Impact of Prior Digestive System Disease on In-Hospital Gastrointestinal Bleeding in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction

Objective: Patients presenting with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) with prior digestive system disease are more likely to suffer from gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding than those without these diseases. However, few articles reported how the different conditions of the digestive tract produced different risks of GI bleeding.

Methods: A single-center study on 7464 patients admitted for AMI from December 2010 to June 2019 in the Beijing Chaoyang Heart Center was retrospectively examined. Patients with major GI bleeding (n = 165) were compared with patients without (n = 7299). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were constructed to test the association between GI bleeding and prior diseases of the digestive tract, including gastroesophageal reflux disease, chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer, hepatic function damage, diseases of the colon and rectum, and gastroenterological tract tumors.

Results: Of the 7464 patients (mean age, 63.4; women, 25.6%; STEMI, 58.6%), 165 (2.2%) experienced major GI bleeding, and 1816 (24.3%) had a history of digestive system disease. The risk of GI bleeding was significantly associated with peptic ulcer (OR = 4.19, 95% CI: 1.86–9.45) and gastroenterological tumor (OR = 2.74, 95% CI: 1.07–7.04), indicated by multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Conclusion: Preexisting peptic ulcers and gastroenterological tract tumors rather than other digestive system diseases were indicators of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with AMI who undergo standard antithrombotic treatment during hospitalization.
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Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction is acute and persistent myocardial necrosis caused by ischemia and hypoxia of the coronary artery. The treatment of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) has concentrated on the recanalization of the culprit coronary artery and the prevention or minimization of ischemic complications with antithrombotic treatments and catheter-based interventions.\(^1\)-\(^3\) Many reviews recognize that antithrombotic treatment or primary PCI for patients with AMI has been supplemented with an increased risk of bleeding,\(^4\)-\(^5\) and this adverse occurrence is correlated with a significant impact on clinical outcomes.\(^6\)-\(^7\) Previous studies reported that the gastrointestinal (GI) tract was the most common organ source of hemorrhage compared with any other part of the body, with an incidence of 31.5–70%.\(^8\)-\(^13\) Previous studies also recognize that the risk of GI bleeding...
converged on specific diathesis as the history of GI bleeding, anticoagulant therapy, chronic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs/corticosteroid (NSAIDs) user, and ≥2 or more of the following: Age ≥65, dyspepsia, gastrosophageal reflux disease (GERD), H. pylori infection, and chronic alcohol use.14,15

In 1992, Beijing Chaoyang Hospital developed the first PCI center in China. It has collected one of the largest AMI data sets to reflect regional patient characteristics. The Statistical Department of the Beijing Chaoyang Hospital also provided the consecutive diagnostic information of patients with AMI. In this retrospective study, we proposed that different digestive system diseases have a diverse impact on in-hospital GI bleeding in patients with AMI undergoing standard antithrombotic treatment.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study Population**

A single-center cohort of 7464 cases admitted for AMI from December 2010 to June 2019 in the Beijing Chaoyang Heart Center was retrospectively examined. The clinical diagnosis of coronary and digestive system disease included ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI, I21, and I23), non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI, I21, and I23), hemorrhage of the digestive tract (K27.404, K92.204, K92.207, and K92.208), and reflux esophagitis (RK21), reflux gastritis (RG, K29.701), chronic gastritis (CG, K29.502), peptic ulcer (PU, DA61), colitis and polyp of the colon (K51, K63), hepatic function damage (R94, K72, K71, K76), and gastroenterological tract tumor (GT, Z85, D37). In this study, the Statistical Department of the Beijing Chaoyang Hospital provided all the information on patients with AMI, according to the medical records during an 8.5-year screening span, except for names and addresses, which were confidential. The Department of Pharmacy of the Beijing Chaoyang Hospital provided all the medication information. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) was used at admission for all subjects unless contraindications existed.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as was revised in 2013). The study was approved by Ethics Committee of Beijing Chaoyang Hospital, Capital Medical University (NO.2019-5-1). Participant informed consent was not obtained because the study involved using patient data that were anonymized before the research team received it.

