ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Association Between Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes and Clinicopathological Characteristics

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: *Clinical Epidemiology*

Objective: The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the associations between seven tagging single nucleotide polymorphisms (tSNPs) and risk of breast cancer assessed by tumor pathological characteristics and body mass index (BMI).

Methods: Seven tSNPs of four breast cancer susceptibility genes were analyzed in 734 Chinese women with breast cancer and 672 age-matched healthy controls; then, the association with clinicopathological characteristics, BMI, molecular subtype, TNM (T, tumor; N, lymph node; M, metastasis) staging and lymph node status was determined by unconditional logistic regression.

Results: Rs12951053 in TP53 and rs16945628 in BRIP1, displayed increased risk of breast cancer in the BMI $\ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ group (OR=1.50, 95% CI: 1.02–2.21, P=0.041 and OR=1.92, 95% CI: 1.13–3.26, P=0.015, respectively). The other five tSNPs (rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1, rs7220719 in BRIP1 and rs2299941 in PTEN) displayed a decreased risk of breast cancer in the 18.5≤BMI<25 kg/m² group. Rs12951053 in TP53 and rs7220719 in BRIP1 exhibited an increased risk of triple-negative breast cancer (OR=1.50, 95% CI: 1.05–2.15, P=0.026 and OR=2.13, 95% CI: 1.05–4.29, P=0.032, respectively), but three tSNPs in NBS1 (rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227) all displayed a negative association with both luminal B and triple-negative breast cancer. The tSNP rs2299941 in PTEN also exhibited a negative association with each molecular subtype, except triple-negative breast cancer. Most tSNPs showed a negative association with breast cancer that was lymph node negative or with 1–3 positive nodes. Only rs12951053 in TP53 displayed a positive association with lymph node-negative breast cancer (OR=1.43, 95% CI: 1.08–1.91, P=0.013).

Conclusion: The majority of tSNPs displayed a negative association with breast cancer and only a few tSNPs (rs12951053 in TP53, rs16945628 and rs7220719 in BRIP1) showed an increased risk of breast cancer as defined by clinicopathological characteristics.

Keywords: breast cancer, SNP, molecular subtype, BRIP1, NBS1

According to the latest statistics, among all malignant tumors in women, the incidence rate of breast cancer ranks highest in both China and the United States.^{1,2} The mortality rate ranks second for all female malignant tumors in the United States, with a declining trend year-by-year, attributed to the early detection of breast cancer and individualized treatment according to the molecular classification of the cancer. With the development of breast cancer susceptibility gene panels, evidence that genetic factors can also influence tumor subtype is provided by the fact that patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) tend to more frequently

Clinical Epidemiology 2021:13 103–112 LO3 © 02021 Wang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. bp and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported v.32) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.00). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Shouman Wang^{1,2} Kejing Zhang^{1,2} Lili Tang^{1,2} Yuan Yang^{1,2} Hao Wang³ Zhiyang Zhou^{1,2} Jian Pang^{1,2} Feiyu Chen^{1,2} 'Department of Breast Surgery, Xiangya

Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan Province, People's Republic of China; ²Clinical Research Center for Breast Cancer in Hunan Province, Changsha, Hunan Province, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Breast Surgery, Second People's Hospital of Sichuan Province, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, People's Republic of China

Fax +86 073189753615 Email chenfy13@csu.edu.cn



Correspondence: Feiyu Chen Department of Breast Surgery, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, No. 87 Xiangya Road, Changsha City, Hunan Province, 410008, People's Republic of China Tel +86 13467511343 Fax +86 073189753615

have mutations in the BRCA1 (8.5%) and BRCA2 (2.7%) genes, in addition to other low-to-medium penetrance genes, including PALB2 (1.2%) and BARD1, RAD51D, RAD51C, and BRIP1 (0.3% to 0.5%).³ Furthermore, in patients with HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor-2)-negative metastatic breast cancer and a germline BRCA mutation, monotherapy with the PARP (poly ADP-ribose polymerase) inhibitor olaparib provides a significant benefit over standard therapy, especially in TNBC (OR=0.43, 95% CI: 0.29–0.063).⁴ The majority of previous reports suggest that genetic polymorphisms possibly influence the pathological subtype of breast cancer in only ER (estrogen receptor)-positive or ER-negative breast cancer.^{5–7} There are only a few published reports of risk factors for combined ER, PR (progesterone receptor) and HER2 status, one of which is a study by O'Brien et al that demonstrates that several SNPs in TNRC9/TOX3 are associated with luminal A or basal-like breast cancer, and one SNP (rs3104746) that is associated with both.⁸ Therefore, understanding the associations between genetic polymorphisms and clinicopathological features of breast cancer may ultimately result in improvements in prevention, early detection, and treatment. In a previous study, seven tagging SNPs (tSNPs) of four genes were found to be significantly associated with breast cancer risk under a codominant model in unselected cases, including rs12951053 located in TP53, rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1, rs16945628 and rs7220719 in BRIP1, and rs2299941 in PTEN.⁹ Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate the associations between these tSNPs and breast cancer risk as defined by tumor pathological characteristics and body mass index (BMI).

Materials and Methods Subjects

As we have described in a previous study,^{9,10} 734 female patients with pathologically confirmed breast cancer were recruited from the Department of Breast Surgery of Central South University's Xiangya Hospital, Changsha, between January 2007 and October 2011, and the Department of Breast Surgery of the Second People's Hospital of Sichuan Province, Chengdu, China, between November 2010 and May 2011. In addition, a total of 672 aged-matched women with no personal or family history of cancer were enrolled as normal controls at the Health Management Center of Central South University's Xiangya Hospital from July to August 2011. All participants provided signed informed consent prior to blood extraction. The Ethics Committees of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University and the Second People's Hospital of Sichuan Province approved the study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

In a previous study,⁹ seven tSNPs of four genes were found to be significantly associated with the risk of breast cancer when analyzed using a codominant model in unselected cases, including rs12951053 located in TP53, rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1, rs16945628 and rs7220719 in BRIP1, and rs2299941 in PTEN. Finally, in the present study, we analyzed the association between these tSNPs and breast cancer risk using tumor pathological characteristics and body mass index (BMI). SNP genotyping was performed using a custom-by-design 2x48-Plex SNPscan kit (cat#: G0104, Genesky Biotechnologies Inc., Shanghai, China), as described in a previous study.^{9,10} In total, 728 patients and 671 controls were successfully genotyped for additional analysis.

