Comparison Between Central Choroidal Thickness in Pregnant Diabetic Females and Pregnant Non-Diabetic Females
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Objective: To compare choroidal thickness in pregnant women with and without diabetes mellitus (DM).

Methods: Sixty pregnant females (60 eyes) were enrolled in this cross-sectional controlled study. They were divided into two groups: Group A (30 patients; 30 eyes) were pregestational diabetic pregnant females, while Group B (30 patients; 30 eyes) were non-diabetic pregnant females. Exclusion criteria were high-risk pregnancy, diabetic retinopathy in Group A subjects, systemic or ophthalmological pathology, drug intake other than vitamin supplements and diabetic medications, and large errors of refraction. All patients underwent full ophthalmological examination and enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography (EDI-OCT) to measure the choroidal thickness in the nine zones of the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) map.

Results: Our results show that Group A eyes (of pregnant diabetic females) had a highly significant greater choroidal thickness than Group B eyes (of pregnant non-diabetic females) in all nine zones of the ETDRS map (p-value <0.01). Moreover, we found a positive linear correlation between the duration of DM and the degree of choroidal thickening.

Conclusion: Pregnant diabetic females have a thicker choroid than that of pregnant non-diabetic females.
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Introduction
Pregnancy triggers vascular and metabolic changes in the eye.1 It may also aggravate pre-existing ocular disorders such as diabetic retinopathy. Other retinal diseases induced by pregnancy are eclampsia-associated retinopathy and central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC).2–4 The choroid is a highly vascular tissue, responsible for more than 70% of the blood flow in the eye.5 Hence, the choroid of a pregnant female is affected by haemodynamic and possibly hormonal factors. As a matter of fact, the choroidal thickness has been shown to significantly decrease in healthy pregnant women during the third trimester compared to the first trimester.6 Moreover, pregnancy when associated with pre-eclampsia has been shown to increase choroidal thickness.7

Furthermore, placental release of anti-insulin hormones, including growth hormone, placental lactogen, and progesterone, is responsible for the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), which has an incidence ranging from 2% to 9%.8
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Optical coherence tomography (OCT), used to examine retinal disease, is capable of choroidal visualization due to enhanced depth imaging technology (EDI-OCT). Few studies have used the EDI-OCT to compare choroidal thickness in pregnant women to that of non-pregnant women.\textsuperscript{9–11} However, such earlier studies did not take into account the effect of endocrinological factors (such as diabetes) on choroidal thickness during pregnancy.

We aim through this study to compare the choroidal thickness in the macular area in diabetic pregnant females to that of non-diabetic pregnant females. We postulate that diabetes mellitus (DM) could aggravate the physiological changes in the choroidal thickness that happen during pregnancy.

Subjects and Methods
A cross-sectional controlled study was conducted in Ain Shams University Hospitals, Cairo, Egypt, after approval by the University Ethical Committee and in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. The study was conducted between September 2018 and February 2019. A written informed consent was taken from each participating subject, prior to recruitment. The study was divided into two subgroups: Group A consisted of 30 eyes of 30 pregnant women with pre-conception DM (12 type 1 DM and 18 type 2 DM), while Group B involved 30 eyes of 30 non-diabetic pregnant females. Subjects were enrolled from routine pregnancy follow up visits at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Ain Shams University Hospitals. We included pregnant females with gestational age 9 to 13 weeks. Exclusion criteria were any high-risk pregnancy (which was defined as high blood pressure, renal disease, thyroid disease, autoimmune diseases, smoking and history of using recreational drugs), diabetic retinopathy or macular edema in Group A subjects, any systemic or ophthalmological pathology (save for diabetes mellitus in Group A patients), intake of systemic drugs other than vitamin supplements and diabetic medications, and large errors of refraction (spherical equivalent $\pm$2.0 diopters).

The patients were subjected to complete ocular examination including best-corrected visual acuity, refraction, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure measurement and dilated funduscopy (diabetic females with diabetic retinopathy or macular edema were excluded from the study at this point).

