DHA supplementation for late onset Stargardt disease: NAT-3 study
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Background: We analyzed the effects of a docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) supplementation in patients affected with late onset Stargardt disease (STGD).

Methods: DHA (840 mg/day) was given to 20 STGD patients for six months. A complete ophthalmologic examination, including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG), was performed at inclusion day 0 (D0) and at month 6 (M6).

Results: Overall, no statistical differences have been observed at M6 vs D0 as regards BCVA and mfERG (P > 0.05). Mild Improvement of BCVA and improvement of mfERG was noted in seven/40 eyes of four/20 patients. In the first patient, the peak of the a wave increased from 66 nV/deg² to 75.4 nV/deg² in the right eye (RE) and 24.5 nV/deg² to 49.1 nV/deg² in the left eye (LE). The peak of the b wave improved from 122 nV/deg² to 157 nV/deg² in the RE, and 102 nV/deg² to 149 nV/deg² in the LE. In the second patient peaks of the a and b waves respectively increased from 11.8 nV/deg² to 72.1 nV/deg² and 53 nV/deg² to 185 nV/deg² in the RE. In the third patient the peak of the a wave increased from 37 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 31 nV/deg² to 45 nV/deg² in the LE; the peak of the b wave improved from 70 nV/deg² to 89 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 101 nV/deg² to 108 nV/deg² in the LE. In the fourth patient, the peak of the a wave increased from 39 nV/deg² to 42 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 40 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the LE; the peak of the b wave improved from 86 nV/deg² to 94 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 87 nV/deg² to 107 nV/deg² in the LE.

Conclusion: DHA seems to influence some functional parameters in patients affected with STGD. However, no short-term benefit should be expected from DHA supplementation.
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Background

Stargardt disease (STGD), described by Karl Stargardt in 1909,1–3 and fundus flavimaculatus (FFM), a STGD-like phenotype described by Franceschetti in 19654 are variants of the same hereditary disease that affects the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptor layer, both linked with the ABCA4 gene.5–7 When characterized by a juvenile onset (first two decades), a rapidly progressive course, and a poor visual outcome, the disease is usually termed STGD. The term FFM is favored when the disease begins at the end of the second decade or within the third decade, and has a slowly progressive course.5–9 The general course of STGD and FFM is a progressive central atrophy, resulting in a central vision loss (≤20/200). However, to date, the rapidity of visual loss remains unpredictable.10–11

The retina has a high concentration of omega-3, and particularly docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). The high concentration of DHA in the photoreceptors cells (>50%) of the
lips of the external membrane) suggests its major role in the maintenance of the structure of these cells. Moreover, since photoreceptor outer segments require a constant supply of these omega-3 fatty acids owing to their continuous renewal, diets rich in DHA may improve retinal function and slow down the progressive photoreceptor degeneration. Many studies demonstrated that DHA has a protective role in the retina, increased mitochondrial activity, increased RPE acid lipase activity, antioxidative, antiproliferative, and antiapoptotic effects.

For these reasons, in this study, we decided to analyze the effects of DHA supplementation in patients affected with late onset STGD, an inherited macular dystrophy characterized by progressive photoreceptor degeneration. The current study (NAT-3 study) is part of a clinical trial on nutritional prevention treatment, that we have been performing at our Department to study the preventive effects of DHA on progression of age-related macular degeneration (Nutritional AMD Treatment, NAT-1 and NAT-2 studies) and other macular diseases.

**Methods**

This study was designed as a prospective interventional pilot study. Patients diagnosed with late onset STGD (reported onset at >18 years old) were prospectively included. Informed consent was obtained according to a Paris XII University Institutional Review Board – approved protocol. Criteria for inclusion were: 1) age >18 years old; 2) evidence of hypo-autofluorescence from areas of macular atrophy, associated or not with retinal flecks; 3) presence of hyper-autofluorescent retinal flecks, associated or not with areas of macular atrophy, 4) diagnosis of dark choroid on fluorescein angiography (FA). This study was performed in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and French legislation, and was approved by our local ethics committee. In this trial DHA (840 mg/day) was given to all patients for six months. A complete ophthalmologic examination including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), measured at 4 m with standard Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) charts, fundus examination, autofluorescent frames (AF), FA, optical coherence tomography (OCT 3; Humphrey Zeiss, San Leandro, CA), and multifocal electoretinogram (mfERG), was performed at inclusion day 0 (D0) and at month 6 (M6). Molecular biology analysis of ELOVL4 gene was also performed in order to establish predicting factors of efficacy of DHA treatment. In addition, a complete profile of fatty acids in serum (S) and in red blood cell membranes (RBCM) performed by gas chromatography was recorded at D0 and M6.