Clinical Data

BMI was calculated from patient bodyweight and height, BMI=weight (kg)/height squared (m²). In accordance with the World Health Organization guidelines,¹¹ patients were categorized into three groups: under-weight: BMI <18.5 kg/m²; normal weight: $18.5 \le BMI < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$; or overweight: BMI $\ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Due to a number of missing data points for bodyweight and height, a total of only 496 cases were included in the BMI analysis.

All tissue samples of the patients were analyzed using immunohistochemistry (IHC) by experienced pathologists, including staining of the following markers: ER, PR, Ki-67 (cell proliferation marker) and HER2. In accordance with the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines for Breast Cancer, Version 3.2020, breast cancer tumors in which at least 1% of cells stained positive for ER or PR were considered ERor PR-positive. Tumors were classified as HER2-positive if they scored 3+ using IHC, defined as uniform membrane staining for HER2 in 10% or more of tumor cells, or demonstrating HER2 gene amplification as observed by in situ hybridization (ISH). In the present study, if the HER2 marker was scored 2+ by IHC, the sample was further assessed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). As recommended by the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013,¹² tumors were grouped into four subtypes (Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2 positive and Triple negative) depending on the status of four markers (ER, PR, Ki-67 and HER2) (Table 1). A total of 591 cases were included for subtype analysis because patients who scored HER2 2+ by IHC without further assessment by FISH were excluded and so some data are missing.

Tumor TNM (T,tumor; N,lymph node; M,metastasis) staging and lymph node status were examined according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) standards in 2010. Due to missing data, a total of 550 cases were investigated for TNM staging analysis and 589 cases for lymph node analysis.

Statistical Methods

Unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) as measures of association between genotypes and risk of breast cancer subtypes, TNM staging, lymph node status and BMI (comparing case subtypes to common homozygote controls). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS v.24.0 software.

Results

Association Between tSNPs and Breast Cancer Risk by Body Mass Index

As described in Table 2, in the BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ group, compared with the common homozygote, the heterozygote C/A of tSNP rs12951053 in TP53 displayed an increased risk of breast cancer (OR=1.50, 95% CI: 1.02–2.21, P=0.041). The same trend also was observed in tSNP rs16945628 in BRIP1, but for the uncommon homozygote T/T (OR=1.92, 95% CI: 1.13–3.26, P=0.015). Conversely, the uncommon homozygote G/G of tSNP rs2299941 in PTEN demonstrated a decreased risk of breast cancer

(OR=0.49, 95% CI: 0.24–0.99, P=0.042). No significant associations were observed in the BMI<18.5 kg/m² group.

In the 18.5 \leq BMI<25 kg/m² group, five tSNPs displayed a decreased risk of breast cancer compared with the common homozygote, including two heterozygous genotypes (C/T of tSNP rs1805812 in NBS1, OR=0.68, 95% CI: 0.50–0.94, *P*=0.019; and G/A of tSNP rs7220719 in BRIP1, OR=0.67, 95% CI: 0.49–0.90, *P*=0.008), the uncommon homozygote A/A of tSNP rs2735385 in NBS1 (OR=0.63, 95% CI: 0.42–0.95, *P*=0.026), and two tSNPs containing both heterozygous genotypes and uncommon homozygous genotypes (G/C and C/C of tSNP rs6999227 of NBS1, OR=0.75, 95% CI: 0.56–0.99, *P*=0.049; OR=0.64, 95% CI: 0.43–0.95, *P*=0.027, respectively, G/A and G/G of tSNP rs2299941 of PTEN, OR=0.67, 95% CI: 0.51–0.89, *P*=0.005; OR=0.60, 95% CI: 0.38–0.94, *P*=0.025, respectively) (Table 2).

Association Between tSNPs and Breast Cancer Risk by Tumor Pathological Characteristics

As presented in Table 3, luminal A (44.3%) was the subtype of breast cancer in the highest proportion in the present study, followed by triple-negative (27.8%), the lowest being the HER-2 positive/HR negative subtype (5.8%), similar to the proportion in our previous reports.¹³

Four tSNPs were negatively associated with luminal B breast cancer compared with the common homozygote (Table 3). Of these, two tSNPs in NBS1 (tSNP rs2735385 and rs6999227) contained both heterozygous genotypes and uncommon homozygous genotypes that displayed a negative association, OR=0.63, 95% CI: 0.42-0.94, P=0.024 and OR=0.45, 95% CI: 0.24-0.83, P=0.010 for the C/A and A/A genotypes of rs2735385, respectively;

Intrinsic Subtype	Clinico-Pathologic Surrogate Definition
Luminal A	All of :ER and PR positive (PR≥20% positive), HER2 negative, low Ki-67 (<14%)
Luminal B	Luminal B (HER2 negative): ER positive, HER2 negative and at least one of: high Ki-67(≥14%), PR negative or low (<20% positive) Luminal B (HER2 positive): ER positive, HER2 over-expressed or amplified, any Ki-67, and any PR
HER2 positive	HER2 over-expressed or amplified, ER and PR absent
Triple negative	ER and PR absent, HER2 negative

