Identification of targets and new developments in the treatment of multiple sclerosis – focus on cladribine

Abstract: Orally available disease-modifying drugs for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) represent an unmet need for this chronic and debilitating disease. Among 5 currently investigated drugs at phase 3 clinical stage, promising efficacy data for fingolimod and oral cladribine have recently been published. However, benefits need to be weighed against the risks to define the role of these compounds within current treatment regimens. In this review, data on the efficacy of a promising compound, oral cladribine, are discussed and balanced with known and anticipated risks in a postmarketing era, and finally gives an outlook on the potential place of this drug in treatment algorithms for MS in the future.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic and debilitating immune-mediated disease of the central nervous system (CNS). MS is not a homogeneous disease entity and therefore, as new therapeutics emerge, will require individual therapy regimens in the future. As a chronic, so far not curable disease, therapy is required for an indefinite – if not life long – period of time. In current concepts of MS treatment, drugs that influence immunological reactions are used to alter the course of this disorder and to finally reduce the grade of disability. MS, most relevant for the development of new treatment options, is a disease of low mortality in a young population and treatment primarily seems to be effective in the early inflammatory state of disease when patients suffer only from a low grade of impairment. In addition, no definite surrogate parameters exist to predict the individual course of disease in its early stages, and the individual grade of disability in the future cannot be anticipated with certainty. Thus, ideal treatment of MS would fulfill the following general criteria:

– maximal efficacy (ideal: cure)
– minimal adverse effects (ideal: none)
– maximal compliance (ideal: 100%)
– easy dosing regimes.

Currently available first-line therapeutics are characterized by their favorable and well-defined safety profile. Since the early 1990s, these disease-modifying drugs (DMD) have been implemented as treatments for MS. Ever since, interferon beta (IFNβ) or glatiramer acetate (GA) has become the standard of care for relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). Different formulations of IFNβ are available, including IFNβ-1a for weekly intramuscular (IM) or 3 times weekly subcutaneous (SC)
administration, or IFNβ-1b SC every other day. GA is a synthetic oligopeptide and requires daily administration. In randomized controlled phase 3 trials, all of these agents showed to be superior to placebo regarding clinical end points.\textsuperscript{2–15} Recently published comparative trials did not provide evidence for superiority of one or the other first-line DMD.\textsuperscript{16–18} In addition, data on comparative paraclinical efficacy is controversial,\textsuperscript{12,15,19–24} and a possible tendency towards a slight advantage on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) criteria for IFNβ compared with GA goes along with slightly unfavorable tolerability rates (mainly higher rate of flulike symptoms). Thus, individual decision for one or the other agent is currently based on the preferred route of application (SC or IM) and the individual tolerability of the agent used. The main advantage of these first-line DMD agents for RRMS is their established positive safety profile. Main drawbacks of these agents are

- limited efficacy
- limited compliance and long-term acceptance by patients.

The latter mainly relates to their SC or IM mode of application. Local adverse effects at the sites of injection impair quality of life and long-term acceptance by patients.\textsuperscript{25–30}

Promising new targets in MS therapy have been defined within the last decades and target-specific treatment options became available. Some of these treatment options have been tested in clinical trials, and have shown very promising results regarding efficacy. But, as outlined above, to play a role as first-line therapeutics in MS, these drugs need to display a reasonable safety profile in patients on long-term therapy or even life-long therapy. This matter of risk:benefit ratio became strikingly apparent when natalizumab was introduced in the therapy for MS. Natalizumab was the first drug of rational drug design approved for MS therapy, a humanized monoclonal IgG4-antibody, specifically designed to target a critical step of leukocyte migration into areas of inflammation within the CNS.\textsuperscript{31,32} Phase 3 clinical trials have clearly shown its advantages: high efficacy and high rates of compliance by intravenous (IV) monthly infusion.\textsuperscript{33,34} However, immediately after the completion of a phase 3 trial that led to its approval, safety issues, and most notably the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), became apparent.\textsuperscript{35–37} Restriction of natalizumab to patients with highly active MS or patients, not responding to first-line treatment, was not congruent with the inclusion criteria of these studies but based on risk–benefit considerations. Just recently, new cases of PML occurring in patients receiving natalizumab monotherapy have been published and the long-term safety data might further limit its use in the future.\textsuperscript{38–40} Interestingly, these safety issues are most likely not only restricted to natalizumab but also are relevant for other currently investigated drugs of this second generation of target-specific immunosuppressive monoclonal antibodies.

