Management of dyslipidemias with fibrates, alone and in combination with statins: role of delayed-release fenofibric acid
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Abstract: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) represents the leading cause of mortality worldwide. Lifestyle modifications, along with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) reduction, remain the highest priorities in CVD risk management. Among lipid-lowering agents, statins are most effective in LDL-C reduction and have demonstrated incremental benefits in CVD risk reduction. However, in light of the residual CVD risk, even after LDL-C targets are achieved, there is an unmet clinical need for additional measures. Fibrates are well known for their beneficial effects in triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and LDL-C subspecies modulation. Fenofibrate is the most commonly used fibric acid derivative, exerts beneficial effects in several lipid and nonlipid parameters, and is considered the most suitable fibrate to combine with a statin. However, in clinical practice this combination raises concerns about safety. ABT-335 (fenofibric acid, Trilipix®) is the newest formulation designed to overcome the drawbacks of older fibrates, particularly in terms of pharmacokinetic properties. It has been extensively evaluated both as monotherapy and in combination with atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, and simvastatin in a large number of patients with mixed dyslipidemia for up to 2 years and appears to be a safe and effective option in the management of dyslipidemia.
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Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) constitutes the leading cause of death in developed countries. Current treatment guidelines focus on lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) as the primary strategy for reducing CVD risk (Table 1).1-5 Hydroxymethyl-glutamyl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors (HMG-CoA) or statins have demonstrated a significant CVD risk reduction in a large number of landmark trials.6 There is growing evidence that both diabetic and nondiabetic patients are still at risk for CVD events even if they are receiving optimal statin treatment (termed as “residual CVD risk”). In the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy – Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (PROVE IT-TIMI) 22 study, 4162 patients with acute coronary syndromes were treated with either pravastatin 40 mg or atorvastatin 80 mg. A substantial number of patients (10%) died from CVD events within 30 months, despite having LDL-C levels below 70 mg/dL (1.8 mmol/L).7 Similar were the findings in the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study, where the level of residual CVD risk remained high in patients treated with atorvastatin 10 mg/dL or 80 mg/dL.8-9 This residual CVD risk seems to depend at least partly on increased levels of triglycerides (TG) and decreased levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Recent data indicate that up
to 50% of patients treated with a statin who have achieved LDL-C target levels have low HDL-C levels. In addition, based on current data, increased TG is nowadays considered to be a significant CVD risk factor.

The National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) recognized both low HDL-C (<40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L] for men, <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L] for women) and elevated TG levels (≥150 mg/dL [1.69 mmol/L]) as markers of increased CVD risk, independently of LDL-C levels. Mixed dyslipidemia, which is characterized by elevated TG (≥50 mg/dL [1.69 mmol/L]) and low HDL-C levels (<40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L] for men, <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L] for women) with or without increased LDL-C, apolipoprotein (apo) B or non-HDL-C levels is typical in patients with type 2 diabetes and/or the metabolic syndrome. Mixed dyslipidemia is also characterized by an altered LDL subfraction profile with a preponderance of small dense LDL-C particles. Small dense LDL-C particles are considered to be highly atherogenic. Statins reduce LDL-C levels effectively, but they manifest limited effects on TG and HDL-C levels, as well as on LDL-C particle size modification, especially in patients with mixed dyslipidemia. There is accumulating evidence suggesting that treatment of patients with increased CVD risk, the metabolic syndrome, or diabetes should be oriented not only against decreasing LDL-C, but also raising HDL-C levels. It becomes apparent that agents effective against these components of atherogenic dyslipidemia have an intriguing role to play in CVD risk reduction. However, there is no overwhelming evidence that treating these targets will alter major CVD outcomes. Furthermore, specific treatment goals for non-LDL parameters are not currently defined.

Forty years since the introduction of the first fibrate in clinical practice, the exact role of these pharmacologic compounds remains ill-defined. Fenofibrate is one of the most commonly prescribed lipid-lowering agents in the world. Trilipix® (fenofibric acid, ABT-335), is the newest formulation of a fibric acid derivative approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Both statins and fibrates have favorable effects on several lipid and nonlipid parameters. Combining a statin with a fibrate may have a global beneficial effect because these two groups of pharmacologic agents differ in a substantial number of lipid and nonlipid parameters, and may in fact act in a complementary fashion. Updated guidelines from the NCEP ATP III recognize the potential beneficial effects of fibrates used in combination with a statin in patients with mixed dyslipidemia and coronary heart disease (CHD) or CHD risk equivalents.

### Aspects of pharmacology

Fenofibrate chemically is a 2-[4[(4-chlorobenzoyl)phenoxy]-2-methyl-propanoic acid, 1-methylethyl ester. Hydrolysis of the ester bond converts fenofibrate to its active form, namely fenofibric acid. Fenofibrate is a lipophilic compound, and its absolute bioavailability is hard to estimate because it is highly insoluble in water. It is highly protein bound (99%), primarily to albumin. Under normal conditions no unmodified fenofibrate is found in plasma.
peak in six to eight hours, while steady-state plasma levels are reached within 5 days. Its absorption is increased with meals, and the half-life is 16 hours.\(^{26,27,29}\)

Fenofibric acid is inactivated by UDP-glucuronyltranferase into fenofibric acid glucuronide,\(^{30}\) and is mainly excreted in urine (60%) as fenofibric acid and fenofibric acid glucuronide (ester glucuronidation takes place in hepatic and renal tissues).\(^{26}\) As a result, fenofibric acid may accumulate in severe kidney disease (creatinine clearance, [\(\text{CrCl}\), \(30\) mL/min]),\(^{28,31}\) and is not eliminated by hemodialysis.\(^{31}\)

Since the initial introduction of a fenofibrate in clinical practice, several other formulations have been developed in order to optimize its pharmacologic properties. The major drawbacks of the original fenofibrate formulation were its low availability and the necessitation of taking it with meals, especially fat meals. The new formulation is Trilipix (also known as fenofibric acid delayed-release or choline fenofibrate) which is the choline salt of fenofibrate. Trilipix does not require enzymatic cleavage to become active. It rapidly dissociates to the active form of free fenofibric acid within the gastrointestinal tract and does not undergo first-pass hepatic metabolism.\(^{21}\)