Table I Surrogate Definitions of Intrinsic Subtypes of Breast Cancer

Note: Data from Goldhirsch et al.¹²

Gene	tSNP	Genotype	Controls	вмі	BMI(kg/m ²)								
				<18.	5		18.5-	24.99		≥25			
			N	Ν	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b	
TP53	rs12951053	A/A	331	12	1.00		153	1.00		55	1.00		
		C/A	273	П	1.11(0.48–2.56)	0.804	156	1.24(0.94–1.63)	0.130	68	1.50(1.02-2.21)	0.041	
		C/C	67	2	0.82(0.18–3.76)	0.802	23	0.74(0.45–1.24)	0.253	15	1.35(0.72–2.53)	0.351	
NBSI	rs1805812	T/T	470	20	1.00		254	1.00		100	1.00		
		C/T	184	5	0.64(0.24-1.73)	0.373	68	0.68(0.50-0.94)	0.019	34	0.87(0.57-1.33)	0.515	
		C/C	16	0	1	1	П	1.27(0.58–2.78)	0.546	4	1.18(0.39–3.59)	0.777	
	rs2735385	C/C	210	8	1.00		127	1.00		54	1.00		
		C/A	345	14	1.07(0.44-2.58)	0.889	161	0.77(0.58–1.03)	0.078	61	0.69(0.46-1.03)	0.069	
		A/A	116	3	0.68(0.18–2.61)	0.571	44	0.63(0.42–0.95)	0.026	23	0.77(0.45–1.32)	0.343	
	rs6999227	G/G	200	7	1.00		124	1.00		49	1.00		
		G/C	344	14	1.16(0.46–2.93)	0.749	159	0.75(0.56-0.99)	0.049	64	0.76(0.50-1.15)	0.188	
		C/C	126	4	0.91(0.26-3.16)	0.878	50	0.64(0.43–0.95)	0.027	25	0.81(0.48–1.38)	0.436	
BRIP I	rs16945628	C/C	271	10	1.00		154	1.00		46	1.00		
		C/T	313	12	1.04(0.44–2.44)	0.930	135	0.76(0.57–1.01)	0.056	64	1.21(0.80–1.82)	0.376	
		T/T	86	3	0.95(0.25-3.51)	0.933	43	0.88(0.58–1.33)	0.546	28	1.92(1.13-3.26)	0.015	
	rs7220719	G/G	429	17	1.00		235	1.00		88	1.00		
		G/A	217	6	0.70(0.27-1.80)	0.453	79	0.67(0.49-0.90)	0.008	41	0.92(0.61-1.38)	0.691	
		A/A	25	2	2.02(0.44–9.23)	0.355	18	1.31(0.70–2.50)	0.391	9	1.76(0.79–3.89)	0.161	
PTEN	rs2299941	A/A	268	12	1.00		168	1.00		65	1.00		
		G/A	314	П	0.78(0.34–1.80)	0.563	132	0.67(0.51–0.89)	0.005	63	0.83(0.56-1.21)	0.331	
		G/G	85	2	0.53(0.12–2.40)	0.398	32	0.60(0.38–0.94)	0.025	10	0.49(0.24–0.99)	0.042	

 Table 2 Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for Breast Cancer Risk by Body Mass Index

Notes: ^aCompared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. ^bP value for every genetype when compared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. Bold formatted text values indicate statistically significant results (*P*<0.05).

Abbreviations: N, number; OR, odds ratio; BMI, body mass index; tSNP, tagging single nucleotide polymorphism.

OR=0.58, 95% CI: 0.39–0.88, P=0.009 and OR=0.51, 95% CI: 0.29–0.91, P=0.020 for the G/C and C/C genotypes of rs6999227, respectively (Table 3). The tSNP rs1805812 in NBS1 contained only a heterozygous C/T genotype that exhibited a negative association (OR=0.51, 95% CI: 0.31–0.83, P =0.007). The tSNP rs2299941 in PTEN contained only the uncommon homozygous geno-type G/G that displayed a negative association (OR=0.41, 95% CI: 0.19–0.90, P=0.022). Each single allele of the four tSNPs also exhibited a negative association (OR=0.60–0.73) (Table 3).

Several tSNPs were strongly associated with triplenegative breast cancer (Table 3). Patients with the C/A heterozygote tSNP rs12951053 located in TP53 exhibited a risk of triple-negative breast cancer 1.50-fold greater (95% CI: 1.05–2.15, P=0.026) than those with the common homozygote A/A. The uncommon homozygous genotype A/A of tSNP rs7220719 in BRIP1 displayed a higher risk than that described above (OR=2.13, 95% CI: 1.05–4.29, P=0.032). The tSNPs, rs1805812 and rs2735385 of NBS1 displayed a negative association with triple-negative breast cancer compared with the common homozygote (OR=0.61, 95% CI: 0.40–0.93, P=0.022 for genotype C/T of rs1805812; OR=0.64, 95% CI: 0.45–0.93, P=0.019 for genotype C/A and OR=0.49, 95% CI: 0.28–0.86, P=0.011 for genotype A/A of rs2735385). Each single allele of the two tSNPs also exhibited a negative association (OR=0.66, 95% CI: 0.46–0.96, P=0.029; OR=0.69, 95% CI: 0.54–0.89, P=0.004, respectively). Only one allele of two other tSNPs showed a negative association with triple-negative breast cancer (OR=0.77, 95% CI: 0.60–0.99, P=0.037 for rs6999227 in NBS1 and OR=0.74, 95% CI: 0.57–0.97, P=0.027 for rs2299941 in PTEN) (Table 3).

Only two tSNPs with a heterozygous genotype exhibited a negative association with luminal A breast cancer, OR=0.70, 95% CI: 0.50–0.97, P=0.030 for genotype G/A of rs7220719 in BRIP1 and OR=0.73, 95% CI: 0.54–0.98, P=0.039 for genotype G/A of rs2299941 in PTEN (Table 3). The same trend was observed for every single allele of