One lesson to be learned from natalizumab and other compounds such as rituximab, efalizumab, or alemtuzumab in drug development is the awareness that target specificity does not guarantee disease-specific efficacy. Although the mode of action of these drugs seems to be highly specific, their administration to young and otherwise healthy patients results in a severe alteration of immunocompetence going along with an increased risk of potential life-threatening infections (eg, risk of PML in natalizumab, efalizumab,\textsuperscript{41} or rituximab\textsuperscript{42}) or autoimmune (eg, risk of autoimmune thrombocytopenia and thyroid disease in alemtuzumab)\textsuperscript{43} complications. Thus, the risk–benefit consideration is crucial and, although low, the risk of a potential life-threatening complication in MS population demands a critical patient selection and high standards of safety surveillance plans.

This is also an issue of concern in the development of new oral drugs for MS treatment. Easy dosing regimens and a convenient mode of administration are the most relevant advantages of this group of drugs. For these reasons, approval of an oral drug would be highly appreciated by patients improving quality of life and increasing adherence to therapy.\textsuperscript{44,45} Among 5 oral therapies currently in phase 3 clinical trials (fingolimod, laquinimod, fumeric acetate, teriflunomide, and oral cladribine; Table 1), fingolimod and oral cladribine have already completed phase 3 clinical trials that were just recently published.\textsuperscript{46–49} In this review, data on efficacy of a promising compound, oral cladribine, are contrasted with known and potential risks. As the manufacturer already applied to the US Food and Drug administration and Europe, the Middle East and Africa for approval, risks and benefits of this drug need to be discussed to define the potential role within established treatment concepts.

**Cladribine and its mode of action**

Carson et al\textsuperscript{50,51} discovered that the lymphopenia observed in an inherited disorder of adenosine deaminase deficiency was caused by the accumulation of deoxyadenosine nucleotides within lymphocytes. Based on this observation, this group started to synthesize therapeutic purine nucleoside analogs, including cladribine (2-chlorodeoxyadenosine), to preferentially target lymphocytes.\textsuperscript{50,51} Cladribine is a prodrug requiring intracellular phosphorylation to become an active purine nucleoside analog. The prodrug is resistant...
Cladribine for MS