Trilipix is manufactured as delayed-release 45 mg and 135 mg capsules. The chemical name for choline fenofibrate is ethanaminium, 2-hydroxy-N,N,N-trimethyl, 2-\{4-(4-chlorobenzoyl)phenoxy\} -2-methylpropanoate (1:1)\(^{32}\) (see Figure 1). It is freely soluble in water. Trilipix delayed-release capsules can be taken without regard to meals. Of great importance, fenofibric acid is well absorbed throughout the gastrointestinal tract, and has statistically greater bioavailability than prior fenofibrate formulations, as has been demonstrated in healthy human volunteers.\(^{33}\)

### Pharmacokinetics

Fenofibric acid is the circulating pharmacologically active moiety in plasma after oral administration of Trilipix. Fenofibric acid is also the circulating pharmacologically active moiety in plasma after oral administration of fenofibrate, the ester of fenofibric acid. Plasma concentrations of fenofibric acid after one 135 mg delayed-release capsule are equivalent to those after one 200 mg capsule of micronized fenofibrate administered under fed conditions.

### Absorption

Fenofibric acid is well absorbed throughout the gastrointestinal tract. The absolute bioavailability of fenofibric acid is approximately 81%. The absolute bioavailability in the stomach, proximal small bowel, distal small bowel, and colon has been shown to be approximately 81%, 88%, 84%, and 78%, respectively, for fenofibric acid and 69%, 73%, 66%, and 22%, respectively, for fenofibrate (\(P < 0.0001\) and \(P = 0.033\) for fenofibric acid versus fenofibrate in the colon and distal small bowel, respectively).\(^{33}\) Fenofibric acid exposure in plasma, as measured by time to peak concentration in plasma and area under the concentration curve (AUC), is not significantly different when a single 135 mg dose of Trilipix is administered under fasting or nonfasting conditions.\(^{34}\)

### Distribution

Upon multiple dosing of Trilipix, fenofibric acid levels reach steady state within 8 days.\(^{34}\) Plasma concentrations of fenofibric acid at steady state are approximately slightly more than double those following a single dose.

### Metabolism

Fenofibric acid is primarily conjugated with glucuronic acid and then excreted in urine. A small amount of fenofibric acid is reduced at the carbonyl moiety to a benzhydrol metabolite which is, in turn, conjugated with glucuronic acid and excreted in urine.\(^{34}\) In vivo metabolism data after fenofibrate administration indicate that fenofibric acid does not undergo oxidative metabolism, eg, by cytochrome (CYP) P450, to a significant extent.

### Elimination

After absorption, Trilipix is primarily excreted in the urine in the form of fenofibric acid and fenofibric acid glucuronide.

---

**Figure 1** Chemical structure of ABT-335. The chemical name for choline fenofibrate is ethanaminium, 2-hydroxy-N,N,N-trimethyl, 2-\{4-(4-chlorobenzoyl)phenoxy\} -2-methylpropanoate. The empirical formula is \(\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{28}\text{ClNO}_5\) and the molecular weight is 421.91.
Fenofibric acid is eliminated with a half-life of approximately 20 hours, allowing once-daily administration of Trilipix.

**Use in specific populations**

In five elderly volunteers aged 77–87 years, the oral clearance of fenofibric acid following a single oral dose of fenofibrate was 1.2 L/hour, which compares with 1.1 L/hour in young adults. This indicates that an equivalent dose of fenofibric acid tablets can be used in elderly subjects with normal renal function, without increasing accumulation of the drug or metabolites. Trilipix has not been investigated in well-controlled trials in pediatric patients. No pharmacokinetic difference between males and females has been observed for Trilipix. The influence of race on the pharmacokinetics of Trilipix has not been studied.

The pharmacokinetics of fenofibric acid were examined in patients with mild, moderate, and severe renal impairment. Patients with severe renal impairment (CrCl < 30 mL/min) showed a 2.7-fold increase in exposure to fenofibric acid and increased accumulation of fenofibric acid during chronic dosing compared with healthy subjects. Patients with mild-to-moderate renal impairment (CrCl 30–80 mL/min) had similar exposure but an increase in the half-life for fenofibric acid compared with that in healthy subjects. Based on these findings, the use of Trilipix should be avoided in patients who have severe renal impairment, and dose reduction is required in patients having mild to moderate renal impairment. No pharmacokinetic studies have been conducted in patients with hepatic impairment.

**Drug–drug interactions**

*In vitro* studies using human liver microsomes indicate that fenofibric acid is not an inhibitor of CYP P450 isoforms CYP3A4, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, or CYP1A2. It is a weak inhibitor of CYP2C8, CYP2C19, and CYP2A6, and a mild-to-moderate inhibitor of CYP2C9 at therapeutic concentrations. Accordingly, fenofibric acid may have the potential to cause various pharmacokinetic drug interactions.

Since they are highly protein-bound, all fibric acid derivatives may increase the anticoagulant effect of coumarin derivatives. Serial monitoring of the International Normalized Ratio should be performed. Caution should be exercised when drugs that are highly protein-bound are given concomitantly with fenofibrate.

Interaction with cyclosporine has been reported to increase the risk of nephrotoxicity, myositis, and rhabdomyolysis, partly due to the fact that both are metabolized through CYP 3A4. Careful consideration should be given when fenofibric acid is administered with other potential nephrotoxic drugs and, if necessary, lower doses of fenofibric acid may be used.

Bile acid sequestrants may decrease the absorption of fenofibrate and therefore the bioavailability of fenofibric acid. It is recommended that fenofibrate be taken at least one hour before or 4–6 hours after bile acid resins.