Choroidal thickness was measured using the EDI mode of the Spectral Domain-OCT (Retinascan RS-3000 Advance; NIDEK, Gamagori, Japan). All scans were taken by a single experienced operator after pupillary mydriasis using tropicamide 0.5% eyedrops. The images were taken from 12 pm to 2 pm to minimize circadian changes in choroidal thickness.\textsuperscript{12} All subjects were requested to refrain from drinking coffee 6 hours prior to image capture to avoid the effect of caffeine on choroidal thickness.\textsuperscript{13} The OCT scans were taken using 12 macular radial lines using image averaging from 120 images to obtain high definition scans. The camera was approximated to the eye till an inverted image was obtained to achieve the choroidal mode, and then the image was captured when it was sufficiently clear with high signal strength. All images were checked and those with artefacts were discarded. Subfoveal choroidal thickness (SFCT) was measured perpendicularly from the hyper-reflective outer border of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) to the posterior boundary of choroid at the sclero-choroidal interface (which was drawn using the embedded software). The Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) grid was superimposed onto the choroidal map dividing the macula into 9 zones, bordered by rings of 1, 3 and 6 mm diameter. The zones are: central subfoveal zone (CSF); superior inner macular zone (SIM), inferior inner macular zone (IM), temporal inner macular zone (TIM), nasal inner macular zone (NIM); superior outer macular zone (SOM), inferior outer macular zone (IOM), temporal outer macular zone (TOM) and nasal outer macular zone (NOM).

Data were collected, coded, tabulated, and then analysed using Statistica\textsuperscript{®} program. Numerical values between the two groups were compared using unpaired $t$-test. All data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Correlation was tested using Pearson Correlation Coefficient.

P-values $<0.05$ were considered significant, while P-values $<0.01$ were considered highly significant.

Results
All demographic and clinical data for the two groups are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference between the two groups as regards age, gestational age, best-corrected visual acuity, spherical equivalent or intraocular pressure.

Our results revealed that pregnant diabetic females in Group A had a thicker choroid at the subfoveal zone (356.4 $\mu$m, SD $\pm$ 28.1) compared to pregnant non-diabetic females in Group B (291.7 $\mu$m, SD $\pm$ 67.5). This difference was highly significant (P-value $<$0.0001) and is shown in Table 2 and Figure 1.
In addition, Group A patients have a greater mean choroidal thickness at 3 mm in all 4 zones, and this difference is highly significant (SIM zone P < 0.0001, NIM zone P < 0.0001, IIM zone P =0.0013 and TIM zone P =0.0003). This is shown in Table 2 and Figure 2. The IIM zone has the greatest mean choroidal thickness for both groups (353.8 μm ±30.94 for Group A and 318.6 μm ±48.0 for Group B), while the NIM zone has the least mean choroidal thickness in both groups (347.1 μm ±32.77 for Group A and 292.4 μm ±51.99 for Group B).

Similarly, Group A patients have a greater mean choroidal thickness at 6 mm in all four zones, which is highly significant (SOM zone P < 0.0001, NOM zone P < 0.0001, IOM zone P < 0.0001 and TOM zone P < 0.0001). This is shown in Table 2 and Figure 3. The SOM zone has the greatest mean choroidal thickness in both groups (352.6 μm ±32.7 for Group A and 304.8 μm ±32.86 for Group B), while the NOM zone has the least mean choroidal thickness in both groups (328.3 μm ±39.1 for Group A and 270.0 μm ±49.84 for Group B).

Table 3 shows the Pearson Correlation Coefficient for pregnant diabetic patients in Group A assessing the correlation between the duration of DM and choroidal thickness (where r=1 is total positive linear correlation, r=0 is no

Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Data of the Study Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (range) ± SD</td>
<td>32.07 (28–39) ± 3.55</td>
<td>31.20 (23–38) ± 5.66</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age in weeks (range) ± SD</td>
<td>11.2 (10–12) ± 0.92</td>
<td>11.8 (9–13) ± 1.49</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of diabetes mellitus in years (range) ±SD</td>
<td>12.27 (6–17) ± 4.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean best corrected visual acuity in decimals (range) ±SD</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8 to 1.2) ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8 to 1.2) ± 0.09</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean spherical equivalent in diopters ± SD</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 0.38</td>
<td>-0.4 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean intra-ocular pressure in mmHg ± SD</td>
<td>17.36 ± 2.13</td>
<td>16.64 ± 2.51</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 Mean Choroidal Thickness in 9 Zones of the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subfoveal choroidal thickness (SFCT)</td>
<td>356.4μ (SD ± 28.1)</td>
<td>291.7μ (SD ± 67.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior inner macular zone (SIM)</td>
<td>353.4μ (SD ±38.0)</td>
<td>311.1μ (SD ± 37.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal inner macular zone (NIM)</td>
<td>347.1μ (SD ±32.77)</td>
<td>292.4μ (SD ±51.99)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior inner macular zone (IIM)</td>
<td>353.8μ (SD ±30.94)</td>
<td>318.6μ (SD ±48.0)</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal inner macular zone (TIM)</td>
<td>349.2μ (SD ±34.1)</td>
<td>313.2μ (SD ±38.4)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior outer macular zone (SOM)</td>
<td>352.6μ (SD ±32.7)</td>
<td>304.8μ (SD ±32.86)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal outer macular zone (NOM)</td>
<td>328.3μ (SD ±39.1)</td>
<td>270.0μ (SD ±49.84)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior outer macular zone (IOM)</td>
<td>345.3μ (SD ±24.32)</td>
<td>297.5μ (SD ±35.54)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal outer macular zone (TOM)</td>
<td>340.36μ (SD ±29.34)</td>
<td>286.0μ (SD ±43.32)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Figure 1 Central subfoveal choroidal thickness in Groups A and B.