N#=261 N#=261 rs12951053 A/A 331 121 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 331 121 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 331 1.21 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 331 1.21 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 331 1.21 0.0%(95% cJ) rs1805812 T/T 470 87 1.00 rs233335 C/C 187 1.00 1.01 rs1805812 T/T 84 1.00 0.75(0.66-1.27) Per allele C/C 164 0.79(0.56-1.27) 0.95(0.66-1.27) Per allele C/C 166 0.75(0.66-1.27) 0.74(0.66-1.27) rs2033535 C/C 166 0.75(0.66-1.27) 0.74(0.67-1.05) rs2033547										
N N N OR ⁰ (95% CI) rs12951053 A/A 331 121 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 331 121 1.00 rs12951053 A/A 273 119 1.19(0.88-1.61) C/A 273 119 1.19(0.88-1.61) 0.86(0.50-1.46) C/C 67 21 0.86(0.50-1.46) 1.00 C/C 67 21 0.87(0.58-1.28) 1.00 C/C 184 67 0.20(0.66-1.32) 1.00(0.76-1.32) rs1805812 T/T 184 67 0.27(0.68-1.08) rs2735385 C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) rs2735385 C/C 16 0.27(0.48-1.15) rs2733385 C/C 210 92 0.36(0.79-1.05) rs26999227 G/G 210 0.26(0.49-1.26) 0.37(0.47-1.11) rs6999227 G/G 220 92 0.37(0.47-1.11) rs6999227 G/G 200 0.37(0.47-1.20) 0.35		N ^a =130			N ^a =34	4		N ^a =164	4	
rs12951053 A/A 331 121 1.00 C/A 273 119 1.19(0.88-1.61) C/C 67 21 0.86(0.50-1.46) Perallele 67 21 0.86(0.50-1.46) Perallele 67 0.92(0.66-1.27) 0.05(0.50-1.46) rs1805812 T/T 470 187 1.00 C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) Perallele 57 0.92(0.66-1.27) C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) Perallele 57 0.92(0.66-1.27) 7 200 97 1.00 7 0.92(0.66-1.27) 0.92(0.66-1.21) 7 210 97 1.00 7 210 97 1.00 7 245 21 0.05(0.7-1.05) 7 24 129 0.95(0.7-1.05) 7 24 21 0.26(0.69-1.04) 7 26/C 24 22 7	ğ	z	OR ^b (95% CI)	٩	z	OR ^b (95% CI)	Þč	z	OR ^b (95% CI)	Ä
CIA 2/3 113 1.19(0.086-1.61) Per allele 7 21 0.08(0.02-1.46) Per allele 7 0.9 1.00 CTC 16 9 1.00 0.32(0.66-1.22) CT 184 67 0.92(0.66-1.22) 0.92(0.66-1.22) Per allele 16 9 1.100 0.75(0.46-1.12) CA 345 126 0.79(0.58-1.08) AA 116 9 0.75(0.46-1.15) Per allele 200 92 1.00 Per allele 201 0.75(0.46-1.15) 0.85(0.70-1.05) Per allele 200 0.75(0.46-1.12) 0.75(0.46-1.12) Per allele 200 92 1.00 0.75(0.46-1.12) Per allele 200 92 0.0		53	1.00	0100	4	1.00		67	1.00	
Fer allele Fer all	0.249	64 13	1.46(0.98–2.18) 1.21(0.63–2.35)	0.0569	18	1.56(0.76–3.19) 0.71(0.16–3.18)	0.221	83	1.50(1.05–2.15) 1.03(0.55–1.94)	0.026
rs1805812 T/T 470 187 1.00 C/T 184 67 0.92(0.66-1.27) C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) Per allele 57 0.92(0.66-1.32) C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 Per allele 24 0.55(0.59-1.08) 0.85(0.59-1.04) rs6999227 G/G 344 1.25 0.85(0.59-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 126 0.75(0.48-1.11) 9 rs16945628 C/C 126 0.75(0.49-1.04) 17 rs16945628 C/C 126 270 0.85(0.59-1.04)	0.828	2	1.22(0.92–1.21)	0.171		1.10(0.65–1.85)	0.723	:	1.18(0.91–1.52)	0.218
CT 184 67 0.92(0.66-1.27) CC 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) Per allele 5 1.00(0.76-1.32) rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2735385 C/C 210 97 0.75(0.48-1.15) rs699927 G/G 344 126 0.75(0.48-1.15) rs699927 G/G 344 129 0.85(0.59-1.04) rs699927 G/G 344 129 0.37(0.459-1.28) rs16945628 C/C 126 0.77(0.49-1.11) 0 rs16945628 C/C 271 129 0.74(0.69-1.28) rs16945628 C/C		106	1.00		27	1.00		130	1.00	
C/C 16 9 1.41(0.61-3.26) Per allele Per allele 100(0.76-1.32) 100(0.76-1.32) rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00(0.76-1.32) rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs299927 G/G 244 0.75(0.48-1.15) rs6999277 G/G 344 129 0.85(0.70-1.05) rs6999277 G/G 344 129 0.82(0.59-1.12) rs6999277 G/G 344 129 0.82(0.59-1.12) rs16945628 C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) rs16945628 C/C 126 129 0.82(0.59-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 126 0.73(0.47-1.11) 116 rs16945628 C/C 129 0.82(0.59-1.04) 111 rs16945528 C/C 129 0.82(0.59-1.04) 111 rs16945528 C/C 116 1.00 1.00	0.595	21	0.51(0.31-0.83)	0.007	9	0.57(0.23–1.40)	0.212	31	0.61 (0.40–0.93)	0.022
rs2735385 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2735385 C/C 345 126 0.79(0.58-1.08) A/A 116 40 0.75(0.48-1.15) 97 Per allele 200 92 1.00 0.86(0.70-1.05) rs6999227 G/G 344 129 0.85(0.70-1.05) rs6999227 G/G 344 129 0.85(0.59-1.12) rs6999227 G/G 344 129 0.85(0.59-1.12) rs699527 G/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) rs6 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) rs16945628 C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) rs16945628 C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs16945628 C/C 271 115	0.414 0.983	m	0.83(0.24–2.91) 0.60(0.40–0.92)	0.772 0.018	-	1.09(0.14–8.51) 0.69(0.33–1.47)	0.936 0.338	۴	0.68(0.20–2.36) 0.66(0.46–0.96)	0.54 0.029
rs2/132365 C/C 210 97 1.00 rs2/132365 C/A 345 126 0.79(0.58-1.08) A/A 116 40 0.75(0.48-1.15) Per allele 345 200 92 1.00 rs6999227 G/C 344 129 0.85(0.70-1.05) rs699227 G/C 344 129 0.82(0.59-1.12) rs16945628 C/C 126 42 0.35(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs169 C/C 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs169 C/C 271 86 1.12 0.05(0.69-1.04) rs169 C/C 271 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs169 rs161 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) rs720719 G/G 429 182 1.06 </td <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ŝ</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1			-			ŝ		
A/A 116 40 0.75(0.48-1.15) Per allele 0.86(0.70-1.05) 0.86(0.70-1.05) rs6999227 G/G 200 92 1.00 G/C 344 129 0.85(0.70-1.05) G/C 344 129 0.85(0.59-1.12) C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) Per allele 271 129 0.85(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 TT 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) 115 TT 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) 115 TT 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) 115 rs16945618 C/C 211 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) TT 86 1.15 0.94(0.69-1.28) 166(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 126 1.00 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 1.00 1.00 rs7220719 G/G <t< td=""><td>0 144</td><td>57 59</td><td>1.00 0.63(0.42–0.94)</td><td>0.024</td><td>61</td><td>1.00 0 89(0 43–1 84)</td><td>0 752</td><td>70</td><td>1.00 0.64(0.45–0.93)</td><td>0.019</td></t<>	0 144	57 59	1.00 0.63(0.42–0.94)	0.024	61	1.00 0 89(0 43–1 84)	0 752	70	1.00 0.64(0.45–0.93)	0.019
Fer allele	0.185	, 1	0.45(0.24-0.83)	0.010		0.28(0.06-1.26)	0.077	6	0.49(0.28-0.86)	0.011
rs699227 G/G 200 92 1.00 G/C 344 129 0.82(0.59-1.12) C/C 126 42 0.33(0.47-1.11) Per allele 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.34(0.69-1.28) rs169 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs169 C/C 271 115 0.34(0.69-1.38) rs720719 G/G 429 182 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 17 1.60(0.86-3.04) rs7220719 G/G 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A 25 17 1.09	0.131	:	0.67(0.50-0.88)	0.004		0.68(0.41–1.13)	0.136	:	0.69(0.54-0.89)	0.004
G/C 344 129 0.82(0.59-1.12) C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) Per allele 271 0.85(0.69-1.04) 0.85(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 271 115 0.94(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 166 1.00 rs16945628 C/C 217 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.00 0.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.06 1.00 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 1.01 0.02(0.50-0.97) 1.01 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 1.7 1.00		56	1.00		12	1.00		63	1.00	
C/C 126 42 0.73(0.47-1.11) Per allele 0.85(0.65-1.04) 0.85(0.65-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 T/T 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) 1.00 Fer allele 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) 1.00 T/T 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) 1.00 Per allele 2.0 429 1.82 1.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 1.0 0.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.0 1.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.0 0.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.0 0.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 64 0.070(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 1.7 1.60(0.85-3.04) rs7220719 G/G 2.5 1.7 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.209	56	0.58(0.39–0.88)	0.009	20	0.97(0.46–2.02)	0.933	77	0.71(0.49–1.04)	0.074
Per allele 0.85(0.69-1.04) rs16945628 C/C 271 0.66 1.00 C/T 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) 1.00 T/T 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) 1.00 Per allele 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 Per allele 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.139	8	0.51(0.29-0.91)	0.020	2	0.27(0.06–1.20)	0.065	24	0.61(0.36–1.02)	0.057
rs16945628 C/C 271 106 1.00 C/T 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) T/T 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) Per allele 1.06 1.06(036-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.06(036-1.31) rs7220719 G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) rs7220719 G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) Per allele 25 17 1.60(035-3.04) Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19) Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.118		0.68(0.52–0.90)	0.007	_	0.68(0.41–1.13)	0.137		0.77(0.60–0.99)	0.037
C/T 313 115 0.94(0.69-1.28) T/T 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) Per allele 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele 25 17 0.92(0.71-1.19)		55	1.00		18	00.1		73	00.1	
T/T 86 41 1.22(0.79-1.88) Per allele Per allele 1.06(0.86-1.31) rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.06(0.86-1.31) A/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A(4) A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele 215 0.92(0.71-1.19) 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.692	52	0.82(0.54–1.24)	0.341	12	0.58(0.27-1.22)	0.146	69	0.82(0.57–1.18)	0.284
Per allele [1.06(0.86-1.31)] rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19) 0.92(0.71-1.19) Det allele 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.372	23	1.32(0.77–2.27)	0.319	4	0.70(0.23–2.13)	0.53	21	0.91(0.53–1.56)	0.723
rs7220719 G/G 429 182 1.00 G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A 25 17 1.60(085-3.04) Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19) 0.92(0.71-1.19)	0.572		1.07(0.81–1.40)	0.646		0.74(0.43–1.25)	0.256		0.91(0.71–1.17)	0.469
G/A 217 64 0.70(0.50-0.97) A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele 0.92(0.71-1.19) 0.92(0.71-1.19)		88	1.00		22	00.1		105	00.1	
A/A 25 17 1.60(0.85-3.04) Per allele 0.92(0.71–1.19) 0.92(0.71–1.19)	0.030	37	0.83(0.55–1.26)	0.385	12	1.08(0.52–2.22)	0.838	46	0.80(0.59–1.27)	1.462
Per allele	0.145	5	0.98(0.36–2.62)	0.960	0			13	2.13(1.05–4.29)	0.032
	0.536		0.89(0.63–1.25)	0.499		0.86(0.46–1.63)	0.65		I.I3(0.84–I.52)	0.407
PTEN rs2299941 A/A 268 128 1.00		61	1.00		22	00.1		80	00.1	
G/A 314 109 0.73(0.54–0.98)	0.039	60	0.84(0.57–1.24)	0.381	=	0.43(0.20-0.90)	0.021	69	0.74(0.51–1.06)	0.096
85 26 0.64(0.39–1.04)	0.072	8	0.41(0.19–0.90)	0.022	_	0.14(0.02-1.08)	0.029	4	0.55(0.30–1.02)	0.057
Per allele 0.78(0.62–0.96)	0.021		0.73(0.55–0.98)	0.036		0.42(0.22–0.77)	0.004		0.74(0.57–0.97)	0.027