Concerning the pharmacokinetic interactions between fenofibric acid and statins, no clinically significant pharmacokinetic drug interaction between fenofibrate simvastatin, pravastatin, atorvastatin, and rosuvastatin has been observed in humans. Not all fibrates share the same pharmacokinetic properties. *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that gemfibrozil interacts with the same family of glucuronidation enzymes involved in statin metabolism. As a result of inhibiting statin glucuronidation, gemfibrozil co-administration with statins generally produces increases in the statin AUC. Gemfibrozil is also an inducer of CYP3A4, but acts as both an inducer and an inhibitor of CYP2C8. In contrast, fenofibrate is metabolized by different glucuronidation enzymes and as a result, does not lead to pharmacokinetic interactions with statins in a clinically relevant way.

**Mode of action**

**Effects on lipids**

Fenofibric acid derivatives exert their primary effects on lipid metabolism via the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha (PPAR-α) by the active fenofibric acid. Several target genes modulating lipid metabolism are encoded through the activation of these receptors. Fenofibrate affects the metabolism of TG and HDL-C through several pathways.

Fenofibrate is able to reduce plasma TG levels by inhibiting their synthesis and stimulating their clearance. Primarily, fenofibrate induces fatty acid β-oxidation and, in this way, the availability of fatty acids for very LDL-C (VLDL-C) synthesis and secretion is reduced. Furthermore, it augments the activity of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity, which hydrolyzes TG on several lipoproteins. Apo C proteins are crucial for TG metabolism. Apo C III delays catabolism of TG-rich lipoproteins by inhibiting their binding to the endothelial surface and subsequent lipolysis by LPL. Fenofibrate decreases both apo C II and apo C III expression in the liver via PPAR-α activation. Apo C III reductions have also been shown to be the only significant and independent predictor of fenofibrate-induced TG alterations in obese patients with the metabolic syndrome.

Apart from TG reduction, fenofibrate is well known for its favorable actions on HDL-C levels. The fundamental
action of fenofibrate is the promotion of apo A I and II synthesis in the liver, which represent the main HDL-C apoproteins.52 Fenofibrate modifies HDL and the reverse cholesterol transport pathway through several mechanisms. Specifically, fenofibrate is able to increase pre-B1-HDL-C levels in patients with the metabolic syndrome,53 reduce total plasma cholesteryl ester transfer protein activity,54,55 induce the activity of adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter (ABCA1,56,57 member 1 of the human transporter subfamily ABCA), also known as the cholesterol efflux regulatory protein (CERP), and induce hepatic lipase activity.46

Some recent clinical reports have suggested that HDL-C levels may be paradoxically decreased after fenofibrate treatment.58,59 This appears to occur mainly in patients with combined fibrate plus statin therapy and possibly in those with low baseline HDL-C. A survey of 581 patients treated with the combination for 1 year or longer indicated that paradoxical HDL-C reductions are a relatively uncommon phenomenon.59 Approximately 15% of patients showed modest reduction in HDL-C levels. These reductions in HDL-C occurred mainly in individuals with significant HDL-C elevations (ie, >50 mg/dL, 1.3 mmol/L) and almost never in patients with low HDL-C. There was no impact of a previous diagnosis of diabetes or hypertension on the HDL-C changes.59

In addition, fibrates have been shown to decrease cholesterol synthesis by inhibiting hydroxymethylglutamylcoenzyme A reductase and to increase cholesterol excretion in the bile pool.55,60,61 Fenofibrate is able to reduce apo B levels, primarily as a result of reduced synthesis and secretion of TG, and not by directly influencing apo B production.45

**Effects on nonlipid parameters**

Fenofibrate has beneficial effects on several nonlipid parameters which are independent of its action on lipoproteins.62 As widely known, fibrates reduce fibrinogen levels. Fenofibric acid has been shown to inhibit plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and tissue factor expression on endothelial cells and macrophages.53 Fenofibrate also modulates platelet aggregation and endothelial dysfunction, via an incompletely elucidated molecular mechanism.54,65

Significant reductions in serum high sensitivity C-reactive protein levels have been observed with fenofibrate treatment.63,66 Fenofibrate effectively decreases serum interleukin-6 levels, as well as plasma platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase, which represents a novel inflammatory marker.66,67

Of importance, fenofibrate can significantly decrease serum uric acid levels by increasing renal urate expression, and considerable reductions in serum alkaline phosphatase and gamma glutamyltransferase activity are commonly observed during therapy with fenofibrate.63,68 The latter effects may have an application in patients with liver diseases, including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

Recent reports have stressed the role of fenofibrate in glucose and carbohydrate metabolism. However, this issue remains controversial, with some studies demonstrating beneficial effects on insulin secretion51,66 and others showing no effect.69

**Studies evaluating the efficacy of fenofibrate**

**Fenofibrate as monotherapy**

Fenofibrate is indicated for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia, combined dyslipidemia, remnant hyperlipidemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and mixed hyperlipidemia (Frederickson types IIa, IIb, III, IV, and V, respectively).

Fenofibrate as monotherapy decreases serum TG levels by 20%–50% and increases HDL-C levels by 10%–50%.48,70,71 The rise in HDL-C levels depends on baseline HDL-C concentrations, with the greatest elevations observed when baseline HDL-C is <39 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L).72 It also decreases LDL-C levels by 5%–20%.73 LDL-C response is directly related to baseline LDL-C levels and inversely related to baseline TG levels.71 In clinical practice, TG reduction is greater in hypertriglyceridemia phenotypes (up to 50%) and lower in Type IIa hypercholesterolemia (<30%). Fenofibrate also exerts beneficial effects on several apolipoprotein levels. Apo A I and apo A II levels are significantly increased, while apo C III and apo B levels are reduced.48

