Spotlight on Pimavanserin Tartrate and Its Therapeutic Potential in the Treatment of Major Depressive Disorder: The Evidence to Date
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Abstract: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is widely prevalent and one of the leading causes of disability. Treatment outcomes remain suboptimal with 1 in 3 patients with MDD responding inadequately to commonly used antidepressants. Pimavanserin, an atypical antipsychotic that modulates serotonergic neurotransmission by selectively binding to serotonin receptor (2A and 2C) subtypes and without dopaminergic activity, may have the potential as an adjunctive treatment for MDD. In a phase 2 trial (n=203), addition of pimavanserin, as compared to placebo, to stable treatment with antidepressants was associated with greater reduction in 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score [HAMD, least square means (95% confidence interval) of −1.7 (−0.03, −3.37), p=0.039]. Furthermore, treatment with pimavanserin was associated with significantly greater improvement in specific symptoms associated with depression such as impaired sexual function, anxiety, sleepiness, and irritability. However, the availability of pimavanserin for clinical care of patients with MDD remains uncertain. Top-line results of phase 3 studies (n=298) that were announced by the sponsor found similar reductions in HAMD (mean baseline-to-week-5 reduction of 9.0 and 8.1, p=0.296) and rates of adverse events (58.1% and 54.7%) with addition of pimavanserin and placebo respectively to stable treatment with antidepressants. Given the potential benefit for specific symptoms such as impaired sexual function, anxiety and sleep/wakefulness disturbances, future studies that enrich for these symptoms may be needed to clarify the utility of adjunctive pimavanserin in treatment of patients with MDD.
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) affects 1 in 5 adults during their lifetime and is the second leading cause of disability in the United States.1,2 It is associated with impairments in multiple domains of life such as work productivity, social functions, day to day activities, and quality of life.3–6 Commonly used antidepressants are often ineffective in patients with MDD;7 thereby necessitating the use of augmentation/adjunctive agents such as atypical antipsychotics8 and esketamine,9 psychotherapy, and/or non-invasive brain stimulation.10–13 Pimavanserin tartrate, hereafter referred to as pimavanserin, is one such drug that has been studied for improving depression and associated symptoms in patients with MDD who have responded inadequately to one or more courses of serotonergic antidepressant.14–16 Approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson’s disease psychosis,17 pimavanserin...
differs from other atypical antipsychotics in having no appreciable affinity for dopaminergic receptors and from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in having no appreciable affinity for serotonin transporter. In this regard, it represents a new class of medications that selectively targets specific serotonin receptor subtype(s). We have focused this review on the therapeutic potential of pimavanserin for MDD. We have first provided a brief overview of clinical pharmacology of pimavanserin and its use in Parkinson’s disease psychosis. We then review the findings of a recently-completed phase 2 double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial of pimavanserin and specifically discuss its utility in improving symptom domains such as sexual dysfunction, anxiety that are typically unaffected or even worsened by commonly used serotonergic antidepressants. Finally, we discuss the top-line results in media from the sponsor for the phase 3 studies of adjunctive pimavanserin for patients with MDD.

Clinical Pharmacology of Pimavanserin

Pimavanserin, N-(4-Fluorophenylmethyl)-N-(1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)-N’-(4-(2-methylpropyl-oxy)phenylmethyl) Carbamide (2R,3R)-Dihydroxybutanediol (2:1), is a small molecule (freebase molecular weight of 427.6 g/mol) that specifically modulates serotonergic neurotransmission as an inverse agonist/antagonist of the 5-hydroxytryptamine2A (5-HT2A) and 5-HT2C (at higher doses) receptors. In radioligand binding studies, pimavanserin shows no appreciable binding for any other serotonergic, dopaminergic, adrenergic, histamine, or muscarinic receptors or to serotonin or norepinephrine transporters. Therefore, its pharmacological effect is driven predominantly by its effect on 5-HT2A receptors and to a lesser extent on 5-HT2C receptors.