rs2299941 (OR=0.78, 95% CI: 0.62–0.96, P=0.021). The heterozygote G/A of rs2299941 in PTEN also exhibited a negative association with HER-2 positive/HR negative breast cancer (OR=0.43, 95% CI: 0.20–0.90, P=0.021), every single allele displaying the same trend (OR=0.42, 95% CI: 0.22–0.77, P=0.004) (Table 3).

As displayed in Table 4, three tSNPs (rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1, rs2299941 in PTEN) in both heterozygous genotypes and the uncommon homozygous genotypes, displayed a negative association with stage II breast cancer compared with the common homozygote (OR=0.71, 95% CI: 0.53–0.95, P=0.021 and OR=0.58, 95% CI: 0.38–0.89, P=0.011 for genotypes C/A and A/A of rs2735385, respectively; OR=0.70, 95% CI: 0.52–0.94, P=0.018 and OR=0.61, 95% CI: 0.41–0.92, P=0.016 for genotypes G/C and C/C of rs6999227, respectively; OR=0.73, 95% CI: 0.55–0.97, P=0.028 and OR=0.48, 95% CI: 0.29–0.79, P=0.003 for genotypes G/A and G/G of rs2299941, respectively). The two other tSNPs with only heterozygous genotypes also displayed a negative association with stage II breast cancer (OR=0.70, 95% CI: 0.50–0.96, *P*=0.027 for genotype C/T of rs1805812 in NBS1 and OR=0.68, 95% CI: 0.50–0.93, *P*=0.015 for genotype G/A of rs7220719 in BRIP1). Only one hetero-zygote, the genotype C/A of tSNP rs12951053 located in TP53 exhibited a positive association with stage II breast cancer (OR=1.54, 95% CI: 1.16-2.04, *P*=0.003).