Fenofibrate has been shown to modify favorably both LDL and HDL subclass distributions. Treatment with fenofibrate shifts the ratio of LDL-C particle subspecies from small, dense, atherogenic LDL particles (LDL 4 and LDL 5) to large, buoyant ones (LDL 3).54 These larger, less dense LDL particles show higher affinity for the LDL receptor, while an association between small dense LDL and increased CVD risk has long been established. In addition, fenofibrate is able to alter HDL particle size.54 The HDL-C rise is accompanied with a shift of HDL from large to small particles.58,53,54,74–76 The antiatherogenic, antioxidative, and antiapoptotic properties of HDL have been attributed mainly to its small subfractions.77,78 Furthermore, plasma levels of small HDL subclasses has been shown to be a strong predictor of protection against atherosclerosis.79,80
Several large clinical and angiographic trials have evaluated the efficacy of fibrates as monotherapy in halting the progression of atherosclerotic disease (Table 2).81–85 The Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study was a 5-year, randomized, placebo-controlled trial testing the safety and efficacy of fenofibrate 200 mg in 9795 type 2 diabetic patients.86 The primary endpoint was CHD death or nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI). Fenofibrate failed to alter the primary endpoint significantly. However, fenofibrate reduced the composite of CVD death, MI, stroke, and coronary or carotid revascularization by 11% (P = 0.035). Interestingly, in this study, fenofibrate significantly reduced the need for retinal laser therapy (by 30%, P < 0.001), the rate of nontraumatic amputation (by 38%, P = 0.011), and the progression of albuminuria (P < 0.002). Of note, only 21% of the patients enrolled had

### Table 2 Major clinical and angiographic trials with fibrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Fibrate</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki heart study81</td>
<td>4081 male patients (40–55 years) with primary dyslipidemia and non-HDL-C levels &gt; 200 mg/dL (5.17 mmol/L)</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil 1200 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>34% decrease in fatal and nonfatal MI (95% CI 8.2–52.6, P &lt; 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bezaftibrate coronary atherosclerosis intervention study83</td>
<td>92 post-MI patients &lt; 45 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Bezaftibrate 200 mg (3 times daily)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Less disease progression in focal lesions as assessed coronary angiograms in segments with &lt;50% diameter stenosis at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPID coronary angiography trial82</td>
<td>395 post-coronary bypass men ≤ 70 years with HDL-C &lt; 42.46 mg/dL (1.1 mmol/L) and LDL-C ≤ 170 mg/dL (4.5 mmol/L)</td>
<td>32 months</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil 1200 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Decrease in rate of change in native coronary segments and minimum luminal diameter, and new lesions (2% for gemfibrozil vs 14% for placebo, P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bezaftibrate infarction prevention study85</td>
<td>3090 patients (45–74 years) with CHD</td>
<td>6.2 years</td>
<td>Bezaftibrate 400 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Decrease by 9% in fatal and nonfatal MI and sudden death (nonsignificant vs placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans affairs high-density lipoprotein cholesterol intervention study84</td>
<td>2531 patients (&lt;74 years) with CHD and HDL-C &lt; 39 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.1 years</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil 1200 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Decrease by 24% in composite of CHD death, nonfatal MI, stroke; by 24% in CVD events; by 25% in stroke, and by 22% in CHD death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes atherosclerosis intervention study88</td>
<td>418 patients aged 40–65 years with DM and TC/HDL-C &lt; 4 or LDL-C &lt; 170 mg/dL (4.5 mmol/L) or LDL-C 135–170 mg/dL (3.5–4.5 mmol/L) and TG ≤ 495 mg/dL (5.2 mmol/L)</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Fenofibrate 200 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>40% decrease in minimum lumen diameter (P = 0.029 vs placebo); 42% decrease in progression in percentage diameter stenosis (P = 0.02 vs placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenofibrate intervention and event lowering in diabetes (FIELD) study86</td>
<td>9795 patients with type 2 diabetes, 50–75 years, 2 (131 patients with documented CVD)</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Fenofibrate 200 mg daily</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>24% decrease in nonfatal MI (P = 0.01 vs placebo); 11% decrease in total CVD (P = 0.04 vs placebo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.
mixed dyslipidemia (TG ≥ 200 mg/dL [2.25 mmol/L] and HDL-C < 40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L] for men and <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L] for women). Fenofibrate decreased TG and LDL-C moderately (by 29% and 12%, respectively) and increased HDL-C by 5% at 4 months. Using the NCEP ATP III definition of metabolic syndrome, more than 80% of FIELD participants qualified as having the condition. Each feature of metabolic syndrome, excluding increased waist circumference, was associated with an increase in the absolute 5-year risk for CVD events by at least 3%. Marked dyslipidemia (defined as elevated triglycerides ≥2.3 mmol/L and low HDL-C) was associated with the highest risk of CVD events (17.8%). The largest effect of fenofibrate on CVD risk reduction was observed in subjects with marked dyslipidemia, in whom a 27% relative risk reduction (95% confidence interval [CI] 9%–42%, P = 0.005; number needed to treat = 23) was observed.

In the earlier Diabetes Atherosclerosis Intervention Study (DAIS) study, 418 type 2 diabetic patients were enrolled (baseline lipid profile: LDL-C 132 mg/dL [3.4 mmol/L]; TG 221 mg/dL [2.49 mmol/L]; and HDL-C 40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L]). DAIS was a 3-year, randomized, placebo-controlled angiographic trial. Fenofibrate slowed the angiographic progression of coronary atherosclerosis, along with considerable improvement in the lipid profile (LDL-C reduction by 6%, TG reduction by 28%, and HDL-C increase by 7%). Fenofibrate decreased the progression of focal coronary atheroma by 40% versus placebo. Additionally, fenofibrate decreased the incidence of microalbuminuria by 54%.

**Fibrate and statin combination therapy**

It is well accepted that statins are the primary and more efficient method of reducing LDL-C levels even at low doses. However, statins manifest minimal effects in raising HDL-C levels (5%–15%) and in decreasing TG levels (7%–30%). Fenofibrate has small or minimal effects on LDL-C levels, which depends on baseline TG levels. These data imply that a combination of a statin and a fibrate may have additional benefits, especially in patients with mixed dyslipidemia.

Combining fenofibrate with a statin appeared to be safe and effective in several short-term studies (Table 3). The combination of fenofibrate with a statin, along with better improvements in lipid profile, has been shown to induce a marked increase in the ratio of large to small LDL subspecies compared with statin monotherapy.