Figure 2 Choroidal thickness at central 3mm ring. Superior inner macular zone (SIM), nasal inner macular zone (NIM), inferior inner macular zone (IIM) and temporal inner macular zone (TIM).
Figure 3: Choroidal thickness at central 6mm ring. Superior outer macular zone (SOM), nasal outer macular zone (NOM), inferior outer macular zone (IOM) and temporal outer macular zone (TOM).

linear correlation and $r=1$ is total negative linear correlation. Our results indicate that there is a highly positive linear correlation between the duration of DM and the degree of choroidal thickening for all nine zones of the ETDRS map.

**Discussion**

The choroid is one of the most metabolically dynamic tissues in the body and is thus prone to influence from not only the hormonal changes of conception $^{6,9}$ but also endocrine disorders such as DM $^{14}$.

Results of our study show that diabetic pregnant females have a greater choroidal thickness compared to their non-diabetic counterparts (Figure 4). This difference was noted in all nine zones of the ETDRS map. This is in contrast with the results of Acmaz $^{15}$ et al., who found that the choroid was thicker in pregnant non-diabetic females (393.77 μm ± 61.83), compared to diabetic pregnant females (367.54 μm ± 62.72). However, it should be noted that the difference in thickness they discovered was statistically non-significant, and that they only enrolled females with GDM in their work. Our study, on the other hand, included females who had pre-gestational DM. Moreover, Acmaz et al. focused on central choroidal thickness only, while we studied the choroid in all nine zones of the ETDRS map. It is worth noting that Acmaz et al. illustrated that choroidal thickness was significantly thicker in the pregnant females (with or without DM) than in the healthy non-pregnant females (322.49 μm ± 65.58, p-value <0.001). This may be attributed to increased ocular blood flow during pregnancy, 65% to 85% of which is directed to the choroid $^{16,17}$.

Similarly, our results do not agree with the findings of Benfica et al. $^{18}$ This cross-sectional study measured the choroidal thickness of non-diabetic pregnant women and pregnant women with gestational DM, type 2 DM and type 1 DM. Compared to the non-diabetic controls, only pregnant women with type 1 DM had a significantly thinner choroid on subfoveal and temporal locations, while subjects with type 2 and gestational DM showed no significant difference. Nevertheless, it should be noted that unlike our work, they included gestational DM. Additionally, they conducted their study on pregnant women in the third trimester, while our subjects were in their first and second trimesters.

The fact that choroidal thickness increases during pregnancy is ascertained by the work of Ulusoy et al. $^{19}$ That studied revealed that non-pregnant females had lower choroidal thickness (320.86 ±59.18 μm) compared to pregnant females (387.97 ±59.91 μm) and that difference was statistically significant (p-value <0.001).

Again, our data did not concur with the results of Freytas et al. $^{20}$ In that study, the choroidal thickness was compared between diabetic patients with macular edema and non-diabetics. It was revealed that the mean thickness