In stage III breast cancer, only three tSNPs were found to have a negative association, a heterozygous genotype and an uncommon homozygote genotype (OR=0.61, 95% CI: 0.42–0.88, P=0.007 and OR=0.53, 95% CI: 0.31–0.90, P=0.017 for genotypes C/A and A/A of rs2735385 in NBS1, respectively), the other a heterozygous genotype (OR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.43–0.88, P=0.008 for genotype G/A of rs2299941 in PTEN) and another, an uncommon homozygote (OR=0.47, 95% CI: 0.27–0.81, P=0.006 for genotype C/C of rs6999227 in NBS1) (Table 4). No significant associations with stage I breast cancer were identified.

Analysis of the association between tSNPs and lymph node status of breast cancer indicated that a number of

Gene	tSNP	P Genotype	Controls	TN	M Staging							
				Stag	ge I		Stage	: II		Stage	e III	
			N	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value ^b
TP53	rs12951053	A/A	331	28	1.00		126	1.00		83	1.00	
		C/A	273	30	1.30(0.76-2.23)	0.341	160	1.54(1.16–2.04)	0.003	76	1.11(0.78–1.58)	0.558
		C/C	67	3	0.53(0.16–1.79)	0.299	28	1.10(0.68–1.79)	0.707	14	0.83(0.45-1.56)	0.567
NBSI	rs1805812	T/T	470	46	1.00		239	1.00		133	1.00	
		C/T	184	14	0.78(0.42-1.45)	0.427	65	0.70(0.50-0.96)	0.027	38	0.73(0.49-1.09)	0.121
		C/C	16	Т	0.64(0.08-4.93)	0.664	12	1.48(0.69–3.17)	0.316	2	0.44(0.10–1.95)	0.267
	rs2735385	C/C	210	26	1.00		127	1.00		75	1.00	
		C/A	345	27	0.63(0.36-1.11)	0.109	148	0.71(0.53-0.95)	0.021	75	0.61(0.42-0.88)	0.007
		A/A	116	8	0.56(0.24–1.27)	0.159	41	0.58(0.38–0.89)	0.011	22	0.53(0.31-0.90)	0.017
	rs6999227	G/G	200	24	1.00		122	1.00		68	1.00	
		G/C	344	28	0.68(0.38-1.20)	0.182	147	0.70(0.52-0.94)	0.018	85	0.73(0.51-1.05)	0.085
		C/C	126	9	0.60(0.27-1.32)	0.199	47	0.61(0.41-0.92)	0.016	20	0.47(0.27-0.81)	0.006
BRIP I	rs16945628	C/C	271	26	1.00		139	1.00		65	1.00	
		C/T	313	29	0.97(0.56-1.68)	0.902	132	0.82(0.62-1.10)	0.183	75	1.00(0.69–1.45)	0.996
		T/T	86	6	0.73(0.29–1.83)	0.496	43	0.98(0.64–1.48)	0.905	33	1.60(0.99–2.60)	0.056
	rs7220719	G/G	429	38	1.00		220	1.00		104	1.00	
		G/A	217	18	0.94(0.52-1.68)	0.826	76	0.68(0.50-0.93)	0.015	59	1.12(0.78-1.61)	0.531
		A/A	25	5	2.26(0.82-6.24)	0.107	19	1.48(0.80–2.75)	0.21	10	1.65(0.77–3.54)	0.195
PTEN	rs2299941	A/A	268	23	1.00		157	1.00		90	1.00	
		G/A	314	33	1.23(0.70-2.14)	0.475	134	0.73(0.55–0.97)	0.028	65	0.62(0.43-0.88)	0.008
		G/G	85	5	0.69(0.25-1.86)	0.456	24	0.48(0.29-0.79)	0.003	18	0.63(0.36-1.11)	0.106

Table 4 Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for the Association Between tSNPs and Each TNM Staging

Notes: ^aCompared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. ^bP value for every genetype when compared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. Bold formatted text values indicate statistically significant results (*P*<0.05).

Abbreviations: N, number; OR, odds ratio; TNM, tumor lymph node metastasis; tSNP, tagging single nucleotide polymorphism.

tSNPs displayed a negative association with lymph nodenegative breast cancer (rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1, rs7220719 in BRIP1 and rs2299941 in PTEN, OR=0.51-0.73) (Table 5). Only one heterozygous genotype, C/A of tSNP rs12951053 in TP53 exhibited a positive association with lymph node-negative breast cancer (OR=1.43, 95% CI: 1.08–1.91, P=0.013). We also found three tSNPs (rs2735385 and rs6999227 in NBS1 and rs2299941 in PTEN, OR=0.53–0.67) that were negatively associated with breast cancers having 1–3 positive nodes (Table 5). The same trend was observed in breast cancer with more than three positive nodes (rs1805812 and rs2735385 in NBS1 and rs2299941 in PTEN, OR=0.53–0.61) (Table 5).

Discussion

With the development of breast cancer susceptibility gene panels, previous studies have demonstrated that genetic factors can also influence tumor subtype, finding that in TNBC metastatic patients with a germline BRCA mutation, monotherapy with the PARP inhibitor olaparib provided a significant benefit over standard therapy.^{3,4} Thus, understanding the associations between genetic polymorphisms and clinicopathological features of breast cancer may ultimately result in improvements in prevention, early detection, and treatment. In a previous study, we found that seven tagging SNPs (tSNPs) of four genes were significantly associated with breast cancer risk using a codominant model in unselected cases.⁹ In the present study, we evaluated the associations between these tSNPs and breast cancer risk defined by tumor pathological characteristics and BMI.