Table I Oral drugs in clinical development for multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Phase 3 (indication, comparator)</th>
<th>Phase 2 (primary end point)</th>
<th>Safety profile (most relevant data from recent clinical experience)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cladribine</td>
<td>(1) CIS, placebo</td>
<td>Not performed for oral formulation</td>
<td>Lymphocytopenia, exacerbation of herpes virus infection, one case of tuberculosis exacerbation, single cases of malignancies (melanoma, pancreas carcinoma, and ovarian carcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) RRMS, placebo*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) RRMS, add-on to IFNβ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>(1) RRMS, placebo*</td>
<td>MRIR5 (median total number of gadolinium-enhanced lesions on MRI): 1.25 mg or 5 mg or placebo: 1</td>
<td>Lymphocytopenia, exacerbation of herpes virus infection (2 fatal cases), macula edema, cardiovascular side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) RRMS, placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) RRMS, IFNβ†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) PPMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teriflunomide</td>
<td>(1) CIS, placebo</td>
<td>MRIR1 (mean number of CU active lesions per scan): 7 or 14 mg/day: reduction by 61%</td>
<td>GI symptoms, hepatotoxicity, low risk of pancytopenia, low risk of endogenous infections, teratogenicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) RRMS, placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) RRMS, IFNβ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laquinimod</td>
<td>(1) RRMS, placebo</td>
<td>MRIR2 (cumulative number of active lesions over 24 wk): reduction by 44%</td>
<td>Iritis and burning sensation; during follow-up acute tonsillitis, one case of breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) RRMS, IFNβ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG12</td>
<td>(1) RRMS, placebo</td>
<td>MRIR1 (total number of new gadolinium-enhancing lesions on MRI week 12 to 24): reduction by 69%</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, flushing, hot flush, headache, and fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) RRMS, glatiramer acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIS, clinically isolated syndrome; CU, combined unique; RRMS, relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PPMS, primary progressive multiple sclerosis; IFNβ, interferon beta.
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to degradation by adenosine desaminase and is able to enter cells via purine nucleoside transporters.52 Once within the cell, cladribine undergoes initial phosphorylation by deoxycytidine kinase (DCK) to finally become the active 2-chlorodeoxyadenosine triphosphate.53 To inactivate cladribine-triphosphate nucleotides and to prevent intracellular accumulation, dephosphorylation by 5′-nucleotidase (5′-NTase) is required. Compared with other cell types, resting and activated lymphocytes have high levels of DCK but low levels of 5′-NTase. Thus, cladribine becomes particularly activated to its active form within lymphocytes making these cell types preferentially vulnerable to its effects.54 The accumulation of cladribine nucleotides leads to breaks in DNA strands, interferes with DNA synthesis and repair, and ultimately results in a sustained reduction of lymphocyte counts.55 Therefore, the main immunosuppressive effect of cladribine is mediated via immune cell depletion, of both the proliferating and the quiescent lymphocytes.50 At doses used in clinical trials for MS, cladribine differentially affects CD4+ T cells, resulting in a lower CD4/CD8 ratio, affecting both naive and memory T cells. Although CD19+ B-cell reduction occurs rapidly, recovery from the nadir is seen earlier and more pronounced compared with T cells.56–60 Recent evidence indicates that cladribine may also impede the influx of T cells into the CNS, and might also influence levels of soluble adhesion molecular levels such as sICAM or sE-Selectin.51,62 In addition, cladribine may exert immunomodulatory effects on proinflammatory cytokine profiles: Mean values of Interleukin-2 (IL-2) and soluble interleukin-2 receptor levels measured 12 months after cladribine treatment for chronic progressive MS were found to be lowered.63 IL-8-levels were decreased in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of cladribine-treated RRMS patients, whereas CCL-5 levels were decreased both in CSF and serum.64 These and other data suggests that cladribine not only has an leukocyte depleting effect, but also may exert a direct effect on effectors T-cell function.59,65

Pharmacokinetics of cladribine
Cladribine is rapidly absorbed and its oral bioavailability varies between 37% and 51%.53 The terminal half-life varies from 5.7 to 19.7 hours. In CSF, the concentration has been
Efficacy of cladribine in clinical trials

Efficacy data with parenteral cladribine

Cladribine has been primarily used for reduction of aberrant lymphocyte populations in a variety of hematological disorders, and the parenteral formulation is treatment of choice for hairy cell leukemia. In addition, cladribine has been tested in autoimmune disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus-associated glomerulonephritis. In MS, parenteral cladribine has been evaluated for relapsing or progressive forms. The MS-Scripps-trial was a 2-year, placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover study started in 1992 to evaluate cladribine IV for chronic progressive MS. In the first year, patients were given cladribine 0.1 mg/kg/day IV for 7 days as 4-monthly courses (total dose, 2.8 mg/kg or placebo). During the second year, patients of the first year’s placebo group were given 0.10, 0.05, and 0.05 mg/kg/day IV for 7 consecutive days in 3 successive monthly courses (total dose, 1.4 mg/kg). In the Scripps-C-trial, an 18-month, placebo-controlled, double-blind study in the treatment of patients with RRMS, patients received either placebo or cladribine 0.07 mg/kg/day SC for 5 consecutive days as 6-monthly courses (total cumulative dose, 2.1 mg/kg). In the MS-001-trial, safety and efficacy were evaluated in patients with progressive MS, assigned to receive placebo or cladribine 0.07 mg/kg/day SC for 5 consecutive days for every 4 weeks for either 2 or 6 cycles (total dose, 0.7 or 2.1 mg/kg), followed by placebo for a total of 8 cycles. To summarize, efficacy data of these most relevant phase 2/3 clinical studies in 262 involved patients, parenteral cladribine showed positive results in patients with both relapsing and progressive forms of MS. A total of 183 patients received cumulative doses of 0.7–2.8 mg/kg of cladribine and individual results were suggestive not only for improvement of MRI-criteria (the number and volume of T1 gadolium-enhancing lesions, the accumulation of T2 lesion volume), but also for neurological outcome measures (relapse rate and disability progression). Based on this treatment experience, a regimen for oral cladribine was developed and recently investigated in phase 3 clinical trial settings.

