.7% of those allocated to omega-3 EE and 29.6% of those receiving placebo were no longer taking the study drug for various reasons. An adverse drug reaction was the cause of permanent discontinuation in 2.9% of omega-3 EE recipients and 3.0% of placebo recipients, with gastrointestinal disor-

| Table 2 GISSI-HF primary outcomes, secondary outcomes, and causes of death |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | n-3 PUFA (n = 3494) | Placebo (n = 3481) | Adjusted HR (95% CI)* | P value |
| Primary outcomes            |              |                      |                            |        |
| Deaths from any cause       | 955 (27.3%)  | 1014 (29.1%)           | 0.91 (0.833–0.998)         | 0.041  |
| Deaths from any cause or admitted in hospital for CV reasons | 1981 (56.7%) | 2053 (58.9%) | 0.92 (0.849–0.999) | 0.009 |
| Secondary outcomes          |              |                      |                            |        |
| Deaths from a CV cause      | 712 (20.4%)  | 765 (22.0%)            | 0.90 (0.81–0.99)           | 0.045  |
| Patients who had a SCD      | 307 (8.8%)   | 325 (9.3%)             | 0.93 (0.79–1.08)           | 0.333  |
| Patient admissions:         |              |                      |                            |        |
| For a CV reason             | 1635 (46.8%) | 1687 (48.5%)           | 0.93 (0.87–0.99)           | 0.026  |
| For HF                      | 978 (28.0%)  | 995 (28.6%)            | 0.94 (0.86–1.02)           | 0.147  |
| Deaths from a CV cause or admitted for any reason | 2157 (61.7%) | 2202 (63.3%) | 0.94 (0.89–0.99) | 0.043 |
| Patients with fatal and nonfatal MI | 107 (3.1%)   | 129 (3.7%)             | 0.82 (0.63–1.06)           | 0.121  |
| Patients with fatal and nonfatal stroke | 122 (3.5%)   | 103 (3.0%)             | 1.16 (0.89–1.51)           | 0.271  |
| Causes of death             |              |                      |                            |        |
| Acute MI                    | 20 (0.6%)    | 25 (0.7%)             | 0.77 (0.43–1.39)           | 0.382  |
| Worsening HF                | 319 (9.1%)   | 332 (9.5%)            | 0.92 (0.79–1.07)           | 0.275  |
| Presumed arrhythmic         | 274 (7.8%)   | 304 (8.7%)            | 0.88 (0.75–1.04)           | 0.141  |

Notes: *95% CI was calculated with a Cox proportional hazards model with adjustment for admission to hospital for heart failure in the preceding year, previous pacemaker, and aortic stenosis. Data are number (%) unless otherwise stated.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; HF, heart failure; HR, hazards ratio; MI, myocardial infarction; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SCD, sudden cardiac death.
ders being the most frequent cause in both groups (2.7% and 2.6%, respectively).26

Available evidence indicates that oral administration of 1000 mg omega-3 EE is generally well tolerated. Indeed, treatment-emergent adverse events associated with omega-3 EE both in patients with hypertriglyceridemia and in more fragile populations, including patients with a previous MI or with HF, were generally gastrointestinal in nature and minor in intensity.

Conclusion

CVD results from the interaction of multiple risk factors. Therefore, the major cardiology societies provide a number of recommendations aimed at controlling these risk factors in their programs for CVD prevention.36,134 The first step in all programs for CVD prevention is lifestyle modification aimed at avoiding physical inactivity, an unhealthy diet, smoking, and overweight/obesity. The presence of a high level of risk or the failure of lifestyle modification warrants utilization of drug therapy.

The National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III has identified elevated LDL-C levels as a major risk factor for CHD, and these are the primary target for lipid-lowering therapy. Elevated TG levels have also been identified recently as an independent risk factor for CHD.135 Oral omega-3 EE, alone or in combination with simvastatin or atorvastatin, was generally effective as an adjunct to diet in the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia in adult patients. Available evidence indicates that omega-3 EE given at 3–4 grams per day significantly reduced TG levels, and significantly increased HDL-C levels compared with placebo.