Compared with the common homozygote, we found that the heterozygote C/A of tSNP rs12951053 located in TP53 and the uncommon homozygote T/T of tSNP rs16945628 located in BRIP1 displayed an increased risk of breast cancer in the BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ group. Conversely, in the 18.5 \leq BMI \leq 25 kg/m² group, five

 Table 5 Oddi Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for the Association Between tSNPs and Lymph Node Status

Gene	tSNP	Genotype	Controls	Num	ber of Positive No	des						
				0			1-3			>3		
			N	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	P -value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value ^b	N	OR ^a (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value ^b
TP53	rs12951053	A/A	331	126	1.00		75	1.00		54	1.00	
		C/A C/C	273 67	149 28	I.43(I.08–I.9I) I.10(0.68–I.79)	0.013 0.707	77 13	1.25(0.87–1.78) 0.86(0.45–1.63)	0.228 0.637	56 9	1.26(0.84–1.89) 0.82(0.39–1.75)	0.269 0.612
NBSI	rs1805812	T/T C/T C/C	470 184 16	236 58 10	1.00 0.63(0.45–0.88) 1.25(0.56–2.79)	0.006 0.594	119 45 2	1.00 0.97(0.66–1.42) 0.49(0.11–2.18)	0.859 0.342	93 21 5	1.00 0.58(0.35–0.95) 1.58(0.57–4.42)	0.030 0.380
	rs2735385	C/C C/A A/A	210 345 116	121 140 43	1.00 0.70(0.52–0.95) 0.64(0.43–0.98)	0.021 0.037	69 76 21	1.00 0.67(0.46–0.97) 0.55(0.32–0.94)	0.033 0.029	51 51 16	1.00 0.61(0.40–0.93) 0.57(0.31–1.04)	0.021 0.065
	rs6999227	G/G G/C C/C	200 344 126	115 138 51	1.00 0.70(0.52–0.94) 0.70(0.47–1.05)	0.020 0.083	64 83 19	1.00 0.75(0.52–1.09) 0.47(0.27–0.82)	0.134 0.007	45 57 17	1.00 0.74(0.48–1.13) 0.60(0.33–1.09)	0.160 0.093
BRIPI	rs 6945628	C/C C/T T/T	271 313 86	38 23 4	1.00 0.77(0.58–1.03) 0.94(0.61–1.43)	0.082 0.761	64 76 26	1.00 1.03(0.71–1.49) 1.28(0.76–2.15)	0.883 0.348	45 53 21	1.00 1.02(0.66–1.57) 1.47(0.83–2.61)	0.929 0.185
	rs7220719	G/G G/A A/A	429 217 25	207 76 20	1.00 0.73(0.53–0.99) 1.66(0.90–3.05)	0.042 0.102	107 52 7	1.00 0.96(0.66–1.39) 1.12(0.47–2.67)	0.832 0.793	78 33 8	1.00 0.84(0.54–1.30) 1.76(0.77–4.04)	0.424 0.178
PTEN	rs2299941	A/A G/A G/G	268 314 85	136 145 22	1.00 0.91(0.68–1.21) 0.51(0.31–0.85)	0.517 0.009	90 61 15	1.00 0.58(0.40–0.83) 0.53(0.29–0.96)	0.003 0.033	66 41 12	1.00 0.53(0.35–0.81) 0.57(0.30–1.11)	0.003 0.096

Notes: ^aCompared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. ^bP value for every genetype when compared with common homozygote by logistic regression analysis. Bold formatted text values indicate statistically significant results (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: N, number; OR, odds ratio; tSNP, tagging single nucleotide polymorphism.

tSNPs exhibited a decreased risk of breast cancer compared with the common homozygote, including heterozygous genotypes and uncommon homozygotes (C/T of tSNP rs1805812, A/A of tSNP rs2735385, G/C and C/C of tSNP rs6999227 located in NBS1 and G/A of tSNP rs7220719 located in BRIP1). In addition, the uncommon homozygote G/G of tSNP rs2299941 located in PTEN displayed a decreased risk of breast cancer both in the $18.5 \le BMI \le 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ groups. However, no significant associations were found in the BMI<18.5 kg/m² group. With a trend the same as tSNP rs12951053, one study has shown that SNP rs1042522 in TP53 may be associated with susceptibility to breast cancer among Iranian women with high BMI.¹⁴

In TP53, compared with the common homozygote A/A of tSNP rs12951053, patients with the heterozygote C/A had a risk 1.50-fold higher than triple-negative breast cancer, and displayed a positive association with stage II breast cancer and lymph node-negative breast cancer. However, a study of Jordanian women did not find a significant association between SNP rs12951053 and breast cancer subtype, tumor stage or lymph node status.¹⁵ Most of the studies on TP53 focus on rs1042522 (Codon 72 Polymorphism), while few on rs12951053 (which is located in intron 7, and the mechanism is not clear).^{16,17} And the rs12951053 also was found associated with invasive serous ovarian cancer risk (median OR_{per allele} = 1.65; 95% CI = 1.20–2.26).¹⁸

In the present study, three tSNPs in NBS1 (rs1805812, rs2735385 and rs6999227 with a heterozygous genotype, uncommon homozygous genotype or individual allele) showed in each case a negative association with luminal B and triple-negative breast cancer, compared with the common homozygote. However, we did not find any significant association with luminal A and HER2+/HR- breast cancer. In stage II breast cancer, we also found that the three tSNPs displayed a negative association compared with the common homozygote. The tSNPa rs2735385 and rs6999227 also exhibited a negative association with stage III breast cancer. The majority of the three tSNPs displayed a negative association with stage.

In BRIP1, we found that the heterozygous genotype G/ A of tSNP rs7220719 displayed a negative association with luminal A breast cancer and the uncommon homozygote A/A exhibited a positive association with triplenegative breast cancer. However, we did not find any significant association between tSNP rs16945628 and breast cancer molecular subtype, TNM staging or lymph node status. In addition, heterozygous genotype G/A of tSNP rs7220719 also displayed a negative association with stage II breast cancer and lymph node-negative breast cancer.

In tSNP rs2299941 in PTEN, we found that the single allele G displayed a negative association with each breast cancer molecular subtype, in comparison with the A allele. The heterozygous genotype G/A or uncommon homozygous genotype G/G also displayed a negative association with each breast cancer molecular subtype, except triple-negative breast cancer, in comparison with the common homozygote A/A. The same trend was observed with lymph node status. We also found that the heterozygote genotype G/A or uncommon homozygous genotype G/G displayed a negative association with stage II or III breast cancer.

The four genes detailed above are directly or indirectly involved in the monoubiquitinated FANCD2-DNA damagerepair pathway and have been found to be significantly associated with the risk of breast cancer.¹⁹ A previous study of Chinese patients with familial breast/ovarian cancer found that 135 patients were found to carry pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutations (28.1%), corresponding to 12 different cancer predisposition genes (8.5% on non-BRCA1/2 genes, mainly in ATM, CHEK2, PALB2, NBS1, and BRIP1 genes, all of which participate in the homologous recombinant repair pathway). Mutation rates of BRCA1 and BRCA2 have been shown to be higher in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients than in the non-TNBC group.²⁰ The majority of previous reports suggest that genetic polymorphisms may influence the pathological subtype of breast cancer only in ER-positive or -negative breast cancer. As in one study, compared to breast tumours in Caucasian women, they show a higher prevalence of TP53 somatic mutations in ER+ Asian breast tumours, and the presence of TP53 somatic mutations is associated with poorer survival in ER+ tumours.²¹ However, there is no prognostic analysis in this article. Therefore, it is difficult to compare the results of the present study with previous research.