Efficacy data with oral cladribine

The clarity trial

Study design

Results of the CLAdRIbine Tablets treating MS orally (CLARITY) Trial, as one of 3 phase 3 clinical trials for oral drugs for MS to be completed, have been recently published. CLARITY was performed in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, 96-week setting with 3 parallel groups. Patients with RRMS, aged 18–65, who had at least 1 relapse within 12 months before study entry, and a score of no more than 5.5 on the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) were included. Patients with previous immunosuppressive treatment and patients with abnormal platelet, neutrophil, or leukocyte counts were excluded. In total, between 2005 and 2007, 1,326 patients were assigned in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive either 3.5 mg/kg or 5.25 mg/kg or matching placebo. The study drug was administered as short courses, each consisting of one or two 10-mg cladribine tablets or matching placebo given once daily for the first 4 or 5 days. In the 5.25-mg/kg group, patients received 4 courses of cladribine in the first 48-week treatment period. In the 5.25-mg/kg-group, patients received 2 courses of cladribine, followed by 2 courses of placebo. Four courses of placebo were administered to the placebo group. In all groups, courses were started at day 1, followed by courses at weeks 5, 9, and 13. In the second 48-week period, both cladribine groups received 2 courses of cladribine, and the placebo group received 2 courses of placebo, starting at weeks 48 and 52 (Figure 1). After week 24, rescue therapy with IFNβ-1a SC was available for patients with more than 1 relapse or a sustained increase in the EDSS score.

The primary end point was the rate of relapse at 96 weeks. A relapse was defined as an increase of 2 points in at least 1 functional system of the EDSS or an increase of 1 point in at least 2 functional systems in the absence of fever, lasting for at least 24 hours and to have been preceded by at least 30 days of clinical stability or improvement. Secondary clinical outcome measures were the proportion of patients who were relapse-free, the time to sustained progression of disability (time to a sustained increase of at least 1 point in the EDSS score or an increase of at least 1.5 points if the baseline EDSS score was 0), the time to the first relapse, and the proportion of patients receiving rescue therapy with IFNβ-1a SC. Secondary MRI end points were the mean number of lesions per patient per scan at 96 weeks for gadolinium-enhancing T1-weighted lesions, active T2-weighted lesions, and combined unique lesions (new gadolinium-enhancing...
T1-weighted lesions or new nonenhancing or enlarging T2-weighted lesions).

**Study results**

The annualized relapse rate at 96 weeks was significantly reduced in both treatment groups, as compared with placebo (0.14 in the cladribine 3.5-mg group and 0.15 in the cladribine 5.25-mg group vs 0.33 in the placebo group; Figure 2). Thus, relative reductions of the annualized relapse rate were 57.6% and 54.5%, respectively ($P < 0.001$). The proportion of patients who remained relapse-free at 96 weeks was significantly higher in both cladribine groups than in placebo (79.7% and 78.9% vs 60.9%; $P < 0.001$). There was a significant relative reduction in the risk of 3-month sustained progression of disability in both cladribine groups, as compared with placebo (33% reduction for cladribine 3.5 mg, 31% reduction for cladribine 5.25-mg group) with corresponding increase in the odds for remaining free of 3-month sustained disability progression. Additional clinical outcome measures, such as the time to the first relapse or the need for rescue therapy with IFNβ-1a SC were also in favor for both of the cladribine treatment groups.

Regarding MRI outcome measures, patients in the cladribine 3.5-mg group and cladribine 5.25-mg group had significant lower mean numbers of lesions per patient per scan than those in the placebo group for gadolinium-enhancing T1 lesions (0.12 and 0.11 vs 0.91 for placebo), active T2 lesions (0.38 and 0.33 vs 1.43 for placebo), and combined unique lesions (0.43 and 0.38 vs 1.72 for placebo).