Recommended daily dose of pimavanserin for Parkinson’s disease psychosis is 34 mg freebase that is contained in 40 mg of tartrate salt. Pimavanserin demonstrates dose-proportional pharmacokinetics after single oral doses from 17 to 255 mg (0.5- to 7.5-times the recommended dosage). Mean plasma half-lives of pimavanserin and its major circulating active metabolite (N-desmethylated metabolite) are 57 hours and 200 hours, respectively. Pimavanserin is predominantly metabolized by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 and is not known to be affected by other cytochrome P450 enzymes. Therefore, drugs such as itraconazole, ketoconazole, clarithromycin, and indinavir increase the levels of pimavanserin by inhibiting CYP3A4 and may warrant dose reduction whereas CYP3A4 inducers such as carbamazepine, St. John’s wort, phenytoin, and rifampin decreased the levels of pimavanserin and should be avoided. Levels of pimavanserin are not affected by food.

Use of Pimavanserin for Parkinson’s Disease Psychosis

In April 2016, pimavanserin became the first FDA-approved treatment for Parkinson’s disease psychosis and provided alternatives to commonly used antipsychotics that either worsened motor symptoms or were ineffective. While low dose clozapine was shown to improve psychosis symptoms without worsening motor symptoms, its use is limited by adverse side effects including sedation, hyper salivation, hypotension, and a small but potentially fatal risk of agranulocytosis. Quetiapine, another atypical antipsychotic, did not show to be more efficacious than placebo in four randomized controlled clinical trials.

Therapeutic effects of pimavanserin were first evaluated in a phase 2 4-week dose-escalation clinical trial of 60 patients with Parkinson’s disease psychosis, 29 of whom received pimavanserin while 31 received placebo. Patients in the pimavanserin arm had the option of increasing the study drug to 40mg on day 8 and 60mg on day 15. The study found that pimavanserin did not differ from placebo in worsening of motor function, sedation or hypotension. Furthermore, those treated with pimavanserin showed significantly greater improvement in some but not all measures of psychosis including in measures of hallucinations and delusions. A recent meta-analysis of four pimavanserin trials (total n=638) found significantly greater improvement in psychosis symptoms with pimavanserin versus placebo. In these studies, common adverse effects with pimavanserin were peripheral edema (7%) and confusional state (6%). Clinical trials also found that pimavanserin prolongs the QT interval which may warrant greater caution and further evaluations (such as electrocardiogram) when added on to stable treatment with commonly used antidepressants that can prolong QT interval such as citalopram.

Pimavanserin as Adjunctive Therapy for MDD

Given the potential synergistic effect of 5-HT2A antagonism and activation of other 5-HT receptors, antidepressant effects of pimavanserin were tested in a phase 2 study
(CLARITY, NCT03018340). As reported by Fava and colleagues, this multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study randomized 207 patients with MDD at 27 sites between December 2016 to October 2018. Eligible patients were males and females aged ≥18 years with a body mass index between 18 to 35 and were in a current depressive episode of moderate severity at both screening and baseline visits. Furthermore, eligibility was restricted to patients (n=207) who had an inadequate response to 1 or 2 antidepressant treatments during the current depression episode and were receiving treatment with exactly 1 of the following drugs at approved doses for at least 8 weeks (stable dose for 4 weeks): citalopram, desvenlafaxine, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, venlafaxine, or venlafaxine extended-release. The study utilized a 2-stage Sequential Parallel-Comparison Design whereby patients were randomized to a 10-week double-blind treatment period. During Stage 1, patients were randomized to placebo or pimavanserin in a 3:1 ratio for 5 weeks. At the end of 5 weeks, non-responders to placebo were re-randomized to placebo or pimavanserin in a 1:1 fashion for an additional 5 weeks (Stage 2). All patients randomized to pimavanserin in Stage 1 continued it in Stage 2, whereas responders to placebo in Stage 1 remained on placebo in Stage 2. During both stages, visits were conducted at weekly intervals. Patients continued their background antidepressant at a stable dose for the duration of the study. Patients and investigators were blinded to treatment assignment.

