Is Pterygium Morphology Related to Loss of Corneal Endothelial Cells? A Cross-Sectional Study
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Purpose: To determine the difference in mean corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) between the healthy and diseased eyes of the patients with unilateral pterygium with different morphology patterns by using a non-contact specular microscope (SP2000: Topcon Corporation, Japan) and to find out any relationship between severity of pterygium and daily sunlight exposure with the CECD loss.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional prospective study was carried out at Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital (ASTEH), Rawalpindi, Pakistan from 21st January 2019 to 22nd January 2020. Two hundred eyes (n= 100 patients) of age range 18-68 years with unilateral pterygium were selected. Necessary demographic data and essential variables like age, smoking status, occupation, and daily direct sunlight exposure were determined. The severity of pterygium (grading) based on its morphology was determined by slit-lamp examination. CECD of each patient was carried out using a non-contact Specular Microscope. The healthy eye (without pterygium) of a patient was considered as control.

Results: The age range in this study was 18-68 years, with a mean age of 43.80 ± 24.37 years with a male to female ratio of 1.6:1 (62.00% males vs 38% females). Out of six occupations, the most common occupation was labour/construction work (n=31) followed by farming (n=27). The study reported a mean corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) of 2411.61±143.64 vs 2751.41 ± 123.674 cells/mm² in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (p-value = 0.0001). CECD was lower in grade 3 pterygium compared to less severe pterygium (lower than grade 3 (Fleshy) =2261 cells/mm² vs grade 2 (Intermediate)= 2413 cells/mm² vs grade 1 (Atrophic)=2459 cells/mm²) although this difference between the groups was not found to be significant (p=0.065). No significant association between sunlight exposure and CECD loss was observed (p=0.065).

Conclusion: This study concluded that the mean corneal endothelial cell density in patients with unilateral pterygium using a non-contact specular microscope were 2411.61±143.64 cells/mm².
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Introduction

Pterygium, derived from the word “pteron”, is an invasive wing-shaped growth of bulbar conjunctiva which encroaches upon the cornea. It is a recurring ocular surface lesion that mostly occurs in warmer countries situated along the equatorial line, Asia, and the Mediterranean region.1

It occurs due to the modification of limbal stem cells that, by undergoing hyperplasia, grow towards the cornea. Citations in the previous papers identify the most common risk factors to be genetic, which when coupled with ultraviolet rays and various pro-inflammatory cytokines, lead to the conjunctival matrix...
remodelling, the transition of the conjunctival epithelium to mesenchymal cells, BL dissolution, and conversion of inactive fibroblasts into activated ones thereby causing local inflammation and neovascularization.2

These phenomena occur due to mediation by growth factors, specific cytokines, and matrix metalloproteinases.3 The mechanism by which ultraviolet rays cause pterygium is unclear. Still, certain studies have shown the mutation in the TP53 tumour suppressor gene in the Stratum Basale of limbal stem cells and up-regulation of cytokines and other growth factors, including interleukins (especially IL1, IL6, and IL8) and Tumour Necrosis Factor (TNF-α).4 Others argue that heparin-binding epidermal growth factor-like factor (HB-EGF) acts as a potent mitogen and is also present in increased amounts due to activation by ultraviolet B waves.31,32 This facilitation of invasion is carried out by specific proteases produced by the head of the pterygium. Some studies have shown that these biochemical and pathological changes introduced by pterygium formation also act as a precursor of changes in the deeper layers of cornea.5

It causes chronic dry eye, poor cosmesis, and altered visual acuity as the pterygium encroaches the visual axis and exerts an astigmatism effect. Indications for treatment include reducing visual acuity, cosmetic complaints, ocular motility limitations, recurrent and chronic inflammatory changes, and sometimes poor fitting of contact lenses. Although pterygium diagnosis has been straightforward, it usually remains an unresolved disease with unsatisfactory surgical results and is often associated with multiple recurrences throughout life.