The results of GISSI-Prevenzione demonstrated that oral administration of omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day was generally effective as an adjuvant therapy to standard treatment (eg, ACE inhibitors, antiplatelet agents, β-blockers, statins) for secondary prevention in post-MI adult patients. Indeed, omega-3 EE-based therapy significantly reduced the risk of the primary composite endpoint of death + nonfatal MI + nonfatal stroke (~16%) as well as various secondary endpoints (including death, CV death, and sudden death). The reduction in the risk of the coprimary composite efficacy endpoints, can be translated into an absolute benefit of four lives saved every 1000 post-MI patients treated with omega-3 EE for one year (Figure 2). The observed reduction of fatal events, especially of sudden death (~2 events) contributed to the significant reductions in the risk of the coprimary composite efficacy endpoints.

The results of GISSI-HF showed that the administration of omega-3 EE (850–882 mg daily) in patients with chronic HF who were already receiving recommended medical therapy was effective in reducing the coprimary efficacy endpoints of all-cause mortality (~19%) and all-cause mortality or hospitalizations for CV reasons (~18%). These risk reduction can be translated into an absolute benefit of five lives saved every 1000 HF patients treated with omega-3 EE for one year (Figure 3). The treatment also avoided four hospital admissions for CV reasons. As in GISSI-Prevenzione,23 the main benefit appeared to be a reduction of arrhythmic events (~2 sudden deaths and ~3 hospital admissions for ventricular arrhythmias, Figure 3).

The precise mechanisms of action of omega-3 EE are not yet fully understood. Findings from the GISSI studies
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**Figure 2** GISSI-Prevenzione study: Number of lives saved in every 1000 post-MI patients treated with omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day for one year. **Abbreviations:** CV, cardiovascular; EE, ethyl esters; MI, myocardial infarction.
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**Figure 3** GISSI-HF study: Number of events avoided every 1000 HF patients treated with omega-3 EE 1000 mg/day for one year. **Abbreviations:** CV, cardiovascular; EE, ethyl esters; MI, myocardial infarction.
suggest that the major component of the observed benefit is an antiarrhythmic effect. This hypothesis appears to be supported by previous evidence from various sources, including epidemiologic studies examining fish consumption and preclinical studies. Other factors that have been hypothesized to contribute to the cardioprotective effects of omega-3 fatty acids include effects on hemodynamics, lipid levels, endothelial function, thrombosis and hemostasis, atherogenesis, and inflammation.

According to the available evidence, the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology, the European Society for Cardiology, and a number of national cardiac societies recommend the intake of 1 g/day of the two marine omega-3 fatty acids, EPA and DHA, for secondary prevention, CV prevention, treatment post-MI, and prevention of sudden cardiac death.\textsuperscript{15,134,136–138}

To date, in the various European countries, oral omega-3 EE (1000 mg/day) is indicated as a component of standard treatment for secondary prevention in post-MI patients, which includes ACE inhibitors, antiplatelet agents, β-blockers, and statins.\textsuperscript{20,42} It is also indicated for use alone (2000–4000 mg/day) or in combination with statins, as an adjunct to diet in the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia in adult patients who have not responded to dietary measures.\textsuperscript{20,42} In the US, omega-3 EE is indicated as an adjunct to diet in the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia in adult patients.\textsuperscript{20,42}

The recent results of GISSI-HF demonstrating a clinical benefit in patients with HF will probably be followed by the incorporation of omega-3 EE in the next guidelines for these patients. Indeed, despite available treatments which significantly decreased the per-year mortality in the “artificial scenario” of clinical trials, the risk of death in patients with HF remains too high in clinical practice.

Undoubtedly further studies are needed to determine the optimal dose as well as the effects of the various doses in different populations, and to clarify whether the more important mechanism for clinical benefit is the antiarrhythmic effect of omega-3 EE.
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