Growth Promoting Effect of Vacuum Sealing Drainage in the Healing Processes of Diabetic Foot Ulcers
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Aim: To explore the growth-promoting effect of vacuum sealing drainage (VSD) during the healing processes of diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs).

Methods: From November 2018 to December 2019, 38 patients with unilateral DFUs were enrolled in this retrospective study. All patients were divided into two groups according to the use of VSD or not: the VSD group (n=20) and the control group (n=18). The following parameters were used to evaluate the healing process: changes in the mean areas of the ulcers; healing rate (HR); epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis as determined by hematoxylin-eosin staining (HE staining); and expression of CD34, CD68 and VEGF as assessed through immunohistochemistry. Perioperative side effects and complications were also recorded.

Results: All patients received follow-up and eventually healed. The mean area of wounds was reduced in the VSD group compared to the control group (1.75±0.64 cm² vs 0.88 ±0.54 cm², P=0.031). The mean HR of the ulcers in the VSD group was significantly higher than that in the control group (35.23±2.87% vs 28.78±1.09%, P=0.017). HE staining showed that the amount of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis increased significantly after VSD, and the immunohistochemistry results showed that the expression of CD34, CD68 and VEGF increased significantly in the VSD group.

Conclusion: VSD could significantly accelerate the wound healing process, probably by enhancing the inflammatory response and promoting granulation and angiogenesis in DFUs.
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Introduction

Diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) are ulcers or deep tissue damage due to peripheral nerve abnormalities and/or various degrees of peripheral vascular disease in the feet of patients with diabetes. DFUs occur in millions of people all over the world and impose tremendous medical and psychosocial burdens, financial loss, and even lower limb amputations or death.

Abnormal pathologic changes in DFUs, such as ischemia, slowed growth and delayed healing, are often observed in patients. Angiogenesis and functional vessels play important roles during the formation of granulation tissue and wound healing. Vacuum sealing drainage (VSD) is a novel technology and is used as standard care in the treatment of wounds, including DFUs. It is widely known that VSD can induce much more rapid and robust responses of granulation tissue in a wound and reduce the risk of amputations in patients with DFUs. However, little is known...
about the mechanisms of VSD, especially how VSD promotes tissue growth in DFUs.

The aim of the present study was to explore the molecular mechanisms of the growth-promoting effect of VSD upon the wound healing processes of DFUs. We also analyzed the healing rates (HRs) and pathological features in DFUs treated with VSD.

Patients and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Nanjing Jun Xie Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from patients before this study. The study was carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines and we promise that this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients

From November 2018 to December 2019, a total of 38 patients (20 male and 18 female), aged 42–69 years (mean, 55.47 ± 12.19 years), with unilateral DFUs (Wagner grade 2 or 3) were enrolled in this retrospective study. According to whether or not VSD was used, all patients were divided into two groups: the VSD group (20 patients treated with VSD) and control group (18 patients treated without VSD). The exclusion criteria applied were foot ulcers of other causes (tumor or others), no history of diabetes, or severe mental or psychiatric illness interfering with follow-up. No significant differences were found in the severity of DFUs, gender, smoking, duration of diabetes mellitus (DMZ), duration of DFU, body mass index (BMI), glycylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), ankle brachial index (ABI) or area of ulcer between the two groups before treatment (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristic of DFU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>VSD Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%</td>
<td>11 (55.5%)</td>
<td>9 (50%)</td>
<td>20 (50%)</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>54.15±12.41</td>
<td>56.10±11.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>7 (38.8%)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>1.04±0.17</td>
<td>1.02±0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.16±1.94</td>
<td>23.64±2.78</td>
<td>23.90±2.38</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>8.86±1.15</td>
<td>8.47±1.27</td>
<td>8.66±1.21</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM (years)</td>
<td>9±4.61</td>
<td>10.02±0.13</td>
<td>10.03±0.15</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DFU (days)</td>
<td>16.30±8.22</td>
<td>17.05±8.51</td>
<td>16.67±8.27</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner grade</td>
<td>2.35±0.48</td>
<td>2.39±0.44</td>
<td>2.30±0.46</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of ulcer (cm², mean±SD)</td>
<td>4.68±0.48</td>
<td>4.71±0.72</td>
<td>4.69±0.61</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are presented as mean ± SD; P derived from a comparison of the mean level of demographic and clinical characteristics between VSD and control group. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: ABI, ankle brachial index; BMI, body mass index, kg/m²; HbA1c, glycylated hemoglobin; DM, diabetes mellitus.

Therapeutic Regimens

All surgeries were done by a dedicated orthopedic team experienced in treating DFUs. The anesthesia methods were epidural anesthesia (23 patients, 60.5%) or general anesthesia (15 patients, 39.5%). To achieve the optimal fasting blood glucose (<8.0 mmol/L), the four-needle regime was used during the perioperative period. The antibiotic therapy was empiric and based on the suspected pathogen, with later modification according to microbiological culture and susceptibility results. Its duration varied from 1 to 4 weeks, according to the severity of the infection. The duration of antibiotic therapy was longer if there was osteomyelitis.