Long-term, placebo-controlled trials with the combination of a fibrate and a statin with hard CVD outcomes are lacking. In the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) Lipid study, researchers evaluated whether adding fenofibrate to statin therapy prevents adverse cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes. A total of 5518 diabetic patients (mean age, 62 years; 31% women; glycosylated hemoglobin ≥ 7.5%; LDL-C 60–180 mg/dL [1.55–4.65 mmol/L]; HDL-C < 55 mg/dL [1.42 mmol/L] for women and <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L] for all other groups) were enrolled. All participants received simvastatin 20–40 mg/day and also were assigned to daily fenofibrate 160 mg or placebo. Mean follow-up was 4.7 years. Participants were also randomized to either intensive or standard glycemic control and to either intensive or standard blood pressure control. Glycemic control in the ACCORD study was stopped early in February 2008 because of higher mortality in the intensive glycemic control group. All patients were then transferred to a standard glycemic control regimen.

In both groups, mean LDL-C levels dropped from 100.0 mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L) to about 80.0 mg/dL (2.07 mmol/L). Mean HDL-C levels increased from 38.0 mg/dL (0.98 mmol/L) to 41.2 mg/dL (1.07 mmol/L) in the fenofibrate group and to 40.5 mg/dL (1.05 mmol/L) in the placebo group. Median TG levels decreased from about 189 mg/dL (2.13 mmol/L) to 147 mg/dL (1.66 mmol/L) in the fenofibrate group and to 170 mg/dL (1.92 mmol/L) in the placebo group. The primary endpoint, adverse (major fatal or nonfatal) cardiovascular events, occurred with similar frequency in the two groups (2.2% versus 2.4% per year; hazard ratio 0.92; P = 0.32). Among the secondary endpoints, there was also no statistically significant difference between the two treatments. No subgroup analysis was strongly positive. Only gender showed evidence of an interaction according to study group. The primary outcome for men was 11.2% in the fenofibrate group versus 13.3% in the placebo group, whereas the rate for women was 9.1% in the fenofibrate group versus 6.6% in the placebo group (P = 0.01 for interaction). A possible benefit was also suggested for patients who had a TG level in the highest third (≥204 mg/dL [≥2.30 mmol/L]) and an HDL-C in the lowest third (≤34 mg/dL [≤0.88 mmol/L]). The primary outcome was 12.4% in the fenofibrate group versus 17.3% in the placebo group, whereas such rates were 10.1% in both study groups for all other patients (P = 0.057 for interaction). Although patients receiving fenofibrate had higher rates of treatment discontinuation due to an increase in glomerular filtration rate (GFR), a lower incidence of both microalbuminuria (38.2% versus 41.6, P = 0.01) and macroalbuminuria...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Combination arm</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Grundy et al\textsuperscript{76} | Mixed dyslipidemia    | 619 | 12 weeks | Simvastatin 20 mg | Fenofibrate 160 mg + simvastatin 20 mg | Fenofibrate + simvastatin vs simvastatin:  
- TG: ↓43% vs 20.1%  
- LDL-c: ↓31.2% vs 25.8%  
- Non-HDL-c: ↓31.2% vs 26.8%  
- HDL-c: ↑18.6% vs 9.7% |
| Vega et al\textsuperscript{95}   | Mixed dyslipidemia + Metabolic syndrome | 20  | 3 months | a) Simvastatin 10 mg + placebo  
b) Placebo + placebo | Fenofibrate 200 mg + simvastatin 10 mg | Fenofibrate + simvastatin vs simvastatin + placebo:  
- TG: ↓52% vs 23%  
- HDL-c: ↑23% vs 6% (non significant vs baseline)  
- No significant differences between groups in T-c, non HDL-c and apoB |
| Kiortisis et al\textsuperscript{92} | Mixed lipid disorders | 12  | 18 weeks | 6 weeks on fenofibrate 200 mg/day, then 6 weeks on atorvastatin 40 mg/day, then combination treatment with atorvastatin 40 mg + fenofibrate 200 mg | Atorvastatin 40 mg + fenofibrate 200 mg | Fenofibrate + atorvastatin vs atorvastatin vs fenofibrate:  
- T-c: ↓42.3% vs 35.2% vs 14.7%  
- LDL-c: ↓42.2% vs 36.9% vs 8.7%  
- TG: ↓46% vs 27.6% vs 39.5%  
- HDL-c: ↑78.6% vs 14% vs 25% |
| Ellen and McPherson\textsuperscript{90} | Mixed dyslipidemia + CHD | 80  | 2 years  | a) Addition of Fenofibrate 200 or 300 mg to pravastatin 20 mg (N = 63)  
b) Addition of Fenofibrate 200 or 300 mg to simvastatin 10 (N = 17) | Fenofibrate 200 mg + atorvastatin 20 mg | Combination vs baseline:  
- T-c: ↓26 ± 1%  
- TG: ↓41.3 ± 3%  
- LDL-c: ↓28 ± 2%  
- HDL-c: ↑72 ± 6% |
| Athyros et al\textsuperscript{91}   | Type 2 diabetic patients + mixed dyslipidemia | 120 | 24 weeks | a) Atorvastatin 20 mg  
b) Fenofibrate 200 mg | Fenofibrate 200 mg + atorvastatin 20 mg | Fenofibrate + atorvastatin vs atorvastatin:  
- T-c: ↓37%  
- LDL-c: ↓37%  
- LDL-c: ↓46%  
- TG: ↓50%  
- HDL-c: ↑72% |
| Derosa et al\textsuperscript{94}    | Type 2 diabetic patients with mixed dyslipidemia and CHD | 48  | 12 months | Fluvastatin 80 mg + placebo | Fenofibrate 200 mg + fluvastatin 80 mg | Fenofibrate + fluvastatin vs fluvastatin + placebo:  
- LDL-c: ↓35% vs 25%  
- TG: ↓32% vs 17%  
- HDL-c: ↑34% vs 14% |

**Abbreviations:** CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL-c, high density cholesterol; LDL-c, low density cholesterol; T-c, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; apo, apolipoprotein.
(10.5% versus 12.3%, \( P = 0.03 \)) was noted in the fenofibrate group compared with the placebo group.\textsuperscript{96}