| Table 3 Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Duration of DM and Choroidal Thickness |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Pearson Correlation Coefficient $r$ for Duration of DM | Pearson Correlation Coefficient P-value for Duration of DM |
| Central subfoveal zone (CSF)    | 0.804           | < 0.0001        |
| Superior inner macular zone (SIM)| 0.878           | < 0.0001        |
| Nasal inner macular zone (NIM)  | 0.885           | < 0.0001        |
| Inferior inner macular zone (IIM)| 0.885           | < 0.0001        |
| Temporal inner macular zone (TIM)| 0.868           | < 0.0001        |
| Superior outer macular zone (SOM)| 0.863           | < 0.0001        |
| Nasal outer macular zone (NOM)  | 0.898           | < 0.0001        |
| Inferior outer macular zone (IOM)| 0.872           | < 0.0001        |
| Temporal outer macular zone (TOM)| 0.891           | < 0.0001        |
in diabetic patients (192.82 μm, ± 83.51) was less than in non-diabetics (222.90 μm, ± 85.85), and that difference was statistically significant (p=0.041). They attributed this difference to arteriolar narrowing, choriocapillary atrophy and disappearance of capillaries in eyes with diabetic retinopathy. Yet, it should be noted that this study unlike ours did not exclude patients who had received treatment for diabetic retinopathy such as retinal laser photocoagulation and intravitreal injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents. Choroidal thinning has been described after both treatments.21,22 Furthermore, that study did not include pregnant females at all and only focused on diabetics with macular edema, while our patients in Group A with DM were all without diabetic macular edema.

However, we found that our results agreed with the study of Rewbury et al.,14 who concluded that there is a strong association between DM and choroidal thickness. Although their work did not include a control group of non-diabetic patients, they revealed that the choroidal thickness increases proportionally to the degree of diabetic retinopathy (mean 217.7 μm in mild non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, 221.7 μm in moderate-severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy and 242.1 μm in proliferative diabetic retinopathy). There was a statistically significant increase in choroidal thickness in proliferative diabetic retinopathy when compared with the mild non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy group (P-value =0.027). Diabetic macular edema was associated with a non-statistically significant increase in choroidal thickness (225.4 μm) compared with eyes without diabetic macular edema (209.3 μm), P-value =0.13.

Another study we found our results to be in accord with was that of Kim et al.23 In that study, choroidal thickness was less in eyes with no diabetic retinopathy (262.3 μm SD± 68.4), compared to those with severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (291.1 μm SD ± 107.7) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (363.5 μm SD ± 74.9). Mean subfoveal
choroidal thickness was significantly greater in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy than in those with no diabetic retinopathy (P-value <0.01), mild/moderate non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (P-value <0.01), or severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (P-value <0.05). Although it does not include pregnant females, the study again suggests a close association between diabetes and choroidal thickness.

Savage et al. used a computerized pneumotonometer to monitor choroidal blood flow in eyes with diabetic retinopathy. In comparison to non-diabetics, choroidal blood flow increased in patients with diabetic retinopathy. The analogy between the results of this hemodynamic study and our OCT results might indicate the increased release of VEGF causing vasodilation and increased choroidal blood flow, thus causing a thickening of the choroid.

It is also worth noting that the choroidal thickness in pregnant diabetics at 3 mm and 6 mm from the foveal center showed the least thickening at the nasal quadrants. Again, this is in concord with the work of Kim et al., who observed that choroidal thickening in eyes with diabetic retinopathy was least evident nasally. This may suggest that the architectural changes taking place in the choroid during pregnancy in diabetic patients may be zonally selective. Since the choroid is responsible for the blood supply of the outer five layers of the retina, this could have an influence on the evolution of diabetic retinopathy in such patients.

Our study has been limited by the number of patients enrolled. Moreover, further studies are required to assess choroidal morphology during different trimesters of pregnancy. Our study excluded any patients with diabetic retinopathy, so future studies could consider different grades of diabetic retinopathy as a factor influencing the structural changes in the choroid during pregnancy. Furthermore, future studies could focus on the choroidal vascular index, which has been defined as the ratio of luminal area to total choroidal area. It has been used as a biomarker to evaluate the vascular status of the choroid.

Although choroidal thickness is a robust tool in clinical research, it reflects only the total choroidal vasculature with no distinctions between the two stromal and luminal vascular components. This could provide an explanation for the lack of consensus between different studies as to whether diabetes mellitus causes choroidal thinning or thickening.

To conclude, the eyes of pregnant diabetic females had a greater choroidal thickness than that of non-pregnant diabetic females. This could be due to increased blood flow in the choroid, noted both in pregnancy and DM. The causes of the deterioration of diabetic retinopathy during pregnancy are still not fully understood. Diabetic choroidopathy might develop before the onset of retinopathy. Therefore, monitoring the choroidal architecture during pregnancy may prove to be a tool for identifying diabetic females who are at a greater risk for developing retinopathy.
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