**Ongoing phase 3 clinical trials**

Following completion of the CLARITY study, patients are given the opportunity to participate in the 96-week phase 3b extension study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00641537; Figure 1). Patients originally randomized to placebo will receive oral cladribine, whereas those originally randomized to cladribine will be rerandomized to either cladribine tablets or placebo. This study has been primarily designed to provide information on the longer term safety and tolerability of oral cladribine administered for an additional third and forth year in patients with RRMS, including clinical laboratory testing, electrocardiograms, and review of adverse events. Clinical efficacy measures are secondary end points to evaluate the sustained effects of treatment. Estimated primary completion date is September 2011.

The Oral Cladribine Added ON To Rebif New Formulation in Patients With Active Relapsing Disease (ONWARD)-trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00436826) is a 96-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2b trial in patients with active MS. This study evaluates the safety and tolerability of oral cladribine compared with placebo as an add-on therapy to IFNβ treatments in patients with active RRMS or secondary progressive MS with superimposed relapses. Clinical end points and MRI criteria are secondary.
outcome measures in this study. Estimated primary completion date is October 2013.

The Oral Cladribine in Early MS (ORACLE) – trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00725985) is a 96-week randomized, double blind, 3-arm, placebo-controlled, multicenter, phase 3 trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of oral cladribine vs placebo to prevent or delay conversion to definite MS (revised McDonald criteria)\(^7\) in patients with a first clinical demyelinating event at high risk of converting to MS. Subjects must have a minimum of 2 clinically silent lesions on the screening MRI. Depending upon the clinical course of their MS, subjects will proceed from the initial treatment period to an open-label IFN\(\beta\)-period or, if no progression to MS has been noted after the initial treatment period, to either open-label low-dose cladribine or no additional treatment. Estimated primary completion date is October 2012.

**The safety and tolerability profile of cladribine**

Parenteral cladribine has been in use for treatment of MS, hematological malignancies, and other indications for over 15 years, providing a comparable established safety profile for the drug.\(^3\) However, safety data of oncology patients cannot directly be transferred to MS patients, as the former population is often exposed to additional cytotoxic chemotherapy, and both populations are likely to differ in immune competence. Still, from indications other than MS, myelosuppression and infections have been noted. Escalating dose regimens have been associated with, though typically transient, toxicity to stem cells. In particular, patients with poor bone marrow reserve experienced marked thrombocytopenia with repeated dosing.\(^59,63\) Toxicity seems to be dose-dependant and administration of cladribine at a dosage above the recommended 0.1 mg/kg has been associated more frequently with myelosuppression, systemic infections, acute nephrotoxicity, and neuropathies. A significantly increased risk of secondary malignancies has not been noted in patients treated with cladribine for lymphoma.\(^68,77,78\) For treatment of MS with parenteral cladribine, a combined analysis was performed using data from 268 patients enrolled in Scripps-studies. Adverse events occurring most frequently in all groups were upper respiratory tract infections (32% cladribine group vs 24% placebo), headaches (28% cladribine group vs 38% placebo), and injection-site reactions (24% cladribine group vs 25% placebo).\(^79\) The incidence of serious adverse events was similar in patients receiving cladribine at doses of 0.7–2.1 mg/kg or placebo (11–15% vs 17%).\(^79\) Although parenteral cladribine has shown to be teratogenic in mice and rabbits, there is no direct evidence for teratogenicity in humans.\(^59\) Nevertheless, this potential side effect needs to be taken into account.