Using a weighted repeated measures model analyses of data from Stages 1 and 2 showed greater improvement in overall depression severity [17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD)]; least squares (LS) mean difference (95% confidence interval, CI) = −1.7 (−0.03, −3.37), p = 0.039] with addition of pimavanserin, as compared to placebo, to stable treatment with antidepressants. Furthermore, substantially more patients (all p <0.05) randomized to pimavanserin attained response (≥50% reduction in HAMD from baseline) or remission (HAMD ≤7) than placebo from weeks 2 to 5 of Stage 1. During Stage 1, patients in pimavanserin arm had greater improvement in psychosocial function (as assessed with Sheehan Disability Scale; Cohen’s d effect size = 0.50, p=0.0036) and in Clinical Global Impressions severity scale (Cohen’s d effect size = 0.67, p=0.0001). Adverse events related to treatment were reported by 48.1% (25/52) of patients in pimavanserin and 27.1% (42/155) of patients in placebo group during Stage 1. The most common adverse events with pimavanserin were dry mouth, nausea, and headache. Use of pimavanserin was associated with low rates of extrapyramidal symptoms. The proportion of patients who discontinued treatment were similar in placebo (1.9%; 3/155) and pimavanserin (1.9%; 1/52) arms during Stage 1. There was greater reduction in suicidal ideation (assessed with single item of HAMD) with pimavanserin vs placebo at week 3 (Cohen’s d effect size: 0.431, p=0.012). Suicidal ideation at post-baseline visits as measured with Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale were reported by 18.1% (28/155) and 17.3% (9/52) of patients in placebo and pimavanserin arms respectively during Stage 1 and 20.7% (6/29) and 13.8% (4/29) of patients in placebo and pimavanserin arms respectively during Stage 2. During Stage 2, 20.7% (6/29) patients in pimavanserin arms did not experience any clinically relevant changes in vital signs or electrocardiogram. While pre-to-post-treatment changes in plasma glucose were similar in pimavanserin and placebo arms, there was significantly greater reduction in prolactin with pimavanserin versus placebo [−28.4 (104.7) vs 10.2 (106.7) μIU/mL].

**Effect of Pimavanserin on Symptoms Associated with Depression**

**Impaired Sexual Function**

Impaired sexual functioning is common in patients with MDD and may occur as a side-effect of commonly used antidepressants. Impaired sexual function is associated with poorer quality of life, especially in patients with MDD who have experienced inadequate improvement with an initial course of serotonergic antidepressant. Therefore, Freeman and colleagues undertook a secondary analysis of CLARITY data to evaluate the effect of pimavanserin on sexual functioning. They found that use of pimavanserin (n=51) from baseline-to-week-5 was associated with significantly greater improvement [LS mean (95% CI) difference = −0.63 (−0.96, −0.30), Cohen’s d=0.61, p=0.0002] in sexual functioning (quantified with the Massachusetts General Hospital Sexual Functioning Index) versus placebo (n=152). Even when analyzed across the 10-week study duration, improvement in sexual
functioning with pimavanserin was significantly greater than placebo [(LS mean (95% CI) = −0.47 (−0.72, −0.22), p=0.0003)]. Furthermore, the authors found significant improvement with pimavanserin vs placebo in the sexual functioning as assessed with the single item (14th) of HAMD.15

Anxiety
Symptoms of anxiety are common in patients with MDD and are associated with poorer prognosis including impaired functioning, reduced likelihood of clinically significant improvement, an increased incidence of side effects, and a slower rate of recovery from a depressive episode.36,37 Furthermore, use of antidepressants may worsen symptoms of anxiety which in turn is associated with lower likelihood of subsequent remission.20 A secondary analysis of the CLARITY study specifically looked at 1) the changes in symptoms of anxiety (as measured with the anxiety/somatization factor of HAMD)36 with pimavanserin versus placebo and 2) differences in remission (HAMD ≤7) and response (≥50% reduction in HAMD from baseline) rates between the two treatment arms based on presence of anxious features (HAMD anxiety/somatization factor score ≥7) at baseline.16 Papakostas and colleagues found significantly greater improvement in scores of HAMD anxiety/somatization factor with pimavanserin vs placebo [LS mean (standard error, SE) of difference = −1.5 (0.41), Cohen’s d effect size = 0.63, p=0.0003]. Presence of anxious features at baseline also predicted significantly higher response (pimavanserin = 55.2%, placebo = 22.4%, p =0.001, number needed to treat = 3.0) and remission (pimavanserin = 24.1%, placebo = 5.3%, p =0.005, number needed to treat = 5.3) rates during Stage 1 of CLARITY.16