Statistical calculations were performed using Epinfo 3.3 software package (CDC, Atlanta, GA). The Mann–Whitney/Wilcoxon two-sample test was used to compare main ophthalmologic findings, and fatty acids in S and in RBCM, at D0 and M6. The chosen level of statistical significance was $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

Twenty unrelated patients (nine women, eleven men) with clinically definite late onset STGD were included (Table 1). Mean age of patients was 45 ± 15 years old (range 24–72). Neither side effects nor dropouts were observed in all patients. Overall, for the included patients, no statistical differences have been observed at M6 vs D0 as regards BCVA and mfERG ($P > 0.05$). Four out of the 20 patients showed a mild improvement of visual acuity (three eyes) and mfERG recordings (seven eyes). In the first patient (case three, a 66 years old man), BCVA improved from 20/25 to 20/20 in the right eye (RE) and from 20/32 to 20/20 in the left eye (LE); the peak of the $a$ wave increased from 66 nV/deg$^2$ to 75.4 nV/deg$^2$ in the RE, and from 24.5 nV/deg$^2$ to 49.1 nV/deg$^2$ in the LE (Figure 1). The peak of the $b$ wave improved from 122 nV/deg$^2$ to 157 nV/deg$^2$ in the RE, and from 102 nV/deg$^2$ to 149 nV/deg$^2$ in the LE (Figure 1). In the second patient (case five, a 72 years old woman), BCVA improved from 20/25 to 20/20 in the RE; the peak of the $a$ wave increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BCVA at baseline RE</th>
<th>BCVA at 6-month FU RE</th>
<th>BCVA at baseline LE</th>
<th>BCVA at 6-month FU LE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>case 1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20/125</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/125</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/160</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>20/80</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>20/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>20/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/160</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/64</td>
<td>20/64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/26</td>
<td>20/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; FU, follow-up; M, male; F, female; RE, right eye; LE, left eye.
from 11.8 nV/deg² to 72.1 nV/deg² in the RE, and the peak of the b wave improved from 53 nV/deg² to 185 nV/deg² in the RE (Figure 2). The LE was affected with choroidal neovascularization (CNV), and the patient was treated twice by photodynamic therapy. No improvement was observed on BCVA and the foveal function. Nevertheless, it is notable that the four external rings slightly improved (Figure 2).

In the third patient (case seven, a 24 years old woman), BCVA was 20/20 in both eyes at baseline, and remained unchanged throughout the six-month period; the peak of the a wave increased from 37 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 31 nV/deg² to 45 nV/deg² in the LE (Figure 3). The peak of the b wave improved from 70 nV/deg² to 89 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 101 nV/deg² to 108 nV/deg² in the LE (Figure 3). In the fourth patient (case 11, a 25 years old man), BCVA was 20/32 in both eyes at baseline, and remained unchanged throughout the six-month period; the peak of the a wave increased from 39 nV/deg² to 42 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 40 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the LE (Figure 4). The peak of the b wave improved from 86 nV/deg² to 94 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 87 nV/deg² to 107 nV/deg² in the LE (Figure 4).

During this short period of time, no progression was observed in the size of the central atrophy. Moreover, FA revealed no progression to CNV in any of the 20 patients within the six month period. Molecular biology analysis of ELOVL4 gene which was performed to establish predicting factors of efficacy of DHA treatment, revealed the absence of ELOVL4 gene mutations in all patients. Five patients were explored for their plasma lipids before and after DHA supplementation. These patients showed significant changes, with a 106% increase in S DHA (1.5% at D0 vs up to 2.7% at M6, \( P = 0.013 \)), and: a 37% increase in RBCM DHA (4.0% at D0 up to 5.2 at M6, \( P = 0.035 \)). Other fatty acids were unchanged in S or RBCM.

There was no evidence of systemic illness or toxic effects attributable to DHA during the course of this study.
Figure 3 Fundus autofluorescent frames (upper left and right panels) and mfERG for case seven. The peak of the a wave increased from 37 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 31 nV/deg² to 45 nV/deg² in the LE. The peak of the b wave improved from 70 nV/deg² (middle left panel) to 89 nV/deg² (bottom left panel) in the RE, and from 101 nV/deg² (middle right panel) to 108 nV/deg² (bottom right panel) in the LE.