Most valid data derive from the recently published oral CLARITY trial in MS population.\(^46\) As expected from parenteral trials, lymphocytopenia (mostly graded as mild or moderate) is more frequently seen among patients receiving cladribine compared with placebo. Severe neutropenia was reported in 3 patients (1 in the 3.5-mg group and 2 in the 5.25-mg group). In 1 patient of the latter group, severe thrombocytopenia and pancytopenia occurred, associated
with an exacerbation of latent tuberculosis. Infections or infestations (graded mild or moderate in around 99% in all groups) were reported in 47.7% of the patients in the cladribine 3.5-mg group, 48.9% of those in the cladribine 5.25-mg group, and 42.5% of those in the placebo group. Herpes zoster infections occurred in 20 cladribine-treated patients (8 patients in the 3.5-mg group and 12 in the 5.25-mg group). All cases of herpes zoster were restricted to neighboring dermatomes, including 1 case of herpes zoster oticus. There were 3 uncomplicated cases of primary varicella, 1 in each study group. Adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation were seen in 3.5% of patients in the cladribine 3.5-mg group, 7.9% of those in the cladribine 5.25-mg group, and 2.1% of those in the placebo group. The incidence of serious adverse events was 8.4% in the cladribine 3.5-mg group, 9.0% in the cladribine 5.25-mg group, and 6.4% in the placebo group. There were 3 cases of malignancies in the cladribine 3.5-mg group (melanoma, pancreas carcinoma, and ovarian carcinoma). One case of cervical carcinoma in situ was also reported in the cladribine 5.25-mg group in a human papillomavirus type 16 positive individual. A choriocarcinoma was diagnosed in 1 patient in the cladribine 5.25-mg group approximately 9 months after completion of the study. There were 4 deaths during the study and 2 after study discontinuation, equally distributed across the 3 study groups. Causes of death were acute myocardial infarction and metastatic pancreatic carcinoma in the cladribine 3.5-mg group, drowning and cardiopulmonary arrest (considered secondary to exacerbation of latent tuberculosis) in the cladribine 5.25-mg group, and suicide and hemorrhagic stroke in the placebo group.

**Perspective: potential of oral formulations in MS treatment with a focus on cladribine**

The long-awaited publication of successful and well-conducted phase 3 clinical trials of oral drugs for RRMS is promising news for more than 2 million people worldwide suffering from this chronic, disabling disease, as well as for their treating physicians. Among 5 currently investigated drugs at phase 3 clinical stage, efficacy and safety data for fingolimod (FTY720 Research Evaluating Effects of Daily Oral therapy in Multiple Sclerosis [FREEDOMS] and Trial Assessing Injectable IFN vs FTY720 Oral in Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis [TRANSFORMS]) and cladribine (CLARITY) have been recently published. From a patient’s perspective, the approval of oral therapies would definitely be appreciated, reducing restrictions on lifestyle and hope for more efficient treatment. Compared with other oral drugs, it is the only therapy with potential of short-course dosing. From the physician’s perspective, oral medication may promise improvement of treatment adherence. However, regarding the potential of drugs like cladribine, 3 key questions still need to be answered.

First, is cladribine treatment superior to currently available drugs regarding efficacy? Only head-to-head trials can give firm conclusion on the efficacy of cladribine vs established injectable therapies. These trials still need to be undertaken. Mainly due to the differences in severity of disease, comparing data across clinical trials is extremely problematic. Currently running studies are designed to evaluate cladribine as add-on to IFNβ and for early MS, but only comparative head-to-head trials will answer this question.

Second, do benefits exceed the risks in a long-term perspective? We do not know by now, whether or not adverse effects seen in the recently published trials of cladribine and fingolimod are the only safety issues to consider. Occurrence of herpes virus infections, as seen among patients receiving cladribine or fingolimod, indicate an alteration of endogenous viral immunosurveillance by these promising orals. In addition, 3 cases of solid tissue cancers (pancreatic, ovarian, and melanoma) occurred among patients receiving cladribine. Keeping in mind the still unsolved and ongoing natalizumab-experience with occurrence of most relevant safety concerns in the postmarketing area, we cannot anticipate the long-term safety from the recently published phase 3 clinical trials. Particularly, with regard to rare opportunistic infections such as PML, only ongoing extension trials such as the CLARITY-Extension trial and, in case of approval, critical patient selection and high standards of postmarketing safety surveillance programs will enable us to estimate the risk and prevent harm.

Third, what would be the potential role of cladribine within established treatment concepts of RRMS? Similar to natalizumab, not efficacy data from phase 3 clinical trials, but safety data from still running trials and of a potential postmarketing era, will finally answer this question. Cladribine, because of the known teratogenicity, should not be used in pregnancy, but also with caution in young female of potential child bearing capacity. In addition, as cladribine most likely alters viral immunosurveillance, it should not be used in combination with other immunosuppressives such as natalizumab or mitoxantrone, and even pretreatment with these agents could possibly put patients at higher risks. As efficacy data are strong and oral drugs are highly appreciated by most of
the patients, cladribine could potentially play a role in patients refractory to or patients not tolerating first-line treatment. In any case, individual decisions will be required and based on risk–benefit considerations in dialog with the well-informed patient, supported by high standards of postmarketing safety surveillance programs.
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