We classified the irregular digestive system disease of the cases into six types: 1. GERD; 2. Chronic gastritis (CG); 3. Peptic ulcer (PU); 4. New diagnosis of hepatic function damage (HFD); 5. Disease of the colon and rectum (DCR); and 6. Gastroenterological tract tumor (GET). HFD was named as both alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) exceeding the upper normal value by 3, and an ALT/AST of >1.1. Patients with major GI bleeding (n = 165) were compared with those without (n = 7299), and the association between major GI bleeding and the six types of digestive system disease was examined. In our study, patients diagnosed with CG, GERD, GI tract tumor, or disease of the DCR were confirmed by the prior endoscopic examinations and not current admission.

**The Main Observation Indicators and Definitions**

We defined major bleeding using an adjustment of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis definition.9 This included fatal bleeding, hemodynamic instability and the need for a transfusion, symptomatic bleeding into adjusting the regime of antiplatelet therapy, bleeding in a critical organ, bleeding in a surgical site requiring reoperation, and bleeding leading to unplanned and prolonged hospitalization. Major GI bleeding was defined as major bleeding in the gastroenterological tracts such as the esophagus, stomach, duodenum, small intestine, colon, and rectum. Any bleeding that did not meet the definition for major bleeding was defined as minor and not considered an endpoint occurrence.

**Statistical Analyses**

The analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 26 (IBM SPSS Statistics 26.0). The continuous variables of normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, the continuous variables of non-normal distribution were expressed as median (interquartile range [IQR]), and the categorical variables were expressed as frequency (percentage [%]). Univariate logistic regression analysis (p < 0.05) and then multivariate stepwise logistic regression analysis were performed. We assessed the association between major GI bleeding (GIB) and six types of digestive system diseases using logistic regression models. The GI bleeding occurrence was modeled as a dependent variable and digestive system disease as covariates. A two-sided value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results
The Baseline Characteristics
A total of 7464 patients were enrolled, including patients with STEMI (n = 4205) and NSTEMI (n = 3259). Of the 7464 patients, 232 (3.1%) experienced serious bleeding and underwent a modified anticoagulation regime. Among the 232 cases of bleeding in patients, 165 (2.2%) occurred in the gastroenterological tracts and 67 (0.9%) in sites other than the peptic system, including intracranial bleeding (n = 32), subarachnoid hemorrhage (n = 3), fundus hemorrhage (n = 7), nasal hemorrhage (n = 3), urinary tract bleeding (n = 17), retroperitoneal hemorrhage (n = 3), and postoperative hemorrhage (n = 2). Among the 165 patients with GI bleeding, 37 (22.4%) were diagnosed with stress ulcers.

When we compared the baseline characteristics of the 165 patients who experienced in-hospital major GI bleeding and the 7299 patients who did not, we found that both groups had a similar age distribution and gender ratios, with no significant differences. The former was more likely to have a history of diabetes mellitus and renal dysfunction. These patients also had a higher incidence of pulmonary edema (Killip 3) and cardiogenic shock (Killip 4). Patients with major GI bleeding were more likely to have a history of digestive tract diseases. The details are presented in Table 1.

A History of Digestive System Disease
Of the 7464 patients, 1816 (24.3%) had a history of one or more of the six types of digestive system disease. Of these 1816 patients, 268 had a history of peptic reflux diseases, including reflux esophagitis (RK21, n = 265) and reflux gastritis (K29.701, n = 3); 554 had a history of CG, including chronic gastritis (K29.500 and K29.502, n = 504), chronic superficial gastritis (K29.300 and K29.303, n = 33), and chronic atrophic gastritis (K29.401 and K29.451, n = 17); 294 had a history of peptic ulcers, including gastric ulcers (K25, n = 125), duodenal ulcers (K26, n = 107), peptic ulcers (K27, n = 48), and complex ulcers (K27.900, K27.901, K27.902, n = 14); 662 had a history of a new diagnosis of hepatic function (HFD), including hepatic function damage (R94.500, R94.501, n = 592), hypohepatica (K72.905, K76.806, n = 66), and hepatic failure (K72.001, K72.901, n = 4); 88 had a history of colon and rectum diseases, including ulcerative colitis (K51, n = 8), polyps of the colon (K63, n = 9), chondrusps (K51.904, K51.905, n = 27), and hemorrhoids (184.101, 184.102, 184.152, 184.202, 184.154, n = 44); 66 had a history of gastroenterological tumors, including esophagus cancer (Z85.051, n = 5), gastric cancer (D37.101, D37.203, C16.906, n = 18), gastric cardia cancer (D37.103, n = 2), duodenum tumors (D37.203, n = 2), colon tumors (D37.401, D37.403, D37.405, D37.407, D45.411, D45.414, n = 25), and rectum tumors (D37.501, 48.351, n = 14). Of the 1816 patients, 1601 (88.2%) had one type of peptic disease, 205 (11.3%) had two types, nine (0.5%) had three types, and one (0.06%) had four types. None of the patients exceeded five types.