Sleep/Wakefulness Disturbances
Up to 90% of patients with MDD report sleep disturbances which in turn are associated with poorer treatment outcomes such as failure to attain remission and increased risk of relapse/recurrent after initial symptomatic improvement.38,39 Excessive sleepiness, a disabling feature of depression,40 was assessed with the Karolinska sleepiness scale (KSS)41 at each visit of the CLARITY study.14 From baseline to week 5 of Stage 1 of CLARITY, there was significantly greater reduction (Cohen’s d effect size = 0.63, p=0.0003) in KSS scores with pimavanserin [LS means (SE) = −1.7 (0.260) than with placebo [LS means (SE) = −0.60 (0.15)].42

Irritability
Elevated irritability after antidepressant initiation is associated with poorer longer-term treatment outcomes such as reduced likelihood of achieving remission and persistently elevated suicidal ideation.43–46 Secondary endpoints from the CLARITY study show that adjunctive use of pimavanserin is associated with greater reduction (Cohen’s d effect size = 0.56, p=0.001) in irritability than placebo [LS means (SE) = −19.5 (2.17) vs −11.2 (1.28)].

Preliminary Findings from Phase 3 Studies
Due to the promising results from phase 2 trial of pimavanserin, phase 3 trials were initiated in the United States and Europe (NCT03968159 and NCT03999918). In July 2020, the sponsor announced top-line results that combined data from these two trials (total n=298) and showed that the primary endpoint, which was HAMD score change from baseline to week 5, did not achieve statistical significance (mean baseline-to-week-5 reduction in HAMD score of 9.0 and 8.1 with pimavanserin and placebo respectively, p=0.296).47 Among secondary outcomes, greater improvement with pimavanserin was observed for Clinical Global Impressions severity scale (p=0.042) and subjective sleepiness as quantified by KSS (p=0.005).47 Rates of adverse events were similar in pimavanserin (58.1%) and placebo (54.7%) arms according to the top-line results that were announced by the sponsor. Rates of discontinuations due to adverse events were identical in both arms (2.7%). Common side effects reported specifically with pimavanserin were diarrhea, dry mouth and headache.47 However, use of pimavanserin vs placebo was not associated with any clinically significant differences in vital signs, metabolic parameters or extrapyramidal symptoms.47

Conclusion
Pimavanserin is an atypical antipsychotic that selectively targets serotonin receptor subtypes (5-HT2A and 5-HT2C) and is approved by the FDA for treatment of Parkinson’s disease psychosis. A recently completed phase 2 study showed that adjunctive use of pimavanserin may improve depression and associated symptoms in patients with MDD who have inadequately improved with 1–2 adequate courses of antidepressant treatments. However, the top-line results announced by the sponsor show that primary endpoint of greater HAMD score change with pimavanserin versus placebo from baseline to week 5 of phase 3 studies was not
attained. Therefore, future availability of pimavanserin for clinical care of patients with MDD remains uncertain. Future prospective studies are needed to evaluate the role of pimavanserin in targeting specific symptom domains such as impaired sexual functioning, anxiety, and sleep disturbances that often occur in patients with MDD and may be worsened by antidepressant treatments and may consider utilizing strategies to reduce placebo response. In conclusion, pimavanserin is a novel atypical antipsychotic that may be useful as an adjunctive therapy in patients with MDD who have inadequately improved with their current antidepressant and may have specific symptoms associated with depression such as impaired sexual functioning, anxiety, and sleep disturbances.
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