**Trilipix (ABT-335, fenofibrin acid) clinical studies**

The efficacy and safety of Trilipix has been evaluated in a large well-designed Phase III clinical program in approximately 2400 patients. Inclusion criteria consisted of elevated TG (\( \geq 150 \text{ mg/dL} \) or 1.69 mmol/L), decreased HDL-C levels (\(< 40 \text{ mg/dL} \) or 1.03 mmol/L for men and \(< 50 \text{ mg/dL} \) or 1.29 mmol/L for women), and elevated LDL-C levels (\( \geq 130 \text{ mg/dL} \) or 3.36 mmol/L). Trilipix 135 mg was compared as monotherapy and as combination therapy with three different statins (atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, and simvastatin). Primary efficacy endpoints were mean percentage changes in HDL-C and TG (comparing each combination with statin monotherapy) and LDL-C levels (comparing each combination with Trilipix monotherapy).\textsuperscript{97} All three studies consistently demonstrated that all Trilipix and statin dose groups resulted in greater increases in HDL-C and decreases in TG than the prespecified corresponding dose monotherapy. All three trials incorporated a 6-week dietary run-in period (during which patients underwent washout of any lipid-lowering medication), a 12-week treatment period, and a 30-day follow-up period. In addition, at study end, patients could be enrolled in a 12-month open-label extension study in order to evaluate the long-term efficacy and safety of combination therapy.

In the first trial to be completed, 657 patients with mixed dyslipidemia were enrolled. Patients were randomized to Trilipix 135 mg or simvastatin 20, 40, or 80 mg, or to the combination of Trilipix 135 mg plus simvastatin 20 or 40 mg once daily for 12 weeks.\textsuperscript{98} The trial met its primary efficacy endpoints. The combination of Trilipix with simvastatin 20 mg or 40 mg was more potent in reducing TG and increasing HDL-C levels than monotherapy with simvastatin 20 or 40 mg, and more potent in decreasing LDL-C levels compared with Trilipix monotherapy. Specifically, the combination of Trilipix with simvastatin 20 mg raised HDL-C levels by 17.8%, while simvastatin 20 mg raised HDL-C by 7.2% (\( P < 0.001 \)). The combination of Trilipix with simvastatin 20 mg resulted in a reduction in TG levels by 37.4%, while monotherapy with simvastatin 20 mg lowered TG levels by 14.2% (\( P < 0.001 \)). Trilipix with simvastatin reduced LDL-C levels by 24% while monotherapy with Trilipix reduced LDL-C levels by 4% (\( P < 0.001 \)). The combination of Trilipix with simvastatin 40 mg resulted in improvement of all lipid parameters. Specifically, the combination raised HDL-C levels by 18.9% compared with 8.5% with simvastatin 40 mg monotherapy (\( P < 0.001 \)), reduced TG levels by 42.7% versus 22.4% with simvastatin 40 mg (\( P < 0.001 \)), and reduced LDL-C levels by 25.3% (versus 4% with Trilipix monotherapy, \( P < 0.001 \)).\textsuperscript{98}

Regarding the secondary endpoints of the trial, both combinations (Trilipix with simvastatin 20 mg or 40 mg) resulted in greater improvements in non-HDL-C levels and VLDL-C levels compared with simvastatin and Trilipix monotherapies. Trilipix with simvastatin 20 mg resulted in greater reductions in apo B protein levels compared with simvastatin 20 mg (\( P < 0.012 \)).\textsuperscript{98}

Trilipix was compared with atorvastatin in 613 patients with mixed dyslipidemia.\textsuperscript{99} Patients were randomly assigned to monotherapy with Trilipix 135 mg or atorvastatin 20, 40, or 80 mg, or the combination of Trilipix with atorvastatin 20 or 40 mg and treated for 12 weeks. Trilipix in combination with atorvastatin 20 mg resulted in a 45.6% reduction in TG levels versus 16.5% with atorvastatin 20 mg (\( P < 0.001 \)). Regarding HDL-C levels, the combination increased HDL-C by 14%, while atorvastatin 20 mg increased HDL-C by 6.3% (\( P = 0.005 \)). The combination also provided greater decreases in mean percentage LDL-C (33.7%) compared with Trilipix monotherapy (3.4%, \( P < 0.001 \)). The results for the combination of Trilipix with atorvastatin 40 mg were similar, decreasing TG by 42.1% versus 23.2% with atorvastatin 40 mg monotherapy, while HDL-C increased by 12.6% versus 5.3% with atorvastatin 40 mg (\( P < 0.001 \) for TG and \( P = 0.01 \) for HDL-C). Trilipix with atorvastatin 40 mg decreased LDL-C levels by 35.4% (\( P < 0.001 \) versus Trilipix monotherapy). Trilipix with atorvastatin 20 mg also resulted in higher decreases in non-HDL-C levels compared with both monotherapies (\( P < 0.001 \) versus Trilipix and \( P = 0.026 \) compared with atorvastatin 20 mg). Apo B levels were significantly improved with combination therapy of Trilipix and atorvastatin 20 mg compared with atorvastatin monotherapy (\( P = 0.046 \)). Trilipix combined with atorvastatin 40 mg also resulted in greater improvements in non-HDL-C levels compared with Trilipix (\( P < 0.001 \)), and higher decreases in VLDL-C compared with atorvastatin 40 mg (\( P < 0.001 \)). Total cholesterol and high sensitivity C-reactive protein levels did not differ among groups.\textsuperscript{99}

The third randomized trial included in the Trilipix evaluation clinical program evaluated the efficacy and safety of Trilipix alone and in combination with rosvuastatin in a large number of patients with mixed dyslipidemia (n = 1445).\textsuperscript{100} Patients were randomized to receive Trilipix 135 mg, Trilipix plus rosvuastatin 10 or 20 mg, or rosvuastatin 10, 20, or 40 mg once daily for 12 weeks. Trilipix plus rosvuastatin 10 mg increased HDL-C by 20.3% versus 8.5% with rosvuastatin
10 mg alone (P < 0.001) and decreased TG by 47.1% versus 24.4% (P < 0.001). The mean percentage reduction in LDL-C with the combination of Trilipix and rosuvastatin 10 mg was 37.2%, compared with 6.5% with Trilipix monotherapy.

