LETTER

491

Clinical Outcomes After Topography-guided Refractive Surgery in Eyes with Myopia and Astigmatism—Comparing Results with New Planning Software to Those Obtained Using the Manifest Refraction [Letter]

> This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: *Clinical Ophthalmology*

## Manoj Motwani

Motwani LASIK Institute, San Diego, CA, 92121, USA

#### Correspondence: Manoj Motwani Motwani LASIK Institute, 4520 Executive Dr., Suite 230, San Diego, CA, 92121, USA Tel +1 858 554-0008 Email drmmlj@gmail.com



# **Dear editor**

In response to the study performed by Brunson et al "Clinical Outcomes After Topography-guided Refractive Surgery in Eyes with Myopia and Astigmatism— Comparing Results with New Planning Software to Those Obtained Using the Manifest Refraction," there are significant issues with this study and the use of the Phorcides Analytic Engine that must be examined.<sup>1</sup>

Both manuscripts now published concerning outcomes from the PAE have essentially followed the same script—focus on comparison to manifest refraction, comparing only visual results, no mention of axis variance from either manifest or Contoura measured astigmatism, no mention of controls in picking patients for inclusion in the study, such as a consecutive series to prevent "cherry-picking," small deviations in between magnitudes of manifest, Contoura measured, and Phorcides astigmatism, no topographic analysis to demonstrate that a more uniform cornea was being created, no analysis of Zernicke higher order aberration polynomials to show that HOAs were reduced and not induced, no data concerning residual astigmatism after treatment (except for a line stating no patient had greater than 0.5 D of residual astigmatism), no subjective patient outcomes, and no scientific explanation as to why Phorcides should be used and not simply the Contoura measured astigmatism, which also analyses the higher order aberrations on the anterior corneal surface, which is the most important refracting element of any optical system.<sup>1,2</sup>

Furthermore, the 20/15 results of 60% (in both manuscripts) are not even as high as the Alcon published results with WFO, which are 69%, nor are they as high as the results published utilizing the Contoura measured astigmatism and axis (LYRA Protocol) which has also been demonstrated to make a more uniform cornea, have excellent subjective patient visual outcomes, have better visual outcomes, and to successfully treat astigmatism based on Zernicke polynomials.<sup>1–8</sup>

Brunson et al also mischaracterize LYRA Protocol as "some combination of ... retaining use of the manifest cylinder and use of the topographic astigmatism and

Clinical Ophthalmology 2021:15 491-493

© 2021 Motvani. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-m/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). axis."<sup>1</sup> The LYRA Protocol uses exclusively the full amount of the Contoura measured astigmatism and axis and performs a topographic analysis of the anterior cornea surface higher order aberrations and the lower order astigmatism that is present after elimination of them.<sup>9–12</sup> Use of manifest astigmatism with topographic-guided ablation simply posits that there is no link between removal of corneal higher order aberrations and lower order astigmatism, and assumes that manual hand refractions between different surgeons/techs/optometrists are accurate, repeatable, and error free. It also erroneously states that the results are better than those of the LYRA Protocol, when the 20/ 15 results are not even as good as that of wavefrontoptimized.

Furthermore, the proponents of manifest refraction and the proponents of Phorcides make assumptions that the astigmatism posterior to the anterior corneal surface is significant, needs to be treated, is constant over time and unsusceptible to lens changes, etc, can successfully be treated on the anterior corneal surface, and is not susceptible to epithelial compensation or optical quality issues from newly induced corneal higher order aberrations.<sup>1,2,4</sup>

This manuscript again examines patients with only small degree of difference between manifest, а Contoura measured astigmatism, and Phorcides. The author has published a manuscript that shows that Phorcides becomes less accurate as the difference between manifest and Contoura astigmatism increases, a situation which requires greater accuracy not less.<sup>13</sup> The author also has published that the main reasons for inaccurate outcomes with the LYRA Protocol have been mainly epithelial compensation before and after treatment, and corneal changes in some corneas that occur during flap construction.<sup>13–15</sup> Posterior astigmatism was a vanishingly small reason for inaccuracy (in only two eyes of one patient), and in almost five years of constantly hunting for posterior astigmatism being a source of inaccuracy in our clinic utilizing topography/Contoura analysis, wavefront analysis, OCT epithelial mapping analysis and manifest refraction to do so has resulted in only a tiny number of eyes demonstrating inaccuracy due to astigmatism posterior to the corneal surface.