Pterygium treatment has evolved immensely in the last 10 years, including excision with irradiation or using Mitomycin C or 5-Fluorouracil. The latest techniques involve placing a conjunctival autograft or amniotic membrane transplant at the excised area. The ocular surface dryness is usually treated with topical lubricants, mild topical steroids, and sometimes potent steroids if secondary inflammation causes severe pain and low quality of life. Due to frequent recurrences, the patient tends to be followed up for more extended periods and advised to make lifestyle modifications like ultraviolet protective sunglasses.8

Average corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) is around 2500–3000 cells/mm², with an average annual decrement of about 1%, at birth being 5000 cells/square millimeter.8 An internal audit at ASTEH conducted on patients with phacoemulsification with foldable intraocular lenses for mature cataract LOCS-3 Score (Lens Opacification scoring system) with ipsilateral pterygium depicted that significant corneal oedema was present at three months postoperatively compared to those patients without pterygium (P<0.01) which led to the question if pterygium was associated with increased endothelial cell loss.

It has been shown previously that pterygium itself and the increase in the percentage of the involved cornea by a pterygium are associated with significant corneal endothelial cell loss compared to healthy eyes.6,7 However, few recent studies conducted in China and the United States analyzed the Corneal endothelial cell density in patients with unilateral pterygium showed no significant difference between the pterygium and healthy eye.34,36 Previous studies have documented the relationship between pterygium encroachment onto the cornea and CECD loss, but very few studies have been done to establish a relationship between the pterygium morphology and CECD loss. Further, there has been little work done in Pakistan that directly addresses pterygium’s effects on the corneal endothelium. Due to South Asia’s large area and poor socio-economic status,33 patients do not sort any treatment for pterygium unless it is causing a severe decrease in vision due to Astigmatism or Ocular surface disease. If such patients require cataract surgery, loss of endothelial cells can be two folds. This study will add to existing knowledge regarding the deleterious effects of chronic pterygium on endothelial cell density and create awareness in clinicians to employ treatment strategies to remove pterygium earlier to avoid complications secondary to chronic endothelial cell loss.

Patients and Methods
A descriptive cross-sectional study at Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital (ASTEH), Rawalpindi, Pakistan, from 21st January 2019 to 22nd January 2020 was conducted after getting approval from Ethics Review Committee (ERC) of Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital for the study and data collection. The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki. After getting informed consent, the research team recorded the necessary demographic data for all the patients.

Patient Selection
Two hundred eyes (n=100 patients) presenting to the Out-patient Department (OPD) at ASTEH fulfilling the inclusion criteria were selected for this study. The healthy eye
(ie, without pterygium) of the same patient was taken as control. All adults between 18 and 68 years of age presenting with unilateral pterygium were included in the study. The exclusion criteria included eyes with pseudo- pterygium, recurrent pterygium, corneal degenerative and hereditary conditions, previous ocular surgery, history of trauma and chemical injuries, uveitis, previous or concurrent contact lens use, keratitis, glaucoma, intraocular pressure of ≥21mm Hg, and diabetes mellitus. A detailed history from each patient was documented on the pro-forma, including age, smoking status, occupation, and daily sunlight exposure. The patients were asked to estimate daily exposure to direct sunlight without any head, face and eye covering, including protective glasses. This sunlight exposure was divided into two groups (group one < 3 hours, group two >3 hours).

**Ophthalmic Examination**

All the patients with exclusion criteria were excluded by performing a complete necessary ophthalmological examination including; Visual Acuity, intra-ocular pressure check with Goldman Applanation Tonometer (GAT), and slit-lamp examination with the dilated ophthalmic examination were conducted for each patient.

**Grading of Pterygium**

The pterygium severity was graded according to the degree of visibility or obscuration of the underlying episcleral vessels on the slit lamp examination proposed by Tan et al. Grade 1 (Atrophic): pterygium in which episcleral vessels underlying the body of the pterygium are unobscured and distinguishable, Grade 2 (Intermediate) as a pterygium in which episcleral vessel details are indistinct or partially obscured and, Grade 3 (Fleshy) as a thick pterygium in which episcleral vessels underlying the body are obscured. The observer bias was eliminated by examining every patient independently by the two researchers (SBHZ and WAK) at two independent visits. If a consensus was not reached a third independent examiner was asked to grade the pterygium to reach a consensus.

**CECD Measurement**

Corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) of each patient was carried out for every eye using Non-contact specular microscopy (SP2000: Topcon Corporation, Japan). A “centre-to-centre” method was used to localize the area for measurement of cell count of the corneal endothelium. If pterygium involved the cornea’s central 4 mm zone where specular microscopy could not be done, a paracentral uninvolved area adjacent to pterygium was considered for measuring the cell density. Specular microscopy was done by a senior technician of the department of Ophthalmic Investigations of the ASTEH.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was completed using International Business Machines Statistical Package for The Social Sciences (IBM SPSS software version 20). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was employed to measure the normality of the data set (Figure 1). Quantitative variables like age, daily sun exposure, pterygium grades, and endothelial cell density (CECD) were presented as Mean ± SD if data followed the normal distribution curve and the statistical analysis was done using paired sample t-test. Qualitative variables like gender and smoking were measured in frequencies and percentages, and statistical analysis was done using Chi-square test. Effect modifiers like age, gender, and smoking were controlled by stratification. A P-value of ≤0.05 was taken as significant.