With the combination of Trilipix and rosuvastatin 20 mg, HDL-C decreased by 19% (mean percentage decrease) and by 10.3% with rosuvastatin 20 mg monotherapy. TG levels were reduced by 42.9% with the combination and by 25.6% with rosuvastatin alone (P < 0.001). Trilipix plus rosuvastatin 20 mg decreased LDL-C by 38.8% compared with Trilipix alone, which decreased LDL-C by 6.5% (P < 0.001).

Concerning the secondary efficacy endpoints, both combinations significantly improved non-HDL-C compared with Trilipix monotherapy. Trilipix plus rosuvastatin 10 mg resulted in greater improvements in VLDL-C, apo B, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein than rosuvastatin 10 mg. Trilipix plus rosuvastatin 20 mg significantly improved VLDL-C levels and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels compared with rosuvastatin 20 mg.100

The long-term safety and efficacy of Trilipix combined with simvastatin, atorvastatin, or rosuvastatin was examined in a Phase III, open-label, 2-year extension study in patients who had completed one of the three abovementioned double-blind studies and the subsequent open-label, 1-year extension study. Of 310 patients enrolled, 287 completed the 2-year study.101 The primary efficacy endpoint was the percentage of subjects reporting adverse events during combination therapy in the preceding double-blind studies or in the open-label 1-year study or 2-year study. No deaths or treatment-related serious adverse events were reported. No case of rhabdomyolysis occurred. The rate of discontinuation was 2.9% overall. This study also demonstrated that the improvements in HDL-C (+17.4%), TG (−46.4%), and LDL-C (−40.4%) were sustained.101

Additionally, a pooled subgroup analysis of the abovementioned, randomized, double-blind trials in 586 patients with mixed dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes was performed. It was demonstrated that fenofibric acid and statin combination therapy in patients with mixed dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes was well tolerated, and resulted in more comprehensive improvement in the lipid and apolipoprotein profile than either monotherapy.102

Safety and tolerability

Several trials show that fenofibrate is safe and well tolerated.73,86 The most frequent adverse events are gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea and diarrhea) and musculoskeletal symptoms (myalgia and moderate elevation of creatine kinase). Other uncommon adverse effects are skin reactions and headache, vertigo, sleep disorders, and loss of libido.70,73

Fenofibrate may increase creatinine and urea levels by 12% and 8%, respectively, while some have reported increases up to 40% and 36%, respectively.103 In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, 6-week trial, fenofibrate treatment was shown to decrease GFR by less than 20% in subjects with normal renal function compared with placebo.104 Renal function usually returns to baseline levels after drug discontinuation.103,105 However, permanent increases in transplant recipients have been observed.103 It has been suggested that fibrates may impair the generation of vasodilatory prostaglandins via PPAR-α activation, which can downregulate the expression of the inducible COX-2 enzyme.106 In the FIELD substudy, changes in markers of renal function in 170 type 2 diabetic patients were addressed. It was confirmed that fenofibrate increased creatinine levels and concomitantly decreased GFR.107 However, no cases of renal failure have been described with fenofibrate monotherapy in the FIELD study. These changes complicate the clinical surveillance and jeopardize compliance with fenofibrate treatment.

Indeed, in the ACCORD Lipid study, mean serum creatinine levels increased from 0.93 to 1.10 mg/dL (82 to 97 μmol/L) in the fenofibrate group within the first year and remained relatively stable thereafter.96 The study drug was discontinued by 66 patients (2.4%) in the fenofibrate group and 30 (1.1%) in the placebo group because of a decrease in the estimated GFR. At the last clinic visit, 440 patients (15.9%) in the fenofibrate group and 194 (7.0%) in the placebo group were receiving a reduced dose of either fibrate or placebo because of a decreased estimated GFR.96

The clinical relevance of the creatinine and GFR changes needs to be assessed in a long-term outcome study of renal function. Measurement of baseline creatinine values is advocated. Routine monitoring of creatinine is not necessary. If a patient has a clinically important increase in creatinine, other potential causes of creatinine increase should be excluded, and consideration should be given to discontinuing fibrate therapy or reducing the dose.21 It is well known that fibrates (apart from gemfibrozil) can induce considerable increases in homocysteine levels.108 Homocysteine levels have been speculated to increase CVD risk, however the clinical impact remains obscure.109,110 It has been suggested that the rise in homocysteine levels is directly related to the effects of fibrates on serum creatinine and on GFR, and mediated by PPAR-α activation. The rise in homocysteine levels has been implicated in the relative ineffectiveness of fenofibrate in the FIELD study.86 It has
been suggested than increased homocysteine levels may reduce apo A I expression and may account for the small increases in HDL-C observed in the FIELD study.\textsuperscript{111}

Fenofibrate is absolutely contraindicated in patients with severe renal or hepatic dysfunction, pre-existing gallbladder disease, or unexplained liver function abnormalities.

The greatest impediment in combining a fibrate with a statin is the potential risk of myopathy. Both fibrates and statins have been reported to cause myopathy and rhabdomyolysis.\textsuperscript{112-114} However, there are differences in myopathy risk between fibrates. Gemfibrozil in combination with any statin is associated with a 15-fold higher risk of rhabdomyolysis than fenofibrate, because these two classes of drug are metabolized by the same glucuronidation enzymes, as mentioned above.\textsuperscript{115,116} In the FIELD study, no cases of rhabdomyolysis was described among 944 patients receiving fenofibrate plus a statin.\textsuperscript{96} In the ACCORD Lipid study, elevations of creatine kinase of more than 10 times the upper limit of the normal range at any time during the trial occurred in 10 patients (0.4%) in the fenofibrate group and 9 (0.3%) in the placebo group ($P = 0.83$).\textsuperscript{96} No cases of rhabdomyolysis were reported.\textsuperscript{96}