Finally, after surgically planning several hundred eyes with Phorcides it is difficult for us understand how the extra time and layer of Phorcides analysis is easier than simply utilizing the Contoura measured astigmatism and axis and adjusting the spherical equivalent or is "highly subjective" as the authors state. When Phorcides output is similar to Contoura Measured as it seems it is in both the Brunson and Lobanoff studies, the output if the astigmatism axis is also similar would also be similar avoiding the need for the extra work in utilizing Phorcides. When the magnitude between manifest and Contoura measured astigmatism grows, the author has already shown Phorcides is highly inaccurate.<sup>13</sup> The author simply does not find Phorcides an acceptable surgical planning tool, and believes that the data consistently points to creation of a uniform anterior corneal surface as the most accurate correction yielding the best visual outcomes with the best subjective patient vision.<sup>13</sup>

## Disclosure

Dr Motwani holds patents for the LYRA Protocol and epithelial compensation of corneal aberration treatment. The author reports no other conflicts of interest in this communication.

### References

- Brunson PB, Mann PM II, Mann PM, Potvin R. Clinical outcomes after topography-guided refractive surgery in eyes with myopia and astigmatism - comparing results with new planning software to those obtained using the manifest refraction. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:3975–3982. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S280959
- Lobanoff M, Stonecipher K, Tooma T, Wexler S, Potvin R. Clinical outcomes after topography-guided LASIK: comparing results based on a new topography analysis algorithm with those based on manifest refraction. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020;46(6):814–819. doi:10.1097/ j.jcrs.000000000000176
- Moshirfar M, Shah TJ, Skanchy DF, Linn SH, Kang P, Durrie DS. Comparison and analysis of FDA reported visual outcomes of the three latest platforms for LASIK: wavefront guided Visx iDesign, topography guided WaveLight Allegro Contoura, and topography guided Nidek EC-5000 CATz. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2017;11:135–147. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S115270
- Wallerstein A, Gauvin M, Cohen M. WaveLight((R)) Contoura topography-guided planning: contribution of anterior corneal higher-order aberrations and posterior corneal astigmatism to manifest refractive astigmatism. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018;12:1423–1426. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S169812
- Wallerstein A, Gauvin M. Phorcides more likely to give better vision than treating the manifest refraction? *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2020;46 (10):1451–1452. doi:10.1097/j.jcrs.00000000000386
- Motwani M, Pei R. The use of WaveLight Contoura to create a uniform cornea: 6-month results with subjective patient surveys. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018;12:1559–1566. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S175661
- Stulting RD, Fant BS, Group TCS. Results of topography-guided laser in situ keratomileusis custom ablation treatment with a refractive excimer laser. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2016;42(1):11–18.
- Stonecipher K, Tooma T, Lobanoff M, Wexler S. Outcomes of planning topography-guided LASIK with new analytic software vs. planning with manifest refraction in eyes with T-CAT parameters. Virtual ASCRS Presentation, Boston, MA. 2020.
- Motwani M. The use of WaveLight<sup>®</sup> Contoura to create a uniform cornea: the LYRA protocol. Part 1: the effect of higher-order corneal aberrations on refractive astigmatism. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2017;11:897–905. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S133839

- Motwani M. The use of WaveLight<sup>®</sup> Contoura to create a uniform cornea: the LYRA protocol. Part 2: the consequences of treating astigmatism on an incorrect axis via excimer laser. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2017;11:907–913. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S133840
- Motwani M. The use of WaveLight<sup>®</sup> Contoura to create a uniform cornea: the LYRA protocol. Part 3: the results of 50 treated eyes. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2017;11:915–921. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S133841
- 12. Motwani M, Pei R. The use of WaveLight Contoura to create a uniform cornea: 6-month results with subjective patient surveys. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018;12:1559–1566.
- Motwani M. Predictions of residual astigmatism from surgical planning for topographic-guided LASIK based on anterior corneal astigmatism (LYRA protocol) vs the Phoreides analytic engine. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:3227–3236. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S272085
- Motwani M. Analysis and causation of all inaccurate outcomes after WaveLight Contoura LASIK with LYRA protocol. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:3841–3854. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S267091
- Motwani M. Biomechanical changes to the cornea from LASIK flap creation resulting in inaccurate ablations and suboptimal refractive outcomes with topographic-guided ablation. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:2319–2327. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S263896

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### **Clinical Ophthalmology**

### **Dove**press

#### Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.