Control group: Regular thorough debridement was used, and only the healthy tissue was preserved. The bone prominences and calluses were also debrided, which can reduce the plantar pressure and subcutaneous bleeding as well as ulcer formation. Control of the bacterial load was essential for earlier closure of the wound through conservative treatment or a skin graft.

VSD group: In addition to the regime applied in the control group, these patients received VSD to promote the repair of ulcers. For VSD using VAC, a negative pressure system provided by KCI company (San Antonio, TX, USA) was used. All procedures were carried out according to the Negative Pressure Wound Therapy Guideline With Installation: Review of Evidence and Recommendations. After debridement, sterile polyurethane foam dressing was designed according to the shape of ulcers and was covered with adhesive drape to create an airtight seal, which was applied in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol and changed every 48 hours. A vacuum is created by aspirating the air for continuous suction at negative 125 mmHg for a total of 7 days. After 7 days of
therapy, the dressing is removed. Measurements and photos were obtained to document wound progress.

Study Parameters
After 7 days, the following parameters were compared: changes in the mean areas of the ulcers; HR; epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis in HE staining; and expression of CD34, CD68 and VEGF as assessed through immunohistochemistry. Perioperative side effects and complications were also recorded.

Areas of the Ulcers, and HR
We took pictures of the wound and uploaded them to Image J (developed by Wayne Rasband), which was used to measure the wound area. The HR was calculated using the following formula: HR = (surface area in the end stage (cm²) – surface area in the initial stage (cm²)/surface area in the initial stage (cm²).

HE Staining
All the samples were obtained from the borders of normal and necrotic tissues of the patients’ feet and fixed in formalin fluid. Epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis were observed using HE staining; 5 μm thick paraffin-embedded sections were used for HE staining. Semi-quantitative analysis was used to account for the amount of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis measured by HE staining based on the number of cells in a visual field. The amount of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis were quantified using Image J (developed by Wayne Rasband) as previously described.7

Immunohistochemistry
The expression levels of CD34, CD68 and VEGF were detected by immunohistochemistry. For immunohistochemistry, the sections were briefly immersed in xylene, hydrated through graded ethanol solutions, and incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 5 minutes to eliminate intrinsic peroxidase activity. Then, the sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-CD34, anti-CD68 and anti-VEGF antibodies (1:100). Next, the sections were incubated for 30 minutes in anti-goat horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antisera, then incubated for 1 hour in species-specific peroxidase antiperoxidase complex. 3,3-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) was used as the chromogen with sections developed in 0.75 mg/mL DAB with 0.015% hydrogen peroxide in Tris buffer. The expression level of CD34, CD68 and VEGF were quantified using Image J (developed by Wayne Rasband) as previously described.7

Statistical Analysis
Data processing was performed using the SPSS statistical package (Version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normal distribution of the variables was evaluated by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, and the variables were presented as the means±SDs or medians ± interquartile ranges (95% confidence intervals [95% CIs]). Student’s t-test was used for normally distributed continuous variables, while Pearson’s χ² test or Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test was used for nonnormally distributed variables. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
All patients received follow-up and eventually healed. Of them, two patients had two operations and finally healed; one patient had osteomyelitis of the third metatarsal bone and was healed after comprehensive debridement. No lower limb amputations occurred. No serious perioperative side effects or complications were observed.

Wound Healing
No significant difference was found before surgery between the two groups.

The mean wound area was significantly reduced in the VSD group compared to the control group (1.75±0.64 cm² vs 0.88±0.54 cm², P=0.031) (Figure 1). The mean HR of the ulcers in the VSD group was significantly higher than that in the control group (35.23±2.87% vs 28.78±1.09%, P=0.017) (Figure 1).

Epithelial Hyperplasia, and Angiogenesis
HE staining was used to observe the expression of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis. The amount of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis increased significantly after VSD (Figure 2).

Expression Levels of CD34, CD68 and VEGF
Immunohistochemistry was used to detect the expression levels of CD34, CD68 and VEGF, and the results indicated that the expression levels of CD34, CD68 and VEGF increased significantly in the VSD group (Figure 3).

Discussion
DFUs are characterized by peripheral neuropathy, ischemia, foot deformity and infection. The wound healing process is impaired in DFUs, due to both intrinsic factors
at the site). The infections are usually mixed infections (gram-positive cocci bacteria, gram-negative anaerobic bacteria and fungi), which make the wound more difficult to manage. In an attempt to reduce the wound burden, much effort has been focused on understanding the physiology of healing and wound care with an emphasis on new therapeutic approaches and the continuing development of technologies for acute and long-term wound management. Due to the advantages of being inexpensive and having few adverse effects, VSD therapy seems to be a good choice in treating DFUs. It can be used in a smaller space in a timely manner to facilitate thorough exudation of the fluid, pus, and necrotic tissue from the wound without leaving a cavity in the wound areas, thereby eliminating the availability of a purulent medium for bacteria to flourish. Furthermore, VSD simultaneously allows wound closure with a sealed wet dressing that contains immune cells, inducing phagocytosis and promoting an aseptic environment, which is also conducive to preventing the spread of infection. On the other hand, VSD could decrease the permeability of blood vessels via suction and reduce the extent of the inflammatory response, thereby improving edema of the affected extremity. It has also been reported that early use of VSD therapy can prevent the expansion of stenosis and occlusion of capillaries in foot ulcers, marginally increase capillary number, improve blood supply to the foot, and increase the local