**Results**

This study’s age range was 18–68 years, with a mean age of 43.80 ± 10.37 years. The majority of the patients, ie, 54 (54.0%), were >40 years of age. Out of these 100 patients, 62 (62.0%) were male, and 38 (38.0%) were females with a male to female ratio of 1.6:1 (Table 1).
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**Figure 1** Box and Whisker plot for the corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) among diseased eyes. Note the median CECD in the centre of the box signifying the normal distribution of the sample data in the study population.
Table 1 Basic Demographic Details of 100 Patients with Unilateral Pterygium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 40 years</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily exposure to Sun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3 hours</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 3 hours</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction worker/labourer</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopkeeper</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office worker</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterygium Severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE 1 (Atrophic)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE 2 (Intermediate)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE 3 (Fleshy)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study population comprises six occupations with the construction workers/labourers being the most common (n=31) followed by farmers (n=27). The other four occupations were drivers, shopkeepers, office workers and homemakers (Table 1). The type of occupation was not significantly associated with CECD loss and pterygium grading (p = 0.788). The daily sunlight exposure was subdivided into two groups (group 1: less than 3 hours, group 2: more than 3 hours of direct sunlight exposure). There was no significant association between daily sunlight exposure and CECD loss in pterygium group. (p = 0.065) (Table 2).

Mean corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) in patients with unilateral pterygium by using a non-contact specular microscope was 2411.61±143.64 cells/mm² compared to healthy eyes (2751.41 ± 123.674 cells/mm²) (P-value<0.01) (Table 3).

The most common type of pterygium based on morphology was grade 1 (atrophic type) (n=100) followed by grade 2 and grade 3 (Table 1). The CECD loss was more in fleshy (grade 3) pterygium (2261 cells/mm²) compared to grade two and grade one pterygium (2413 cells/mm² and 2459 cells/mm² respectively) (Figure 2).

Discussion

Pterygium is a disease of the eye’s surface, which causes abnormal and exuberant proliferation of conjunctival stroma of the bulbar area and involves the cornea’s anterior layers. Previously published data on pterygium’s pathophysiology establishes an electromagnetic spectrum with ultraviolet rays (UV-A 320–400 nanometer wavelength and UV-B 280–320 nanometers wavelength) to be one of the primes factors to initiate the formation of pterygium. Population in the equatorial region with prolonged exposure to direct sunlight is most affected.

It may progress to advanced eye changes like persistent lacrimation, decreased vision secondarily to astigmatic effects, and extreme cases with markedly scarred anterior corneal layers with Bowman’s layer disruption. Various studies on animal and human subjects have delineated changes at the molecular level such as interleukins,
Table 3 Paired Samples Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (Cells/mm²)</th>
<th>Number of Eyes (N)</th>
<th>Std. Deviation (SD)</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean (SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair 1</td>
<td>CECD pterygium</td>
<td>2411.6100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>143.64574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CECD in control (Healthy) eyes</td>
<td>2751.41</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>123.674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Difference MD (Cells/mm²)</th>
<th>Std. Deviation (SD)</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean (SEM)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-Tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CECD pterygium − control CECD</td>
<td>−339.80000</td>
<td>210.79987</td>
<td>21.07999</td>
<td>−381.62727 −297.97237</td>
<td>−16.120</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Paired sample t-test was applied to compare the corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) between pterygium (n=100) and healthy eyes (n=100). A significant difference (MD= −339.80, t(df)= −16.120 (99), p-value= <0.05) of CECD was found between pterygium and healthy eyes. There was less CECD found among pterygium eyes (Mean=2411.61±143.64) as compared to healthy eyes (Mean=2751.41±123.67).