The Association Between In-Hospital Major GI Bleeding and the Diagnosis of Digestive System Disease
Logistic regression was performed with whether GI bleeding was the dependent variable and six types of gastroenterological diseases as covariates. There were significant correlations between in-hospital major GI bleeding with peptic ulcers (OR = 4.19, 95% CI: 1.86–9.45) and gastroenterological tumors (OR = 2.74, 95% CI: 1.07–7.04). There were no significant correlations between in-hospital major GI bleeding with diseases of the colon and rectum (OR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.24–1.97); neither with CG (OR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.31–1.14) nor HFD (OR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.35–1.24) nor GERD (OR = 0.34, 95% CI: 0.11–1.09) (Table 2).

Discussion
In our study, 1816/7464 (24.3%) of the patients admitted with AMI had accompanying digestive system diseases. Among these patients, 1601 (88.2%) had one type of digestive system disease and 215 (11.8%) had two or more types, as defined. The results of the diseases were as follows: HFD (36.5%, n = 662), CG (90.3%, n = 551), peptic ulcer (17.2%, n = 294), GERD (14.7%, n = 268), DCR (4.8%, n = 88), and gastroenterological tumor (3.6%, n = 66).

All 232 (3.1%) of the patients who experienced major bleeding had to stop one of the antiplatelet medications, including aspirin and clopidogrel, during hospitalization. The GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor and LMWH were discontinued before aspirin or clopidogrel. Of the 232 patients, 165 (71.1%) had major bleeding events in the GI tract, and 132 of the 165 (80%) had bleeding in the upper gastrointestinal tracts. The incidence of major GI bleeding in patients with a history of any peptic disease is significantly
### Table 1 Baseline Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>No Major GI Bleeding n=7299</th>
<th>Major GI Bleeding Present n=165</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=7317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>63.4±12.8</td>
<td>64.8±12.8</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45, n%</td>
<td>551 (7.6)</td>
<td>9 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45−, n%</td>
<td>3357 (45.9)</td>
<td>73 (44.2)</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65−, n%</td>
<td>2546 (34.9)</td>
<td>56 (33.9)</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80, n%</td>
<td>845 (11.6)</td>
<td>27 (16.4)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1866 (25.6)</td>
<td>49 (29.7)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General medical history (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>4205 (57.6)</td>
<td>92 (55.8)</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>4543 (62.3)</td>
<td>109 (63.9)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>5109 (70.0)</td>
<td>105 (63.6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2434 (33.3)</td>
<td>75 (45.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal dysfunction</td>
<td>604 (8.3)</td>
<td>40 (30.8)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>800 (10.9)</td>
<td>27 (16.4)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker</td>
<td>3963 (54.3)</td>
<td>83 (50.3)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>2762 (37.8)</td>
<td>58 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet for prior PCI</td>
<td>733 (10.0)</td>
<td>13 (7.9)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs use before admission</td>
<td>66 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAC for prior AF</td>
<td>532 (7.3)</td>
<td>16 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>3326 (45.6)</td>
<td>102 (61.8)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of any peptic disease</td>
<td>1739 (23.8)</td>
<td>77 (46.7)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital treatment, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary PCI</td>
<td>4542 (62.2)</td>
<td>108 (65.5)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
<td>2 (0.03)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IABP</td>
<td>689 (9.4)</td>
<td>17 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>239 (3.3)</td>
<td>7 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPT</td>
<td>7258 (99.4)</td>
<td>163 (98.8)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor</td>
<td>3012 (41.3)</td>
<td>60 (36.4)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMWH</td>
<td>6324 (86.6)</td>
<td>147 (89.1)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric mucosa protectants</td>
<td>7223 (98.9)</td>
<td>163 (98.8)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital stay, mean (SD), d</td>
<td>9.7±6.4</td>
<td>10.5±8.2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital death, n (%)</td>
<td>236 (3.2)</td>
<td>17 (10.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; GP IIb/IIIa, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory Drugs; OAC, oral anticoagulation; AF, atrial fibrillation; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin.
higher than those without (46.7% vs 23.8%, P < 0.001). However, not all preexisting digestive system diseases are linked with AMI in-hospital major GI bleeding. In our study, we tested the six most common conditions in clinical settings. We found that history of peptic ulcer and gastroenterological tract tumors were indicators of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with AMI who underwent standard antiplatelet treatment during hospitalization, rather than those with other types of digestive system disease. When we restricted our analysis to a concrete diagnosis of digestive system disease, peptic ulcers were associated with a 4.19-fold higher hazard for GI bleeding, and gastroenterological tumors were associated with a 2.74-fold higher hazard for GI bleeding. In our logistic model, preexisting GERD, CG, and diseases of the colon and rectum did not present a close association with in-hospital major GI bleeding.