Conclusions

In this hospital-based case–control study of associations between seven tSNPs of four genes (TP53, NBS1, BRIP1 and PTEN) and risk of breast cancer defined by tumor pathological characteristics and BMI, we found that the majority of tSNPs displayed a negative association with breast cancer and only a few tSNPs exhibited an increased risk of breast cancer (such as rs12951053 in TP53 and rs7220719 in BRIP1, which displayed an increased risk of triple-negative breast cancer, and rs12951053 of TP53 and rs16945628 of BRIP1, which displayed an increased risk of breast cancer in the BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ group). Most tSNPs displayed an association with triple-negative breast cancer excepted the tSNP rs2299941 located in PTEN and tSNP rs16945628 located in BRIP1. And the majority of tSNPs displayed a negative association with stage II or III breast cancer. But only one tSNP rs12951053 in TP53 displayed an increased risk of stage II and lymph node-negative breast cancer. However, the small sample size of the study represents a limitation. Larger and multicenter national studies are required to verify these findings and further exploration of the function of these genes is also required.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Centre for Human Genetics Research, Shanghai Genesky Bio-Tech Co, Ltd for their excellent technical assistance with genotyping analysis. The authors thank all professors, doctors, and nurses in the breast surgery department of Xiangya Hospital for the collection of patient information. The authors thank Associate Professor Guo Wang at the Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Central South University, for assistance. The authors also thank Associate Professor Xing-Li Li at the School of Public Health, Central South University, for assistance with statistical analysis.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the China Hunan Provincial Science and Technology Department (2010-TP4053) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81001179).

Disclosure

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Zheng RS, Sun KX, Zhang SW, et al. Report of cancer epidemiology in China, 2015. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi*. 2019;41(1):19–28. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3766.2019.01.005
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(1):7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21590
- Couch FJ, Hart SN, Sharma P, et al. Inherited mutations in 17 breast cancer susceptibility genes among a large triple-negative breast cancer cohort unselected for family history of breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(4):304–311. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1414

- Robson M, Im SA, Senkus E, et al. Olaparib for metastatic breast cancer in patients with a germline BRCA mutation. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(6):523–533. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1706450
- Han W, Kang D, Park IA, et al. Associations between breast cancer susceptibility gene polymorphisms and clinicopathological features. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10:124–130. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-0834-3
- Garcia-Closas M, Hall P, Nevanlinna H, et al. Heterogeneity of breast cancer associations with five susceptibility loci by clinical and pathological characteristics. *PLoS Genet.* 2008;4(4):e1000054. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000054
- Slattery ML, John EM, Stern MC, et al. Associations with growth factor genes (FGF1, FGF2, PDGFB, FGFR2, NRG2, EGF, ERBB2) with breast cancer risk and survival: the breast cancer health disparities study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2013;140(3):587–601. doi:10.1007/s10549-013-2644-5
- O'Brien KM, Cole SR, Engel LS, et al. Breast cancer subtypes and previously established genetic risk factors: a bayesian approach. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014;23(1):84–97. doi:10.1158/ 1055-9965.EPI-13-0463
- 9. Chen FY, Wang H, Li H, et al. Association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in monoubiquitinated FANCD2-DNA damage repair pathway genes with breast cancer in the Chinese population. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* 2018;17:1–11. doi:10.1177/1533033818819841
- Tang LL, Chen FY, Wang H, et al. Haplotype analysis of eight genes of the monoubiquitinated FANCD2-DNA damage-repair pathway in breast cancer patients. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2013;37(3):311–317. doi:10.1016/j.canep.2012.12.010
- WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet.* 2004;363:157–163. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3
- Goldhirsch A, Winer EP, Coates AS, et al.; Panel members. Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer 2013. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24 (9):2206–2223. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt303
- Chen FY, Ou HY, Wang SM, Wu YH, Yan GJ, Tang LL. Associations between body mass index and molecular subtypes as well as other clinical characteristics of breast cancer in Chinese women. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2013;9:131–137. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S41203
- 14. Anoushirvani AA, Aghabozorgi R, Ahmadi A, et al. Association of rs1042522 SNP with clinicopathologic factors of breast cancer patients in the Markazi Province of Iran. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2018;6(12):2277–2282. doi:10.3889/oamjms.2018.486
- Al-Eitan LN, Rababa'h DM, Alghamdi MA, Khasawneh RH. Correlation between candidate single nucleotide variants and several clinicopathological risk factors related to breast cancer in Jordanian women: a genotype-phenotype study. *J Cancer.* 2019;10 (19):4647–4654. doi:10.7150/jca.33857
- 16. Hao W, Xu X, Shi H, Zhang C, Chen X. No association of TP53 codon 72 and intron 3 16-bp duplication polymorphisms with breast cancer risk in Chinese Han women: new evidence from a population-based case-control investigation. *Eur J Med Res.* 2018;23(1):47. doi:10.1186/s40001-018-0345-6
- Afzaljavan F, Chaeichi Tehrani N, Rivandi M, et al. The dilemma of TP53 codon 72 polymorphism (rs1042522) and breast cancer risk: a case-control study and meta-analysis in the Iranian population. *Cell J.* 2020;22(2):185–192. doi:10.22074/cellj.2020. 6458
- Schildkraut JM, Iversen ES, Wilson MA, et al. Association between DNA damage response and repair genes and risk of invasive serous ovarian cancer. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(4):e10061. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0010061
- Walsh T, King MC. Ten genes for inherited breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2007;11(2):103–105. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2007.01.010

- Wang J, Li W, Shi Y, et al. Germline mutation landscape of Chinese patients with familial breast/ovarian cancer in a panel of 22 susceptibility genes. *Cancer Med.* 2019;8(5):2074–2084. doi:10.1002/ cam4.2093
- Pan JW, Zabidi MMA, Ng PS, et al. The molecular landscape of Asian breast cancers reveals clinically relevant population-specific differences. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):6433. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20173-5

Clinical Epidemiology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification,

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal

Dovepress

systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.