We have conducted this study to determine mean corneal endothelial cell density, to affect corneal endothelium and causing a decrease in endothelial cells. The study further elucidated the role of non-contact specular microscope in evaluating the differences between healthy and diseased eyes. A study by Sussa et al. conducted on sixty-one patients revealed a mean endothelial cell density of 2451.8±249.6 cells/mm² in diseased and normal eyes, respectively. This study reported a mean endothelial cell density of 2549.9±259.9 cells/mm² in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (P-value = 0.000). Another study conducted by Hsu et al. depicted a statistically significant difference in mean endothelial cell count between the affected and normal eyes. This study reported a mean endothelial cell density of 2411.6±143.64 in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (P-value = 0.000). A study by Sussa et al. conducted on sixty-one patients revealed a mean endothelial cell density of 2451.8±249.6 cells/mm² in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (P-value = 0.000). Another study conducted by Hsu et al. depicted a statistically significant difference in mean endothelial cell count between the affected and normal eyes. This study reported a mean endothelial cell density of 2411.6±143.64 in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (P-value = 0.000). A study by Sussa et al. conducted on sixty-one patients revealed a mean endothelial cell density of 2451.8±249.6 cells/mm² in diseased and normal eyes, respectively (P-value = 0.000).
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involving the Chinese population of 700 showed an even higher cell count of 2932 ± 363 cell/mm².27 Another study on healthy eyes in the Turkish study population showed a mean CECD of 2671 ± 356 cell/mm².28 Our study found out comparable results of 2751.41 cells/square millimetre with an SD of 123.674 cells (P<0.0001) in healthy eyes.

One of the potential confounding factors associated with decreased endothelial cell loss is ultraviolet exposure. A study done by Oblaq and Doughty, which compared differences in endothelial counts between arc welders and office workers showed no difference between both groups (P=0.868).29 The most probable reason for this non-significant difference could be protective eye shields that the welders use. Corneal collagen cross-linking (especially the accelerated protocol) uses ultraviolet rays with Riboflavin primed corneal tissue to slow keratoconus progression. Previous researches have elucidated that endothelial cell count was reduced in patients with keratoconus who had accelerated CXL utilizing 5.4 joules/centimetre square constant energy dose (P<0.001).30 We asked patients to determine their average daily sunlight exposure to find a relationship between increased sunlight and ultraviolet rays exposure. We divided the variable into two categories. Our study reported no significant relationship between CECD and daily sunlight exposure (p≥0.65) (Table 2).

Previous studies have repeatedly shown that an increased percentage of the corneal involvement by the pterygium head causes significant endothelial loss. These studies did not account for the pterygium morphology and their association with corneal endothelial cell loss. To classify pterygium based on morphology, we used a system proposed by Tan et al.35 Our results showed that the CECD loss was greatest in patients with the grade 3 (fleshy) pterygium (2751 cells/mm²) compared to the other two grades (intermediate = 2413 cells/mm² and atrophic= 2459 cells/mm²) (Figure 2). Although this difference in CECD among the three grades of pterygium was not found to be significant (p=0.065), it suggests that if the pterygium is fleshy morphologically, this is more likely associated with more progressive loss of corneal endothelial cells even if the percentage of the cornea involved is less compared to a pterygium with intermediate morphology (grade 2). This study is one of the few studies which depict the effect of morphology of pterygium on CECD. This important finding has a long-lasting effect on the management of pterygium in the future.

**Figure 2** Relationship between mean corneal endothelial cell density (CECD) and severity of pterygium (graded according to morphology). Note that the mean CECD decreases with the progression in the grade of pterygium.
regarding the management of pterygium with respect to cataract surgery and corneal endothelial cell loss. Further, large cohort-based studies are required to evaluate further the relationship between pterygium morphology and the endothelial cell loss.

There are a few limitations to our study:

1. The sample size is limited to 200 eyes. Although this sample size achieved a power of 90%, we still think a greater sample size will be beneficial in elucidating the effects of pterygium on the corneal endothelium.
2. The duration of sunlight exposure could not objectively be assessed and potentially be limited by recall bias.
3. This study was a single-centre study of cross-sectional nature. It will be better if the data is accumulated from people of different racial backgrounds belonging to different ethnicities to enhance the study’s validity.

Conclusion
This study concluded that the mean corneal endothelial cells in patients with unilateral pterygium using a non-contact specular microscope were \(241.61 \pm 143.64\) cells/mm\(^2\). So, we recommend that corneal endothelial cell density be used in every patient with pterygium by using a non-contact specular microscope to take appropriate measures to improve patients’ outcomes, especially in patients requiring cataract surgery.
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