Based on data from the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System fenofibrate may be the fibrate of choice for use in combination with a statin, and fenofibric acid (Trilipix) is the only fibric acid derivative approved for use in combination with a statin. The safety of the newer formulation of fenofibric acid alone and in combination with low and moderate statin therapy was evaluated as part of the Phase III clinical programme. Fenofibric acid proved to be safe both as monotherapy and in combination with statins. In addition, the long-term safety of fenofibric acid combined with statins was tested for up to 2 years in patients with mixed dyslipidemia. No deaths, rhabdomyolysis, or other serious adverse events were reported. However, there are reports in the literature of coadministration of fenofibrate and statins inducing rhabdomyolysis.\textsuperscript{117-119} Clinicians should be cautious, and other potential factors known to increase the risk of myopathy (eg, hypothyroidism, old age, and renal dysfunction) should be eliminated.\textsuperscript{119, 120}

**Treatment guidelines**

According to several national guidelines, LDL-C reduction remains the primary target for treatment in both diabetic and nondiabetic for primary and secondary prevention.

In diabetic patients, although elevated LDL-C is not the major lipid abnormality, both the American Heart Association (AHA) and the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recognize lowering LDL-C as the primary measure for CVD prevention. In type 2 diabetic patients, the proposed target for LDL-C is $< 100$ mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L), while in 2008 the ADA proposed LDL-C to be $< 70$ mg/dL (1.81 mmol/L) in patients with diabetes and CVD.\textsuperscript{2}

Several national guidelines have addressed the issue of high TG, non-HDL-C and low HDL-C, without reaching definite conclusions. NCEP ATP III proposes that when TG levels exceed 200 mg/dL (2.25 mmol/L) non-HDL-C (LDL, VLDL-C, and intermediate-density lipoprotein) should be a secondary target of therapy after the LDL-C target has been achieved. Goals for non-HDL-C cholesterol are 30 mg/dL (0.77 mmol/L) higher than goals for LDL-C.

On the basis of Class C evidence, the ADA in 2008 proposed for diabetic patients a TG target $< 150$ mg/dL (1.69 mmol/L) and HDL-C $> 40$ mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L; for men) and above 50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L; for women).\textsuperscript{121} While the AHA proposes in diabetic patients that, if TG levels are above 200 mg/dL (2.25 mmol/L), non-HDL-C should be below 130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L), without specifying a precise HDL-C target.\textsuperscript{2}

Regarding secondary prevention, the AHA guidelines propose that if TG levels are 200–499 mg/dL (2.25–5.6 mmol/L) non-HDL-C should be $< 130$ mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L) and even $< 100$ mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L) if patients are at very increased risk. This could be achieved either by lowering LDL-C levels more intensively or by adding niacin or a fibrate.\textsuperscript{3}

Updated guidelines from the NCEP ATP III recognize the potential of statin–fibrate combination therapy in patients with mixed dyslipidemia and CHD or CHD risk equivalents.\textsuperscript{25} All things considered, fenofibric acid is a useful adjunct indicated in combination with a statin to reduce TG and increase HDL-C in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia and CHD or CHD risk equivalents who are on optimal statin therapy to achieve the LDL-C goal. As monotherapy, it may be used to reduce TG levels in patients with severe hypertriglyceridemia, to reduce total cholesterol, LDL-C, apo B, and TG, and to increase HDL-C in patients with primary hyperlipidemia or mixed hyperlipidemia. Trilipix delayed-release capsules can be taken without regard to meals. For convenience, the daily dose of Trilipix may be taken at the same time as a statin, according to the dosing recommendations for each medication.

**Conclusions**

The existing burden of CVD will continue to increase as the population ages. Statins constitute the mainstay of treatment, both in primary and secondary CVD prevention. However, many patients remain at risk of CVD despite LDL-C being at
the recommended targets. It is now widely understood that, apart from LDL-C, the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis incorporates a number of other risk factors, including atherogenic dyslipidemia (elevated TG and low HDL-C levels) which should also be addressed.

Fenofibrate is a widely used hypolipidemic agent. Evidence demonstrating significant CVD reduction with fenofibrate is not robust. In the FIELD study, fenofibrate failed to reduce the primary endpoint of CHD death or nonfatal MI. However, fenofibrate reduced significantly the composite of CVD death, MI, stroke, and coronary or carotid revascularization. In addition, FIELD evaluated the effects of fenofibrate in a specific population (type 2 diabetes with a small percentage manifesting mixed dyslipidemia), and extrapolating these results in different populations is neither feasible nor reasonable. Although the results of FIELD may be relatively disappointing, the possibility of delaying both microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetic patients is of particular importance. Hitherto, fenofibrate is the sole hypolipidemic treatment manifesting protection against microvascular events in diabetes patients.

The results of the ACCORD Lipid study were widely expected and not surprising, given that two-thirds of participants would not be treated with fibrates under current guidelines. While the primary endpoint of the study was not met, in the prespecified subgroup of patients with atherogenic dyslipidemia (elevated TG and low HDL-C) fenofibrate plus simvastatin was associated with a 31% lower rate of fatal and nonfatal CVD events than simvastatin alone. In line with earlier clinical trials, fenofibrate also reduced micro- and macroalbuminuria, both of which are markers of diabetic renal disease.

Currently, national guidelines and expert authorities propose a fibrate to be used as an adjunctive measure when LDL-C or non-HDL-C targets have not been achieved with statins. Fenofibrate is the fibrate of choice for combination with a statin. Trilipix is a new fenofibric acid formulation approved for use as monotherapy and the only one to be approved for combination with statins. The efficacy and safety of Trilipix alone and in combination with rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, or simvastatin have been extensively investigated in over 2400 patients with mixed dyslipidemia. Trilipix appears to be effective and safe both as monotherapy and in combination with statins. Trilipix may be taken without regard to meals, and may have greater bioavailability compared with prior formulations. The ability of patients to maintain drug adherence over time, as well as to a healthy lifestyle, is of special importance both for quality of life and for CVD reduction, and this property may be proved to be considerably advantageous.
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