In this study, we also found in-hospital deaths in the GI bleeding group were significantly higher than those without GI diseases. The GI bleeding group had a higher proportion of congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, renal dysfunction, and stroke. All these risk factors, together with GI bleeding, increased the AMI mortality, as had been pointed out by previous guidelines.1,2

Many factors increase the major GI bleeding for patients with AMI (eg, age, sex, renal disease, liver disease, active cancer, stroke, prior bleeding, smoking, alcohol intake, DAPT, and oral anticoagulants [OAC] use).16 The mixed features constitute the individual bleeding diathesis.17 We compared the baseline characteristics and found that the proportion of the treatment of primary PCI, CABG, and long- and short-term medication in the two groups was similar. These medications included NSAIDs, OAC before admission, aspirin, P2Y12 receptor inhibitors, LMWH, GP IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors, gastric mucosa protectants including PPI, and H2RA after admission. Therefore, the different in-hospital major GI bleeding between the two groups is caused by reasons other than medication.

In our study, we found that the use of gastric mucosa protectants was over 98%, which reflects that patients with AMI may have been overusing this type of medication in the past 8.5 years. Our study helps to distinguish patients at stratified risk with a history of digestive tract diseases. For low-risk patients, the use of gastric mucosal protectants should be meticulous.18,19

HFD in some patients was preexisting. A large part of the associated pathological morbidity developed with the AMI process,20 particularly in cases accompanied by right ventricle infarction and subsequent hepatic congestion.21 This pathological morbidity often recovered after the treatment of congestive right ventricle failure. Our study did not identify a strong and specific association between major GI bleeding and HFD.22,23

In this retrospective clinical data analysis, we found that for patients with AMI who were admitted to hospital, preexisting peptic ulcers and gastroenterological tract tumors were indicators of major gastrointestinal bleeding that required a change in the therapeutic strategy of antiplatelet drugs. However, GERD and CG did not lead to major GI bleeding, even though a high incidence rate was present.24

This study had several limitations. First, it was retrospective. We found that several digestive system diseases could indicate major GI bleeding, but this needs to be tested further by a randomized control trial. Second,
there is evidence that Helicobacter pylori (HP) infection is a risk factor for GI bleeding. We did not test the association between GI bleeding and HP infection since the carbon 13 urea breath test was not a routine lab test for patients with AMI in our hospital. However, previous studies showed that the incidence of HP infection is high in Chinese people. Therefore, the potential association between GI bleeding and HP infection also needs to be tested by a randomized control trial. Third, few patients underwent endoscopy examinations unless an urgent need arose during their hospitalization. Endoscopy was avoided due to complications such as aggravating heart failure and gastrointestinal mechanical injury.

Conclusions
Preexisting peptic ulcers and gastroenterological tract tumors, rather than other digestive system diseases, are indicators of gastrointestinal bleeding among patients with AMI who undergo typical antiplatelet treatment during hospitalization.
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