Abbreviations: mfERG, multifocal electroretinogram; RE, right eye; LE, left eye.

Figure 4 Fundus autofluorescent frames (upper left and right panels) and mfERG for case 11. The peak of the a wave increased from 39 nV/deg² to 42 nV/deg² in the RE, and from 40 nV/deg² to 43 nV/deg² in the LE. The peak of the b wave improved from 86 nV/deg² (middle left panel) to 94 nV/deg² (middle left panel) in the RE, and from 87 nV/deg² (middle right panel) to 107 nV/deg² (bottom right panel) in the LE.

Abbreviations: mfERG, multifocal electroretinogram; RE, right eye; LE, left eye.
Discussion
MacDonald et al19 recently demonstrated the efficacy of DHA in a 15 years old girl affected with autosomal dominant STGD macular dystrophy associated with the ELOVL4 gene mutation. ELOVL4 is homologous to a fatty acid elongase presumably involved in the biosynthesis of DHA. An animal study previously demonstrated that the dietary level of n-3 fatty acids not only affects the level of DHA, but also the levels of very-long-chain fatty acids in rod outer segments (ROS) membranes.20 This young patient was given a dietary supplementation of DHA (20 mg/kg body weight/day) on two occasions, and a clear improvement was observed on visual function both subjectively (visual acuity, VF-14) and objectively (mfERG amplitudes). No conclusion can be drawn from one single case but this case report provides some hope for patients affected with STGD macular dystrophy associated with ELOVL4 mutations and a larger and longer study is warranted.

Hubbard et al21 investigated the influence of dietary factors on the phenotypic severity in a family with autosomal dominant STGD associated with ELOVL4 mutation. Red blood cell membrane and adipose tissue lipids were analyzed as an indication of short-term and long-term dietary fatty acid intake. The authors found a significant inverse relationship between phenotypic severity and levels of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid. These results indicate that the phenotypic diversity may be related to differences in dietary fat intake as reflected by adipose and red blood cell lipids.

In our series, molecular biology analysis of ELOVL4 gene, which was performed in order to establish predicting factors of efficacy of DHA treatment, revealed the absence of ELOVL4 gene mutations in all the 20 patients. Despite this absence of ELOVL4 gene mutations (excluding that the direct disease-causing mechanism might be the ELOVL4 gene involvement in fatty acid elongation in the retina), four out of 20 patients had an improvement of visual acuity and improvement of mfERG.

DHA is known to have specific retinal effects such as increased mitochondrial activity, increased RPE acid lipase activity, antioxidative, antiproliferative, and antiapoptotic effects.18 DHA has also shown to inhibit eicosanoid synthesis from arachidonic acid and cytokine production form macrophages.22 This antiinflammatory effect could play a beneficial role in the retina as the pathway of inflammation is suggested to be involved in the occurrence of several retinal diseases. Moreover, since photoreceptor outer segments require a constant supply of these omega-3 fatty acids due to their continuous renewal (DHA represents the main component of the lipids of the external membrane of the photoreceptors cells, suggesting a major role in the maintenance of the structure of these cells), diets rich in DHA may improve retinal function and slow-down the progressive photoreceptor degeneration.

Of note, in our series, patients who had regular DHA intake during six months, and that were explored for their plasma lipids before and after DHA supplementation, displayed a strong and significant increase in serum and erythrocyte membrane DHA. Therefore, it may be that, DHA supplementation, mainly due to antiinflammatory, antioxidative and antiapoptotic properties,18 could have a protective effect in some cases of STGD whatever the genotype.

Mild improvement of BCVA and/or improvement of mfERG were noted in four/20 cases. Moreover, during this short period of time, no progression was observed either in the size of the central atrophy, or to CNV, in any of the 20 patients. There was no evidence of systemic illness or a toxic effect that was attributable to DHA during the course of this study.

Our study is flawed by several shortcomings: the sample size was small, with no control group, and relatively short follow-up. No major improvement was observed in this series, given that only a mild effect was recorded, even on the four improved cases, we could not rule out normal inter-examination variations of mfERG. Therefore, larger series and analysis of both ABCA4 and ELOVL4 genes is needed.

Conclusion
DHA seems to influence some functional parameters in patients affected with STGD however, no short-term benefit should be expected from DHA supplementation.
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