Figure 1 The mean area change and wound healing rate in two groups. In VSD group, the mean area of wounds was higher reduced than that in control group and the mean HR of the ulcers in VSD Group was significantly higher. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

(neuropathy and vascular problems and other complex systemic alterations due to diabetes) and extrinsic factors (wound infection, callus formation, and excessive pressure

Figure 2 HE staining of wound tissue before and after VSD. HE staining was use to observe the expressions of epithelial hyperplasia, angiogenesis. The amount of epithelial hyperplasia and angiogenesis increased significantly after VSD. (A) Epithelial hyperplasia (arrow); (B) Angiogenesis (arrow).
tissue oxygen pressure. Many studies have pointed out that VSD can promote the repair of the wound, but they have not further explored the mechanism by which VSD promotes wound growth. In the present study, comprehensive treatments were given to the patients, including glucose control, anti-infection medication and debridement of the wounds. VSD therapy was used after the performance of surgical debridement. The mean area of wounds was significantly reduced after VSD therapy, and the HR was significantly higher in the VSD group, and the expression levels of CD34, CD68, VEGF increased significantly in VSD group. These results indicated the efficacy of VSD therapy in accelerating wound healing in DFUs.

CD34, a glycosylated type I transmembrane glycoprotein, is selectively expressed on the surfaces of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells in humans and other mammals and gradually disappears following the maturation of cells. More and more research has shown that the CD34 molecule plays an important role in mediating intercellular adhesion, transportation and engraftment of hematopoietic stem cells, inflammatory response, and homing of lymphocytes. The CD34 molecule could bind to the
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Figure 3 Immunohistochemistry of CD34, CD68 and VEGF before and after VSD. Immunohistochemistry was used to detect the expression levels of CD34, CD68 and VEGF. We found the expression of CD34, CD68 and VEGF increased significantly in VSD Group. (A) CD 34; (B) CD68; (C) VEGF.
e and p elements by connecting the side chain with the surface receptors of white blood cells, mediating leukocyte aggregation and enhancing the inflammatory response. On the other hand, activation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules and chemokines under the joint action of migration is advantageous to endothelial repair and vascular remodeling. In the present study, we showed that the expression of CD34 significantly increased after VSD therapy, indicating that VSD therapy may exert effects in enhancing the inflammatory response and promoting the revascularization in DFUs.

Cluster of Differentiation 68 (CD68) is a protein highly expressed by cells in the monocyte lineage (eg, monocyte phagocytes and osteoclasts), by circulating macrophages and by tissue macrophages (eg, Kupffer cells and microglia). It is well known that macrophages exert crucial effects on the modulation of the inflammatory response since they can be phenotypically polarized to the classical activated macrophages that stimulate the inflammatory process or to the alternatively activated macrophages that play a role in the resolution of inflammation. Macrophages also contribute to wound healing by releasing cytokines, interleukins and growth factors, modulating the inflammatory phase of wound healing. In the present study, we observed that VSD therapy significantly elevated the expression of CD68 in the wound, indicating that it may play important roles in increasing macrophages and strengthening the inflammatory process in the wound area.

Previous studies have shown that angiogenesis plays a critical role in wound healing. Angiogenesis, in addition to assisting granulation tissue formation, also transfers nutrition and oxygen to wounds and promotes tissue regeneration. Therefore, inadequate angiogenesis could lead to a prolonged time to wound healing. In addition, VEGF (originally known as the vascular permeability factor [VPF]), is a signaling protein produced by cells that stimulates the formation of blood vessels. To be specific, VEGF is a sub-family of growth factors, specifically the platelet-derived growth factor family of cystine-knot growth factors. They are important signaling proteins involved in both vasculogenesis (the de novo formation of the embryonic circulatory system) and angiogenesis (the growth of blood vessels from pre-existing vasculature). VEGF binds to receptor complexes consisting of both neuropilins and VEGFRs. These receptor complexes increase VEGF signalling activity in endothelial cells (blood vessels). The main functions of VEGF includes immigration, proliferation and vascularization of endothelial cells. In the present study, HE staining demonstrated that VSD therapy can both increase the amount of granulation tissue and angiogenesis in DFUs. In addition, the expression of VEGF in the wound area also significantly increased after VSD therapy, implying that VSD therapy could promote granulation and angiogenesis in DFUs, at least partly through increasing expression of the VEGF.

Some limitations were found in the present study. First, it was a retrospective study in design, and strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were needed. Second, the follow-up period was just 1 week, so it does not provide information on possible long-term effects. Third, we just conducted an initial analysis of a relatively small sample size with limited statistical power.

In summary, we believe that VSD is a safe and efficacious treatment for DFUs. It could accelerate the healing process by helping to restore normal morphology, control infections, enhance the inflammatory response, and promote wound granulation and angiogenesis.
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